

## POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES AND ABSOLUTELY MONOTONIC FUNCTIONS

HARKRISHAN VASUDEVA

*Department of Mathematics, Punjab University, Chandigarh 160014*

*(Received 24 August 1978; after revision 28 May 1979)*

Let  $\mathcal{M}_n$  denote the class of all functions  $f(x)$  defined on  $x > 0$  such that if  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a matrix of order  $n$ ,  $a_{ij} > 0$  and  $A$  is a positive definite matrix, then  $B = (f(a_{ij}))$  has the same two properties. We characterise the class

$$\mathcal{M}_2 \text{ and } \mathcal{M}_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_n.$$

Let  $f(x)$  be a real-valued function defined on  $x > 0$ . Consider the following properties which  $f(x)$  may satisfy :

(I) If  $a_{ij} > 0$  and if  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a positive definite matrix of any order, then the matrix  $(f(a_{ij}))$  is also positive definite with positive entries.

$$(II) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n (x > 0) \text{ with } a_n \geq 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In what follows, we shall show that a function which satisfies (I) is of the form (II) and conversely.

Let us recall that  $A = (a_{ij})$  is said to be a positive definite matrix of order  $n$  if

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq 0$$

for every real vector  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ . A function  $f$  is said to be absolutely monotonic in  $(a, b)$  if it is infinitely differentiable in  $(a, b)$  and if all its derivatives are non-negative there; one can also characterise absolutely monotonic functions by means of higher differences, without any continuity assumptions (Widder 1941).

Many authors (Herz 1962, Rudin 1959, Schoenberg 1942) have considered a similar problem. Although both the assumptions and conclusions are of a similar nature yet the proofs of the Theorems 1 and 6 are quite different, much simpler and more direct.

Define  $\mathcal{M}_n$  to be the class of all functions  $f(x)$  defined on  $x > 0$  such that if  $A = (a_{ij})$  is a matrix of order  $n$ ,  $a_{ij} > 0$  and  $A$  is a positive definite matrix, then

$B = (f(a_{ij}))$  has the same two properties. Our problem is then to characterise the class  $\mathcal{M}_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{M}_n$ .

It is evident that if  $f \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , then  $f(a_{ij}) > 0$  for every  $a_{ij}$ , that is,  $f$  is a positive function. Moreover,  $f^2(a_{ij}) \leq f(a_{ii}) f(a_{jj})$ . The class of functions  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is a convex cone, closed under pointwise limits and contains the functions  $f(x) = x$  and  $f(x) = k > 0$ . From Schur's theorem [If  $(a_{ij})$  and  $(b_{ij})$  are positive definite matrices of the same order, then so is the matrix  $(c_{ij})$ , where  $c_{ij} = a_{ij}b_{ij}$ ] it follows that the product of any two functions in  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is again in  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Consequently,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n x^n, \quad a_n \geq 0,$$

defined on  $x > 0$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_n$ .

We have thus proved the following :

*Theorem 1* — If  $f$  is of the form II, then  $f$  satisfies I.

Our next result characterise the class  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .

*Theorem 2* — Let  $f$  be a real function defined on  $x > 0$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{M}_2$  iff  $g(x) = \log f(e^x)$  is midpoint convex and  $f$  is monotonically increasing. In particular, if  $f \in \mathcal{M}_2$ , then  $f$  is continuous.

**PROOF :** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{M}_2$ . Then  $\sqrt{f(a) f(c)} \geq f(\sqrt{ac})$  where  $a > 0$  and  $c > 0$ . That is,

$$\frac{1}{2} \{ \log f(a) + \log f(c) \} \geq \log f(\sqrt{ac}).$$

Thus

$$g\left(\frac{\log a + \log c}{2}\right) \leq \frac{g(\log a) + g(\log c)}{2}$$

that is,  $g(x) = \log f(e^x)$  is midpoint convex. Moreover,  $f(\sqrt{ac}) \geq f(b)$ , where  $b^2 \leq ac$  ( $a, b, c \geq 0$ ), implies that  $f$  is monotonically increasing.

On the other hand  $f(a) f(c) = e^{\sigma(\log a) + \sigma(\log c)}$   
 $\geq e^{2\sigma((\log a + \log c)/2)}$

iff 
$$\frac{g(\log a) + g(\log c)}{2} \geq g\left(\frac{\log a + \log c}{2}\right),$$

that is, 
$$\frac{\log f(a) + \log f(c)}{2} \geq \log f(\sqrt{ac}).$$

This implies  $\sqrt{f(a)f(c)} \geq f(\sqrt{ac}) \geq f(b)$ , using monotonicity of  $f$ . Thus

$$f(a)f(c) \geq f^2(b),$$

where  $a, b, c$  are positive and  $ac \geq b^2$ .

