

SOME SPECIAL PROPERTIES OF R_{ijk}^h AND Q_{ijk}^h
IN RECURRENT FINSLER SPACES

H. D. PANDE

Department of Mathematics, University of Jabalpur, Jabalpur (M.P.)

AND

K. K. GUPTA

Department of Mathematics, U.N.P.G. College, Padrauna, Dist. Deoria (U.P.)

(Received 25 November 1978)

The purpose of present paper is to obtain some important identities under decomposition of curvature tensors R_{ijk}^h and Q_{ijk}^h in $R - \oplus$ recurrent and $Q - \oplus$ recurrent Finsler spaces F_n^* (Rund 1959) equipped with non-symmetric connection parameters.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F_n^* denote an n -dimensional Finsler space equipped with $2n$ -line-elements (x^i, \dot{x}^i) , $(i, j, \dots = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$ and a non-symmetric connection parameter

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x}) \neq (\Gamma_{kj}^i(x, \dot{x}))$$

that is based on non-symmetric fundamental metric tensor $g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) \neq g_{ji}(x, \dot{x})$. Following Pande and Gupta (1978), we assume that Γ_{jk}^i are homogeneous of degree zero in its directional arguments \dot{x}^i 's.

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i = M_{jk}^i + \frac{1}{2} N_{jk}^i \tag{1.1}$$

where M_{jk}^i and $\frac{1}{2} N_{jk}^i$ denote symmetric and skew-symmetric parts of Γ_{jk}^i .

The covariant derivatives of tensor field $T_j^i(x, \dot{x})$ with respect to x^k are defined in two ways:

$$T_{+j}^+{}^i|_k = \partial_k T_j^i - (\partial_m T_j^i) \dagger \Gamma_{pk}^m \dot{x}^p + T_j^m \Gamma_{mk}^i - T_m^i \Gamma_{jk}^m \tag{1.2}$$

$\dagger \partial_i \equiv \partial / \partial x^i, \dot{\partial}_i \equiv \partial / \partial \dot{x}^i$.

$$T_{-j|k}^i = \partial_k T_j^i - (\dot{\partial}_m T_j^i) \tilde{\Gamma}_{pk}^m \dot{x}^p + T_j^m \tilde{\Gamma}_{mk}^i - T_m^i \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^m \quad \dots(1.3)$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^i \equiv \Gamma_{kj}^i$ and positive, negative, signs have their specific meanings as mentioned in Pande and Gupta (1977) and Cătălina Nitescu (1974). The commutation formulae, involving the co-variant derivatives defined in (1.2) and (1.3) give rise to two curvature tensors.

$$R_{jki}^i = \partial_l \Gamma_{jk}^i - \partial_k \Gamma_{jl}^i - (\dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{jk}^i) \Gamma_{pl}^m \dot{x}^p + (\dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{jl}^i) \Gamma_{pk}^m \dot{x}^p + \Gamma_{jk}^p \Gamma_{pl}^i - \Gamma_{jl}^p \Gamma_{pk}^i \quad \dots(1.4)$$

and

$$\tilde{R}_{jki}^i = \partial_l \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^i - \partial_k \tilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^i - (\dot{\partial}_m \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^i) \tilde{\Gamma}_{pl}^m \dot{x}^p + (\dot{\partial}_m \tilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^i) \tilde{\Gamma}_{pk}^m \dot{x}^p + \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^p \tilde{\Gamma}_{pl}^i - \tilde{\Gamma}_{jl}^p \tilde{\Gamma}_{pk}^i \quad \dots(1.5)$$

