

## ON GENERALIZATION OF BANACH CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE

HAIMABATI CHATTERJEE

100 Kalibazar, P.O. & Dist. Burdwan, West Bengal 713101

(Received 12 July 1978; after revision 15 October 1978)

Fixed points theorems for mappings of Dass and Gupta (1975) have been obtained in arbitrary topological spaces.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called a contraction mapping if there exists a positive real constant  $\alpha < 1$  such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

By the well-known Banach's contraction principle every contraction mapping of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself has a unique fixed point.

Recently Dass and Gupta (1975) generalized Banach's contraction principle for mappings  $T$  satisfying

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(y, Ty) \frac{[1 + d(x, Tx)]}{1 + d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y) \quad \dots(1)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and for  $\alpha, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$ .

The object of this note is to prove some fixed point theorems in arbitrary topological spaces. We prove the following theorems.

*Theorem 1* — Let  $T$  be a continuous mapping of a Hausdorff space  $X$  into itself and let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \alpha)$  be a continuous mapping such that for each pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$F(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{\alpha F(y, Ty) [1 + F(x, Tx)]}{1 + F(x, y)} + \beta(x, y) \quad \dots(2)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  are constants such that  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ .

If for some  $x_0 \in X$ , the sequence of iterates  $\{T^n x_0\}$  has a subsequence  $\{T^{n_k} x_0\}$  converging to  $z \in X$ , then  $z$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

**PROOF :** We have the monotone sequence of non-negative real numbers

$$F(x_0, Tx_0) > F(Tx_0, T^2x_0) > \dots > F(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) > \dots$$

which must converge along with all its subsequences to some real number  $\lambda$ .

Now from the continuity of  $F$  and  $T$  we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(z, Tz) &= F(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^n x_0, T \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^n x_0) \\
 &= F(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^n x_0, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^{n+1} x_0) \\
 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \\
 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(T^{n+1} x_0, T^{n+2} x_0) \\
 &= F(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^{n+1} x_0, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T^{n+2} x_0) = F(Tz, T^2z).
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $z \neq Tz$ , then from (2)

$$F(Tz, T^2z) \leq \frac{\alpha F(Tz, T^2z) [1 + F(z, Tz)]}{1 + F(z, Tz)} + \beta F(z, Tz)$$

which gives  $F(z, Tz) = F(Tz, T^2z) < F(z, Tz)$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $z$  is a fixed point of  $F$ .

*Corollary 1* — Let  $X$  be a metric space with metric  $d$  and let  $T$  be a continuous mapping of  $X$  into itself such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{\alpha d(y, Ty) [1 + d(x, Tx)]}{1 + d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y)$$

for every pair of elements  $x, y \in X$ , and for constants  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  satisfying  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ . If for some  $x_0 \in X$  the sequence of iterates  $\{T^n x_0\}$  has a subsequence  $\{T^{n_k} x_0\}$  converging to some  $z \in X$ , then  $z$  is a unique fixed point of  $T$ .

PROOF : The proof follows from Theorem 1 by taking  $d = F$ .

*Corollary 2* — The result of Edelstein (1962, Theorem 1) follows by taking  $\alpha = 0$ .

*Theorem 2* — Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(T^{p+1}x, T^{p+2}y) &\leq \frac{\alpha d(T^{p+1}y, T^{p+2}y) [1 + d(T^p x, T^{p+1}x)]}{1 + d(T^p x, T^{p+1}y)} \\
 &\quad + \beta d(T^p x, T^{p+1}y) \qquad \dots(3)
 \end{aligned}$$

$\forall x, y \in X$  and for non-negative constants  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ , and for any non-negative integer  $p$ .

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

PROOF: We prove the theorem for  $p = 0$ . The proof in the general case follows on similar lines.

For  $p = 0$  we have from (3)

$$d(Tx, T^2y) \leq \frac{\alpha d(Ty, T^2y)[1 + d(x, Tx)]}{1 + d(x, Ty)} + \beta d(x, Ty).$$

We define a sequence of elements  $\{x_n\} \in X$  as follows:

$$x_n = T^n x_0, \quad x_{n+1} = Tx_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad x_0 \in X \text{ being arbitrary.}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, x_2) &= d(Tx_0, T^2x_0) \\ &\leq \alpha d(Tx_0, T^2x_0) \frac{[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)]}{1 + d(x_0, Tx_0)} + \beta d(x_0, Tx_0) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha} d(x_0, Tx_0).$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2, x_3) &= d(Tx_1, T^2x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha d(Tx_1, T^2x_1)[1 + d(x_1, Tx_1)]}{1 + d(x_1, Tx_1)} + \beta d(x_1, Tx_1) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha} d(x_1, x_2) \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha}\right)^2 d(x_0, x_1)$$

and in general

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{1 - \alpha}\right)^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Since  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ , it follows that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and from the completeness of  $X$  it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi \in X$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d(\xi, T\xi) &\leq d(\xi, x_n) + d(x_n, T\xi) \\ &= d(\xi, x_n) + d(Tx_{n-1}, T\xi) \\ &= d(\xi, x_n) + d(T\xi, T^2x_{n-2}) \\ &\leq d(\xi, x_n) + \frac{\alpha d(Tx_{n-2}, T^2x_{n-2}) [1 + d(\xi, T\xi)]}{1 + d(\xi, Tx_{n-2})} + \beta d(\xi, Tx_{n-2}) \\ &= d(\xi, x_n) + \frac{\alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) [1 + d(\xi, T\xi)]}{1 + d(\xi, x_{n-1})} + \beta d(\xi, x_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get,  $d(\xi, T\xi) = 0$  i.e.  $\xi = T\xi$ .

Finally, let  $\eta \in X$  be such that  $\eta = T\eta$ . Then

$$d(\xi, \eta) = d(T\xi, T^2\eta)$$

$$\leq \alpha d(T\eta, T^2\eta) \frac{[1 + d(\xi, T\xi)]}{1 + d(\xi, T\eta)} + \beta d(\xi, T\eta)$$

i.e.  $(1 - \beta) d(\xi, \eta) \leq 0$ , which gives  $d(\xi, \eta) = 0$  i.e.  $\xi = \eta$  showing the uniqueness of  $\xi$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

We illustrate Theorem 1 by the following example.

*Example :* Let  $X = [0, 1]$  with the usual metric and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be defined as

$$Tx = 0, x \neq \frac{1}{3}$$

$$T\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = 1.$$

Clearly,  $T$  is not continuous and therefore does not satisfy  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$ . But by taking  $x = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $y = 0$  we see that  $T$  does not satisfy (1). However,  $T$  satisfies (3) with  $p = 1$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to Dr Barada K. Ray, Regional Engineering College, Durgapur, for his kind help and suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- Dass, B. K., and Gupta, S. (1975). An extension of Banach contraction principle through rational expression. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **6**, 1455-58.
- Edelstein, M. (1962). On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings. *J. Lond. math. Soc.* **37**, 74-79.