

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF REGULAR POINTS FOR THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM IN A HARMONIC SPACE

RAJESWARI THYAGARAJAN

*The Ramanujan Institute, University of Madras, Madras 600005*

(Received 7 December 1979)

In a Brelot harmonic space with positive potentials, we obtain the following criterion for a point to be regular for the Dirichlet problem : "For a relatively compact open set  $X$ , a point  $x \in \partial X$  is regular for the Dirichlet problem if and only if  $p_x^*$ , the potential function in the adjoint harmonic sheaf with the point support  $x$  is the limit of an increasing sequence of positive, bounded harmonic functions of the adjoint harmonic sheaf."

Let  $\Omega$  be a harmonic space, that is a locally compact, non-compact, connected and locally connected space, provided with a sheaf of harmonic functions satisfying the axioms 1, 2, 3 of Brelot (1960) with the following additional conditions : (i) the constants are harmonic in  $\Omega$ ; (ii)  $\Omega$  has a countable base; (iii) there exists a base of completely determined domains in  $\Omega$  (Herve 1962, §11); (iv) there exists a potential  $> 0$  in  $\Omega$  and for every  $x \in \Omega$ , the potentials with support  $x$  in  $\Omega$  are proportional; and (v)  $\Omega$  satisfies axiom  $D$ . (Herve 1962, §25).

We note that the adjoint harmonic space associated with  $\Omega$  also satisfies the axiom  $D$ .

In this paper, we obtain a characterization of the regular points for the Dirichlet problem in harmonic spaces, in terms of the quasi boundedness of potentials with point support in the adjoint harmonic space. An analogous result involving Green functions in  $R^n$  has been obtained by Kuran (1979).

We recall that, for a relatively compact open set  $X \subset \Omega$ , a point  $x \in \partial X$  is said to be 'regular', if for every finite continuous function  $f$  on  $\partial X$ , the Dirichlet solution  $H_f^X(y) \rightarrow f(x)$  as  $y \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ .

We also recall that, a positive harmonic function  $h$  in an open set  $X$  is said to be 'quasi-bounded', if there exists an increasing sequence  $\{h_n\}$  of positive, bounded harmonic functions in  $X$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = h$  in  $X$ .

Let  $(w_\bullet, x_0)$  be a fixed pair, where  $w_\bullet$  is a regular domain and  $x_0 \in w_\bullet$ . For a given  $x$ , let  $p_x$  be the potential in  $\Omega$ , with point support  $x$ , such that  $\int p_x dp_{x_0}^{w_\bullet} = 1$ . Let  $p_x^*(y) = p_y(x)$ .

*Lemma 1* — Let  $X$  be a relatively compact open set in  $\Omega$  and  $u$  be a positive superharmonic function in  $\Omega$ , which is quasi-bounded in  $X$ . Then  $u = \bar{H}_u^X$  in  $X$ .

**PROOF :** Since  $u$  is superharmonic in  $\Omega$ ,  $u \geq \bar{H}_u^X$  in  $X$ . There exists an increasing sequence  $\{h_n\}$  of positive, bounded harmonic functions in  $X$ , such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = u$  in  $X$ .

$h_n \leq u$  in  $X$  implies that  $h_n \leq \bar{H}_u^X$ , for all  $n$ , which yields  $u \leq \bar{H}_u^X$  in  $X$ .

We conclude  $u = \bar{H}_u^X$  in  $X$ .

For any two positive superharmonic functions  $u$  and  $v$  in an open set  $X$ , we denote by  $u \wedge v$ , the greatest harmonic minorant of  $\inf(u, v)$  in  $X$ .

*Proposition 2* — Let  $X$  be an open set in  $\Omega$  and  $h$  be a positive harmonic function in  $X$ . Then  $h$  is quasi-bounded in  $X$  if and only if  $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h \wedge n)$ .

**PROOF :** It is obvious that if  $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h \wedge n)$ , then  $h$  is quasi-bounded in  $X$ .

Conversely, assume that  $h$  is quasi-bounded in  $X$ . Then, there exists an increasing sequence  $h_n$  of positive, bounded harmonic functions in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n = h$ . For each  $n$ , there exists an integer  $p_0 > 0$  such that  $h_n \leq h \wedge p$  for all  $p \geq p_0$ , which gives,  $h_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h \wedge n)$ . Hence  $h \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h \wedge n)$ . We conclude  $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h \wedge n)$ .

