

ON FOURIER-JACOBI TRANSFORMATION

M. S. CHAUDHARY AND S. P. THORAT

*Department of Mathematics, Shivaji University, Kolhapur
(Maharashtra State), 416 004*

(Received 6 February 1995; accepted 6 October 1995)

Mizony⁴ has studied Fourier-Jacobi transformation. In this paper we have constructed test spaces $Z_{\alpha, \beta}^a$ $\Psi_{a, \alpha, \beta}$ and the dual spaces. We define the Fourier-Jacobi transformation on the dual space using Parseval relation following the technique of Gel'fand and Shilov³ and study some operation transform formulae related to the Laplace differential operator $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ and its adjoint $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

We recall some results from Mizony⁴.

For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, W_{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt)$, $\text{Re}(\alpha) > -1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then the Fourier-Jacobi transformation of f is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta} [f] (\lambda) = 2^{2\rho + 0.5} [\Gamma(\alpha + 1)]^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} f(t) \phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t) W_{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt \quad \dots (1.1)$$

where

$$w = W_{\alpha, \beta}(t) = \sinh^{(2\alpha+1)}(t) \cdot \cosh^{(2\beta+1)}(t) \quad \dots (1.2)$$

is a measure on \mathbb{R}_+ , related to a pair (α, β) of complex numbers.

For $\alpha \neq -1, -2, -3, \dots$, $\alpha, \beta, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $i\lambda \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t) = {}_2F_1((\rho + i\lambda)/2, (\rho - i\lambda)/2; \alpha + 1; -\sinh^2(t)) \quad \dots (1.3)$$

is the Jacobi function of first kind which is one of the solutions of the differential operator equation

$$(\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} + \lambda^2 + \rho^2) f = 0 \quad \dots (1.4)$$

where the Laplacian operator

$$\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} = w^{-1} DwD = D^2 + (w'/w)D \quad \dots (1.5)$$

with $D \equiv d/dt$ and $\rho = \alpha + \beta + 1$. The particular cases of (1.1) are :

- (i) For $\alpha = \beta = -0.5$, (1.1) is a Fourier transform.
- (ii) When $\alpha = (n-2)/2$, $n \in N^*$, $\beta = -0.5$, (1.1) gives a Fourier-Jacobi Spherical transformation associated with the group $SO_0(n, 1)$.
- (iii) For $\alpha = n-1$, $n \in N^*$, $\beta = 0$ then (1.1) reduces to the Fourier Spherical transformation related to the group $SU(n, 1)$.
- (iv) If $\alpha = 2n - 1$ and $\beta = 1$, we get (1.1) in the form of Fourier Spherical transformation associated with $SP(n, 1)$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$
- (v) For $\alpha = 7$, $\beta = 3$, (1.1) becomes a transformation associated with exceptional group $F4(-20)$.

The classical inversion formula for the Fourier-Jacobi transform⁴ is as below :

When $\text{Re}(\alpha) > -0.5$, $|\text{Re}(\beta)| < \text{Re}(\alpha + 1)$ and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, [C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) C_{\alpha, \beta}(-\lambda)]^{-1} d\lambda)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}[F](t) = f(t) &= \sqrt{2} [\Gamma(\alpha + 1)]^{-1} \\ &\times \int_0^\infty f(\lambda) \phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t) / [C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) C_{\alpha, \beta}(-\lambda)] d\lambda \quad \dots (1.6) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) &= 2^\rho \Gamma(i\lambda/2) \Gamma[(1+i\lambda)/2] \\ &\times \{\Gamma[(\alpha + \beta + 1 + i\lambda)/2] \Gamma[\alpha - \beta + 1 + i\lambda/2]\}^{-1} \quad \dots (1.7) \end{aligned}$$

is Harishchandra function. The Bessel Parseval formula is as below :

When $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\beta| < \alpha + 1$ then the Fourier-Jacobi transformation is an isometric isomorphism from $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, 2^{2\rho} W_{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt)$ on to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, |C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda)$ and for all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, 2^{2\rho} W_{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt)$

$$\int_0^\infty f(t) g(t) 2^{2\rho} W_{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt = \int_0^\infty F(\lambda) G(\lambda) |C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda \quad \dots (1.8)$$

