

ON COEFFICIENT BOUNDS OF p -VALENT λ -SPIRAL FUNCTIONS OF ORDER α

D. A. PATIL

*Department of Mathematics, Arts, Commerce and Science College,
Miraj 416410, Maharashtra*

AND

N. K. THAKARE

*Department of Mathematics, Marathwada University, Aurangabad 431004,
Maharashtra*

(Received 10 May 1978)

Let $S^\lambda(p, \alpha)$ be the family of functions f of p -valent λ -spiral functions of order α , f is holomorphic in $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$

We obtain here the bounds of a_n and maximization of $|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2|$ over the class $S^\lambda(p, \alpha)$ for real and complex values of μ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a fixed integer greater than zero; $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ is the open unit disc in the complex plane. A function f of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \tag{1.1}$$

that is regular in E and satisfies the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \lambda \tag{1.2}$$

for $z \in E$, $|\lambda| < (\pi/2)$ and $0 \leq \alpha < p$, is called p -valent λ -spiral function of order α . We denote the class of all p -valent λ -spiral functions of order α by $S^\lambda(p, \alpha)$.

Clearly for $\lambda = 0$, we have the class $S^*(p, \alpha)$ of p -valent starlike functions of order α considered by Goodman (1950); for $\alpha = 0$ we have p -valent λ -spiral function and further for $p = 1$ we get univalent λ -spiral functions investigated by Libera (1967).

Let B be the class of functions w which are regular in E and satisfy the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and for which $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in E$; in what follows, w will always have this meaning.

Let $\mathcal{P}(p, \alpha)$, ($0 \leq \alpha < p$ with p a positive integer) denote the class of functions with positive real part of order α that have the form

$$P(z) = p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k \tag{1.3}$$

which are regular in E and satisfy the conditions $P(0) = p$ and $\operatorname{Re} P(z) > \alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha < p$) in E .

The purpose of this paper is to obtain coefficient bounds of p -valent λ -spiral functions of order α . Theorem 3.1 is an extension of Theorem 1 of Libera (1967) for p -valent case; while Theorem 3.2 is concerned with maximization of

$$| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 |$$

over the class $S^\lambda(p, \alpha)$ for a given real as well as a complex number μ .

We state below some lemmas that are needed in our investigation.

2. SOME LEMMAS

The following lemma is to be found in Nehari (1952, p. 172).

Lemma 2.1 — If $\omega(z) \in B$, then $|\omega(z)| \leq |z|$ and that if

$$\omega(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$

then $|b_1| \leq 1$

and

$$|b_2| \leq 1 - |b_1|^2. \tag{2.1}$$

The following lemma is due to Keogh and Merkes (1969), the proof of which may be given by using Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2 — Let $\omega(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ be analytic with $|\omega(z)| < 1$ in E . If v is any complex number then

$$|b_2 - v b_1^2| \leq \max(1, |v|). \tag{2.2}$$

Equality may be attained with functions $\omega(z) = z^2$ and $\omega(z) = z$.

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 — The function $P \in \mathcal{P}(p, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$P(z) = \frac{p - (p - 2\alpha)\omega(z)}{1 + \alpha(z)} \tag{2.3}$$

where $\omega(z) = z\phi(z)$ and ϕ is regular function bounded by 1 in E .

Next we state the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 — If $f \in S^\lambda(p, \alpha)$ then

$$e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - ip \tan \lambda = \frac{p - (p - 2\alpha) \omega(z)}{1 + \omega(z)}. \quad \dots(2.4)$$

PROOF : If $f \in S^\lambda(p, \alpha)$, then the introduction of appropriate normalizing factors enables us to write

$$\frac{e^{i\lambda} (zf'(z)/f(z)) - ip \sin \lambda}{\cos \lambda} \Big|_{z=0} = p.$$

This form helps to represent the members of $S^\lambda(p, \alpha)$ in terms of functions of class $P(p, \alpha)$. Thus f is p -valent λ -spiral function of orders α if and only if there exists a function $P \in \mathcal{P}(p, \alpha)$ such that

$$e^{i\lambda} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \cos \lambda P(z) + ip \sin \lambda.$$

Use of Lemma 2.3 leads to the result of this lemma.