The following commutation formulae and identities have been obtained in Pande and Gupta (1977, 1978) :

$$\dot{\partial}_k \left(T_{+j|h}^+ \right) - \left(\dot{\partial}_k T_{+j}^+ \right)_{|h} = T_j^m \dot{\partial}_k \Gamma_{mh}^i - T_m^i \dot{\partial}_k \Gamma_{jh}^m - (\dot{\partial}_m T_j^i) (\dot{\partial}_k \Gamma_{jh}^m) \dot{x}^p \quad \dots(1.6)$$

$$T_{+j|h}^+ - T_{+j|kh}^+ = - (\dot{\partial}_m T_j^i) R_{hk}^m + T_j^m R_{mhk}^i - T_m^i R_{jhk}^m + \left(T_{+j|m}^+ \right) N_{kh}^m \quad \dots(1.7)$$

where

$$N_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{jk}^i - \Gamma_{kj}^i \quad \dots(1.8)$$

$$R_{hjk}^i = - R_{hkj}^i, R_{ijk}^i = - R_{ikj}^i, N_{jk}^i = - N_{kj}^i \quad \dots(1.9)$$

$$x_{+|k}^+ = x_{-|k}^- = 0 \quad \dots(1.10)$$

$$R_i^{def} \equiv \dot{x}^k R_{ki}^{def} \equiv \dot{x}^k \dot{x}^j R_{jki}^i \quad \dots(1.11)$$

and

$$R \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{n-1} R^i_i, R_{jk} \stackrel{def}{=} R^i_{jki} \tag{1.12}$$

The projective covariant derivative of $T^i_j(x, \dot{x})$ with respect to x^k is by Pande and Gupta (1977)

$$T^i_{j||k} = \partial_k T^i_j - (\dot{\partial}_m T^i_j) \pi^m_{pk} \dot{x}^p + T^m_j \pi^i_{mk} - T^i_m \pi^m_{jk} \tag{1.13}$$

where

$$\pi^i_{jk} \stackrel{def}{=} \Gamma^i_{jk} - \frac{1}{n+1} (\delta^i_j \Gamma^r_{rk} + \delta^i_k \Gamma^r_{rj} + \dot{x}^i \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma^r_{rk}) \tag{1.14}$$

are called ‘projective connection coefficients’. These are non-symmetric in their lower indices and are homogeneous of degree zero in directional arguments.

The duality in the nature of projective covariant derivatives defined in (1.13), introduces the following ‘projective entities’ Q^i_{jkl} , such that

$$\begin{aligned} Q^i_{jkl} &= R^i_{jkl} + \frac{1}{n+1} (\dot{x}^p \dot{\partial}_j R^r_{rpk} + \delta^i_j R^r_{rkl}) \\ &+ \frac{2}{(n+1)^2} \{((n+1) \Gamma^r_{rj} \}_{[k} \dot{x}^* + A \dot{\partial}_{[k} \Gamma^r_{<rj>}]** \\ &+ \Gamma^s_{s[k} \Gamma^r_{<rj>} \delta^i_{l]} + P^i_{jkl} \} \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P^i_{jkl} \stackrel{def}{=} &(n+1) (-\dot{x}^p \dot{x}^i \dot{\partial}_m \Gamma^r_{r[k} \dot{\partial}_{<j>} \Gamma^m_{<p>l]} - \frac{1}{2} N^i_{kl} \Gamma^r_{rj} \\ &- \dot{\partial}_{[k} \Gamma^i_{<j>l]} A) + \delta^i_j A \dot{\partial}_{[k} \Gamma^r_{<r>l]} + \dot{x}^i (A \dot{\partial}^2_{j[k} \Gamma^r_{<r>l]} \\ &+ \Gamma^s_{sj} \dot{\partial}_{[k} \Gamma^r_{<r>l]}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

$$A \stackrel{def}{=} \Gamma^r_{rj} \dot{x}^j, Q^i_{kl} \stackrel{def}{=} \dot{x}^k Q^i_{kl} \stackrel{def}{=} \dot{x}^k \dot{x}^j Q^i_{jkl}, S^i_{jk} \stackrel{def}{=} \pi^i_{jk} - \pi^i_{kj} \tag{1.17}$$

* $2T_{(hk)} = T_{hk} + T_{kh}$

$2T_{[hk]} = T_{hk} - T_{kh}$

$3T_{\{hkl\}} = T_{hkl} + T_{klh} + T_{lkh}$.

