

CURVATURE THEORIES OF FINSLER SUBSPACES WITH THE STANDPOINT OF SEMI-SYMMETRIC CONNECTIONS

by U. P. SINGH and G. C. CHAUBEY, *Department of Mathematics,
University of Gorakhpur, Gorakhpur 273001*

(Received 3 March 1978)

The properties of semi-symmetric metric connection in Riemannian space have been studied by Yano (1970) and Imai (1972). In a recent paper Singh and Chaubey (1978) have deduced the Gauss-Codazzi equations in a subspace of Finsler space with the standpoint of semi-symmetric intrinsic connections. In this paper we shall study the geometrical properties of equations of Gauss deduced by Singh and Chaubey (1978). Further, we shall derive a condition for a umbilical subspace immersed in a Finsler space of constant curvature to be a space of constant curvature.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose F_n is a n -dimensional Finsler space having $g_{ij}(x, \dot{x})$ as its metric tensor and let $\Gamma_{jk}^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$ (or $P_{jk}^{*i}(x, \dot{x})$) be the connection parameters associated with Rund's process of δ -differentiation (Rund 1959, p. 81). The semi-symmetric connection parameter $\bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x})$ is given by

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{jk}^{*i} + \delta_j^i P_k - g_{jk} P^i \quad \dots(1.1)$$

where $P^i(x, \dot{x})$ is an arbitrary vector field,

$P_k(x, \dot{x}) = g_{ik}(x, \dot{x}) P^i$ and δ_j^i are the Kronecker deltas :

Consider a subspace $F_m : x^i = x^i(u^\alpha)$, ($\alpha = 1, \dots, m ; m < n$) of the space F_n . A semi-symmetric intrinsic connection parameter $\bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ is defined by

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha + \delta_\beta^\alpha P_\gamma - g_{\beta\gamma} P^\alpha \quad \dots(1.2)$$

where $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ is intrinsic connection co-efficient defined by Rund (1965), $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is metric tensor of the subspace and

$$P_\gamma = B_\gamma^k P_k \quad \text{and} \quad P^\alpha = g^{\alpha\gamma} P_\gamma. \quad \dots(1.3)$$

Throughout this paper the vector field P^i will be regarded tangent to the subspace so that the relation

$$P^i = B^i_{\beta} P^{\beta}, \quad (B^i_{\beta} = \partial x^i / \partial u^{\beta})$$

will always hold.

The connection parameters $\bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk}$ and $'\Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta}$ are used in defining the mixed derivative

$$T^i_{\alpha|\beta} = \frac{\partial T^i_{\alpha}}{\partial u^{\beta}} - \frac{\partial T^i_{\alpha}}{\partial u^{\lambda}} \bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\sigma\beta} u^{\sigma} - T^i_{\sigma} '\Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta} + T^j_{\alpha} \bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk} B^k_{\beta}. \quad \dots(1.4)$$

The Cartan's induced and intrinsic connection coefficients $\bar{\Gamma}^{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $'\Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta}$ (Rund 1959, p. 213) are related by

$$' \Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta} = \Lambda^{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta} + \bar{\Gamma}^{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta} \quad \dots(1.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\epsilon\gamma} \Lambda^{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta} &= \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma\beta} = \sum_{\mu} (M_{\beta\gamma} \Omega_{\alpha\sigma} + M_{\alpha\gamma} \Omega_{\beta\sigma} - M_{\alpha\beta} \Omega_{\gamma\sigma}) u^{\sigma} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\mu} (M_{\lambda\alpha} C^{\lambda}_{\beta\gamma} + M_{\lambda\beta} C^{\lambda}_{\alpha\gamma} - M_{\lambda\gamma} C^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}) \Omega_{\sigma\theta} u^{\sigma} u^{\theta}, \\ M_{\alpha\beta} &= M_{ij} B^i_{\alpha} B^j_{\beta}, \quad M_{jk} = C_{ijk} N^i \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1.6)$$

and N_i and $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ are the components of the unit normal vector and the second fundamental tensor of the subspace.

Here

$$k_{n(\mu)}(u, \dot{u}) = \frac{\Omega_{\sigma\lambda}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^{\sigma} \dot{u}^{\lambda}}{g_{\sigma\lambda}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^{\sigma} \dot{u}^{\lambda}} \quad \dots(1.7)$$

represents the normal curvature of F_m in the direction of \dot{u}^{σ} . Rund (1965) has defined following relations :

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad J^i_{\alpha\beta} &= B^i_{\alpha\beta} - B^i_{\sigma} '\Gamma^{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta} + \bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk} B^j_{\alpha} B^k_{\beta} \\ (b) \quad J^i_{\alpha\beta} &= I^i_{\alpha\beta} - B^i_{\sigma} \Lambda^{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1.8)$$

where

$$I^i_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\mu} N^i \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = B^i_{\alpha\beta} - B^i_{\sigma} \bar{\Gamma}^{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} + \bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk} B^j_{\alpha} B^k_{\beta}.$$