We also need the following.

Lemma 2.5 — If integers p and m are greater than zero; $0 \leq \alpha < p$ and $|\lambda| < \pi/2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{|2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} + j|^2}{(j + 1)^2} = \frac{4(p - \alpha) \cos^2 \lambda}{m^2} \\ & \times \left\{ (p - \alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (p + k - \alpha) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} + j|^2}{(j + 1)^2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.5)$$

PROOF : We prove the lemma by induction on m . For $m = 1$, the lemma is obvious.

Next suppose that the result is true for $m = q - 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{4(p - \alpha) \cos^2 \lambda}{q^2} \\ & \times \left\{ (p - \alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} (p + k - \alpha) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} + j|^2}{(j + 1)^2} \right\} = \end{aligned}$$

(equation continued on p. 845)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{4(p - \alpha) \cos^2 \lambda}{q^2} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ (p - \alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{q-2} (p + k - \alpha) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} + j|^2}{(j + 1)^2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (p + q - 1 - \alpha) \prod_{j=0}^{q-2} \frac{|2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} + j|^2}{(j + 1)^2} \right\} \\
 &= \prod_{j=0}^{q-2} \left| \frac{2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} + j}{j + 1} \right|^2 \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{(q - 1)^2 + 4(p - \alpha)(p + q - 1 - \alpha) \cos^2 \lambda}{q^2} \right\} \\
 &= \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \left| \frac{2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} + j}{j + 1} \right|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Showing that the result is valid for $m = q$; and we are done.

3. MAIN THEOREMS

Theorem 3.1 — If $f \in S^\lambda(p, \alpha)$, then

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-(p+1)} \frac{|2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} + k|}{k + 1} \quad \dots(3.1)$$

for $n \geq p + 1$ and these bounds are sharp for all admissible λ and α and for each n .

PROOF : As $f \in S^\lambda(p, \alpha)$, from Lemma 2.4, we have

$$e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - ip \tan \lambda = \frac{p - (p - 2\alpha) \omega(z)}{1 + \omega(z)}.$$

This may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda (zf'(z)) + (p - 2\alpha - ip \tan \lambda) f(z)\} \omega(z) \\
 &= (p + ip \tan \lambda) f(z) - e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda (zf'(z)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left[e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \left\{ pz^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p + k) a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \right\} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (p - 2\alpha - ip \tan \lambda) \left\{ z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \right\} \right] \omega(z) =
 \end{aligned}$$

(equation continued on p. 846)

$$= (p + ip \tan \lambda) \left\{ z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \right\} \\ - e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \left\{ pz^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p+k) a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \right\}$$

or

$$\left[pe^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda + (p - 2\alpha - ip \tan \lambda) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{(p+k) e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda + (p - 2\alpha - ip \tan \lambda)\} a_{p+k} z^k \right] \omega(z) \\ = p + ip \tan \lambda - pe^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{p + ip \tan \lambda - (p+k) e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda\} a_{p+k} z^k$$

which may be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [\{(p+k) e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda + (p - 2\alpha - ip \tan \lambda)\} a_{p+k} z^k] \omega(z) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [p + ip \tan \lambda - (p+k) e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda] a_{p+k} z^k \quad \dots(3.2)$$

where $a_p = 1$ and $\omega(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k+1} z^{k+1}$.