Observe that for $\text{Re}(\alpha) > -1/2$

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\alpha, \beta}(t) &= o(1) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0+ \text{ and } W_{\alpha, \beta}(t) = O(e^{2\rho t}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty \text{ so that} \\ W_{\alpha, \beta}(t) &= o(e^{(2\rho+1)t}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad \dots (1.9) \end{aligned}$$

The differential operator $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^k$ for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ can be written in the form

$$\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^k(\phi) = \sum_{j=1}^{2k} b_j(t) d^j \phi / dt^j \quad \dots (1.10)$$

where $b_{2k} = 1$ and remaining $b_j(t)$ are functions of w'/w and its derivatives. Also $w'/w = O(1)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (1.11)

The result (9) in Erdelyi *et al.*² (p.76) says for the large values of t the function $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t)$ can be put in the form

$$\lambda_1 \sinh^{-\rho - i\lambda}(t) + \lambda_2 \sinh^{-\rho + i\lambda}(t) + O(\sinh t)^{-\rho - i\lambda - 2} + O(\sinh t)^{-\rho + i\lambda - 2}$$

... (1.12)

where λ_1, λ_2 are constants and $i\lambda$ is not an integer. For small values of t , $W_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $\phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t)$ take values close to 0 and 1 respectively.

2. THE SPACES $Z_{\alpha, \beta}^a$ AND $Z'_{\alpha, \beta}$

Let $I = (0, \infty)$ and $-\rho < a < \infty$. $Z_{\alpha, \beta}^a \equiv Z_a$ be a space consisting of complex-valued infinitely differentiable functions $\theta(t)$ on I such that the functionals

$$\eta_k^a(\theta) = \eta_{\alpha, \beta, k}^a(\theta) = \sup_{t \in I} |e^{at} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^k W_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \theta(t)|$$

... (2.1)

for each $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, assume finite values. The topology of Z_a is determined by $\{\eta_k^a\}_{k=0}^\infty$.

Z_a is a countably multinormed space and is complete and hence a Frechet space. Z_a is a testing function space.

The collection Z_a' of continuous linear functionals on Z_a is defined as dual space of Z_a . With the usual addition and multiplication by a complex number Z_a' is a linear space.

Remark : (I) $\mathcal{D}(I) \subset Z_a$. Convergence in $\mathcal{D}(I)$ implies the convergence in Z_a . Restriction of any member of Z_a' to $\mathcal{D}(I)$ is a member of $\mathcal{D}'(I)$. Hence Z_a' is the space of distributions and is complete due to the completeness of Z_a .

(II) $Z_a \subset \mathcal{E}(I)$. Moreover Z_a is dense in $\mathcal{E}(I)$ because $\mathcal{D}(I) \subset Z_a \subset \mathcal{E}(I)$ and $\mathcal{D}(I)$ is dense in $\mathcal{E}(I)$. Topology of Z_a is stronger than the topology induced on it by $\mathcal{E}(I)$. Then every generalized function on I with compact support is in Z_a' .

(III) When $f(t)$ is locally integrable function such that $f(t) [w(t) e^{at}]^{-1}$ is absolutely integrable on I , then $f(t)$ generates a regular member of Z_a' through the definition

$$\langle f, \theta \rangle = \int_0^\infty f(t) \theta(t) dt \quad \theta \in Z_a.$$

3. THE SPACE $\Psi_{\alpha, \beta}$ OF FOURIER-JACOBI TRANSFORMS

Proposition 3.1 — If $-\rho < a < \infty$, α, β real and if $\theta \in Z_a$, then $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta)$ defined by (1.1) exists.

PROOF : For every $\theta \in Z_a$ by (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) &= 2^{2\rho+0.5} [\Gamma(\alpha+1)]^{-1} \int_0^\infty \theta(t) \phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t) W_{\alpha, \beta}(t) dt. \\ |\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta)| &\leq 2^{2\rho+0.5} [\Gamma(\alpha+1)]^{-1} \int_0^\infty |e^{at} w(t) \theta(t)| |\phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t) e^{-at}| dt \\ &\leq 2^{2\rho+0.5} \eta_0^a(\theta) [\Gamma(\alpha+1)]^{-1} \int_0^\infty |\phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t) e^{-at}| dt. \end{aligned}$$

From the order relation (1.12) and since $-\rho < a < \infty$, the integrand $|\phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t) e^{-at}|$ is bounded and so the integral on the right-hand side is finite. Hence $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta)$ exists.

