

CONVERGENCE AND PERFECT MAPS IN METRIC SPACES

G. L. GARG¹ AND ASHA GOEL²

¹Department of Mathematics, Punjabi University, Patiala 147 002

²Department of Mathematics, SLIET, Longowal 148 106

(Received 15 November 1994; after revision 6 September 1995;
accepted 19 February 1996)

We give characterizations of perfect maps (as well as of continuous maps and compact maps) in metric spaces in terms of convergence of subsequences. As corollaries, we obtain characterizations of compact-preserving injections, closed injections, closed embeddings and homeomorphisms.

Various properties of perfect maps in metric spaces have been studied by Vainstien². A characterization of perfect maps in metric spaces in terms of compact sets has been given by the authors (see Garg and Goel¹), namely; for arbitrary metric spaces X and Y , a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is perfect if and only if it preserves compactness of sets both ways. Also it is well known that in metric spaces a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if and only if $x_n \rightarrow x$ implies $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ for each point x of X . In this note, we give characterizations of perfect maps (as well as of continuous maps and compact maps) in terms of convergence of subsequences.

Throughout, X and Y are arbitrary metric spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is any map, not necessarily continuous or onto unless mentioned explicitly. For a subset A of X , $\text{cl}(A)$ denotes the closure of A in X . A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be compact (compact-preserving) if inverse image (image) of each compact set is compact; f is said to be 'perfect' if it is continuous, closed, and has compact fibers $f^{-1}(y)$, $y \in Y$. f is called a 'local injection' if every $x \in X$ has a neighbourhood N_x such that $(y, z \in N_x \text{ and } y \neq z) \Rightarrow f(y) \neq f(z)$; i.e., f is one-to-one on N_x .

Theorem 1 — For any f , the following are equivalent :

- (i) f is continuous i.e. if $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X , then $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$.
- (ii) If $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X , then the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to $f(x)$.
- (iii) If the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to x in X , then the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to $f(x)$.

PROOF : (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) are obvious. To prove (iii) \Rightarrow (i), suppose f is not continuous at some x . Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence $x_n \rightarrow x$ such

that $d(f(x_n), f(x)) \geq \varepsilon$ for each n , and so no subsequence of $\{f(x_n)\}$ converges to $f(x)$, a contradiction to (iii).

Corollary 1 — A local injection f is continuous if and only if it is compact-preserving.

PROOF : Suppose f is compact-preserving and $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$. Since f is a local injection, we can choose $n_0 \in N$ such that f is one-to-one on $H = \{x_n : n \geq n_0\} \cup \{x\}$. But H is compact and therefore $f(H)$ is compact by hypothesis. Consequently, the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence which converges to some $y \in f(H)$. Suppose $y \neq f(x)$. Then $y = f(z)$ for some $z \in H$, where $z \neq x$, and $d(x, z) = \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $x_n \rightarrow x$, there exists a positive integer $n_1 > n_0$ such that $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_1$. Let $K = \{x_n : n \geq n_1\} \cup \{x\}$. Clearly, $y \in \text{cl } f(K)$. Since K is compact, $f(K)$ is compact and therefore closed. But this is a contradiction, since f is an injection on H , $K \subset H$, $z \in H - K$, and therefore $f(z) = y \in \text{cl } f(K) - f(K)$. Consequently, $y = f(x)$ and hence f is continuous by condition (ii) of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 — For any f , the following are equivalent :

- (i) f is compact.
- (ii) If $f(x_n) \rightarrow y$ in Y , then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to a point in $f^{-1}(y)$. In particular, $f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi$.
- (iii) If the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to y in Y , then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to a point in $f^{-1}(y)$. In particular, $f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi$.