**The indices in brackets $< >$ are free from symmetric and skew-symmetric parts.

$$Q_{ijk} \stackrel{def}{=} Q_{jki}^i, Q \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{n-1} Q_i^i, Q_{jkl}^i = -Q_{jlk}^i, Q_{jk}^i = -Q_{kj}^i \quad \dots(1.18)$$

$$P_l^i \stackrel{def}{=} \dot{x}^k P_{kl}^i \stackrel{def}{=} \dot{x}^k \dot{x}^j P_{jkl}^i, P_{jk} = P_{jki}^i, P \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{n-1} P_i^i. \quad \dots(1.19)$$

The following identities are also satisfied by R_{jkl}^i and Q_{jkl}^i as (Pande and Gupta 1977, 1978)

$$R_{j(kh)}^i = 0 = Q_{j(kh)}^i, Q_{ikl}^i = 0, \pi_{ik}^i = 0 \quad \dots(1.20)$$

$$R_{[jkh]}^i = N_{[jk|h]}^+; \dot{x}_{||k}^i = 0 = \dot{x}_{||k}^i \quad \dots(1.21)$$

$$R_{i[jk|l]}^+ + \dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{i[l}^h R_{jk]}^m = 0 \quad \dots(1.22)$$

$$R_{i|h}^+ - R_{h|i}^+ + R_{i|h}^+ \dot{x}^k = -3\dot{x}^j \dot{x}^k \{ \dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{j[h}^i R_{kl]}^m + R_{jm[l}^i N_{kh]}^m \} \quad \dots(1.23)$$

$$Q_{[jkl]}^i = R_{[jkl]}^i + \frac{1}{n-1} \left\{ \dot{x}^i \beta_{[jk|l]} + A \dot{\partial}_{[l} N_{jk]}^i - \Gamma_{rp}^r N_{[jk}^p \delta_{l]}^i - \Gamma_{r[l}^r N_{jk]}^i - \dot{x}^i \dot{\partial}_p \Gamma_{r[l}^r N_{jk]}^p + \frac{\dot{x}^i}{n-1} (A \dot{\partial}_{[l} \beta_{jk]} - \Gamma_{r[l}^r \beta_{jk]}) \right\} \quad \dots(1.24)$$

where

$$\beta_{ij} \stackrel{def}{=} \dot{\partial}_i \Gamma_{ri}^r - \dot{\partial}_i \Gamma_{rj}^r \quad \dots(1.25)$$