Definition 3.2 — For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha > -1$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we construct a space $\Psi_{\alpha, \alpha, \beta} = \Psi_a$ as below

$$\Psi_a = \{ \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) \mid C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) \}^{-2/\theta} \in Z_a \} \quad \dots (3.1)$$

where $C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda)$ is the Harischandra function defined in (1.7). Ψ_a is a linear space under the usual addition and a multiplication by a complex number.

We define a seminorm on Ψ_a as below :

If $\phi \in \Psi_a$ then

$$\gamma_k^a(\phi) = \gamma_{\alpha, \beta, k}^a(\phi) = \gamma_{\alpha, \beta, k}^a(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) \mid C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) \}^{-2}) = \eta_k^a(\theta) \quad \dots (3.2)$$

for some $\theta \in Z_a$ satisfying $\phi(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) \mid C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) \}^{-2}$.

Remark 3.3 : γ_0^a is a norm on Ψ_a ; so that $\{ \gamma_k^a \}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a countable multinorm on Ψ_a . The countably multinormed space Ψ_a is also complete and hence a Frechet space. Topology of Ψ_a is determined by the multinorm $\{ \gamma_k^a \}_{k=0}^\infty$.

Definition 3.4 — We define the space Z_{*a} as follows :

$$w(t) \theta(t) \in Z_{*a} \text{ if and only if } \theta \in Z_a. \quad \dots (3.3)$$

It is clear that Z_{*a} is a linear space under usual addition and multiplication by a complex number. We define a seminorm η_k^{*a} on Z_{*a} by

$$\eta_k^{*a}(\xi) = \eta_k^a(w^{-1}\xi) = \eta_k^a(\theta) \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad \dots (3.4)$$

where $\xi = w(t)\theta(t) \in Z_{*a}$ and $\theta \in Z_a$.

η_0^{*a} is a norm hence the sequence $\{\eta_k^{*a}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is a countable multinorm on Z_{*a} . Z_{*a} is complete and hence a Frechet space.

PROOF : Z_{*a} is a countable multinormed space. For the completeness consider a Cauchy sequence $\{\xi_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$ in Z_{*a} . Then $\{w^{-1}\xi_v\}_{v=1}^\infty \equiv \{\theta_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$ will be a Cauchy sequence in Z_a which is convergent in it since Z_a is a Frechet space. Using the Definition 3.4 we conclude that $\{\xi_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$ is convergent in Z_{*a} . Q.E.D.

Z_{*a} is a testing function space.

Definition 3.5 — The collection of all linear and continuous functionals on Z_{*a} is defined as the dual space of Z_{*a} and is denoted by Z'_{*a} .

The topology of Z_{*a} is generated by the sequence of multinorm $\{\eta_k^{*a}\}_{k=0}^\infty$. Since Z_{*a} is a testing function space Z'_{*a} is the space of generalized functions and is complete due to the completeness of Z_{*a} .

For any $\xi(t) = w(t)\theta(t) \in Z_{*a}$ with some $\theta(t) \in Z_a$, and for any nonnegative integer r , we set

$$\mu_r^*(\xi) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \eta_k^*(\xi) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \eta_k(w^{-1}\xi) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq r} \eta_k(\theta) = \mu_r(\theta).$$

Then for each $f \in Z'_{*a}$ there exist a constant C and a nonnegative integer r such that

$$|\langle f(t), w(t)\theta(t) \rangle| \leq C \mu_r(\theta).$$

If $f(t)$ is locally and absolutely integrable on I then $f(t)$ generates a regular generalized function in Z'_{*a} by the formula

$$\langle f(t), w(t)\theta(t) \rangle = \int_0^\infty f(t) w(t) \theta(t) dt, \quad \theta \in Z_a.$$

Proposition 3.6 — The mapping $S : \Psi_a \rightarrow Z_{*a}$ defined by

$$S(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) | C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) |^{-2}) = 2^{2\rho} \theta(t) w(t)$$

is an isomorphism.

