

ON THE GENERATION THEOREMS FOR THE MODIFIED
EXPONENTIAL-COSINE OPERATOR

HARSHINDER SINGH AND A. B. BUCHE

Department of Statistics, Panjab University, Chandigarh 160014

(Received 21 May 1979)

Let X be a Banach space, and let $B(X)$ be the space of bounded linear operators on X . Let $R^+ = [0, \infty)$. The family $\{S(t); t \in R^+\}$, $S : R^+ \rightarrow B(X)$, is called a modified exponential-cosine operator, if it satisfies the equation

$$S(t + s) + S(t - s) T(2s) = 2S(t) S(s), s, t \in R^+, s \leq t, S(0) = I,$$

where $\{T(t); t \in R^+\}$, $T : R^+ \rightarrow B(X)$, is a known (C_0) -semigroup of operator.

In this paper the generation theorems for the modified exponential-cosine operator function have been obtained. These results are analogues of the Hille-Yosida generation theorems for the semigroup of operators and Sova generation theorems for cosine operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a Banach space, and let $B(X)$ be the space of bounded linear operators on X . Let $R^+ = [0, \infty)$. The family $\{S(t), t \in R^+\}$, $S : R^+ \rightarrow B(X)$, is called a modified exponential-cosine operator function, if it satisfies the equation

$$S(t + s) + S(t - s) T(2s) = 2S(t) S(s), s, t \in R^+, \dots(1)$$

$s \leq t, S(0) = I$, where $\{T(t), t \in R^+\}$, $T : R^+ \rightarrow B(X)$, is a known (C_0) -semigroup of operators. The authors (Singh and Buche 1979) have proved that the continuity at the origin of $\{S(t)\}$ implies continuity everywhere and if $\{S(t)\}$ is continuous then there exist two non-negative constants M and ω such that

$$\| S(t) \| \leq M \exp (\omega t). \dots(2)$$

They have introduced the two infinitesimal generator of $\{S(t)\}$ (Singh and Buche 1980). The first infinitesimal generator of $\{S(t)\}$ is defined as

$$Af = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} ((S(h) - I)/h) f, f \in D(A), \dots(3)$$

where $D(A) \subset X$, and $D(A)$ is the set of elements $f \in X$ for which the above limit exists. It was proved that, under a general condition, A coincides with the infinitesimal generator of the associated semigroup $\{T(t)\}$ of operators. The second infinitesimal generator B of $\{S(t)\}$ is defined by

$$Bf = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (2(S(h) - T(h))/h^2) f \dots(4)$$

$f \in D(B)$, where $D(B) \subset X$, and $D(B)$ is the set of elements $f \in X$ for which the above limit exists. It has been proved that B is a closed linear operator with domain dense in X . Further for $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$, $S(t)f$ is twice differentiable and

$$d^2S(t)f/dt^2 = 2AdS(t)f/dt + (B - A^2)S(t)f \tag{5}$$

holds in the strong operator topology.

In the present paper, the generation theorems corresponding to those of Hille-Yosida (1957) and Sova (1968) are obtained for the modified exponential-cosine operator function. The appropriate resolvent turns out to be

$$R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1}.$$

The results are an extension of Sova (1968) for the cosine-operator function. In the proofs, we use the properties of Laplace transforms such as uniqueness and the Post-Widder inversion formula (cf. Doetsch 1950, Widder 1946). Throughout we have assumed that $S(t_1)S(t_2) = S(t_2)S(t_1)$, and $S(t_1)T(t_2) = T(t_2)S(t_1)$, for all $t_1, t_2 \in R^+$.

2. THE GENERATION THEOREMS

The generation theorems for semigroups have been obtained by Hille, Yosida, Phillips, Miyadera and Feller (ref. Hille-Phillips 1957). This theorem gives the necessary and sufficient conditions for a closed linear operator A with dense domain to generate a strongly continuous semigroup and the conditions are in terms of the boundedness of the resolvent operator $R(\lambda, A)$.

A similar theorem has been obtained for the regular cosine operator function by Sova (1968). In this case the resolvent operator to be considered is $\lambda(\lambda^2I - B)^{-1}$, where B is the second infinitesimal generator and λ is sufficiently large.

In this section we prove a similar theorem for the modified exponential-cosine operator function. The appropriate resolvent operator now turns out to be $R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1}$, where A and B are respectively the first and the second infinitesimal generators and λ is sufficiently large. We have also indirectly proved that $S(t) = T(t)C(t)$, where $\{C(t), t \in R^+\}$ is the cosine operator function generated by B .

Lemma 1 — Let $\{S(t); t \in R^+\}$, $S: R^+ \rightarrow B(X)$, be a regular modified exponential-cosine operator function with $\{T(t)\}$ as the associated (C_0) -semigroup. Let the second infinitesimal generator B of $\{S(t)\}$ generate a regular cosine operator function. Then there exist a real number ω such that $(I - BR^2(\lambda, A))$ is one to one, for all real $\lambda > \omega$.