The following equation of Gauss has been obtained by Singh and Chaubey (1978) :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{K}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} &= \bar{K}_{ihhk} B_{\alpha}^i B_{\beta}^j B_{\gamma}^h B_{\epsilon}^k + \sum_{\nu} (\Omega_{\alpha\gamma}^{\nu} \Omega_{\beta\epsilon}^{\nu} - \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\nu} \Omega_{\beta\gamma}^{\nu}) \\
 &+ 2 \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} M_{\beta}^{\mu} (\Omega_{\alpha\gamma}^{\nu} \Omega_{\epsilon\sigma}^{\mu} - \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\nu} \Omega_{\gamma\sigma}^{\mu}) \dot{u}^{\sigma} - 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\beta\lambda}^{\nu} P^{\lambda} \\
 &(\Omega_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\nu} g_{\gamma\sigma} - \Omega_{\alpha\gamma}^{\nu} g_{\epsilon\sigma}) \dot{u}^{\sigma} - 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\lambda\beta}^{\nu} (\Lambda_{\sigma\gamma}^{\lambda} \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\nu} - \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^{\lambda} \Omega_{\alpha\gamma}^{\nu}) \dot{u}^{\sigma} \\
 &+ \frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{hk}^i}{\partial \dot{x}^i} B_{\alpha}^h g_{ij} B_{\beta}^j \dot{u}^{\sigma} [\sum_{\nu} (\Omega_{\sigma\epsilon}^{\nu} B_{\gamma}^k - \Omega_{\sigma\gamma}^{\nu} B_{\epsilon}^k) \frac{N^i}{\nu} \\
 &\quad - B_{\lambda}^i (\Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^{\lambda} B_{\gamma}^k - \Lambda_{\sigma\gamma}^{\lambda} B_{\epsilon}^k)] \\
 &+ (\Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon} P_{\gamma} - \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\gamma} P_{\epsilon}) + (\Lambda_{\lambda\beta\gamma} \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\lambda} - \Lambda_{\lambda\beta\epsilon} \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma}^{\lambda}) \\
 &\quad - g_{\delta\beta} (\Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon\lambda\gamma}^{\delta} - \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma\lambda\epsilon}^{\delta}) \dots(1.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_{\beta}^{\mu} = M_{\beta\mu} B_{\beta}^j \frac{N^i}{\nu}$$

and $\bar{K}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon}$ and \bar{K}_{ihhk} are the curvature tensors of F_m and F_n respectively.

2. RIEMANNIAN CURVATURE OF A SUBSPACE

Consider a 2-direction given by the pair of vectors

$$\dot{x}^i = B_{\alpha}^i \dot{u}^{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad X^i = B_{\alpha}^i U^{\alpha} \dots(2.1)$$

at a point P of the subspace F_m . For this 2-direction the Riemannian curvatures corresponding to semi-symmetric connection parameters $\bar{\Gamma}_{hk}^i$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}$ of F_n and F_m are given by

$$\bar{K}(x, \dot{x}, X) = \frac{\bar{K}_{ihhk}(x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^h X^j X^k}{[g_{ih}(x, \dot{x}) g_{jk}(x, \dot{x}) - g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) g_{hk}(x, \dot{x})] \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^h X^j X^k} \dots(2.2)$$

$$\bar{K}(u, \dot{u}, U) = \frac{\bar{K}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} U^{\beta} U^{\epsilon}}{[g_{\alpha\gamma}(u, \dot{u}) g_{\beta\epsilon}(u, \dot{u}) - g_{\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) g_{\gamma\epsilon}(u, \dot{u})] \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} U^{\beta} U^{\epsilon}} \dots(2.3)$$

Multiplying (1.9) by $\dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma}$, putting $F^2(u, \dot{u}) = g_{\alpha\gamma}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma}$ and using the relation (1.7) and the facts