$$Q_{i|h}^+ - Q_{h|i}^+ + Q_{i|h}^+ \dot{x}^k = -3\dot{x}^k (\dot{x}^j \dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{j[h}^i Q_{kl]}^m + Q_{m[l}^i N_{kh]}^m) + \frac{1}{n-1} \{ Q_l^m \delta_h^i (\dot{\partial}_m A) + Q_{ih}^m \dot{x}^i (2\dot{\partial}_m A - \Gamma_{rm}^r) - Q_h^m \delta_l^i \dot{\partial}_m A + \dot{x}^i Q_l^p \dot{\partial}_p \Gamma_{rh}^r - \dot{x}^i Q_h^p \dot{\partial}_p \Gamma_{rl}^r + A \dot{x}^k (\dot{\partial}_i Q_{kh}^i - \dot{\partial}_h Q_{kl}^i) - A Q_{ih}^i \}. \quad \dots(1.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{+}^{+h}{}_{i[jk|l]} &= R_{+}^{+h}{}_{i[jk|l]} + \frac{2}{(n+1)^2} P_{+}^{+h}{}_{i[jk|l]} + \frac{1}{n+1} (\dot{\partial}_i(\dot{x}^h R_{r[kj|l]}^r) \\
 &+ \dot{x}^h \dot{\partial}_m R_{r[kj}^r \dot{\partial}_{<i> \Gamma_{<p>|l]}^m \dot{x}^p) + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \{((n+1) \Gamma_{r+i}^r{}_{|[jl]} \\
 &+ A_{|[l} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{<ri>}^r + A (\dot{\partial}_{[j} \Gamma_{<ri>}^r)_{|l} \\
 &+ \Gamma_{s[j|l}^s \Gamma_{<ri>}^r + \Gamma_{s[j}^s \Gamma_{<ri>|l}^r) \delta_{k]}^h \\
 &- ((n+1) \Gamma_{r+i}^r{}_{|[kl} + A_{|[l} \dot{\partial}_k \Gamma_{<ri>}^r \\
 &+ A (\dot{\partial}_{[k} \Gamma_{<ri>}^r)_{|l} + \Gamma_{ri}^r \Gamma_{s[k|l}^s \\
 &+ \Gamma_{s[k}^s \Gamma_{<ri>|l}^r) \delta_{j]}^h \}. \tag{1.27}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{[jk|l]}^{+h} - \frac{1}{n+1} \dot{x}^h R_{r[kj|l]}^r &= - \dot{x}^i \dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{i[l}^h R_{jk]}^m \\
 &+ \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \{ (n+1) \delta_{[k}^h A_{|jl]} \\
 &+ (A \dot{\partial}_{[j} A)_{|l} \delta_{k]}^h - (n+1) \delta_{[j}^h A_{|kl]} \\
 &- (A \dot{\partial}_{[k} A)_{|l} \delta_{j]}^h + 2P_{[jk|l]}^{+h} \}. \tag{1.28}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{jkh}^i &= (R_{jkh}^i + \frac{2}{(n+1)^2} P_{jkh}^i) + \frac{1}{n+1} (\dot{x}^i \dot{\partial}_j R_{r^h k}^r \\
 &+ \delta_j^i R_{r^h k}^r) + \frac{2}{n-1} \left\{ Q_{j[k}^i - R_{j[k}^i - \frac{2}{(n+1)^2} P_{j[k}^i \right. \\
 &\left. - \frac{1}{n+1} (\dot{x}^p \dot{\partial}_j R_{rp[k}^r + R_{rj[k}^r) \right\} \delta_{h]}^i. \tag{1.29}
 \end{aligned}$$

2. $R - \oplus$ RECURRENT FINSLER SPACE

Definition 2.1 — An n -dimensional Finsler space F_n^* will be called $R - \oplus$ recurrent F_n^* if

$$R_{++++}^{+i}{}_{jkh|l} = \lambda_l R_{jkh}^i \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lambda_i \equiv \lambda_i(x)$ is a non-zero recurrence vector field and R^i_{jkh} is non-zero curvature tensor of the space.

Transvecting (2.1) with \dot{x}^i and \dot{x}^k successively and using (1.11), (1.10), we get

$$R^i_{kjh} | l = \lambda_l R^i_{kh} \tag{2.2}$$

$$R^i_{hjl} = \lambda_l R^i_n \tag{2.3}$$

Contracting i and h in (2.3) and using (1.12), we have

$$R_{li} = \lambda_l R \tag{2.4}$$

and hence

$$\lambda_l = (\log R)_{li} \tag{2.5}$$

In this section, we shall obtain some identities under the condition, when R^i_{jkh} is expressed in the following form in a $R - \oplus$ recurrent F_n^* .

$$R^i_{jkh} = X_j^i \phi_{kh} \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$X_j^i \lambda_i = a_j \tag{2.7}$$

where X_j^i and ϕ_{kh} are non-zero tensor fields and λ_i, a_j are non-zero covariant recurrence and decompose vector fields respectively.

Theorem 2.1 — In view of (2.6) and (2.7), the identities for R^i_{jkh} in a $R - \oplus$ recurrent F_n^* are given by

$$a_j \phi_{(kh)} = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

$$a_{[j} \phi_{kh]} = \lambda_i N^i_{[jk] | h]} \tag{2.9}$$

$$a_i (\lambda_{[l} \phi_{jk]} + \phi_{m[k} N^m_{j]l}) + X^m_p \dot{x}^p \partial_m (\lambda_h \Gamma^h_{i[l}) \phi_{jk]} = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

$$a_i \lambda_l R^l_{hjk} = a_i a_h \phi_{jk} = a_i (\lambda_j S_{hk} - \lambda_k S_{hj}) - X^i_h (a_i \phi_{m[k} N^m_{j]l}) + X^m_p \dot{x}^p \partial_m (\lambda_h \Gamma^h_{i[l}) \phi_{jk]} \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$S_{ii} \stackrel{def}{=} X_i^h \phi_{hi}. \tag{2.12}$$

PROOF : Using (1.20) and (2.6), we have

$$X_j^i \phi_{(kh)} = 0.$$

Transvecting it with λ_i and using (2.7) we get (2.8).