PROOF: Since B generates a regular cosine operator function, there exist a real ω_1 such that $\mu^2I - B$ is one to one for all sufficiently large real $\mu > \omega_1$. We

shall prove that $\mu^2 I - \lambda^2 R^2(\lambda, A) B$ is one to one for sufficiently large real numbers λ and μ . Suppose if possible $f \neq 0, f \in D(B)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mu^2 I - \lambda^2 R^2(\lambda, A) B) f = 0 \text{ and} \\ &(\mu^2 I - B) f \neq 0, \lambda, \mu > \omega_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(\mu^2 I - B) f = (\mu^2 I - \lambda^2 R^2(\lambda, A) B) f + (\lambda^2 R^2(\lambda, A) - I) B f.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \|(\mu^2 I - B) f\| &\leq \|(\mu^2 I - \lambda^2 R^2(\lambda, A) B) f\| \\ &\quad + \|\lambda^2 R^2(\lambda, A) - I\| \|B f\|. \end{aligned}$$

By the theory of semigroups (Dunford and Schwartz 1958), $\lambda R(\lambda, A) f \rightarrow f$, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and $\|\lambda R(\lambda, A)\|$ is finite. So for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a real number ω_2 such that

$$\|\lambda^2 R^2(\lambda, A) - I\| < \epsilon / (1 + \|B f\|), \lambda > \omega_2.$$

Hence $0 < \|(\mu^2 I - B) f\| < \epsilon$, for $\mu > \omega = \max(\omega_1, \omega_2)$, which is a contradiction. Hence the Lemma.

Theorem 1 — Let $\{S(t) ; t \in R^+\}$, $S : R^+ \rightarrow B(X)$, be a regular modified exponential-cosine operator function satisfying (1). If B generates a regular cosine operator function, then there exist real numbers $M \geq 0$ and ω such that

(i) $(\lambda I - A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))$ is one to one, and $R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1}$ is a bounded linear operator for $\lambda > \omega, \lambda$ real,

(ii) for each $f \in X, R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f \rightarrow f$, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty, \lambda > \omega$,

(iii) for each real $\lambda > \omega$, and

$$\begin{aligned} n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \|d^n(R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1})/d\lambda^n\| \\ \leq Mn! ((1/(\lambda + \omega))^{n+1} + (1/(\lambda - \omega))^{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF : Let us define

$$Z(\lambda) f = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f du, \lambda > \omega_1.$$

This integral exists because by (2), $S(\cdot) f$ is continuous on R^+ and

$$\|S(t)\| \leq M \exp(\omega_1 t),$$

for some $M > 0$ and some $\omega_1 > 0$. So $Z(\lambda)$ is a bounded linear operator, because $\{S(t)\}$ is linear and $\|S(t)\| \leq M \exp(\omega_1 t)$.

Now we shall prove that for each $f \in D(A^2), Z(\lambda) f \in D(B)$ and

$$BZ(\lambda) f = (\lambda I - A)^2 Z(\lambda) f - (\lambda I - A) f.$$

We consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2((S(h) - T(h))/h^2) Z(\lambda) f \\
 &= 2((S(h) - T(h))/h^2) \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f du \\
 &+ 2((S(h) - T(h))/h^2) \int_h^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f du.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the first integral tends to zero as $h \rightarrow 0$, for $f \in D(A)$. So for $f \in D(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 2((S(h) - T(h))/h^2) Z(\lambda) f &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 2((S(h) - T(h))/h^2) \\
 &\times \int_h^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f du. \quad \dots(6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using eqn. (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2((S(h) - T(h))/h^2) \int_h^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f du \\
 &= (1/h^2) \int_h^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) (S(u + h) + T(2h) S(u - h)) f du \\
 &- 2(T(h)/h^2) \int_h^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f du \\
 &= (1/h^2) \int_{2h}^\infty \exp(-\lambda(u - h)) S(u) f du + (T(2h)/h^2) \\
 &\times \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda(u + h)) S(u) f du - 2(T(h)/h^2) \int_h^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f du \\
 &= (1/h^2) \exp(\lambda h) Z(\lambda) f - (\exp(\lambda h)/h^2) \int_0^{2h} \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f du \\
 &+ (T(2h)/h^2) \exp(-\lambda h) Z(\lambda) f - (2T(h)/h^2) Z(\lambda) f \\
 &+ 2(T(h)/h^2) \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f du \\
 &= ((T(2h) - 2T(h) + I)/h^2) Z(\lambda) f + ((\exp(\lambda h) \\
 &+ \exp(-\lambda h) - 2)/h^2) Z(\lambda) f + ((\exp(-\lambda h) - 1)/h^2) T(2h) Z(\lambda) f \\
 &+ (1/h^2) Z(\lambda) f - (1/h^2) \exp(-\lambda h) Z(\lambda) f +
 \end{aligned}$$