$$(a) \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma}^{\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} = 0, (b) \dot{u}_{\beta}^{\alpha} = 0 \text{ and } (c) g_{\alpha\beta|\gamma} = 0 \quad \dots(2.4)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} &= \bar{K}_{i\dot{h}k} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^h B_{\beta}^j B_{\epsilon}^k \\ &+ \sum_{\nu} (k_n F^2 \Omega_{\beta\epsilon} - \Omega_{\beta\gamma} \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma}) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\lambda\beta} \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^{\lambda} k_n F^2 \dot{u}^{\sigma} + 2 \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} M_{\beta} \\ &\quad (k_n \Omega_{\epsilon\sigma} \dot{u}^{\sigma} - k_n \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha}) F^2 - 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\beta\lambda} P^{\lambda} \\ &\quad (\Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha} - k_n g_{\epsilon\sigma} \dot{u}^{\sigma}) F^2 + \frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{hk}^i}{\partial \dot{x}^i} \dot{u}^{\sigma} \dot{x}^h g_{i\sigma} B_{\beta}^j \\ &\quad [\sum_{\nu} (\Omega_{\sigma\epsilon} \dot{x}^k - \Omega_{\sigma\gamma} B_{\epsilon}^k \dot{u}^{\gamma}) N^i - B_{\lambda}^i \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^{\lambda} \dot{x}^k] \\ &+ \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon} P_{\gamma} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} + \Lambda_{\lambda\beta\gamma} \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\lambda} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} - \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon|\gamma} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.5)$$

Now using the relations (Singh 1978)

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{hk}^i}{\partial \dot{x}^i} \dot{x}^h \dot{x}^k = 0 \quad \dots(2.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{hk}^i}{\partial \dot{x}^i} \dot{x}^h (g_{i\sigma} B_{\beta}^j B_{\epsilon}^k) k_n F^2 N^i &= C_{ki|\sigma}^i \dot{x}^{\sigma} g_{i\sigma} B_{\beta}^j B_{\epsilon}^k k_n F^2 N^i \\ &+ 2M_{\epsilon\beta} g_{\beta\sigma} P^{\sigma} \dot{u}^{\sigma} k_n F^2 \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.7)$$

in (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} &= \bar{K}_{i\dot{h}k} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^h B_{\beta}^j B_{\epsilon}^k + \sum_{\nu} (k_n F^2 \Omega_{\beta\epsilon} - \Omega_{\beta\gamma} \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma}) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\lambda\beta} \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^{\lambda} k_n F^2 \dot{u}^{\sigma} + 2 \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} M_{\beta} (k_n \Omega_{\epsilon\sigma} \dot{u}^{\sigma} \\ &- k_n \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha}) F^2 - 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\beta\lambda} P^{\lambda} (\Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha} - k_n g_{\epsilon\sigma} \dot{u}^{\sigma}) F^2 - \end{aligned}$$

(equation continued on p. 116)

*The symbol ‘|’ stands for Cartan’s process of covariant differentiation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{\nu} C_{kl}^i \dot{x}^r g_{ij} B_{\beta}^j B_{\epsilon}^k k_n F^2 N^l - 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\epsilon\theta} g_{\beta\sigma} P^{\theta} \dot{u}^{\sigma} k_n F^2 \\
 & + \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon} P_{\gamma} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} + \Lambda_{\lambda\beta\gamma} \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\lambda} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} - \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\gamma} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma}. \quad \dots(2.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (2.8) by $U^{\beta} U^{\epsilon}$, dividing the resulting equation by

$$(g_{ih} g_{jk} - g_{ij} g_{hk}) \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^h X^j X^k = 1 - (g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^{\alpha} U^{\beta})^2$$

and using (2.2), (2.3) and normalising condition

$$F^2(x, \dot{x}) = g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j = 1$$

$$g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) X^i X^j = 1$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{K} &= \bar{K} + \frac{\sum_{\nu} k_n \bar{k}_n}{1 - (g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^{\alpha} U^{\beta})^2} - \frac{\sum_{\nu} (\Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha} U^{\epsilon})^2}{1 - (g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^{\alpha} U^{\beta})^2} \\
 &+ \frac{2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\lambda\beta} \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^{\lambda} k_n \dot{u}^{\sigma} U^{\beta} U^{\epsilon}}{1 - (g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^{\alpha} U^{\beta})^2} \\
 &+ \frac{2 \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} M_{\beta} (k_n \Omega_{\epsilon\sigma} \dot{u}^{\sigma} - k_n \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha}) U^{\beta} U^{\epsilon}}{1 - (g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^{\alpha} U^{\beta})^2} \\
 &- \frac{2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\lambda\beta} P^{\lambda} U^{\beta} U^{\epsilon} (\Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} \dot{u}^{\alpha} - k_n g_{\epsilon\sigma} \dot{u}^{\sigma})}{1 - (g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^{\alpha} U^{\beta})^2} \\
 &- \frac{\sum_{\nu} C_{kl}^i \dot{x}^r g_{ij} X^j X^k k_n N^l}{1 - (g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^{\alpha} U^{\beta})^2} \\
 &- \frac{2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\epsilon\theta} g_{\beta\sigma} P^{\theta} \dot{u}^{\sigma} U^{\beta} U^{\epsilon} k_n}{1 - (g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^{\alpha} U^{\beta})^2} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{[1 - (g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^{\alpha} U^{\beta})^2]} \left\{ \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon} P_{\gamma} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} - \Lambda_{\lambda\beta\gamma} \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\lambda} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} \right. \\
 &\left. - \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\gamma} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\gamma} \right\} U^{\beta} U^{\epsilon} \quad \dots(2.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{k}_n = \frac{\Omega_{\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) U^{\alpha} U^{\beta}}{(\nu)} \quad \dots(2.10)$$

This equation represents a relation between the two Riemannian curvatures \bar{K} and \bar{K} .