Equating coefficients of z^m on both sides of (3.2), we obtain

$$pe^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \cdot b_m + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (p - 2\alpha - ip \tan \lambda) a_{p+k} b_{m-k} \\ = \{p + ip \tan \lambda - (p+m) e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda\} a_{p+m};$$

which shows that a_{p+m} on right-hand side depends only on

$$a_p, a_{p+1}, \dots, a_{p+(m-1)}$$

of left-hand side. Hence for $k \geq 0$, we write

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} [\{(p+k) e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda + (p - 2\alpha - ip \tan \lambda)\} a_{p+k} z^k] \omega(z) \\ = \sum_{k=0}^m [p + ip \tan \lambda - (p+k) e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda] a_{p+k} z^k + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} A_k z^k$$

for $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and a proper choice of A_k .

Let $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 < r < 1$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |(p+k)e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda + (p-2\alpha-ip \tan \lambda)|^2 |a_{p+k}|^2 r^{2k} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \{(p+k)e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda + (p-2\alpha-ip \tan \lambda) a_{p+k} r^k e^{i\theta k}\} \right|^2 d\theta \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \{(p+k)e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda + (p-2\alpha-ip \tan \lambda)\} a_{p+k} r^k e^{i\theta k} \right|^2 \\
 &\quad \times |\omega(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^m \{p+ip \tan \lambda - (p+k)e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda\} a_{p+k} r^k e^{i\theta k} \right|^2 d\theta \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |A_k r^k e^{i\theta k}|^2 \\
 &\geq \sum_{k=0}^m |p+ip \tan \lambda - (p+k)e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda|^2 |a_{p+k}|^2 r^{2k} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |A_k|^2 r^{2k} \\
 &\geq \sum_{k=0}^m |p+ip \tan \lambda - (p+k)e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda|^2 |a_{p+k}|^2 r^{2k}. \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting $r \rightarrow 1$ in (3.3), the inequality (3.3) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left\{ |(p+k)e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda + (p-2\alpha-ip \tan \lambda)|^2 \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - |p+ip \tan \lambda - (p+k)e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda|^2 \right\} |a_{p+k}|^2 \\
 & \geq |p+ip \tan \lambda - (p+m)e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda|^2 |a_{p+m}|^2. \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Simplification of (3.4) leads to

$$|a_{p+m}|^2 \leq \frac{4(p-\alpha) \cos^2 \lambda}{m^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (p+k-\alpha) |a_{p+k}|^2. \tag{3.5}$$

Replacing $p+m$ by n in (3.5), we are led to

$$|a_n|^2 \leq \frac{4(p-\alpha) \cos^2 \lambda}{(n-p)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-(p+1)} (p+k-\alpha) |a_{p+k}|^2. \tag{3.6}$$

where $n \geq p+1$.

For $n = p + 1$, (3.6) reduces to

$$|a_{p+1}|^2 \leq 4(p - \alpha)^2 \cos^2 \lambda$$

or $|a_{p+1}| \leq 2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \quad \dots(3.7)$

which is equivalent to (3.1).

To establish (3.1) for $n > p + 1$, we will apply induction argument.

Fix $n, n \geq p + 2$, and suppose (3.1) holds for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - (p + 1)$. Then

$$|a_n|^2 \leq \frac{4(p - \alpha) \cos^2 \lambda}{(n - p)^2} \times \left\{ (p - \alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-(p+1)} (p + k - \alpha) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{i\lambda} + j|^2}{(j + 1)^2} \right\} \dots(3.8)$$

Thus from (3.6), (3.8) and Lemma 2.5 with $m = n - p$, we obtain

$$|a_n|^2 \leq \prod_{j=0}^{n-(p+1)} \frac{|2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} + j|^2}{(j + 1)^2}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.1). This proof is based on a technique found in Clunie (1959).

For sharpness of (3.1) consider

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(p, \alpha, \lambda)}(z) &= \frac{z^p}{(1 - z)^{2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda} e^{-i\lambda}} \\ &= z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{n-(p+1)} \frac{|2(p - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} + k|}{k + 1} z^n. \end{aligned} \dots(3.9)$$

Setting $p = 1$, in Theorem 3.1, we get Theorem 1 of Libera (1967) which is stated in the following corollary.

