

ON INFINITE MATRICES AND INVARIANT MEANS

MURSALEEN*

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202001

(Received 3 August 1978)

Schaefer (1972) has introduced the concept of σ -conservative, σ -regular and σ -coercive matrices and obtained necessary and sufficient conditions to characterize these classes of matrices. The purpose of this paper is to characterize some more matrices in V_σ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let l_∞ and c respectively be the Banach spaces of bounded and convergent sequences $x = \{x_k\}$ with the usual norm $\|x\| = \sup_k |x_k|$.

Let σ be a mapping of the set of positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional ϕ on l_∞ is said to be an invariant mean or σ -mean if and only if (i) $\phi(x) \geq 0$ when the sequence $x = \{x_n\}$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n ; (ii) $\phi(e) = 1$, where $e = \{1, 1, \dots\}$; and (iii) $\phi(\{x_{\sigma(n)}\}) = \phi(x)$ for all $x \in l_\infty$. Throughout this paper we deal only with mappings σ are one-to-one and are such that $\sigma^m(n) \neq n$ for all positive integers n and m , where $\sigma^m(n)$ denotes the m th iterate of the mapping at n . For such mappings, every σ -means extends the limit functional on c (Raimi 1963), in the sense that $\phi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$. Consequently, $c \subset V_\sigma$, where V_σ is the set of bounded sequences all of whose σ -means are equal. When $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, V_σ is the set of almost convergent sequences (Lorentz 1948).

Schaefer (1972) has obtained necessary and sufficient conditions to characterize (c, V_σ) , $(c, V_\sigma)_{reg}$, and (l_∞, V_σ) -matrices. Quite recently Ahmad and Mursaleen (1979) have characterized the classes $(c(p), V_\sigma)$, $(c(p), V_\sigma)_{reg}$, and $(l_\infty(p), V_\sigma)$ which generalize the results of Schaefer (1972). In the present paper author obtains conditions which characterize $(l(p), V_\sigma)$, and $(M_0(p), V_\sigma)$ matrices.

2. PRELIMINARIES

If p_k is real such that $p_k > 0$ and $\sup_k p_k < \infty$, we define (Simons 1965 and Maddox 1967, 1969)

$$l_\infty(p) = \{x : \sup_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty\}$$

*This work is supported by a Junior Research Fellowship of C.S.I.R., India, under Grant No. 7/112(451) 75-EMR.I.

$$c(p) = \{x : |x_k - l|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } l\}$$

$$l(p) = \{x : \sum_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty\}$$

and

$$M_0(p) = \bigcup_{N>1} \{x : \sum_k |x_k|^{N^{-1/p_k}} < \infty\}$$

when $p_k = p \forall k$, we write $l_\infty(p) = l_\infty$, $c(p) = c$, $l(p) = l_p$ and $M_0(p) = l_1$, also $M_0(p) = l_1$ for $\inf p_k > 0$.

If $x = \{x_n\}$, write $Tx = \{x_{\sigma(n)}\}$. The set V_σ can be characterized as the set of all bounded sequences x for which $\lim_m t_{mn}(x)$ exists in l_∞ and has the form $L\epsilon$, where

$$L = \sigma - \lim x \text{ and } t_{mn}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m T^j x / (m + 1).$$

Throughout this paper we shall use the notation $a(n, k)$ to denote the element a_{nk} of the matrix A . We write for all integers $n, m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} t_{mn}(Ax) &= (Ax + TAx + \dots + T^m Ax) / (m + 1) \\ &= \sum_k t(n, k, m) x_k \end{aligned}$$

where

$$t(n, k, m) = \frac{1}{m + 1} \sum_{j=0}^m a(\sigma^j(n), k).$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1 — $A \in (l(p), V_\sigma)$ if and only if there exists $B > 1$ such that for every n

$$(i) \begin{cases} \sup_m \sum_k |t(n, k, m)|^{q_k} B^{-q_k} < \infty, (1 < p_k < \infty) \\ \sup_m \sum_k |t(n, k, m)|^{p_k} < \infty, (0 < p_k \leq 1) \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) a_{(k)} = \{a_{nk}\}_{n=1}^\infty \in V_\sigma \text{ for each } k$$

i.e. $\lim_m t(n, k, m) = u_k$ uniformly in n .

