

## SELECTION PROCEDURES FOR HAZARD RATES BASED ON TWO-SAMPLE STATISTICS

AMAR NATH GILL AND GOBIND P. MEHTA

Department of Statistics, Panjab University, Chandigarh 160014

(Received 24 February 1988; after revision 20 January 1989)

Let  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_k$  be  $k$  independent populations and  $F_i$  be the absolutely continuous cdf (cumulative distribution function) with continuous pdf (probability density function) as  $f_i$  of the life time of individuals in the  $i$ th population,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We assume that the hazard rate  $r_i(x) = f_i(x)/\bar{F}_i(x)$ ,  $x \geq 0$  exists for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Here  $\bar{F}_i(x) = 1 - F_i(x)$  is the survival function. In this paper we consider the problem of selecting a subset of  $k$  populations containing the one associated with uniformly smaller hazard rate. The proposed selection procedures are based on two sample statistics which are functions of ordered ranks of the two samples under consideration. The procedure in general has many desirable properties.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose we have  $k$  independent populations of life lengths of their individuals which are being ranked in terms of hazard (failure) rates. We propose in this paper subset selection procedures to select a subset containing the population associated with uniformly smaller hazard rate based on two sample statistics given by Cheng<sup>1</sup>. Earlier, in an abstract, Patel<sup>2</sup> suggested a procedure for the problem of selecting a subset containing the population with the largest failure rate average out of several IFRA populations based on the number of failures observed by some fixed common time  $T$ . To the best of our knowledge no such paper appeared in the literature thereafter.

In section 2 of this paper we have formulated the problem. Proposed selection procedures are given in section 3. Section 4 deals with the probability of a correct selection, expected subset size and infimum of a probability of correct selection. In section 5 some desirable properties of the proposed procedure are discussed.

### 2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Let  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_k$  be  $k$  independent populations and  $F_i$  be the absolutely continuous cdf (cumulative distribution function) with continuous pdf (probability density function) as  $f_i$  of the life time of individuals in the  $i$ th population,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let the hazard rate  $r_i(x) = f_i(x)/\bar{F}_i(x)$ ,  $x > 0$  exist for each  $i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Here  $\bar{F}_i(x) = 1 - F_i(x)$  is the survival function of  $i$ th population,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Let

$\Omega = \{r : r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)^t, r_i(x) < \infty, x \geq 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$  be the space of hazard rates of the life times of individuals of  $k$  populations. For any two population  $\pi_i$  and  $\pi_j$ ,  $\pi_i$  is considered to be better than  $\pi_j$  if  $r_i(x) \leq r_j(x) \forall x \geq 0$ . We assume that there is a best population, that is, for each  $r \in \Omega$ , there is an index  $i$  such that  $r_i(x) \leq r_j(x), x \geq 0$  and  $\forall j, j \neq i$ . If more than one populations are tied for the best then arbitrarily one of them is labelled as the best.

For any  $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)^t$ , we shall denote by  $r_{[1]}$  the unique component of  $r$  corresponding to the best population. The goal is to select a subset of  $k$  populations containing the best population, the one with uniformly smaller hazard rate  $r_{[1]}$ . Any such selection will be called as CS (correct selection). Then the problem is to find a rule  $R$  such that for a pre-assigned probability  $P^*$  ( $\frac{1}{k} < P^* < 1$ ), this satisfies the probability requirement :

$$P_r[CS | R] \geq P^* \forall r \in \Omega. \quad \dots(2.1)$$

Let  $A$  be the action space of the subset selection problem which is the set of all nonempty subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , where taking action  $a \in A$  means the selection of those populations whose indices are in  $a$ . For any  $a \in A$ , let

$$CS(r, a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r_{[1]} \in \{r_i ; i \in a\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \dots(2.2)$$

and  $|a|$  = number of elements in  $a$ .

Let  $X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \dots, X_{in_i}$  be a random sample of size  $n_i$  from the  $i$ th population,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Let  $X_i = (X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \dots, X_{in_i})^t$  be the vector of observations from the  $i$ th population and let  $X = (X_{11}, X_{12}, \dots, X_{1n_1}, X_{21}, \dots, X_{2n_2}, \dots, X_{k1}, \dots, X_{kn_k})^t$  be the vector of all the observations. For any subset selection procedure  $R$ , let  $Z_R(X, a)$  be the probability assigned to  $a$  by  $R$  having observed  $X$ .

