

## THE SYMMETRICAL FREE VIBRATIONS OF A THIN ELASTIC PLATE WITH INITIAL CONDITION AS A GENERALIZED FUNCTION

S. V. MORE

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Marathwada University,  
Aurangabad 431004*

(Received 12 July 1978)

In this paper the generalized function method is utilized in solving an initial value problem of the symmetrical free vibrations of a thin elastic plate with initial condition as a generalized function. It is substantiated that this new approach to the symmetrical free Vibrations of a thin elastic plate is very simple, rigorous and useful from the point of view of sufficient generality and applicability. The Cauchy problem has been solved by the application of Hankel transform of generalized functions. The need for generalized functions arise when the displacement and velocity distribution are caused due to sudden application of impulsive forces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The formulations of boundary value problems are generally characterized by the fact that their initial and boundary conditions are sufficiently smooth. In many practical problems there may be singularities in the initial and boundary conditions. Such problems cannot be solved with the help of conventional functions. These problems can be correctly specified with the help of generalized functions.

In this paper we shall solve a Cauchy problem with a modification in one initial condition as generalized functions by the application of Hankel transform of generalized functions.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

The continuous linear functionals  $\langle f, \phi \rangle$  on some fundamental space are called generalized functions (Gel'fand and Shilov 1968, p. 82). Hence in contrast to the conventional functions, generalized functions are not defined in themselves but depend on a selected space.

Let  $I = (0, \infty)$  and  $x \in I$ .  $H_\mu$  denotes the linear space consisting of all smooth complex-valued functions  $\phi(x)$  on  $I$  such that for every pair of non-negative integers  $m$  and  $k$ , the numbers

$$\gamma_{m,k}^\mu(\phi) = \text{Sup}_{x \in I} | x^m \cdot (x^{-1}D)^k x^{-\mu-(1/2)} \cdot \phi(x) | \quad \dots(2.1)$$

exists. The set of semi-norms  $\{\gamma_{m,k}^\mu\}$  generates the topology of  $H_\mu$ . The dual space  $H'_\mu$  of  $H_\mu$  is the space of generalized functions defined on  $H_\mu$ .  $\mathcal{E}(I)$  denotes the space of all complex valued smooth functions defined on  $I$ . Its dual  $\mathcal{E}'(I)$  is the space of distributions with compact support on  $I$  (Zemanian 1968, p. 30). The ordinary Hankel transformation  $h_\mu$  is an automorphism on  $H_\mu$  whenever  $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . The generalized Hankel transformation  $h'_\mu$  on  $H'_\mu$  is defined by

$$\langle h'_\mu f, \phi \rangle = \langle f, h_\mu \phi \rangle \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\phi, h_\mu \phi \in H_\mu$  and  $f \in H'_\mu$  (Zemanian 1968, p. 141). When  $f \in \mathcal{E}'(I)$  and  $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$  the Hankel transform  $F = h'_\mu f$  of  $f$  takes on the form

$$F(y) = \langle f, \sqrt{xy}, J_\mu(xy) \rangle. \tag{2.3}$$

The Cauchy problem is the problem of finding a solution  $u$  of the system of linear partial differential equations

$$\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t} = \sum_{k=1}^N p_{jk} \left( t, i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) u_k + f_j \tag{2.4}$$

( $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ ) and  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  under the initial conditions

$$u_j(x, 0) = u_{0j}(x) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, N) \tag{2.5}$$

where  $f_j(x, t)$  and  $u_{0j}(x)$  are given functions and  $p_{jk}$  ( $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) is a linear differential operators of orders  $\leq p$  (Friedman 1965, p. 165).  $u = u(x, t)$  is called a generalized solution (Friedman 1965, p. 167) over a testing function space  $\Phi$  of (2.4) and (2.5) if  $u$  satisfies the following conditions :

- (i) For every fixed  $t, 0 < t \leq T, u(x, t)$  is an element of  $\Phi'$ ;
- (ii)  $u$  satisfies (2.4) in the weak sense of  $\Phi'$ , i.e. for every  $\phi \in \Phi, 0 < t \leq T,$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle u(x, t), \phi(x) \rangle = \text{Lt}_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\langle \frac{u(x, t+h) - u(x, t)}{h}, \phi(x) \right\rangle;$$

- (iii)  $u$  satisfies (2.5) in the following sense :

$$\text{Lt}_{t \rightarrow 0} \langle u(x, t), \phi(x) \rangle = \langle u_0(x), \phi(x) \rangle,$$

for any  $\phi \in \Phi$ .