In view of (1.21) and (2.6), we get

$$X_{[j}^i \phi_{kh]} = N_{[j k] h}^i.$$

A transvection of above with λ_i gives (2.9), in view of (2.7).

The Bianchi's identity (1.22) can be rewritten as

$$R_{i[j k] l}^h + \partial_m \Gamma_{i[l}^h R_{jk]}^m + R_{im[k}^h \Gamma_{jl]}^m - R_{im[j}^h \Gamma_{kl]}^m = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

in view of (1.9) and specific meaning of positive signs (Pande and Gupta 1977).

Using (2.6) and (2.1) in (2.13) and transvecting the obtained expression with λ_h , we have (2.10) in view of (2.7).

In view of (2.7) and (2.6), we have

$$a_i a_h \phi_{jk} = a_i \lambda_l X_h^l \phi_{jk} = a_i \lambda_l R_{hjk}^l. \tag{2.14}$$

A transvection of (2.10) with X_h^l gives (2.11) in view of (2.12) and (2.14).

Theorem 2.2 — The tensor field ϕ_{kh} is recurrent, if X_j^i is covariantly invariant in a $R - \oplus$ recurrent F_n^* .

PROOF : A covariant differentiation of (2.6) with respect to x^l yields

$$X_j^i (\phi_{k h}^+)_l - \lambda_l \phi_{kh} = 0 \tag{2.15}$$

in view of (2.1), (2.6) and the hypothesis that X_j^i is covariantly invariant, viz.

$$X_{[j}^i \phi_{k h]} = 0.$$

Now, X_j^i being non-zero tensor field, we have

$$\phi_{k \ h}^+ | \iota = \lambda_i \phi_{kh}$$

and this establishes the theorem (2.2).

3. $Q - \oplus$ RECURRENT FINSLER SPACE

Definition 3.1 — F_n^* will be called $Q - \oplus$ recurrent, if the projective entities satisfy the relation

$$Q_{i \ j \ k}^+ | \iota = \lambda_i Q_{ijk}^h, (Q_{ijk}^h \neq 0) \quad \dots(3.1)$$

where

$$\lambda_i \equiv \lambda_i(x) \quad \dots(3.2)$$

is non-zero recurrence vector field, independent of directional arguments.

Transvections of (3.1) with \dot{x}^i and \dot{x}^j successively give the following results, in view of (1.17) and (1.21).

$$Q_{j \ k}^+ | \iota = \lambda_i Q_{jk}^h \quad \dots(3.3)$$

$$Q_k^+ | \iota = \lambda_i Q_k^h. \quad \dots(3.4)$$

A contraction of indices h and k in (3.4) gives

$$Q_{\iota} = \lambda_i Q \quad \dots(3.5)$$

in view of (1.18).

In this section, some identities will be obtained, when Q_{ijk}^h takes the following particular form in a $Q - \oplus$ recurrent F_n^* .

$$Q_{ijk}^h = \gamma_i^h \psi_{jk} \quad \dots(3.6)$$

where γ_i^h and ψ_{jk} are non-zero tensor-fields such that

$$\gamma_i^h \lambda_h = b_i \quad \dots(3.7)$$

b_i will be called decompose vector-field under (3.6).