### 3. PROPOSED SELECTION PROCEDURE

We shall now develop procedures for the above problem on the basis of the estimators of the parameters

$$\Delta(F_i, F_j) = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} \{F_i(x) / [F_i(x) + F_j(x)]^{1/2}\} dF_j(x)}{\int_0^{\infty} \{F_j(x) / [F_i(x) + F_j(x)]^{1/2}\} dF_i(x)}, \quad i \neq j.$$

Such parameters have been proposed by Cheng<sup>1</sup>.

For the  $i$ th and the  $j$ th population

$$\begin{aligned}
r_i(x) &\leq r_j(x) \quad \forall x \geq 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow f_i(x)/\bar{F}_i(x) &\leq f_j(x)/\bar{F}_j(x) \quad \forall x \geq 0, \\
\Leftrightarrow \bar{F}_j(x) f_i(x) &\leq \bar{F}_i(x) f_j(x) \quad \forall x \geq 0, \\
\Leftrightarrow \{\bar{F}_j(x)/[\bar{F}_i(x) + \bar{F}_j(x)]^{1/2}\} f_i(x) &\leq \{\bar{F}_i(x)/[\bar{F}_i(x) + \bar{F}_j(x)]^{1/2}\} \\
&\quad f_j(x) \quad \forall x \geq 0, \\
\Rightarrow \int_0^\infty \{\bar{F}_i(x)/[\bar{F}_i(x) + \bar{F}_j(x)]^{1/2}\} f_j(x) dx \\
&\geq \int_0^\infty \{\bar{F}_j(x)/[\bar{F}_i(x) + \bar{F}_j(x)]^{1/2}\} f_i(x) dx \\
\Rightarrow \Delta(F_i, F_j) &\geq 1. \qquad \dots(3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Equality in (3.1) holds if  $F_i(x) = F_j(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .

To obtain the estimator of  $\Delta(F_i, F_j)$ , we replace  $\bar{F}_i$  and  $\bar{F}_j$  by the respective empirical survival functions. Let  $n_i + n_j = n$  and  $S_{(1)}^i \leq S_{(2)}^i \leq \dots \leq S_{(n_i)}^i$  and  $R_{(1)}^j \leq \dots \leq R_{(n_j)}^j$  be respectively the ordered  $i$ th and  $j$ th sample ranks in the combined sample. The estimator of  $\Delta(F_i, F_j)$  is  $T_{ijn} = T_{jn}/T_{in}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{jn} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_j-1} \left[ \left\{ n_i + \alpha - R_{(\alpha)}^j \right\} / \left\{ 2n_j^2 n_i^2 + n_j^2 n_i \alpha - n_j n_i^2 \alpha \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - n_j^2 n_i R_{(\alpha)}^j \right\}^{1/2} \right] + \left\{ n^{3/2} - n^{1/2} R_{(n_j)}^j \right\} / \\
&\quad \left\{ n_i^2 n_j^2 + n_j^2 n_i n^2 - n_j^2 n_i n R_{(n_j)}^j \right\}^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{in} &= \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_i-1} \left[ \left\{ n_j + \beta - S_{(\beta)}^i \right\} / \left\{ 2n_i^2 n_j^2 + n_j n_i^2 \beta \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - n_j^2 n_i \beta - n_i^2 n_j S_{(\beta)}^i \right\}^{1/2} \right] + \left\{ n^{3/2} - n^{1/2} S_{(n_i)}^i \right\} / \\
&\quad \left\{ n_i^2 n_j^2 + n_j n_i^2 n^2 - n_j n_i^2 n S_{(n_i)}^i \right\}^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

The statistics  $T_{ij}$ , proposed by Cheng<sup>1</sup> for testing  $r_i(x) = r_j(x) \forall x \geq 0$  against  $r_i(x) \leq r_j(x) \forall x \geq 0$ , depends only on the ordered ranks, which are uniquely defined, since  $F_i$  and  $F_j$  are both continuous. For tied observations average ranks can be used. Our selection procedure is based on  $T_{ij}$  and is defined as follows :

$R_a$  : Select  $\pi_i$  in the subset iff

$$T_{ij} \geq C_j^{(t)}(n, P^*) \forall j, j \neq i. \quad \dots(3.2)$$

The constants  $C_j^{(t)}(n, P^*) \geq 0$  are chosen such that

$$P_0 [T_{ij} \geq C_j^{(t)}(n, P^*) \forall j, j \neq i] \geq P^*. \quad \dots(3.3)$$

Here  $P_0$  indicates that the probability is computed under  $r_1 \equiv r_2 \equiv \dots \equiv r_k$  and  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)^t$ .