Now it is assumed that \dot{u}^α is a principal direction relative to all normals N^i and U^α is conjugate with respect to \dot{u}^α . This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^\alpha &= k_n g_{\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^\alpha, \\ \Omega_{\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^\alpha U^\beta &= 0 \text{ and } g_{\alpha\beta}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^\alpha U^\beta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The eqn. (2.9) will now reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K} &= \bar{K} + \sum_{\nu} k_n \bar{k}_n - C^i{}_{klr} x^\gamma g_{ij} X^j X^k \sum_{\nu} k_n N^l \\ &+ 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\lambda\beta} \Lambda_{\sigma\varepsilon}^\lambda k_n \dot{u}^\sigma U^\beta U^\varepsilon \\ &+ [\Lambda_{\alpha\beta\varepsilon} P_\gamma + \Lambda_{\lambda\beta\gamma} \Lambda_{\alpha\varepsilon}^\lambda - \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\varepsilon\gamma}] \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma U^\beta U^\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.11)$$

Further, if F_n is affinely connected then $C^i{}_{klr} = 0$ and the above equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K} - \bar{K} &= \sum_{\nu} k_n \bar{k}_n + 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\lambda\beta} \Lambda_{\sigma\varepsilon}^\lambda k_n \dot{u}^\sigma U^\beta U^\varepsilon \\ &+ [\Lambda_{\alpha\beta\varepsilon} P_\gamma + \Lambda_{\lambda\beta\gamma} \Lambda_{\alpha\varepsilon}^\lambda - \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\varepsilon\gamma}] \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma U^\beta U^\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.12)$$

This proves the following :

Theorem 2.1 — The difference between Riemannian curvatures $\bar{K}(u, \dot{u}, U)$ and $\bar{K}(x, \dot{x}, X)$ at a point P of subspace F_m of an affinely connected Finsler space F_n , with respect to a 2-direction determined by a principal direction \dot{u}^α and its conjugate U^α is given by the relation (2.12).

3. RICCI TENSORS

Multiplying the eqn. (1.9) by $g^{\lambda\beta}$, using relations

$$\bar{K}_{\alpha\gamma\varepsilon}^\lambda = g^{\lambda\beta} \bar{K}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\varepsilon}, \bar{K}_{i\dot{h}k}^l = g^{lj} \bar{K}_{ijhk} \text{ and } g^{\lambda\beta} B_\beta^j = g^{lj} B_l^\lambda$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}_{\alpha\gamma\varepsilon}^\lambda &= \bar{K}_{i\dot{h}k}^l B_l^\lambda B_\gamma^h B_\alpha^i B_\varepsilon^k + g^{\lambda\beta} \sum_{\nu} (\Omega_{\alpha\gamma} \Omega_{\beta\varepsilon} - \Omega_{\alpha\varepsilon} \Omega_{\beta\gamma}) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} M^\lambda (\Omega_{\alpha\gamma} \Omega_{\sigma\varepsilon} - \Omega_{\alpha\varepsilon} \Omega_{\gamma\sigma}) \dot{u}^\sigma - \end{aligned}$$

(equation continued on p. 118)

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\theta}^{\lambda} (\Lambda_{\sigma\gamma}^{\theta} \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} - \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^{\theta} \Omega_{\alpha\gamma}) \dot{u}^{\sigma} - 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\theta}^{\lambda} P_{\theta}^{\sigma} \\
& (\Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} g_{\gamma\sigma} - \Omega_{\alpha\gamma} g_{\epsilon\sigma}) \dot{u}^{\sigma} + \frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{hk}^i}{\partial \dot{x}^i} B_{\alpha}^h B_i^{\lambda} \\
& [\sum_{\nu} (\Omega_{\sigma\epsilon} B_{\gamma}^k - \Omega_{\sigma\gamma} B_{\epsilon}^k) N^l - B_{\theta}^l (\Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^{\theta} B_{\gamma}^k - \Lambda_{\sigma\gamma}^{\theta} B_{\epsilon}^k)] \dot{u}^{\sigma} \\
& + (\Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\lambda} P_{\gamma} - \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma}^{\lambda} P_{\epsilon}) + (\Lambda_{\theta\gamma}^{\lambda} \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\theta} - \Lambda_{\theta\epsilon}^{\lambda} \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma}^{\theta}) \\
& - (\Lambda_{\alpha\gamma i\epsilon}^{\lambda} - \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon i\gamma}^{\lambda}). \quad \dots(3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Contracting λ and ϵ in above and using identities