PROOF : Linearity is obvious. For continuity consider a sequence $\{\phi_v\}_1^\infty$ in Ψ_a which converges to zero. Then for any $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $-\rho < a < \infty$,

$\gamma_k^a(\phi_v) \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on I . That is to say $\gamma_k^a(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) | C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) |^{-2}) \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on I for some sequence $\{\theta_v\}$ of functions in Z_a . With the help of Definition (3.2) of seminorm this is the same thing as to say that $\eta_k^a(\theta_v) \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on I for any $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $-\rho < a < \infty$. Thus $\eta_k^{*a}(w\theta_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The continuity is proved by the Lemma 1.10.2 of Zemanian⁵ (p.27). Also S is one-one and onto. In this way we proved that S is continuous, linear, one-one mapping from Ψ_a on to Z_{*a} . In similar way we can prove that the mapping $S^{-1} : Z_{*a} \rightarrow \Psi_a$ defined by

$$2^{2\rho} w(t) \theta(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) | C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) |^{-2} = \phi(\lambda) \quad \dots (3.5)$$

for $\theta \in Z_a$ and corresponding $\phi \in \Psi_a$, is linear and continuous. For continuity consider a sequence $\{\theta_v\}_1^\infty$ in Z_a which converges to zero. Then for any $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $-\rho < a < \infty$, $\eta_k^a(\theta_v) \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on I . That is $\gamma_k^a(\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) | C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) |^{-2}) \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on I . Same thing is to say that $\gamma_k^a(\theta_v) \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on I , for the corresponding sequence $\{\phi_v\} = \{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta_v) | C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) |^{-2}\}$. This leads us to the conclusion that $\{\phi_v\}_1^\infty$ converges to zero uniformly on I . Hence $S : \Psi_a \rightarrow Z_{*a}$ is an isomorphism.

4. GENERALIZED FOURIER-JACOBI TRANSFORMATION

Definition 4.1 — For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > -1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\theta \in Z_a$, we define a Fourier-Jacobi transform $\mathcal{F}'_{\alpha, \beta}[f]$ of a generalized function $f \in Z'_{*a}$ by a formula

$$\langle \mathcal{F}'_{\alpha, \beta}(f), \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) | C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) |^{-2} \rangle = \langle f, \theta(t) 2^{2\rho} w(t) \rangle. \quad \dots (4.1)$$

Since $\theta \in Z_a$, $2^{2\rho} w(t) \theta(t) \in Z_{*a}$. Therefore since $f \in Z'_{*a}$ the right-hand side of (4.1) has sense. Again since the mapping $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}(\theta) | C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) |^{-2} \rightarrow 2^{2\rho} w(t) \theta(t)$ is an isomorphism from Ψ_a to Z_{*a} , then the mapping of $f \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'_{\alpha, \beta}(f)$ from Z'_{*a} to Ψ'_a is an isomorphism. Our definition of Fourier-Jacobi transform is consistent with the Parseval equation.

Let $\mathcal{F}'_{\alpha, \beta}[f] = g(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}[\theta] = \phi(\lambda)$ then $f(t) = \mathcal{F}'_{\alpha, \beta}{}^{-1}[g]$ and $\phi = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}[\theta]$. In view of this (4.1) becomes

$$\langle \mathcal{F}'_{\alpha, \beta}{}^{-1}[g], \mathcal{F}_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}[\theta] 2^{2\rho} w(t) \rangle = \langle g(\lambda), \phi(\lambda) | C_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda) |^{-2} \rangle. \quad \dots (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.2 — The mapping T defined by $\theta \rightarrow (w^{-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} w)\theta$ is an automorphism of Z_a , where $w = W_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$, $0 < t < \infty$.