In this case, the σ -limit of A is

$$(iii) \lim_m \sum_k t(n, k, m) = \sum_k u_k x_k.$$

PROOF : Let $1 < p_k < \infty$ and $A \in (l(p), V_\sigma)$. Define $e_k = \{0, 0, \dots, 0, 1(k\text{th place}), 0, \dots\}$. Since $e_k \in l(p)$, therefore (ii) holds. Put $f_{mn}(x) = t_{mn}(Ax)$, since $t_{mn}(Ax)$ exists for each m and $x \in l(p)$. Therefore $\{f_{mn}(x)\}_m$ is a sequence of continuous real functionals on $l(p)$ and further $\sup_m |f_{mn}(x)| < \infty$ on $l(p)$. Now condition (i) follows by arguing with uniform boundedness principle. The case $0 < p_k \leq 1$ has similar proof.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions (i) and (ii) are true and $x \in l(p)$. Now we have for every $r \geq 1$

$$\sum_{k=1}^r |t(n, k, m)|^{q_k} B^{-q_k} \leq \sup_m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t(n, k, m)|^{q_k} B^{-q_k}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k |u_k|^{q_k} B^{-q_k} &= \lim_r \lim_m \sum_{k=1}^r |t(n, k, m)|^{q_k} B^{-q_k} \\ &\leq \sup_m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t(n, k, m)|^{q_k} B^{-q_k} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the series $\sum_k t(n, k, m) x_k$ and $\sum_k u_k x_k$ converge for each m and $x \in l(p)$. For a given $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in l(p)$, choose k_0 such that

$$\left(\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} |x_k|^{p_k} \right)^{1/H} < \epsilon$$

where $H = \sup p_k$. Since (ii) holds, therefore there exists m_0 such that

$$(iv) \left| \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} (t(n, k, m) - u_k) \right| < \epsilon \quad (\forall m > m_0).$$

By condition (ii) it follows that

$$\left| \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} (t(n, k, m) - u_k) \right|$$

is arbitrary small, therefore condition (iii) follows i.e.,

$$\lim_m \sum_k t(n, k, m) x_k = \sum_k u_k x_k$$

uniformly in n . This completes our proof.

Theorem 3.2 — $A \in (M_0(p), V_\sigma)$ if and only if for every integer $N > 1$

$$(i) M_N = \sup_{m,k} |t(n, k, m)| N^{1/p_k} < \infty, (\forall n)$$

(ii) $a_{(k)} \in V_\sigma$ for each k .

In this case, the σ -limit of Ax is same as in Theorem 3.1.

PROOF : Let $A \in (M_0(p), V_\sigma)$. Since $e_k \in M_0(p)$, (ii) must hold. Now on contrary suppose that (i) is not true then there exists $N > 1$ such that $M_N = \infty$. Therefore by Theorem 3.1, matrix $B = (b_{nk}) = (a_{nk}N^{1/p_k}) \notin (I_1, V_\sigma)$, i.e. there exists $x \in I_1$ such that $Bx \notin V_\sigma$. Now $y = (y_k) = (N^{1/p_k}x_k) \in M_0(p)$. But $Ay = Bx \notin V_\sigma$, which contradicts the fact that $A \in (M_0(p), V_\sigma)$. Hence (i) is true.

Conversely, suppose conditions (i) and (ii) are true and $x \in M_0(p)$. Then

$$\left| \sum_k t(n, k, m) x_k \right| \leq \sum_k |x_k| N^{-1/p_k} |t(n, k, m)| N^{1/p_k} < \infty.$$

Now arguing as in Theorem 3.1 we get

$$\lim_m \sum_k t(n, k, m) x_k = \sum_k u_k x_k.$$

Hence $A \in (M_0(p), V_\sigma)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Dr Z. U. Ahmad for his guidance and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, Z. U., and Mursaleen (1979). On infinite matrices and invariant means. Read at the 66th Session of the Indian Science Congress Association at Hyderabad.
- Lorentz, G. G. (1948). A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences. *Acta Math.*, **80**, 167-90.
- Maddox, I. J. (1967). Spaces of strongly summable sequences. *Q. Jl. Math.*, Oxford (2), **18**, 345-55.
- (1969). Continuous and Kothe-Toeplitz duals of certain sequence spaces. *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.*, **65**, 431-35.
- Raimi, R. A. (1963). Invariant means and invariant matrix methods of summability. *Duke Math. J.*, **30**, 81-94.
- Simons, S. (1965). The sequence spaces $l(p_v)$ and $m(p_v)$. *Proc. Lond. math. Soc.*, **3**(15), 422-36.
- Schaefer, P. (1972). Infinite matrices and invariant means. *Proc. Am. math. Soc.*, **36** (1), 104-10.