The procedure (3.2) can be modified to select a subset of  $k$  populations better than the unknown control population. Let  $r_0(x) = f_0(x)/\bar{F}_0(x)$  be the hazard rate of the control population  $\pi_0$  and  $r_i(x) = f_i(x)/\bar{F}_i(x)$  be the hazard rate of the population  $\pi_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Population  $\pi_i$  is considered to be better than  $\pi_0$  if  $r_i(x) \leq r_0(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Let  $n_j$  be the number of observations taken from population  $\pi_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$  and let  $\mathbf{n}^* = (n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k)^t$ . The proposed selection procedure based on the statistic  $T_{i0}$ , the estimator of parameter  $\Delta(F_i, F_0)$ , is

$R_{a_1}$  : Select  $\pi_i$  in the subset if and only if

$$T_{i0} \geq C_0^{(t)}(\mathbf{n}^*, P^*). \quad \dots(3.4)$$

The nonnegative constants  $C_0^{(t)}(\mathbf{n}^*, P^*)$  are chosen such that

$$P_0 [T_{i0} \geq C_0^{(t)}(\mathbf{n}^*, P^*), i = 1, 2, \dots, k] \geq P^*.$$

Here  $P_0$  indicates that the probability is computed under  $r_0(x) = r_1(x) = \dots = r_k(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .

The procedure (3.4) can approximately be used with the help of existing tables for the case of large and moderate equal sample sizes from all the  $(k + 1)$  populations as follows :

Let  $n_0 = n_1 = \dots = n_k = n$ . By Theorem 2.1 of Cheng<sup>1</sup>, it follows that as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $n/N \rightarrow p$  (here  $N = n(k + 1)$ ), the limiting distribution of

$$Z_{i0} = 2\sqrt{n}(T_{i0} - 1)/3$$

is normal with mean zero and variance 1 if  $r_t(x) = r_0(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . It is a known fact that the joint distribution of standardized correlated variables often tends asymptotically to multivariate normal distribution (c.f. Gupta *et al.*<sup>3</sup>). Thus the limiting distribution of the random vector  $Z = (Z_{10}, \dots, Z_{k0})^t$  under  $r_0(x) = r_1(x) = \dots = r_k(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$  will be asymptotically multivariate normal of equally correlated standard variables when  $n_0 = n_1 = \dots = n_k = n$ . Now the constants  $C_0^{(i)}(n^*, P^*)$  are determined such that

$$\begin{aligned} P^* &= P_0 [Z_{i0} \geq C_0 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, k] \\ &= P_0 [\min_i Z_{i0} \geq C_0] \\ &= P_0 [\max_i Z_{i0} \leq -C_0]. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$C_0 = 2\sqrt{n} [C_0^{(i)}(n^*, P^*) - 1]/3.$$

Now we can use the Table I of Gupta *et al.*<sup>3</sup> to read the constant  $-C_0$  and thereby get the values of constants  $C_0^{(i)}(n^*, P^*)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

#### 4. PROBABILITY OF CORRECT SELECTION AND EXPECTED SUBSET SIZE

Let us define,  $\tilde{A} = \{a \in A \mid CS(r, a) = 1\}$ , the probability of a correct selection is then

$$\begin{aligned} P_r [CS \mid R_a] &= P [r_{[1]} \text{ is in the selected subset} \mid R_a] \\ &= P_r \left[ \bigcup_{a \in \tilde{A}} (\text{X is observed and action } a \text{ is taken}) \mid R_a \right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty P_r \left[ \bigcup_{a \in \tilde{A}} \{\text{action } a \text{ is taken} \mid X = x, R_a\} \right] dF(x) \end{aligned}$$

There may be different subsets in  $A$  which contain the best population. All these subsets form  $\tilde{A}$ . However, on the basis of available observations one and only one subset from  $A$  can be chosen, that is, only one action at a time is possible. Thus the above integral may be written as

$$E_r \left[ \sum_{a \in \tilde{A}} P_r (\text{action } a \text{ is taken} \mid X, R_a) \right]$$