Theorem 3.1 — In view of (3.6), projective entities Q_{jkl}^i satisfy the following identities

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_{[j}\psi_{kl]} &= a_{[j}\phi_{kl]} + \frac{1}{n+1} \left\{ \lambda_i \dot{x}^i (\beta_{[jk|l]} - \dot{\partial}_\nu \Gamma_{r[l}^r N_{jk]}^p \right. \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{n+1} (A \dot{\partial}_{[l} \beta_{jk]} - \Gamma_{r[l}^r \beta_{jk]}) + \lambda_i (A \dot{\partial}_{[l} N_{jk]}^i \\
 &\quad \left. - \Gamma_{r[l}^r N_{jk]}^i) - \Gamma_{rp}^r N_{[jk}^p \lambda_{l]} \right\} \dots(3.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_r \dot{x}^p \left(\lambda_h \dot{x}^q \psi_{ql} - \lambda_i \dot{x}^q \psi_{li} + \lambda_k \psi_{lh} \dot{x}^k + 3\psi_{m[l} N_{kh]}^m \dot{x}^k + \frac{A}{n+1} \psi_{lh} \right) \\
 = \gamma_p^m \dot{x}^p \left\{ -3\dot{x}^k \dot{x}^j \dot{\partial}_m (\lambda_i \Gamma_{j[h}^i) \psi_{kl]} + \frac{1}{n+1} (\dot{x}^q \psi_{ql} \lambda_h \dot{\partial}_m A \right. \\
 \left. + \lambda_i \dot{x}^i \{ \psi_{lh} (2\dot{\partial}_m A - \Gamma_{rm}^r) + \dot{x}^q \psi_{ql} \dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{rh}^r - \dot{x}^q \psi_{qh} \dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{ri}^r \} \right. \\
 \left. - \dot{x}^q \psi_{qh} \lambda_i \dot{\partial}_m A \right\} + \frac{A \dot{x}^k}{n+1} \{ \dot{\partial}_i (b_p \dot{x}^p \psi_{kh}) - \dot{\partial}_h (b_j \dot{x}^j \psi_{kl}) \} \dots(3.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_p \dot{x}^p (\lambda_{[l} \psi_{jk]} + \psi_{m[k} \Gamma_{jl]}^m - \psi_{m[j} \Gamma_{kl]}^m) + \dot{x}^i X_p^m \dot{x}^p \dot{\partial}_m (\lambda_h \Gamma_{[i}^h) \phi_{jk]} \\
 - X_r^r \lambda_h \dot{x}^h \frac{1}{n+1} \{ \lambda_{[l} \phi_{kj]} + \phi_{m[j} \Gamma_{kl]}^m - \phi_{m[k} \Gamma_{il]}^m \} \\
 = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \{ (n+1) (\lambda_{[k} A_{|l]} - \lambda_{[j} A_{|kl]}) + (A \dot{\partial}_{[j} A)_{|l} \lambda_{k]} \\
 - (A \dot{\partial}_{[k} A)_{|l} \lambda_{j]} + 2\lambda_h P_{[jk|l]}^h \} \dots(3.10)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_i a_j \phi_{kh} + \frac{1}{n+1} [\lambda_i \dot{x}^i \{ \lambda_i \dot{\partial}_j (X_r^r \phi_{hk}) + \dot{\partial}_m (X_r^r \phi_{hk}) \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{pi}^m \dot{x}^p \\
 + X_r^r (\phi_{mk} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{hl}^m + \phi_{hm} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{kl}^m) \} + \lambda_i X_r^r \lambda_j \phi_{hk}] + \frac{2\lambda_i}{(n+1)^2} P_{++++}^i \\
 + \frac{2}{n-1} \left[\lambda_i (X_j^p \phi_{p[k} - \gamma_j^p \psi_{p[k} - \frac{2}{(n+1)^2} P_{+|k|+<l>}^j \right. \\
 \left. - \frac{1}{n+1} (\{ X_r^r (\dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{pi}^m \phi_{m[k} + \phi_{pm} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{k<l>}^m) + \lambda_i \dot{\partial}_j (X_r^r \phi_{p[k} \right. \\
 \left. + \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{qi}^m \dot{x}^q \dot{\partial}_m (X_r^r \phi_{p[k}]) \dot{x}^p + \lambda_i X_r^r \phi_{j[k} \} \right] \lambda_h = \lambda_i b_j \psi_{kh} \dots(3.11)
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF : Transvecting the expression obtained by using (3.6) in (1.24), with λ_i we have (3.8) in view of (3.7) and (2.7).