$$B_i^{\epsilon} B_{\epsilon}^k = \delta_i^k - \sum_{\nu} N^k N_l, \quad M_{\theta}^{\epsilon} g_{\epsilon\sigma} \dot{u}^{\sigma} = 0$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{K}_{\alpha\gamma} &= (\bar{K}_{ih} - \sum_{\nu} \bar{K}_{ihk}^l N^k N_l) B_{\alpha}^i B_{\gamma}^h + \sum_{\nu} (M \Omega_{\alpha\gamma} - \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} g^{\beta\epsilon} \Omega_{\beta\gamma}) \\
& + 2 \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\mu} M_{\nu}^{\epsilon} (\Omega_{\alpha\gamma} \Omega_{\sigma\epsilon} - \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} \Omega_{\gamma\sigma}) \dot{u}^{\sigma} - 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\theta}^{\epsilon} \\
& (\Lambda_{\sigma\gamma}^{\theta} \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} - \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^{\theta} \Omega_{\alpha\gamma}) \dot{u}^{\sigma} - 2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\theta}^{\epsilon} P_{\theta}^{\sigma} \Omega_{\alpha\epsilon} g_{\gamma\sigma} \dot{u}^{\sigma} \\
& + \frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{hk}^i}{\partial \dot{x}^i} B_{\alpha}^h B_i^{\epsilon} [\sum_{\nu} (\Omega_{\sigma\epsilon} B_{\gamma}^k - \Omega_{\sigma\gamma} B_{\epsilon}^k) N^l - B_{\theta}^l (\Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^{\theta} B_{\gamma}^k \\
& - \Lambda_{\sigma\gamma}^{\theta} B_{\epsilon}^k)] \dot{u}^{\sigma} + (\Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\epsilon} P_{\gamma} - \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma}^{\epsilon} P_{\epsilon}) + (\Lambda_{\theta\gamma}^{\epsilon} \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\theta} - \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \Lambda_{\theta\gamma}^{\theta}) \\
& + (\Lambda_{\alpha\gamma i\epsilon}^{\epsilon} - \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon i\gamma}^{\epsilon}) \quad \dots(3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = g^{\beta\epsilon} \Omega_{\beta\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{K}_{\alpha\gamma} = \bar{K}_{\alpha\gamma\epsilon}^{\epsilon}, \quad \bar{K}_{ih} = \bar{K}_{ihk}^k$$

are Ricci tensors of F_m and F_n corresponding to curvature tensors $\bar{K}_{\alpha\beta\epsilon}^{\lambda}$ and \bar{K}_{ihk}^l respectively.

If \dot{u}^{α} is a principal direction relative to all the normals of the subspace, then

$$\Omega_{\alpha\epsilon}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^{\epsilon} = k_n g_{\alpha\epsilon}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^{\epsilon} \quad \dots(3.3)$$

and

$$(a) \quad M^\varepsilon_{(\nu, \mu)} \Omega_{\alpha\varepsilon} \dot{u}^\alpha = 0, \quad (b) \quad B_i^\varepsilon \Omega_{\varepsilon\sigma} \dot{u}^\sigma = k_n g_{\alpha i} \dot{x}^\alpha \quad \dots(3.4)$$

Also we have

$$g^{\beta\varepsilon} \Omega_{\alpha\varepsilon} \Omega_{(\nu)\beta\gamma} \dot{u}^\alpha = k^2 g_{\alpha\gamma} \dot{u}^\alpha, \quad M_\theta^\varepsilon \Omega_{\alpha\varepsilon} \dot{u}^\alpha = 0 \quad \dots(3.5)$$

Multiplying eqn. (3.2) by \dot{u}^α and using (3.3), (3.4a), (3.5) and the relations (Singh 1978)