PROOF : (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose f is compact and $f(x_n) \rightarrow y$. Then the set $K = \{f(x_n)\} \cup \{y\}$ is compact implies $f^{-1}(K)$ is compact and contains the sequence $\{x_n\}$. Thus the sequence $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence $\{y_n\}$ converging to some $x \in f^{-1}(K)$. In fact, $y = f(x)$. Otherwise, $d(y, f(x)) = \varepsilon > 0$ and so $f(y_n) \rightarrow y$ implies there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $d(f(y_n), y) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Now the set $H = \{f(y_n) : n \geq n_0\} \cup \{y\}$ is compact, and so f is compact implies $f^{-1}(H)$ is closed, a contradiction, since it is easy to see that $x \in \text{cl } f^{-1}(H) - f^{-1}(H)$. Therefore, $x \in f^{-1}(y)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let B be a compact subset of Y and let $\{x_n\}$ be any sequence in $f^{-1}(B)$. Then $\{f(x_n)\}$, being a sequence in the compact set B , has a subsequence converging to some point, say y in B , and so by hypothesis, there exists a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ converging to a point in $f^{-1}(y)$ and so in $f^{-1}(B)$. Thus $f^{-1}(B)$ is compact and hence f is compact.

Corollary 2 — For any injection (bijection) f , the following are equivalent :

- (i) f is compact.
- (ii) f is closed.

- (iii) If $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to y (respectively $f(x)$) in Y , then $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to $f^{-1}(y)$ (respectively x).

PROOF : (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose f is compact and let F be any closed subset of X such that $y \in \text{cl } f(F) - f(F)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points in F such that $f(x_n) \rightarrow y$. Since f is a compact injection, by condition (ii) of Theorem 2, there exists a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$, converging to the point $x = f^{-1}(y)$. Then $x \in F$ and therefore, $y \in f(F)$ — a contradiction. Hence f must be closed.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose f is closed and $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence $\{f(y_n)\}$ converging to y . If $f(y_n) = y$ for arbitrarily large n , then there exists a subsequence $\{z_n\}$ of $\{y_n\}$ such that $f(z_n) = y$ for all n . Since f is injective, $z_1 = z_n$ for all n and thus the constant sequence $\{z_n\}$ converges to $z_1 = f^{-1}(y)$, the desired conclusion. On the other hand, if there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(y_n) \neq y$ for $n \geq n_0$, consider the subsequence $\{y_n\}$ of $\{x_n\}$. If $\{y_n\}$ has no convergent subsequence, then the set $H = \{y_n : n \geq n_0\}$ is closed. Therefore, the set $f(H)$ is closed — a contradiction, since $y \in \text{cl } f(H) - f(H)$. Thus, $\{y_n\}$ has a subsequence $\{z_n\}$ which converges to some x . If $y \neq f(x)$, then the set $H = \{z_n\} \cup \{x\}$ is compact and so closed implies $f(H)$ is closed, which is a contradiction, since it is easy to see that $y \in \text{cl } f(H) - f(H)$. Therefore, $y = f(x)$ and hence (iii) holds.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Follows from (iii) implies (i) in Theorem 2.

Note 1 : The following Example 1 shows that an arbitrary compact-preserving map may not be continuous and an arbitrary closed map may not be compact, even in compact metric spaces.

Example 1 — Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be defined by $f([0, 1/2)) = \{0\}$ and $f([1/2, 1]) = \{1\}$. Then f is compact-preserving and closed but is neither continuous, nor compact.

Note 2 : The following Example 2 shows that for an injection, the condition $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ implies $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to x may not imply f is compact even in compact metric spaces. However, for any onto map f , this condition implies compactness of f , by Theorem 2 above.

Example 2 — The injection $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 2]$ defined by $f(x) = x$ for $x \in [0, 1/2]$ and $f(x) = 2x$ for $x \in (1/2, 1]$ satisfies even the stronger condition $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ implies $x_n \rightarrow x$, but f is not compact.

Note 3 : Later, we will see in Example 3 below that for a compact map, the condition $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ implies $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to x may not hold, even in compact metric spaces.