(equation continued on p. 372)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ (2/h^2) T(h) \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f \, du \\
 &- (1/h^2) \exp(\lambda h) \int_0^{2h} \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f \, du \\
 = &(1/h^2) (T(2h) - 2T(h) + I) Z(\lambda) f + (1/h^2) (\exp(\lambda h) \\
 &+ \exp(-\lambda h) - 2) Z(\lambda) f + (1/h) (\exp(-\lambda h) - 1) (1/h) \\
 &\times (T(2h) - I) Z(\lambda) f + (2/h^2(T(h) - I) \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f \, du \\
 &- (1/h^2) (\exp(\lambda h) - 1) \int_0^{2h} \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f \, du \\
 &- (1/h^2) \int_0^{2h} \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f \, du + (2/h^2) \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f \, du.
 \end{aligned}$$

...(7)

Now, using the commutativity assumption, we observe that for $\lambda > \omega$, as $h \rightarrow 0$

$$(1/h^2) (T(2h) - 2T(h) + I) Z(\lambda) f \rightarrow A^2 Z(\lambda) f, f \in D(A^2) \quad \dots(8)$$

$$(2/h) (T(h) - I) (1/h) \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f \, du \rightarrow 2Af, f \in D(A) \quad \dots(9)$$

$$(1/h^2) (\exp(\lambda h) + \exp(-\lambda h) - 2) Z(\lambda) f \rightarrow \lambda^2 Z(\lambda) f \quad \dots(10)$$

$$2((T(2h) - I)/2h) (1/h) (\exp(-\lambda h) - 1) Z(\lambda) f \rightarrow -2\lambda AZ(\lambda) f, f \in D(A) \quad \dots(11)$$

$$2((\exp(\lambda h) - 1)/h) (1/2h) \int_0^{2h} \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f \, du \rightarrow 2\lambda f. \quad \dots(12)$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(1/h^2) \int_0^{2h} \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f \, du + (2/h^2) \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f \, du \\
 = &(2/h^2) \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda u) (S(u) f - f) \, du \\
 &- (2/h^2) \int_0^{2h} \exp(-2\lambda u) (S(2u) f - f) \, du \\
 &- (2/h^2) \int_0^h (\exp(-2\lambda u) - \exp(-\lambda u)) f \, du.
 \end{aligned}$$

...(13)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } \quad & \left\| (2/h^2) \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda u) (S(u)f - f) du - Af \right\| \\ &= \left\| (2/h^2) \int_0^h (S(u)f - f - uAf) du \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (2/h^2) \int_0^h (\exp(-\lambda u) - 1) (S(u)f - f) \right\| \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in D(A)$, so for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\|S(u)f - f - uAf\| < \epsilon u, \quad 0 < u < \delta.$$

Choosing $h < \delta$, we see that

$$\left\| (2/h^2) \int_0^h (S(u)f - f - uAf) du \right\| < \epsilon. \quad \text{Also}$$

$$(2/h^2) \int_0^h (\exp(-\lambda u)) (S(u)f - f) du \rightarrow 0,$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$ by the regularity of $\{S(t)\}$. So it follows that, for $f \in D(A)$,

$$(2/h^2) \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda u) (S(u)f - f) du \rightarrow Af, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0 \quad \dots(14)$$

$$(2/h^2) \int_0^h \exp(-2\lambda u) (S(2u)f - f) du \rightarrow 2Af, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad \dots(15)$$

Also $(2/h^2) \int_0^h (\exp(-2\lambda u) - \exp(-\lambda u)) f du \rightarrow -\lambda f, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad \dots(16)$

Applying (14) - (16), (13), we see that for $f \in D(A)$, $\lambda > \omega_1$,

$$(1/h^2) \int_0^{2h} \exp(-\lambda u) S(u)f du + (2/h^2) \int_0^h \exp(-\lambda u) S(u)f du \rightarrow \lambda f - Af. \quad \dots(17)$$

Substituting (8) - (12) and (17) in (7), and using (6), it follows that for $f \in D(A^2)$, $\lambda > \omega_1$,

$$B_h \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) S(u)f du \rightarrow (\lambda I - A)^2 Z(\lambda)f - (\lambda I - A)f, \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \quad \dots(18)$$

This implies that for each $f \in D(A^2)$, $Z(\lambda)f \in D(B)$ and

$$BZ(\lambda)f = (\lambda I - A)^2 Z(\lambda)f - (\lambda I - A)f, \quad \lambda > \omega_1,$$

or $((\lambda I - A)^2 - B)Z(\lambda)f = (\lambda I - A)f, \quad f \in D(A^2), \lambda > \omega_1.$