PROOF : Let $\theta \in Z_a$. Then $\theta(t)$ is a complex-valued, infinitely differentiable

function, so is $w^{-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} w \theta(t)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_k^a (w^{-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} w \theta) &= \sup_{t \in I} | e^{at} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^k w (w^{-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} w) \theta(t) | \\ &= \sup_{t \in K} | e^{at} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k+1} w \theta(t) | = \eta_{k+1}^a (\theta) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (4.3)$$

which is finite. Thus $(w^{-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} w) \theta \in \mathcal{Z}_a$ whenever $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}_a$. The relation (4.3) establishes that a linear mapping T is continuous on \mathcal{Z}_a . Linearity of T implies if $T(\theta_1) = T(\theta_2)$ then $T(\theta_1 - \theta_2) = 0$. That is $(w^{-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} w) (\theta_1 - \theta_2) = 0$. Which ultimately gives $\theta_1 = \theta_2$.

This proves T is injective also T is onto.

In similar way we can prove that the inverse mapping T^{-1} is continuous and linear. Hence T is an isomorphism from \mathcal{Z}_a on to itself. Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.3 — $\phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) / W_{\alpha, \beta} (t)$ is a member of \mathcal{Z}_a when $a < \rho$.

PROOF : Obviously $\phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) / w$ is infinitely differentiable complex-valued function. For a nonnegative integer k and $a < \rho$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_k^a (\phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) / w) &= \sup_{t \in I} | e^{at} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^k w \phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) / w | \\ &= \sup_{t \in I} | e^{at} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^k \phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) | \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sup_{t \in I} | e^{at} (-1)^k (\lambda^2 + \rho^2)^k \phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) | \\ &= (\lambda^2 + \rho^2)^k \sup_{t \in I} | e^{at} \phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) |. \end{aligned}$$

The order relation (1.12) shows that when $a < \rho$, $\sup_{t \in I} | e^{at} \phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) |$ is bounded. So that $\eta_k^a (\phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) / w)$ is finite; showing further that $\phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) / w$ is a member of \mathcal{Z}_a .

Theorem 4.4 — If $f \in \mathcal{Z}_a$ and $a < \rho$ then for a nonnegative integer k

$$\langle (\Delta'_{\alpha, \beta})^k f, \phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) / w \rangle = (-1)^k (\lambda^2 + \rho^2)^k \langle f, \phi_{\alpha, \beta} (\lambda, t) / w \rangle \quad \dots (4.4)$$

where $\Delta'_{\alpha, \beta}$ is an adjoint operator defined as

$$\langle \Delta'_{\alpha, \beta} f, \phi \rangle = \langle f, (w^{-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} w) \phi \rangle. \quad \dots (4.5)$$

PROOF : Due to Lemma 4.3 the right hand side of (4.4) has sense. Also by Lemma 4.2, $w^{-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} w \theta \in \mathcal{Z}_a$ whenever $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}_a$ which make sense to the right hand side of (4.5). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (\Delta'_{\alpha, \beta}) f, \phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t)/w \rangle &= \langle (\Delta'_{\alpha, \beta})^{k-1} f, (w^{-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} w) (\phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t)/w) \rangle \\
&= \langle (\Delta'_{\alpha, \beta})^{k-1} f, w^{-1} (-1) (\lambda^2 + \rho^2) \phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t) \rangle \\
&= (-1) (\lambda^2 + \rho^2) \langle (\Delta'_{\alpha, \beta})^{k-2} f, (w^{-1} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta} w) \phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t)/w \rangle \\
&= (-1)^2 (\lambda^2 + \rho^2)^2 \langle (\Delta'_{\alpha, \beta})^{k-2} f, w^{-1} \phi_{\alpha, \beta}(\lambda, t) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Proceeding in this way we come to the conclusion (4.4).

Remark 4.5 : The special cases of the results in this paper can be obtained by parameterizing α, β as stated in the introduction part of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. T. M. Apostol, *Mathematical Analysis*, 2nd ed., Addition-Wesley Publishing Co. World Student Series edition, 1977.
2. A. Erdelyi *et al.*, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. I, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 1953.
3. I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions* Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
4. Michel Mizony, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **14** (1983), 987-1003.
5. A. H. Zemanian, *Generalized Integral Transformations*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1968.