Theorem 3 — For any $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X, Y are metric (complete metric) spaces, the following are equivalent :

- (i) f is perfect.
- (ii) If $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X , then $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$; and if $f(x_n) \rightarrow y$ in Y , then $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to a point in $f^{-1}(y)$. In particular, $f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$.
- (iii) If $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to x in X , then $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a

subsequence converging to $f(x)$; and if $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a convergent (Cauchy) subsequence in Y , then $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent (Cauchy) subsequence.

- (iv) If $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent (Cauchy) subsequence in X , then $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a convergent (Cauchy) subsequence; and if $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to y in Y , then $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to a point in $f^{-1}(y)$. In particular, $f^{-1}(y) \neq \phi$.

PROOF : Firstly, suppose (i) holds. Then f is continuous and compact and therefore, by Theorems 1 and 2, condition (ii) and hence conditions (iii) and (iv) follow.

For the converse, assume X, Y are arbitrary metric spaces. It is sufficient to prove that each of the conditions (iii) and (iv) implies (i). Suppose (iii) holds. Then continuity of f follows from Theorem 1. In view of our Theorem in Garg and Goel¹, to prove f is perfect, it is sufficient to prove that f is compact. Let B be a compact subset of Y and $\{x_n\}$ be any sequence in $f^{-1}(B)$. Then $\{f(x_n)\}$, being a sequence in the compact set B , has a convergent subsequence and therefore, by condition (iii), $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence converging to some point (in $f^{-1}(B)$, since the set $f^{-1}(B)$ is closed). Therefore, $f^{-1}(B)$ is compact and hence f is compact.

Next suppose (iv) holds. Then the compactness of f follows from Theorem 2. To prove the continuity of f , suppose $x_n \rightarrow x$. Then, by condition (iv), $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a convergent subsequence converging to y , say, and so $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to a point in $f^{-1}(y)$. Since every subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ converges to x , it follows that $x \in f^{-1}(y)$. Thus $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to $f(x)$ and hence f is continuous by the above Theorem 1.

The parenthesis part is now obvious.

Corollary 3 — For any injection (bijection) $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X, Y are arbitrary metric spaces, the following are equivalent :

- (i) f is a closed embedding (homeomorphism).
- (ii) If $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to x in X , then $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to $f(x)$; and if $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a convergent subsequence in Y , then $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.
- (iii) If $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence in X , then $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a convergent subsequence; and if $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to y (respectively $f(x)$) in Y , then $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to $f^{-1}(y)$ (respectively x).

Corollary 4 — For any injection (bijection) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ where X, Y are complete metric spaces, the following are equivalent :

- (i) f is a closed embedding (homeomorphism).
- (ii) If $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to x in X , then $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to $f(x)$; and if $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a Cauchy subsequence in Y , then $\{x_n\}$ has a Cauchy subsequence.
- (iii) If $\{x_n\}$ has a Cauchy subsequence in X , then $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a Cauchy

subsequence; and if $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a subsequence converging to y (respectively $f(x)$) in Y , then $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to $f^{-1}(y)$ (respectively x).

Note 4 : The following example 3 shows that even for a perfect surjection, the condition $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ implies $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to x may not hold even in compact metric spaces.

Example 3 — For the constant map $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \{0\}$, the sequence $f(1/n) \rightarrow f(x) = 0$, for each x in $[0, 1]$, but the sequence $\{1/n\}$ has no subsequence converging to x for $x \neq 0$.

Note 5 : It is obvious from Theorem 3 that a perfect map satisfies each of the following two conditions.

- (i) $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence implies $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a convergent subsequence.
- (ii) $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a convergent subsequence implies $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

The following Example 4 shows that both these conditions together may not even imply that the map is compact-preserving or compact even in compact metric spaces.

Example 4 — Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be defined by $f(x) = x$ for $x \in (0, 1)$, $f(0) = 1$, and $f(1) = 0$. Then f is a bijection satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) of Note 5, but f is neither compact nor compact-preserving.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the referee for useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. G. L. Garg and Asha Goel, *Soochow J. Math.* **20** (1994), 277-78.
2. I. A. Vainstein, *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, **57** (1947), 319-21.