Since A generates a semigroup, there exists $\omega_2 > 0$, such that for $\lambda > \omega_2$, $(\lambda I - A)$ is one to one and $R(\lambda, A)$ is a bounded linear operator. Also by the Lemma, there exists $\omega_3 > 0$ such that $I - BR^2(\lambda, A)$ is one to one for $\lambda > \omega_3$. This implies that for each $f \in D(A^2)$ and $\lambda > \omega = \max(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$,

$$R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f = Z(\lambda) f.$$

Since $D(A^2)$ is dense in X and $Z(\lambda)$ is a bounded linear operator, it implies that

$$Z(\lambda) f = R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f,$$

for each $f \in X$ and $\lambda > \omega$. This proves the part (i) of the Theorem. Parts (ii) and (iii) follow on the same lines as for the cosine operator functions (cf. Sova 1968).

Theorem 2 — Let $A : D(A) \rightarrow X, D(A) \subset X$ and $B : D(B) \rightarrow X, D(B) \subset X$, be two closed linear operators which commute on $D(AB)$ and let $D(B) \cap D(A^2)$ be dense in X . Suppose A generates a (C_0) -semigroup $\{T(t), t \in R^+\}$, $T : R^+ \rightarrow B(X)$, of operators. Let M and ω be two non-negative constants. If

(i) for each $\lambda > \omega$, the operator $(\lambda I - A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))$ is one to one and $R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1}$ is a bounded linear operator,

(ii) $\lambda R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f \rightarrow f$, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty, f \in X, \lambda > \omega$,

(iii) For each $\lambda > \omega$, and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (d^n/d\lambda^n) R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} \| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} M n! ((1/(\lambda + \omega))^{n+1} + (1/(\lambda - \omega))^{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

then there exists a regular modified, exponential-cosine operator function $\{S(t) : t \in R^+\}$, $S : R^+ \rightarrow B(X)$, such that

- (1) the first infinitesimal generator of $\{S(t)\}$ is A ,
- (2) the second infinitesimal generator of $\{S(t)\}$ is B ,
- (3) for every $t \in R^+, \| S(t) \| \leq M \exp(\omega t)$.

PROOF : Define for $\lambda > \omega, f \in X, l \in X^*$, the space of bounded linear functionals on X ,

$$\pi(f, l) (\lambda) = l(R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f). \tag{19}$$

Further we write

$$\pi^{(n)}(f, l) (\lambda) = (d^n/d\lambda^n) \pi(f, l) (\lambda), n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{20}$$

By the assumption (iii) and by the same arguments as in Sova (1968), there exists a real-valued measurable function $\phi(f, l)$ on R^* , such that

$$| \phi(f, l) (t) | \leq M \exp(\omega t) \| f \| \| l \| \tag{21}$$

and for $\lambda > \omega$,

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) \phi(f, l)(u) du = \pi(f, l)(\lambda). \tag{22}$$

For $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$, and $l \in X^*$, we define a new function $\psi(f, l)(t)$ as follows; for $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(f, l)(t) &= l(f) - tl(Af) + 2 \int_0^t \phi(Af, l)(u) du \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \phi((B - A^2)f, l)(u) du ds. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

It is easy to verify that $\psi(f, l)$ is continuous on R^+ for $l \in X^*$ and $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$. Moreover for $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$, $l \in X^*$ and $\lambda > \omega$, by using (19) and (22),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) \psi(f, l)(u) du &= (1/\lambda) \cdot l(f) - (1/\lambda^2) l(Af) \\ &\quad + (2/\lambda) \int_{v=0}^\infty \exp(-\lambda v) \phi(Af, l)(v) dv + (1/\lambda^2) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\omega=0}^\infty \exp(-\lambda \omega) \phi((B - A^2)f, l)(\omega) d\omega \\ &= (1/\lambda) l(f) - (1/\lambda^2) l(Af) + (2/\lambda) l(R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} Af) \\ &\quad + (1/\lambda^2) l(R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} (B - A^2) f) \\ &= l[(f/\lambda) - (1/\lambda^2) Af + (2/\lambda) R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (\lambda f - (\lambda I - A) f) + (1/\lambda^2) R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (\lambda^2 I - 2\lambda A - ((\lambda I - A)^2 - B) f)] \\ &= l[(f/\lambda) - (1/\lambda^2) Af + 2R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f \\ &\quad - (2/\lambda) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} + (1/\lambda) R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (\lambda I - 2A) f - (1/\lambda^2) (\lambda I - A) f] \\ &= l[3R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f - (2/\lambda) \\ &\quad \times (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} (f + R(\lambda, A) Af)] \\ &= l[3R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f - 2R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f] \\ &= l[R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f]. \end{aligned}$$