Corollary 1 (Libera 1967) — If $f \in S^\lambda(1, \alpha) \equiv S^\lambda(\alpha)$ and

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad z \in E \quad \dots(3.10)$$

then

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{|2(1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} + k|}{k + 1}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, \quad \dots(3.11)$$

and these bounds are sharp for all admissible λ and α and for each n .

Choosing $p = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$, we get the result due to Zamorski (1962) as following corollary.

Corollary 2 (Zamorski 1962) — If $f \in S^\lambda(1, 0) \equiv S^\lambda$ and has the representation (3.10), then

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{|2e^{-i\lambda} \cos \lambda + k|}{k+1}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad \dots(3.12)$$

Taking $p = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, we obtain a theorem of Robertson (1936) which was also obtained by Schild (1965) as following corollary.

Corollary 3 (Robertson 1936, Schild 1965) — If f is starlike of order α and has (3.10) as its Maclaurin series, then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{\prod_{k=2}^n (k - 2\alpha)}{(n-1)!}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad \dots(3.13)$$

By setting $p = 1$, $\alpha = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ in (3.6) of preceding theorem, we get a result established by Clunie and Keogh (1960) and also by Pommerenke (1962).

Corollary 4 — If f is starlike and has the representation (3.10), then

$$(n-1)^2 |a_n|^2 \leq 4 \left[1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k |a_k|^2 \right], \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad \dots(3.14)$$

Theorem 3.2 — If $f \in S^\lambda(p, \alpha)$, then (a) for any real number μ , we have

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq \begin{cases} (p-\alpha) \cos \lambda \left[\cos \lambda \{2(p-\alpha)(1-2\mu) + 1\} + |\sin \lambda| \right] & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ (p-\alpha) \cos \lambda [\cos \lambda + |\sin \lambda|] & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{1+p-\alpha}{2(p-\alpha)} \\ (p-\alpha) \cos \lambda \left[\cos \lambda \{2(p-\alpha)(2\mu-1) - 1\} + |\sin \lambda| \right] & \text{if } \mu \geq \frac{1+p-\alpha}{2(p-\alpha)}. \end{cases} \quad \dots(3.15)$$

and (b) for any complex number μ , we have

$$|a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| \leq (p-\alpha) \cos \lambda \times \max(1, |2(p-\alpha)(2\mu-1) \cos \lambda - e^{i\lambda}|). \quad \dots(3.16)$$

The result is sharp for each μ either real or complex.

PROOF : As $f \in S^\lambda(p, \alpha)$, from Lemma 2.4 we have

$$e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - ip \tan \lambda = \frac{p - (p - 2\alpha) \omega(z)}{1 + \omega(z)} \quad \dots(3.17)$$

where $\omega(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \in B$.

Rewriting the form (3.17) as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(z) &= \frac{p - e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda (zf'(z)/f(z)) + ip \tan \lambda}{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda (zf'(z)/f(z)) - ip \tan \lambda + (p - 2\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \{pf(z) - zf'(z)\}}{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda (zf'(z) + \{pe^{-i\lambda} \sec \lambda - 2\alpha\} f(z))} \\ &= \frac{-e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_{p+k} z^k}{2(p - \alpha) \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^k \right\} + e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_{p+k} z^k} \\ &= \frac{-e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_{p+k} z^k}{2(p - \alpha) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{2(p - \alpha) + ke^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda\} a_{p+k} z^k} \\ &= -e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda \left[\frac{a_{p+1}}{2(p - \alpha)} z + \frac{1}{2(p - \alpha)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left\{ 2a_{p+2} - \left(\frac{2(p - \alpha) + e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda}{2(p - \alpha)} \right) a_{p+1}^2 \right\} z^2 + \dots \right] \end{aligned}$$

and then comparing coefficients of z and z^2 on both sides, we have

$$b_1 = -\frac{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda}{2(p - \alpha)} a_{p+1}$$

$$b_2 = -\frac{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda}{4(p - \alpha)^2} [4(p - \alpha) a_{p+2} - \{2(p - \alpha) + e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda\} a_{p+1}^2].$$