$$\begin{aligned} B_i^\varepsilon \frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{hk}^i}{\partial \dot{x}^l} \dot{x}^h \sum_{\nu} (\Omega_{\varepsilon\sigma} B_\gamma^k - \Omega_{\sigma\gamma} B_\varepsilon^k) N^l \dot{u}^\sigma \\ = - C_{kl|r}^i \dot{x}^r g_{\sigma\gamma} \dot{u}^\sigma B_\alpha^k B_i^\varepsilon \sum_{\nu} k_n N^l + 2F^2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\theta\gamma} P^\theta k_n \quad \dots(3.6) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}_{\alpha\gamma} \dot{u}^\alpha &= (\bar{K}_{ih} \dot{x}^h - \sum_{\nu} \bar{K}_{ihk}^l \dot{x}^i N^k N_l) B_\gamma^h + \sum_{\nu} g_{\alpha\gamma}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^\alpha k_n \\ &\quad (M_{(\nu)} - k_n - C_{kl|r}^i \dot{x}^r N^l B_\alpha^k B_i^\varepsilon) + 2F^2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\theta\gamma} P^\theta k_n \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{\nu} M_\theta^\varepsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\varepsilon}^\theta k_n g_{\alpha\gamma} \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\sigma - \frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{hk}^i}{\partial \dot{x}^l} B_i^\varepsilon B_\alpha^h \dot{u}^\alpha B_\theta^l \\ &\quad (\Lambda_{\sigma\varepsilon}^\theta B_\gamma^k - \Lambda_{\sigma\gamma}^\theta B_\varepsilon^k) \dot{u}^\sigma + (\Lambda_{\alpha\varepsilon}^\varepsilon P_\gamma - \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma}^\varepsilon P_\varepsilon) \dot{u}^\alpha \\ &\quad + (\Lambda_{\theta\gamma}^\varepsilon \Lambda_{\alpha\varepsilon}^\theta - \Lambda_{\theta\varepsilon}^\varepsilon \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma}^\theta) \dot{u}^\alpha + (\Lambda_{\alpha\gamma l\varepsilon}^\varepsilon - \Lambda_{\alpha\varepsilon l\gamma}^\varepsilon) \dot{u}^\alpha. \quad \dots(3.7) \end{aligned}$$

In analogy with the definition given in Rund (1959, p. 133), we shall call F_n to be a space of constant curvature with respect to \bar{K}_{ihk}^l provided that

$$\bar{K}_{ihk}^l \dot{x}^i = \bar{K} (g_{ih} \delta_k^l - g_{ik} \delta_h^l) \dot{x}^i \quad \dots(3.8)$$

where \bar{K} is a constant. This equation yields

$$\bar{K}_{ih} \dot{x}^i = \bar{K}(n - 1) g_{ih} B_\alpha^i \dot{u}^\alpha \quad \dots(3.9)$$

and

$$\sum_{\nu} \bar{K}_{ihk}^l \dot{x}^i N^k N_l = \bar{K}(n - m) g_{ih} \dot{x}^i = \bar{K}(n - m) g_{ih} B_\alpha^i \dot{u}^\alpha. \quad \dots(3.10)$$

After substituting these values in (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}_{\alpha\gamma} \dot{u}^\alpha &= \omega g_{\alpha\gamma} \dot{u}^\alpha + 2F^2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\theta\gamma} P^\theta k_n - \frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{hk}^i}{\partial \dot{x}^i} B_i^\epsilon B_\alpha^h \dot{u}^\alpha B_\theta^i \\ &\quad (\Lambda_{\sigma\theta}^\theta B_\gamma^k - \Lambda_{\sigma\gamma}^\theta B_\theta^k) \dot{u}^\sigma + (\Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^\epsilon P_\gamma - \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma}^\epsilon P_\epsilon) \dot{u}^\alpha \\ &\quad + (\Lambda_{\theta\gamma}^\theta \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^\theta - \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^\theta \Lambda_{\theta\gamma}^\theta) \dot{u}^\alpha + (\Lambda_{\alpha\gamma i\epsilon}^\epsilon - \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma i\epsilon}^\theta) \dot{u}^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.11)$$

where

$$\omega = \bar{K}(m-1) + \sum_{\nu} k_n (M - k_n - M_{\theta}^\epsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\theta \dot{u}^\sigma - C_{kl|h}^i \dot{x}^h N^l B_i^\epsilon B_\epsilon^k). \quad \dots(3.12)$$

The direction \dot{u}^α will be called Ricci principal direction of the subspace with respect to the semi-symmetric intrinsic connection parameter if

$$\bar{K}_{\alpha\gamma}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^\alpha = \omega g_{\alpha\gamma}(u, \dot{u}) \dot{u}^\alpha$$

ω being a scalar.

Equation (3.11) proves the following :

Theorem 3.1 — The necessary and sufficient condition that a principal direction \dot{u}^α of the subspace F_m ($m > 1$) imbedded in a Finsler space F_n of constant curvature \bar{K} be a Ricci principal direction with the associated scalar

$$\omega = \bar{K}(m-1) + \sum_{\nu} k_n (M - k_n - M_{\theta}^\epsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\theta \dot{u}^\sigma - C_{kl|h}^i \dot{x}^h N^l B_i^\epsilon B_\epsilon^k)$$