So for $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$, $\lambda > \omega$,

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) \psi(f, l)(u) du = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) \phi(f, l)(u) du. \tag{24}$$

This shows that $\psi(f, l) = \phi(f, l)$ almost everywhere, for $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$, $l \in X^*$. By the same arguments as in Sova (1968), this implies that for each $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$, $t \in R^+$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a natural number $n_0(f, t, \epsilon)$ such that $n_0(f, t, \epsilon) > t\omega$ and for each $n \geq n_0(f, t, \epsilon)$ and each $l \in X^*$,

$$| (1/n!) (-1)^n (n/t)^{n+1} \pi^{(n)}(f, l) (n/t) - \psi(f, l) (t) | < (\epsilon/2) \| l \|. \dots(25)$$

For $\lambda > \omega$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we define

$$V_n(\lambda) = ((-1)^n/n!) \lambda^{n+1} d^n [R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1}] / d\lambda^n. \dots(26)$$

So, by (20),

$$(-1)^n/n! \lambda^{n+1} \pi^{(n)}(f, l) (\lambda) = l(V_n(\lambda)), \dots(27)$$

for $\lambda > \omega$, $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

It immediately follows from (25) and the assumption (iii) that $\{V_n(n/t)f\}$ is Cauchy for every $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$. By the completeness of X , it converges.

Let

$$S_0(t)f = \lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ n > t\omega}} V_n(n/t)f, f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2). \dots(28)$$

By (25) and (26), we see that for each $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$, $l \in X^*$ and $t \in R^+$,

$$l(S_0(t)f) = \psi(f, l) (t). \dots(29)$$

It is easy to see that for each $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$, the function $S_0(t)f$ is continuous on R^+ , because $\psi(f, l) (t)$ is continuous. By the assumption (iii), we have

$$\| V_n(n/t)f \| \leq ((n/t)^{n+1}/((n/t) + \omega)^{n+1}) + ((n/t)^{n+1}/((n/t) - \omega)^{n+1}) \| f \|,$$

the right-hand side tending to $M \cosh(\omega t) \| f \|$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So $\| S_0(t) \| \leq M \exp(\omega t)$. Also by (29) (24) and (22), for each $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$,

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) S_0(u)f du = R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f. \dots(30)$$

Since $D(B) \cap D(A^2)$ is dense in X and $\{S_0(t)\}$ is continuous on R^+ , there exists a one and only one continuous extension of $\{S_0(t)\}$ to the whole space X . We denote this extension by $\{S(t)\}$. Clearly $\| S(t) \| \leq M \exp(\omega t)$, and for each $f \in X$, $\lambda > \omega$,

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) S(u)f du = R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f. \dots(31)$$

Let us write

$$P(\lambda) = R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f, \lambda > \omega. \dots(32)$$

To prove that $\{S(t)\}$ is modified exponential-cosine operator function, with $\{T(t)\}$ as the associated semigroup, we shall need the following results.

Result 1 — For $f \in X, \lambda > \omega, \mu > \omega, \lambda \neq \mu$

- (a) $\int_0^\infty \exp(-\mu s) S(t + s) f ds$ is continuous in $t \in R^+$.
- (b) $\left\| \int_0^\infty \exp(-\mu s) S(t + s) f ds \right\| \leq M/(\mu - \omega) \exp(\omega t) \|f\|,$
- (c) $\int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda t) \left(\int_0^\infty \exp(-\mu s) S(t + s) f ds \right) dt$
 $= (1/(\lambda - \mu)) (P(\mu) - P(\lambda)) f.$

(a) and (b) are easy to check by using the fact that $\|S(t)\| \leq M \exp(\omega t)$, and the continuity of $\{S(t)\}$.

For (c),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda t) \left(\int_0^\infty \exp(-\mu s) S(t + s) f ds \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda t) \exp(\mu t) \left(\int_0^\infty \exp(-\mu u) S(u) f du \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-(\lambda - \mu)t) \left(\int_0^\infty \exp(-\mu u) S(u) f du \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^t \exp(-\mu u) S(u) f du \right) dt \\ &= (1/(\lambda - \mu)) R(\mu, A) (I - BR^2(\mu, A))^{-1} f \\ &\quad - \int_{u=0}^\infty \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f \int_{t=u}^\infty \exp(-(\lambda - \mu)(t - u)) dt du \\ &= (1/(\lambda - \mu)) R(\mu, A) (I - BR^2(\mu, A))^{-1} f \\ &\quad - (1/(\lambda - \mu)) R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f \\ &= (1/(\lambda - \mu)) (P(\mu) - P(\lambda)) f, \text{ which proves (c).} \end{aligned}$$