Thus

$$a_{p+1} = -\frac{2(p - \alpha)}{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda} b_1$$

and

$$a_{p+2} = -\frac{(p - \alpha)}{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda} b_2 + \frac{2(p - \alpha) + e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda}{4(p - \alpha)} a_{p+1}^2,$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 &= -\frac{(p-\alpha)}{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda} b_2 + \left[\frac{2(p-\alpha) + e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda}{4(p-\alpha)} - \mu \right] a_{p+1}^2 \\ &= -\frac{(p-\alpha)}{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda} b_2 + \left[\frac{2(p-\alpha) + e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda}{4(p-\alpha)} - \mu \right] \frac{4(p-\alpha)^2}{e^{2i\lambda} \sec^2 \lambda} b_1^2. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.18)$$

Thus taking modulus of both sides of (3.18), we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| &= \frac{p-\alpha}{\sec \lambda} |b_2 - \left\{ \frac{2(p-\alpha) + e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda}{4(p-\alpha)} - \mu \right\} \frac{4(p-\alpha)}{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda} b_1^2|. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.19)$$

(a) *When μ is Real*

For real μ , (3.19) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| &\leq \frac{p-\alpha}{\sec \lambda} [|b_2| + |2(p-\alpha)(2\mu-1)\cos\lambda - e^{i\lambda}| |b_1|^2]. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.20)$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 for $|b_2|$ in (3.20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| &\leq (p-\alpha) \cos \lambda [1 + \{ |2(p-\alpha)(2\mu-1)\cos\lambda - e^{i\lambda}| - 1 \} |b_1|^2]. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.21)$$

Again using Lemma 2.1 for $|b_1|$ in (3.21) we are led to

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| &\leq (p-\alpha) \cos \lambda |2(p-\alpha)(2\mu-1)\cos\lambda - e^{i\lambda}| \\ &= (p-\alpha) \cos \lambda [\cos \lambda \cdot |2(p-\alpha)(2\mu-1) - 1| + |\sin \lambda|] \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.22)$$

Thus from (3.22) with simple computations we obtain the results of (3.15) stated in (a) of the theorem for various values of real μ .

(b) *When μ is a Complex Number*

For any complex number μ (3.19) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2| &\leq (p-\alpha) \cos \lambda \cdot \left| b_2 - \left\{ \frac{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda - 2(p-\alpha)(2\mu-1)}{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda} \right\} b_1^2 \right|. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.23)$$

Using Lemma 2.2 in (3.23) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & | a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 | \\
 & \leq (p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \cdot \max \left(1, \left| \frac{2(p - \alpha)(2\mu - 1) - e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda}{e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda} \right| \right) \\
 & = (p - \alpha) \cos \lambda \cdot \max (1, | 2(p - \alpha)(2\mu - 1) \cos \lambda - e^{i\lambda} |) \quad \dots(3.24)
 \end{aligned}$$

which is (3.16) in (b) of the theorem.

The sharpness of (3.15) and (3.16) follows from that of (2.2).

Setting $p = 1$ in Theorem 3.2, we get the result for univalent λ -spiral function of order α , as stated in the following corollary

Corollary 5 — If $f \in S^\lambda(1, \alpha) \equiv S^\lambda(\alpha)$, then (a) for any real number μ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & | a_3 - \mu a_2^2 | \\
 & \leq \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda [\cos \lambda \{2(1 - \alpha)(1 - 2\mu) + 1\} + |\sin \lambda|] & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ (1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda [\cos \lambda + |\sin \lambda|] & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2 - \alpha}{2(1 - \alpha)} \\ (1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda [\cos \lambda \{2(1 - \alpha)(2\mu - 1) - 1\} + |\sin \lambda|] & \text{if } \mu \geq \frac{2 - \alpha}{2(1 - \alpha)} \end{cases} \quad \dots(3.25)
 \end{aligned}$$

and (b) for any complex number μ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & | a_3 - \mu a_2^2 | \\
 & \leq (1 - \alpha) \cos \lambda \cdot \max (1, | 2(1 - \alpha)(2\mu - 1) \cos \lambda - e^{i\lambda} |). \quad \dots(3.26)
 \end{aligned}$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $p = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$, we get the result for univalent λ -spiral function as follows.