**Lemma 3** — Let  $f : (a - \epsilon, a + \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a  $C^{n-1}$  map satisfying the following : For any distinct positive numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  let

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \beta_i \beta_j f(a + t\alpha_i \alpha_j) \geq 0 \quad \dots(1)$$

for all real numbers  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  and sufficiently small  $t$ . Then  $f^{(j)}(a) \geq 0$  for all  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .

**PROOF:** Let  $\underline{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)$

$$\underline{\alpha}^{(j)} = (\alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, \dots, \alpha_n^j) \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Note that  $\underline{\alpha}^{(j)}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  are  $n$  linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Choose  $\underline{\beta}$  orthogonal to  $\underline{\alpha}^{(0)}, \underline{\alpha}^{(1)}, \dots, \underline{\alpha}^{(k-1)}$  but not to  $\underline{\alpha}^{(k)}$ . Here  $k \leq n-1$ . In (1) expanding  $f$  by Taylor series around  $a$ , we get

$$\sum_{i,j} \beta_i \beta_j \left[ \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{(t\alpha_i \alpha_j)^r}{r!} f^{(r)}(a) + \frac{(t\alpha_i \alpha_j)^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(a + \theta_{ij} t \alpha_i \alpha_j) \right] \geq 0$$

where  $0 < \theta_{ij} < 1$ . Since  $\underline{\beta} \cdot \underline{\alpha}^{(r)} = 0$  for  $r \leq k-1$ , we obtain

$$\frac{t^k}{k!} \sum_{i,j} \beta_i \beta_j \alpha_i^k \alpha_j^k f^{(k)}(a + \theta_{ij} t \alpha_i \alpha_j) \geq 0.$$

Cancelling  $t^k/k!$  and letting  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$f^{(k)}(a) \geq 0.$$

**Lemma 4** — Let  $f$  be a continuous function defined on  $\{x \in \mathbf{R} : x \geq 0\}$ . Assume that for each  $a > 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \geq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{i,j} \beta_i \beta_j f(a + t\alpha_i \alpha_j) \geq 0 \quad \dots(2)$$

for all  $t$  sufficiently small. Let  $\phi$  be any  $C^\infty$  probability density function with compact support in  $(0, \infty)$ . Let

$$f_\phi(x) = \int_0^\infty f(xy^{-1}) \phi(y) \frac{dy}{y}. \quad \dots(3)$$

Then  $f_\phi$  is  $C^\infty$  in  $[0, \infty)$  and  $f_\phi^{(j)}(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . In particular, by a result of Bernstein,

$$f_\phi(x) = \sum_0^\infty P_j(\phi) x^j \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, \infty)$$

where  $P_j(\phi) \geq 0$  and

$$\sum P_j(\phi) = \int_0^\infty f(y^{-1}) \phi(y) \frac{dy}{y}.$$

PROOF : It is clear from (3) that  $f_\phi \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ . Further (2) implies that  $f_\phi$  satisfies Lemma 3. Hence  $f_\phi^{(j)}(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . The last two parts are consequences of Bernstein's theorem.

Corollary 5 — If  $f$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4, then

$$f(x) = \sum_0^\infty P_j x^j \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, \infty)$$

where  $P_j \geq 0$  for every  $j$ .

PROOF : This is immediate from Lemma 4 by letting the probability distribution corresponding to  $\phi$  converge weakly to the distribution degenerate at 1.

Theorem 6 — If  $f \in \mathcal{A}l_\infty$  then  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty a_i x^i$ , for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , where  $a_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ .

PROOF : This is immediate from Theorem 2 and corollary 5.

Remark : It will be of interest to know the classes  $\mathcal{A}l_k$ ,  $3 \leq k \leq \infty$ . It is natural to expect that the classes  $\mathcal{A}l_k$  will become more specialised with increasing  $k$ . In fact, the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \leq 1 \\ x^2 & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

does not belong to  $\mathcal{A}l_3$ . One need only consider the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 & 4 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

However, the function  $f$  does belong to  $\mathcal{A}l_2$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to the referee for his useful comments. He will also like to record his thanks to Dr S. D. Shirali for helpful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- Herz, Carl S. (1962). Fonctions Operant sur les fonctions definies-positive. *Acad. Sci. Paris*, **255**, 2046-48.
- Rudin, W. (1959). Positive definite sequences and absolutely monotonic functions. *Duke J. Math.*, **26**, 617-22.
- Schoenberg, I. J. (1942). Positive definite functions on spheres. *Duke J. Math.*, **9**, 96-108.
- Widder, D. J. (1941). *The Laplace Transform*. The University Press, Princeton.