*Proposition 3* — Let  $X$  be an open set and  $\{D_\alpha\}$  be the family of connected components of  $X$ . Then a positive harmonic function  $h$  is quasi-bounded in  $X$  if and only if it is quasi-bounded in each of the  $D_\alpha$ .

**PROOF :** It is obvious that when  $h$  is quasi-bounded in  $X$ , it is quasi-bounded on each  $D_\alpha$ .

Conversely, assume that  $h$  is quasi-bounded on each  $D_\alpha$ . Then  $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h \wedge n)$  in each  $D_\alpha$ . As  $(h \wedge n)$  on  $D_\alpha = (h \wedge n)$  on  $X$ , on each  $D_\alpha$ , we conclude  $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (h \wedge n)$  on  $X$ .  $h$  is therefore quasi-bounded in  $X$ .

*Theorem 4* — Let  $X$  be a relatively compact open set in  $\Omega$  and  $x \in \partial X$ . Then  $x$  is regular for  $X$  if and only if  $p_x^*$  is quasi-bounded in  $X$ .

PROOF : First assume that  $p_x^*$  is quasi-bounded in  $X$ . Let  $D$  be a connected component of  $X$  with  $x \in \partial D$ . Then  $p_x^*$  is quasi-bounded in  $D$ .

By Lemma 1,  $p_x^* = \bar{H}_{(p_x^*)}^{*X} = \hat{R}_{p_x^*}^{*a-X}$  in  $X$ . As  $R_{p_x^*}^{*a-X} = p_x^*$  in  $\Omega - X$ , we get  $p_x^* = R_{p_x^*}^{*a-X} = \hat{R}_{p_x^*}^{*a-X}$  in  $\Omega$ .

We now prove that  $\lim_{X \ni y \rightarrow x} \bar{H}_{p_z}^{*X}(y) = p_z(x)$  for all  $z \in X$ . For this note,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{X \ni y \rightarrow x} \bar{H}_{p_z}^{*X}(y) &= \liminf_{X \ni y \rightarrow x} R_{p_z}^{*a-X}(y) \geq \hat{R}_{p_z}^{*a-X}(x) \\ &\geq \hat{R}_{p_z^*}^{*a-X}(z) \geq p_z^*(z) \geq p_z(x). \end{aligned}$$

Also,  $\limsup_{X \ni y \rightarrow x} \bar{H}_{p_z}^{*X}(y) \leq \limsup_{X \ni y \rightarrow x} p_z(y) \leq p_z(x)$ .

Hence  $\lim_{X \ni y \rightarrow x} \bar{H}_{p_z}^{*X}(y) = p_z(x)$  for all  $z \in X$ .

Fix now  $z \in D$ . The function  $p_z - \bar{H}_{p_z}^{*X} > 0$  is super-harmonic in  $D$  and

$$\lim_{X \ni y \rightarrow x} (p_z - \bar{H}_{p_z}^{*X})(y) = 0.$$

We conclude by applying Theorem 22 of Brelot (1960) that  $x$  is regular in  $D$  and hence in  $X$ .

Conversely, assume that  $x$  is regular for  $X$ . Then for any superharmonic function  $v \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\hat{R}_v^{*a-X}(x) = v(x)$ . [Corollary to Theorem 19 of Brelot (1960)]. In particular,  $\hat{R}_{p_x^*}^{*a-X}(x) = p_x(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , which gives  $\hat{R}_{p_x^*}^{*a-X}(z) = p_x^*(z)$ . Define  $h_n^* = \inf(p_x^*, n)$ .  $h_n^* \geq 0$  is superharmonic and bounded in the adjoint space. Also  $\{h_n^*\}$  increases. Hence  $\{\bar{H}_{h_n^*}^{*X}\}$  is an increasing sequence of bounded harmonic functions such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{H}_{h_n^*}^{*X} = p_x^*$  in  $X$ , in the adjoint space. We conclude  $p_x^*$  is quasi-bounded in  $X$ .

*Remark*: In the special case of self adjoint harmonic spaces, the above theorem reduces to,  $x$  is regular if and only if  $p_x$  is quasi-bounded in  $X$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to her supervisor, Dr. I. V. Anandam, for his kind encouragement in the preparation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- Brelot, M. (1960). Lectures on potential theory. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay. (re-issued 1967).
- Herve, R. M. (1962). Recherches axiomatiques sur la théorie des fonctions surharmoniques et du potentiel. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **12**, 451-571.
- Kuran, U. (1979). A new criterion of Dirichlet regularity via the quasi-boundedness of the fundamental superharmonic function. *J. Lond. math. Soc.* (2), **19**, 301-11.