### 3. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The problem we have considered, in particular is the Cauchy problem of symmetrical free vibrations of a thin elastic plate (Sneddon 1972, p. 330) with a modification in one initial condition. The problem may be stated as follows :

Find the generalized function  $w_i(\rho)$  defined on the domain

$$\Omega = \{(\rho, t) \mid 0 < \rho < \infty \text{ and } -\infty < t < \infty\}$$

which depends parametrically upon the time variable  $t$  and satisfies the equation

$$b^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right)^2 w_i(\rho) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} w_i(\rho) = 0. \quad \dots(3.1)$$

of the symmetrical free vibrations of an elastic plate of infinite radius; where  $b^2 = D/2\sigma h$ ,  $D$  is the flexural rigidity,  $\sigma$  the density of the plate,  $2h$  the thickness of the plate,

with the initial conditions :

(a)  $w_i(\rho)$  converges to  $f(\rho) \in H'_0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,

(b)  $\frac{\partial w_i}{\partial t}$  converges to  $0 \in H'_0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

In eqn. (3.1) the differentiations with respect to  $\rho$  are generalized differentiations whereas that with respect to  $t$ , is parametric differentiation.

#### 4. SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

Let  $u_i(\rho) = \sqrt{\rho} w_i(\rho)$ , then eqn. (2.1) becomes

$$b^2(M_0 N_0)^2 u_i(\rho) + \frac{\partial^2 u_i(\rho)}{\partial t^2} = 0. \quad \dots(4.1)$$

Applying Hankel transformation of order zero to (3.1) and interchanging  $h_0$  with  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}$  we get

$$b^2 \rho^4 U_i(r) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} U_i(r) = 0 \quad \dots(4.2)$$

where  $h_0 u_i(\rho) = U_i(r)$ . The solution of above equation is given by

$$U_i(r) = A(r) \cos(bt\rho^2) + B(r) \sin(bt\rho^2) \quad \dots(4.3)$$

where  $A(r)$  and  $B(r)$  are unknown generalized functions which do not depend on  $t$ . Let  $h_0 f(\rho) = F(r)$ . In view of boundary conditions (a) and (b) we have additional conditions :

$$U_i(r) \rightarrow h_0(r) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\frac{\partial U_i(r)}{\partial t} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence with respect to the above initial conditions we get  $A(r) = F(r)$  and  $B(r) = 0$ . Then eqn. (3.3) becomes

$$U_i(r) = F(r) \cos(bt\rho^2).$$

Thus the required generalized solution is

$$U_i(\rho) = h_0^{-1} U_i(r). \quad \dots(4.4)$$

To justify this, we first show that, for each fixed  $t$ ,  $U_i(r) \in H'_0$  on  $0 < r < \infty$ , since  $f(\rho) \in H'_0$ ,  $F(r) \in H'_0$ . Now to prove  $U_i(r) \in H'_0$ , it is sufficient to prove that for each fixed  $t$ ,  $\cos(bt\rho^2) \in \mathcal{O}$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the space of multipliers (Zemanian 1968, p. 134) for  $H_u$ .

Since  $(\rho^{-1}D_\rho) \cos(bt\rho^2) = O(\rho^{-\nu})$  as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore  $(\rho^{-1}D_\rho)^\nu \cos(bt\rho^2)$  is bounded on  $0 < \rho < \infty$  which proves that  $\cos(bt\rho^2) \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Because of these results,  $u_i(\rho)$  given in (3.4) is a generalized function in  $H'_0$  on  $0 < \rho < \infty$  depending parametrically on  $t$ . We write it as a functional on  $H_0$  by

$$\langle u_i(\rho), \phi(\rho) \rangle = \langle F(r) \cos(bt\rho^2), \Phi(r) \rangle \quad \dots(4.5)$$

where  $\phi \in H_0$  and  $\Phi = h_0\phi$ .

Now we shall prove that  $u_i(\rho)$  as defined in (3.5) satisfies the differential eqn. (3.1) in the sense of equality in  $H'_0$ . Let  $\phi(\rho)$  be any function belonging to  $H_0$  and  $\Phi(r) = h_0\phi(\rho)$  then by sec. 5.5, eqn. (8) of Zemanian (1968, p. 143) we get

$$b^2 \langle M_0 N_0 u_i(\rho), \phi(\rho) \rangle = b^2 \langle \rho^4 \cdot U_i(r), \Phi(r) \rangle. \quad \dots(4.6)$$