is that

$$\begin{aligned} &2F^2 \sum_{\nu} M_{\theta\gamma} P^\theta k_n - \frac{\partial \bar{\Gamma}_{hk}^i}{\partial \dot{x}^i} B_i^\epsilon B_\alpha^h \dot{u}^\alpha B_\theta^i (\Lambda_{\sigma\theta}^\theta B_\gamma^k - \Lambda_{\sigma\gamma}^\theta B_\theta^k) \dot{u}^\sigma \\ &\quad + (\Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^\epsilon P_\gamma - \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma}^\epsilon P_\epsilon) \dot{u}^\alpha + (\Lambda_{\theta\sigma}^\theta \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^\theta - \Lambda_{\theta\epsilon}^\theta \Lambda_{\alpha\gamma}^\theta) \dot{u}^\alpha \\ &\quad + (\Lambda_{\alpha\gamma i\epsilon}^\epsilon - \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon i\gamma}^\theta) \dot{u}^\alpha = 0. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.13)$$

If the space F_n is affinely connected then $C_{kl|r}^i = 0$ and the above theorem reduces to :

Theorem 3.2 — The necessary and sufficient condition that a principal direction \dot{u}^α of the subspace F_m ($m > 1$) imbedded in an affinely connected Finsler space F_n of constant curvature \bar{K} be a Ricci principal direction with the associated scalar

$$\alpha_1 = \bar{K}(m - 1) + \sum_{\nu} k_n \binom{M}{\nu} - k_n \binom{M}{\nu} - M_{\nu}^{\sigma} \Lambda_{\sigma}^{\nu} \dot{u}^{\sigma}$$

is that eqn. (3.13) holds.

4. UMBILICAL SUBSPACES

An umbilical subspace is characterised by

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} \binom{\mu}{\mu} = k_n \binom{\mu}{\mu} g_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{4.1}$$

This equation gives

$$\frac{\partial \binom{\mu}{\mu} \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \dot{u}^{\lambda}} \dot{u}^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \binom{\mu}{\nu} k_n}{\partial \dot{u}^{\lambda}} g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \binom{\mu}{\mu} \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \dot{u}^{\lambda}} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \dot{u}^{\beta} = \frac{\partial \binom{\mu}{\mu} k_n}{\partial \dot{u}^{\lambda}} F^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Now relations (1.8a) and (1.8b) give

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} \binom{\mu}{\mu} = N_i \binom{i}{\mu} J_{\alpha\beta}^i = N_i \binom{i}{\mu} (B_{\alpha\beta}^i - B_{\sigma}^i \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\sigma} + \Gamma_{\lambda k}^i B_{\alpha}^{\lambda} B_{\beta}^k). \tag{4.4}$$

Differentiating (4.4) with respect to \dot{u}^{λ} and using relations (1.8b) and (Rund 1959, p. 81)

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma_{hk}^i}{\partial \dot{x}^l} \dot{x}^h = C_{klr}^i \dot{x}^r$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \binom{\mu}{\mu} \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \dot{u}^{\lambda}} \dot{u}^{\alpha} &= \frac{\partial \binom{\mu}{\mu} N_i}{\partial \dot{u}^{\lambda}} \sum_{\nu} \Omega_{\alpha\beta} N^i \binom{\nu}{\nu} \dot{u}^{\alpha} - \frac{\partial \binom{\mu}{\mu} N_i}{\partial \dot{u}^{\lambda}} B_{\sigma}^i \Lambda_{\alpha\beta}^{\sigma} \dot{u}^{\alpha} \\ &+ N_i \binom{i}{\mu} C_{klr}^i \dot{x}^h B_{\lambda}^i B_{\beta}^k \end{aligned}$$

which in view of the fact $\frac{\partial \binom{\mu}{\mu} N_i}{\partial \dot{u}^{\lambda}} B_{\sigma}^i = 0$ gives

$$\frac{\partial \binom{\mu}{\mu} \Omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \dot{u}^{\lambda}} \dot{u}^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial \binom{\mu}{\mu} N_i}{\partial \dot{u}^{\lambda}} \sum_{\nu} \Omega_{\alpha\beta} N^i \binom{\nu}{\nu} \dot{u}^{\alpha} + N_i \binom{i}{\mu} C_{klr}^i \dot{x}^h B_{\lambda}^i B_{\beta}^k. \tag{4.5}$$

Multiplying (4.5) by \dot{u}^β and simplifying the resulting equation with the help of identities

$$C_{kl|h}^i \dot{x}^h \dot{x}^k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \underset{(v)}{\Omega_{\alpha\beta}} \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\beta = k_n F^2 \underset{(v)}$$

we get

$$\frac{\partial \underset{(\mu)}{\Omega_{\alpha\beta}}}{\partial \dot{u}^\lambda} \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\beta = \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial \dot{u}^\lambda} \underset{(v)}{\Sigma} F^2 k_n \underset{(v)}{N^i}. \quad \dots(4.6)$$