Corollary 6 — If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in S^\lambda(1, 0) \equiv S^\lambda$, then (a) for any real number μ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & | a_3 - \mu a_2^2 | \\
 & \leq \begin{cases} \cos \lambda [\cos \lambda (3 - 4\mu) + |\sin \lambda|] & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \cos \lambda [\cos \lambda + |\sin \lambda|] & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1 \\ \cos \lambda [\cos \lambda (4\mu - 3) + |\sin \lambda|] & \text{if } \mu \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad \dots(3.27)
 \end{aligned}$$

and (b) for any complex number μ , we obtain

$$| a_3 - \mu a_2^2 | \leq \cos \lambda \cdot \max (1, | 2(2\mu - 1) \cos \lambda - e^{i\lambda} |). \quad \dots(3.28)$$

The result is sharp.

Choosing $\lambda = 0$, in Theorem 3.2 we have the result for p -valent starlike function of order α as follows.

Corollary 7 — If $f \in S^*(p, \alpha)$, then (a) for any real number μ , we get

$$| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 | \leq \begin{cases} (p - \alpha) [2(p - \alpha) (1 - 2\mu) + 1] & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ p - \alpha & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq \frac{1 + p - \alpha}{2(p - \alpha)} \\ (p - \alpha) [2(p - \alpha) (2\mu - 1) - 1] & \text{if } \mu \geq \frac{1 + p - \alpha}{2(p - \alpha)} \end{cases} \quad \dots(3.29)$$

and (b) for any complex number μ , we have

$$| a_{p+2} - \mu a_{p+1}^2 | \leq (p - \alpha) \max (1, | 2(p - \alpha) (2\mu - 1) - 1 |). \quad \dots(3.30)$$

The result is sharp.

Remarks

(1) Setting $p = 1$ in Corollary 7, we obtain the result for univalent Starlike function of order α .

(2) Further putting $p = 1$ and $\alpha = 0$ in Corollary 7, we get the result for univalent Starlike function found in Singh and Singh (1974) and also in Keogh and Merkes (1969) for complex number μ only.

REFERENCES

Clunie, J. (1959). On meromorphic Schlicht functions. *J. Lond. math. Soc.*, **34**, 215-16.
 Clunie, J., and Keogh, F. R. (1960). On starlike and convex Schlicht functions. *J. Lond. math. Soc.*, **35**, 229-36.
 Goodman, A. (1950). On the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation and p -valent functions. *Trans. Am. math. Soc.*, **68**, 204-23.
 Keogh, F. R., and Merkes, E. P. (1969). A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions. *Proc. Am. math. Soc.*, **20**(1), 8-12.
 Libera, R. J. (1967). Univalent α -spiral functions. *Can. J. Math.*, **19**, 449-56.
 Nehari, Z. (1952). Conformal Mapping. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York.
 Pommerenke, Ch. (1962). On starlike and convex functions. *J. Lond. math. Soc.*, **37**, 209-24.
 Robertson, M. S. (1936). On the theory of univalent functions. *Ann. Math.*, **37**, 374-408.
 Schild, A. (1965). On starlike functions of order α . *Am. J. Math.*, **87** (1), 65-70.
 Singh, R., and Singh, V. (1974). On a class of bounded starlike functions. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **5**(8), 733-54.
 Zamorski, J. (1962). About the extremal spiral Schlicht functions. *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **9**, 265-73.