Result 2 — For $f \in X, \lambda > \omega, \mu > \omega, s > t > 0,$

- (a) $\int_t^\infty \exp(-\mu s) S(s - t) f ds$ is continuous in $t,$
- (b) $\left\| \int_t^\infty \exp(-\mu s) S(s - t) f ds \right\| \leq (M/(\mu - \omega)) \exp(-\mu t) \|f\|,$

$$(c) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda t) \int_t^{\infty} \exp(-\mu s) S(s-t) T(2t) f ds dt \\ = R(\lambda + \mu, 2A) P(\mu) f.$$

A change of variables gives

$$\int_t^{\infty} \exp(-\mu s) S(s-t) f ds = \exp(-\mu t) \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\mu u) S(u) f du.$$

(a) and (b) are easy to verify from this. Now for $\lambda > \omega$, $\mu > \omega$, $s > t > 0$, $f \in X$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda t) \left(\int_t^{\infty} \exp(-\mu s) T(2t) S(s-t) f ds \right) dt \\ = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-(\lambda + \mu)t) T(2t) \left(\int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\mu u) S(u) f du \right) dt \\ = R(\lambda + \mu, 2A) R(\mu, A) (I - BR^2(\mu, A))^{-1} f \\ = R(\lambda + \mu, 2A) P(\mu) f.$$

Result 3 — If $f \in X$, $\lambda > \omega$, $\mu > \omega$,

$$(a) \quad \int_0^t \exp(-\mu s) S(t-s) T(2s) f ds \text{ is continuous in } t \in R^+,$$

$$(b) \quad \left\| \int_0^t \exp(-\mu s) S(t-s) T(2s) f ds \right\| \leq (M^2/(\mu - \omega)) \exp(\omega t) \|f\|,$$

$$(c) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda t) \int_0^t \exp(-\mu s) S(t-s) T(2s) f ds dt \\ = R(\lambda + \mu, 2A) P(\lambda) f.$$

(a) and (b) are easy to check, by using $\|S(t)\| \leq M \exp(\omega t)$, and the continuity of $\{S(t)\}$.

Now for $f \in X$, $\lambda > \omega$, $\mu > \omega$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda t) \int_0^t \exp(-\mu s) S(t-s) T(2s) f ds dt \\ = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-(\lambda + \mu)t) \int_0^t \exp(\mu u) S(u) T(2(t-u)) f du dt \\ = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) \left(\int_{v=0}^{\infty} \exp(-(\lambda + \mu)v) T(2v) f dv \right) du$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= R(\lambda + \mu, 2A) R(\lambda, A) (I - BR^2(\lambda, A))^{-1} f \\
 &= R(\lambda + \mu, 2A) P(\lambda) f.
 \end{aligned}$$

Result 4 — For every $\lambda > \omega, \mu > \omega, \lambda \neq \mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 2P(\lambda) P(\mu) &= R(\lambda + \mu, 2A) (P(\lambda) + P(\mu)) \\
 &\quad - (1/(\lambda - \mu)) (P(\lambda) - P(\mu)).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(\lambda I - A)^2 - ((\lambda I - A)^2 - B) = (\mu I - A)^2 - ((\mu I - A)^2 - B),$$

Multiplying both sides by $P(\lambda) P(\mu)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda I - A)^2 P(\lambda) P(\mu) - (\lambda I - A) P(\mu) \\
 = (\mu I - A)^2 P(\lambda) P(\mu) - (\mu I - A) P(\lambda),
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$((\lambda I - A)^2 - (\mu I - A)^2) P(\lambda) P(\mu) = (\lambda I - A) P(\mu) - (\mu I - A) P(\lambda),$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
 2(\lambda^2 I - \mu^2 I - 2(\lambda - \mu) A) P(\lambda) P(\mu) \\
 = 2(\lambda I - A) P(\mu) - 2(\mu I - A) P(\lambda),
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda - \mu) (\lambda I + \mu I - 2A) P(\lambda) P(\mu) \\
 = (\lambda - \mu) (P(\lambda) + P(\mu)) - (\lambda + \mu - 2A)(P(\lambda) - P(\mu)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$2P(\lambda) P(\mu) = R(\lambda + \mu, 2A) (P(\lambda) + P(\mu)) - 1/(\lambda - \mu) (P(\lambda) - P(\mu))$$

for $\lambda, \mu > \omega$, which proves the Result 4.