On the other hand by definition of the parametric differentiation  $D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle D_t^2 u_i(\rho), \phi(\rho) \rangle \\ &= D_t^2 \langle u_i(\rho), \phi(\rho) \rangle \\ &= D_t^2 \langle u_i(r), \Phi(r) \rangle \\ &= D_t^2 \langle F(r), \Phi(r) \cos(bt\rho^2) \rangle \\ &= \langle F(r), \Phi(r) D_t^2 \cos(bt\rho^2) \rangle \\ &= \langle F(r), \Phi(r) (-b^2\rho^4) \cos(bt\rho^2) \rangle \\ &= \langle U_i(r) (-b^2\rho^4), \Phi(r) \rangle \\ &= -b^2 \langle U_i(r) \rho^4, \Phi(r) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \langle D_t^2 u_i(\rho), \phi(\rho) \rangle = -b^2 \langle U_i(r) \rho^4, \Phi(r) \rangle. \quad \dots(4.7)$$

Hence from (4.6) and (4.7) we conclude that  $u_i(\rho)$  satisfies eqn. (4.1) in the stated sense.

Now we shall verify that, the generalized solution  $u_i(\rho)$  satisfies the condition (a). To prove that the above condition is satisfied, we have to prove that, for any  $\phi \in H_0$ ,

$$\langle u_i(\rho), \phi(\rho) \rangle \rightarrow \langle f(\rho), \phi(\rho) \rangle \quad \dots(4.8)$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . From (4.5), we have

$$\langle u_i(\rho), \phi(\rho) \rangle = \langle F(r), \Phi(\rho) \cos(bt\rho^2) \rangle.$$

To prove (4.8), it remains to prove that  $\Phi(\rho) \cos(bt\rho^2)$  converges in  $H_0$  to  $\Phi(\rho)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and the limit (4.8) follows directly from these results and the fact that  $F(r) \in H'_0$ .

The second paragraph of sec. 5.3 of (Zemanian 1968, p. 134) shows that our assertion concerning  $\Phi(\rho) \cos(\rho^2tb)$  will be established as soon as we prove that

$$\frac{\cos(b\rho^2t)}{1+b\rho^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{1+b\rho^2} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \quad \dots(4.9)$$

and for each positive integer  $\nu$ ,

$$(\rho^{-1}D_\rho)^\nu \cdot \cos(b\rho^2t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \dots(4.10)$$

where in every case the convergence is uniform on  $0 < \rho < \infty$ .

Since  $(\rho^{-1}D_\rho)^\nu \cos(b\rho^2t) = O(\rho^{-\nu})$ , the result (4.10) follows as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, to show (4.9), we know that for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $R < \infty$  such that for all  $\rho^2 < R$  and  $-\infty < t < \infty$

$$0 \leq \frac{1 - \cos(b\rho^2t)}{1 + b\rho^2} < \frac{2}{1 + bR} < \epsilon.$$

Having fixed  $R$  this way, we restrict  $\rho$  to  $0 < \rho^2 < R$  and  $t$  to  $|t| \leq \pi/R$ , then

$$0 \leq \frac{1 - \cos(\rho^2bt)}{1 + b\rho^2} \leq 1 - \cos(bRt) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

Therefore, there exists a  $T > 0$  such that, for all  $|t| < T$ , we have

$$0 \leq \frac{1 - \cos(b\rho^2t)}{1 + b\rho^2} < \epsilon, \quad 0 < \rho < \infty.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, we see that

$$\Phi(\rho) \cos(b\rho^2t) \text{ converges in } H_0 \text{ to } \Phi(\rho).$$

Hence our assertion.

Similarly  $\frac{\partial u_t(x)}{\partial t} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , in the sense of  $H'_0$ .

#### 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The choice of  $f(\rho) \in H'_0$  and  $0 \in H'_0$  as the initial displacement distribution is quite justifiable, because for instance the displacement and velocity distribution are caused due to sudden application of impulsive force, the distributions of displacement and velocity of free surfaces at initial moment can not be correctly specified by conventional functions. We may be able to know about  $f(\rho)$  and  $0 \in H'_0$  from the effect they later on produce. It is such situations which are depicted by generalized functions since in our choice  $f(\rho)$  and  $0 \in H'$  has a compact support, it justifies the phenomena that beyond certain bounded and closed domain the initial displacement and velocity distribution is zero, because however large the magnitude of the impulsive forces may be its effect beyond certain closed and bounded domain will be zero provided that are visualising that the expanse of free surfaces is fairly large.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Dr. B. R. Bhonsle, Vice-Chancellor of Marathwada University, Aurangabad, for his valuable help and encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

- Friedman, A. (1963). *Generalized Functions and PDEs*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., New Jersey.  
 Gel'fand, I. M., and Shilov, G. E. (1968). *Generalized Functions, Vol. 2*. Academic Press, Inc., New York.  
 Sneddon, I. N. (1972). *The Use of Integral Transforms*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York.  
 Zemanian, A. H. (1968). *Generalized Integral Transformation*. Interscience Publications, New York.