A comparison of (4.3) and (4.6) will give

$$\underset{(v)}{\Sigma} \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial \dot{u}^\lambda} k_n \underset{(v)}{N^i} = \frac{\partial k_n}{\partial \dot{u}^\lambda}. \quad \dots(4.7)$$

The substitution from (4.1) and (4.7) in (4.5) gives

$$\frac{\partial \underset{(\mu)}{\Omega_{\alpha\beta}}}{\partial \dot{u}^\lambda} \dot{u}^\alpha = \frac{\partial k_n}{\partial \dot{u}^\lambda} g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^\alpha + N_i C_{kl|h}^i \dot{x}^h B_\lambda^l B_\beta^k$$

which after comparison with (4.2) gives

$$\underset{(\mu)}{N_i} C_{kl|h}^i \dot{x}^h B_\lambda^l B_\beta^k = 0. \quad \dots(4.8)$$

On the other hand a direct calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ij} B_\beta^j B_\epsilon^k C_{kl|h}^i \dot{x}^h N^l &= C_{kjl|h} \dot{x}^h N^l B_\beta^j B_\epsilon^k \\ &= \underset{(\mu)}{N_i} C_{kl|h}^i \dot{x}^h B_\beta^l B_\epsilon^k. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.9)$$

Substituting (4.1), (4.8) and (4.9) in (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma &= \bar{K}_{ijhk} \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^h B_\beta^j B_\epsilon^k + \underset{(v)}{\Sigma} k_n^2 (F^2 g_{\beta\epsilon} - g_{\alpha\epsilon} g_{\beta\gamma} \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma) \\ &\quad + 2 \underset{(v)}{\Sigma} M_{\lambda\beta} \underset{(v)}{\Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\lambda} k_n F^2 \dot{u}^\sigma - 2 \underset{(v)}{\Sigma} M_{\epsilon\theta} g_{\beta\sigma} P^\theta \dot{u}^\sigma k_n F^2 \\ &\quad + \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon} P_\gamma \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma + \Lambda_{\lambda\beta\gamma} \underset{(v)}{\Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^\lambda} \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma - \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\gamma} \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.10)$$

Suppose in particular that F_n is a space of scalar curvature with respect to \bar{K}_{ihk}^i . This space is characterized by (Rund 1959, p. 133)

$$\bar{K}_{ijhk}^i \dot{x}^j \dot{x}^h = \bar{K}[F^2 g_{jk} - (g_{ij} \dot{x}^i) (g_{hk} \dot{x}^k)]. \quad \dots(4.11)$$

A simplification based on (4.11) and (4.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\epsilon} \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma &= (\bar{K} + \sum_{\nu} k_n^2) [F^2 g_{\beta\epsilon} - (g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{u}^\alpha) (g_{\gamma\epsilon} \dot{u}^\gamma)] \\ &+ 2\sum_{\nu} M_{\lambda\beta} \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\lambda k_n F^2 \dot{u}^\sigma - 2\sum_{\nu} M_{\epsilon\theta} g_{\beta\sigma} P^\theta \dot{u}^\sigma k_n F^2 \\ &+ \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon} P_\gamma \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma + \Lambda_{\lambda\beta\gamma} \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^\lambda \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma - \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\gamma} \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.12)$$

which proves the following :

Theorem 4.1 — If a Finsler space of scalar curvature \bar{K} admits an umbilical subspace F_n then the necessary and sufficient condition that the latter is a space of scalar curvature $(\bar{K} + \sum_{\nu} k_n^2)$ is that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sum_{\nu} M_{\lambda\beta} \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\lambda k_n F^2 \dot{u}^\sigma - 2\sum_{\nu} M_{\epsilon\theta} g_{\beta\sigma} P^\theta \dot{u}^\sigma k_n F^2 + \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon} P_\gamma \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma \\ + \Lambda_{\lambda\beta\gamma} \Lambda_{\alpha\epsilon}^\lambda \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma - \Lambda_{\alpha\beta\epsilon\gamma} \dot{u}^\alpha \dot{u}^\gamma = 0. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.13)$$

REFERENCES

Imai, T. (1972). Notes on semi-symmetric metric connections. *Tensor, N.S.*, **24**, 293-96.
 Rund, H. (1959). *The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces*. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
 —————(1965). The intrinsic and induced curvature theories of subspaces of a Finsler space. *Tensor, N.S.*, **16**, 294-312.
 Singh, U. P. (1978). On semi-symmetric connection parameters in Finsler subspaces. (*To appear*).
 Singh, U. P., and Chaubey, G. C. (1978). On semi-symmetric intrinsic connection parameter in Finsler subspaces. (*To appear*).
 Yano, K. (1970). On semi-symmetric connections. *Rev. Roumaine Math. pure applic.*, **15**, 1579-81.