With the help of these four Results, we shall prove that $\{S(t); t \in R^+\}$ is a modified exponential-cosine operator function, with $\{T(t)\}$ as the associated semi-group. Let $f \in X$ be fixed, and let us define an auxiliary function $K(t, s)$, for $s, t \in R^+$, with values on X as follows :

$$\begin{aligned}
 &S(t + s) + T(2s) S(t - s), \quad \text{if } t > s, \\
 K(t, s) &= S(t + s) + T(2t) S(s - t), \quad \text{if } s > t, \quad \dots(33) \\
 &S(2t) + T^2(t), \quad \text{if } s = t.
 \end{aligned}$$

It immediately follows that (a₁) $K(t, s) = K(s, t)$, for every $s, t \in R^+$. (a₂) For every fixed $t \in R^+$, the function $K(t, \cdot)$ is continuous on R^+ , since both S and T are continuous. (a₃) $\|K(t, s)\| \leq 2M^2 \exp(\omega t) \exp(\omega s)$ because $\|S(t)\| \leq M \exp(\omega t), t \in R^+$.

Now let us define for every $\mu > \omega$, and $t \in R^+$,

$$\Delta_1(t, \mu) = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\mu s) K(t, s) ds. \quad \dots(34)$$

This definition is meaningful by (a₂) and (a₃). For every fixed $\mu > \omega$, the function $\Delta_1(\cdot, \mu)$ is continuous on R^+ because of (a₂), and

$$\|\Delta_1(t, \mu)\| \leq 2M^2 \exp(\omega t) (1/(\mu - \omega)),$$

because of (a₃).

Now let

$$\Delta_2(\lambda, \mu) = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda t) \Delta_1(t, \mu) dt, \quad \lambda, \mu > \omega. \quad \dots(35)$$

This definition is evidently meaningful by the above mentioned properties of $\Delta_1(t, \mu)$.

Now for $\lambda, \mu > \omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2(\lambda, \mu) &= \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda t) \left[\int_t^{\infty} \exp(-\mu s) \{S(s+t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + T(2t) S(s-t)\} f ds + \int_0^t \exp(-\mu s) \{S(s+t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + T(2s) S(t-s)\} f ds \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda t) \left(\int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\mu s) S(t+s) f ds \right) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda t) \left(\int_t^{\infty} \exp(-\mu s) T(2t) S(s-t) f ds \right) dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda t) \int_0^t \exp(-\mu s) T(2s) S(t-s) f ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

By using the Results 1, 2, 3, and 4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2(\lambda, \mu) &= (1/(\lambda - \mu)) (P(\mu) - P(\lambda)) f + R(\lambda + \mu, 2A) P(\mu) f \\ &\quad + R(\lambda + \mu, 2A) P(\lambda) f \\ &= R(\lambda + \mu, 2A) (P(\lambda) + P(\mu)) f - (1/(\lambda - \mu)) (P(\lambda) - P(\mu)) f \\ &= 2P(\lambda) P(\mu) f. \end{aligned}$$

By (35), for every $t \in R^+$ and $\mu > \omega$, we have

$$\Delta_1(t, \mu) = 2S(t) P(\mu).$$

Repeating the argument, we obtain $K(t, s) = 2S(t) S(s)$, for every $t, s \in R^+$.

Hence for every $t > s > 0$, we obtain

$$S(t + s) + T(2s) S(t - s) = 2S(t) S(s).$$

Thus $\{S(t), t \in R^+\}$ is a modified exponential-cosine operator function with $\{T(t)\}$ as the associated semigroup.

Using (23), we obtain for any $l \in X^*, f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} l(S(t)f) &= \psi(f, l)(t) = l(f) - tl(Af) + 2 \int_0^t \phi(Af, l)(u) du \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \phi((B - A^2)f, l)(u) du ds. \end{aligned}$$

For $f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$, such that $Af \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$ and $(B - A^2)f \in D(B) \cap D(A^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} l(S(t)f) &= l(f) - tl(Af) + 2 \int_0^t \psi(Af, l)(u) du \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^s \psi(B - A^2)f, l)(u) du ds. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

This implies that for such an f ,

$$S(t)f = f - tAf + 2 \int_0^t S(u) Af du + \int_0^t \int_0^s S(u) (B - A^2)f du ds. \tag{37}$$

From (37) it can be easily checked that the first infinitesimal generator of $\{S(t)\}$ is A and the second infinitesimal generator is B .

Theorem 3 — Let $\{S(t) : t \in R^+\}$, $S : R^+ \rightarrow B(X)$, be a regular modified exponential-cosine operator function, with $\{T(t)\}$ as the associated (C_0) -semigroup. Let the second infinitesimal generator B of $\{S(t)\}$ generates a regular cosine operator function $\{C(t)\}$. Then

$$S(t) = T(t) C(t), t \in R^+.$$

PROOF: It is easy to check (cf. Buche 1975) that $\{T(t) C(t)\}$ is a regular modified exponential-cosine operator function with the first infinitesimal generator A and the second infinitesimal generator B . Thus the Laplace-transform of $\{T(t) C(t)\}$ will coincide with that of $S(t)$. Hence $S(t) = T(t) C(t), t \in R^+$.