Using (3.3), (3.4), (3.6), (1.17) in (1.26) and then transvecting the expression obtained with λ_i , we obtain (3.9), in view of (2.7), (3.7) and (3.2).

In view of (1.2), (1.18), it is easily seen that

$$Q_{[ijk|l]}^+ = Q_{[j+k|l]}^+ + Q_{m[k|jl]}^h \Gamma_{il}^m - Q_{m[j|kl]}^h \Gamma_{il}^m \quad \dots(3.12)$$

$$R_{r[kj|l]}^r = R_{r[k+j|l]}^+ + R_{rm[j|kl]}^r \Gamma_{il}^m - R_{rm[lk|j]}^r \Gamma_{il}^m \quad \dots(3.13)$$

Using (3.12), (3.13), (3.1), (2.1), (1.11), (3.6) successively in (1.28) and then transvecting the expression obtained with λ_h we get (3.10) in view of (3.7) and (3.2), (2.7).

In view of (1.6), (3.6), (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\dot{\partial}_j R_{r+hk}^+)_l &= \lambda_i \dot{\partial}_j (X_r^r \phi_{hk}) + X_r^r (\phi_{mk} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{hl}^m + \phi_{hm} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{kl}^m) \\ &\quad + \dot{\partial}_m (X_r^r \phi_{hk}) (\dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{pl}^m) \dot{x}^p. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.14)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (\dot{\partial}_j R_{rpk}^+)_l &= \lambda_i \dot{\partial}_j (X_r^r \phi_{pk}) + X_r^r (\phi_{mk} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{pl}^m + \phi_{pm} \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{kl}^m) \\ &\quad + \dot{\partial}_m (X_r^r \phi_{pk}) \dot{\partial}_j \Gamma_{ql}^m \dot{x}^q \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.15)$$

and with the help of (1.18), (1.12), (2.1), (3.1), (3.6) and (2.6) we have

$$Q_{jk|l}^+ = \lambda_i \gamma_j^p \psi_{kp} \quad \dots(3.16)$$

$$R_{jk|l}^r = \lambda_i X_j^p \phi_{kp}. \quad \dots(3.17)$$

Differentiating (1.29) covariantly with respect to x^l , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{jkh|l}^+ &= R_{jkh|l}^+ + \frac{1}{n+1} (\dot{x}^i (\dot{\partial}_j R_{r+hk}^+)_l + \delta_j^i (R_{r+hk}^+)_l) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{(n+1)^2} P_{jkh|l}^+ + \frac{2}{n-1} \left\{ Q_{j[k|<l>}^+ - R_{j[k|<l>}^+ \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2}{(n+1)^2} P_{j[k|<l>}^+ - \frac{1}{n+1} (\dot{x}^p (\dot{\partial}_j R_{rpk}^+)_l) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + R_{rj[k|<l>}^+ \right\} \delta_{hl}^i. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.18)$$

Using (3.14), (3.15), (3.16), (3.17), (2.1), (2.6) in (3.18) and then transvecting the expression obtained with λ_i we have (3.11) in view of (2.7), (3.7) and (3.2).

The identities parallel to above can be obtained in $\tilde{R} - \ominus$ recurrent and $\tilde{Q} - \ominus$ recurrent Finsler spaces after replacing Γ_{jk}^i by $\tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^i$.

REFERENCES

- Cătalina Nitescu (1974). Bianchi's identities in a non-symmetric connection space. *Bul. Inst. Politehn Iasi (N.S.)*, 20(24), Fasc. 1-2, Sec. I, 69-72.
- Pande, H. D., and Gupta, K. K. (1977). An $R - \oplus$ recurrent Finsler space with non-symmetric connection. *Istanbul Univ. Fen Fak. Mate. Enst.*, (To appear).
- (1978). On projective entities of a Finsler space with non-symmetric connection. *J. Phys. Math. Sci., I.I.T. Madras*, (communicated).
- Rund, H. (1959). *The Differential Geometry of Finsler Spaces*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.