3. EXAMPLES

1. In Example 1 of Singh and Buche (1979), $\{S(t), t \in R^+\}$ is defined on $C(R)$ as

$$S(t)f(x) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) (f(x + (a + b)t) + f(x + (a - b)t))$$

where a and b are constants, $0 < b < a$. It has been proved there that $\{S(t)\}$ is a regular modified exponential-cosine operator function, and $\|S(t)\| \leq 1$.

Now we shall find the Laplace transform of this modified exponential-cosine operator function and the approximating sequence given by (26).

Let us write for $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda) f(x) &= \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda u) S(u) f(x) du \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda u) f(x + (a + b)u) du \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda u) f(x + (a - b)u) du \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}(a + b)\right) \int_x^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda(u - x)/(a + b)) f(u) du \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2}(a - b)\right) \int_x^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda(u - x)/(a - b)) f(u) du \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_x^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(a + b)}\right) \exp(-\lambda(u - x)/(a + b)) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{(a - b)}\right) \exp(-\lambda(u - x)/(a - b)) f(u) du, \end{aligned}$$

which is the Laplace transform of $\{S(t)\}$ given by (26).

Differentiating $L(\lambda)$, n times we get

$$\begin{aligned} (d^n/d\lambda^n) L(\lambda) f(x) &= ((-1)^n/2) \left(\frac{1}{(a + b)}\right)^{n+1} \int_x^{\infty} \exp(-\lambda(u - x)/(a + b)) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{(a - b)}\right)^{n+1} \exp(-\lambda(u - x)/(a - b)) (u - x)^n f(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

So the approximating sequence for $\{S(t)\}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(n/t) f(x) &= ((n/t)^{n+1}/2(n!)) \left(\int_x^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(a + b)}\right)^{n+1} \right. \\ &\quad \times \exp(- (n/t) (u - x)/(a + b)) + \left.\left(\frac{1}{(a - b)}\right)^{n+1} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \exp(- (n/t) (u - x)/(a - b))\right) (u - x)^n f(u) du, \\ &\quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t \in R^+, -\infty < x < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2 — In Example 2 of Singh and Buche (1979), $\{S(t); t \in R^+\}$ is defined on $C(R)$ as

$$S(t) f(x) = \exp(-\beta t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ((\alpha t)^{2k}/(2k!)) f(x - 2k\mu)$$

where $\mu > 0$ is some constant. It has been proved that $\{S(t)\}$ is a regular modified exponential-cosine operator function with $\| S(t) \| \leq 1$.

The Laplace transform $L(\lambda)$ of $\{S(t)\}$, for $\lambda > 0$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda) f(x) &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda t) S(t) f(x) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-(\lambda + \alpha) t) \sum_{k=0}^\infty ((\alpha t)^{2k}/(2k!)) f(x - 2k\mu) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the uniform convergence of the series inside the integral, it is term by term integrable.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda) f(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty (\alpha^{2k}/(2k!)) \int_0^\infty t^{2k} \exp(-(\lambda + \alpha) t) f(x - 2k\mu) dt \\ &= (1/(\lambda + \alpha)) \sum_{k=0}^\infty (\alpha/(\lambda + \alpha))^{2k} f(x - 2k\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating $L(\lambda)$ n times, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (d^n/d\lambda^n) L(\lambda) f(x) &= (-1)^n (1/(\lambda + \alpha))^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^\infty ((2k + n)!/(2k!)) (\alpha/(\lambda + \alpha))^{2k} \\ &\quad \times f(x - 2k\mu), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

So the approximating sequence of $\{S(t)\}$ as given by (26) is

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(n/t) f(x) &= (n/(n + \alpha t))^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^\infty ((2k + n)!/n! (2k!)) \\ &\quad \times (\alpha t/(n + \alpha t))^{2k} f(x - 2k\mu). \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

Buche, A. B. (1975). On an exponential-cosine operator-valued functional equation. *Aequationes Math.*, 6, 233-41.
 Doetsh, G. (1930). Hand Buch der Laplace Transformation Band I (Theory der Laplace Transformation). Berkhauser Verlag, Berlin.
 Dunford, N., and Schwartz, J. T. (1958). Linear Operators, Part I. Interscience Pubs., New York.
 Harshinder Singh, and Buche, A. B. (1979). On some analytic properties of the modified exponential-cosine operator. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, 10, 913.
 ————— (1980). On the differential and integral equations associated with the modified exponential-cosine operator function. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, 11, 354-67.
 Hille, E., and Phillips, R. S. (1957). Functional Analysis and Semigroups. Am. Math. Soc., Colloq. Pub. Vol. 31, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I.
 Sova, M. (1968). Cosine operator function. *Rozprawy Math., PWN, Warsaw*, 49.
 Widder, D. V. (1946). The Laplace Transform. Princeton University Press, London.