(equation continued on p. 778)

$$= E_r \left[ \sum_{a \in A} CS(r, a) Z_{R_a}(X, a) \right].$$

The expected subset size is

$$E_r[S | R_a] = E_r \left[ \sum_{a \in A} |a| Z_{R_a}(X, a) \right].$$

For fixed  $P^*$ ,  $0 \leq P^* \leq 1$ , a procedure  $R$  is said to satisfy the  $P^*$  condition if

$$\inf_{r \in \Omega} P_r[CS | R] \geq P^*.$$

Let  $P^* > \frac{1}{k}$  be specified. The following lemma due to Cheng<sup>1</sup> will be used to show that the procedure  $R_a$  satisfies the  $P^*$  condition.

*Lemma 4.1*—Assume that the statistic  $S(x_{j1}, \dots, x_{jn_j}, x_{t1}, \dots, x_{tn_t})$  is symmetric in arguments  $\{x_{j\alpha}, \alpha = 1, \dots, n_j\}$  and  $S(x_j^{(1)}, \dots, x_j^{(n_j)}; x_{t1}, \dots, x_{tn_t}) \leq S(x_j^{(1)}, \dots, x_j^{(n_j)}; x_{t1}, \dots, x_{tn_t})$  for every  $x_j^{(\alpha)} \leq x_j^{(\beta)}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_j$  and  $x_{t\beta}$ 's,  $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, n_t$ .

Here  $x_j^{(\alpha)}$  denotes the  $\alpha$ th order statistic from the  $j$ th sample. If  $F_t(x) \geq F_j(x)$  for all  $x \geq 0$  and  $F_t$  and  $F_j$  are both continuous distributions then for the random samples  $\{X_{t\beta}, \beta = 1, \dots, n_t\}$  and  $\{X_{j\alpha}, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_j\}$  and every constant  $C^*$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P[S(X_{j1}, \dots, X_{jn_j}; X_{t1}, \dots, X_{tn_t}) \geq C^* | X_{t\beta} \sim F_t; X_{j\alpha} \sim F_j] \\ \leq P[S(X_{j1}, \dots, X_{jn_j}; X_{t1}, \dots, X_{tn_t}) \geq C^* | X_{t\beta} \sim F_t, X_{j\alpha} \sim F_j]. \end{aligned}$$

*Theorem 4.2*—For the procedure  $R_a$ ,  $P^*$  condition is satisfied.

**PROOF:** Assume without loss of generality, that  $\pi_i$  is the best population i.e.,  $\pi_i(x) \leq \pi_j(x)$  for all  $j$  ( $j \neq i$ ) and  $x \geq 0$ . This implies  $F_i(x) \geq F_j(x)$  for all  $j$  ( $j \neq i$ ) and  $x \geq 0$ . The statistic  $T_{ij}$  satisfies the conditions of the above lemma. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P^* &\leq P_0[T_{ij} \geq C_j^{(i)}(n, P^*) \quad \forall j, j \neq i] \\ &\leq P_r[T_{ij} \geq C_j^{(i)}(n, P^*) \text{ for all } j, j \neq i]. \end{aligned}$$

### 5. PROPERTIES OF PROCEDURE $R_a$

Gupta and Nagel<sup>4</sup> and Santner<sup>5</sup> have defined some desirable properties of a selection procedure, viz. unbiasedness, monotonicity, strong monotonicity while proposing selection procedures for parametric families. We see below that with necessary

modifications in the definitions, these properties also hold for our procedure. In what follows we define and prove the strong monotonicity of  $R_a$ , which implies its monotonicity and hence the unbiasedness. For any  $r \in \Omega$ , let

$$p_r^n(i) = P_r [R_a \text{ selects } \pi_i] \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

*Definition 5.1*—The procedure  $R_a$  is strongly monotone in  $\pi_i$  means

$$p_r^n(i) \text{ is } \downarrow \text{ in } r_i(x) = f_i(x)/\bar{F}_i(x) \text{ when all other}$$

components of  $r$  are fixed;

is  $\uparrow$  in  $r_j(x)$  ( $j \neq i$ ) when all other

components of  $r$  are fixed.

To show the strong monotonicity of  $R_a$  we prove the following lemmas :

*Lemma 5.1*—The family of distributions of  $T_{ij}$  is stochastically increasing.

PROOF: Let  $r_i(x) < r_0(x) < r_j(x) \forall x \geq 0$  which implies  $\Delta(F_i, F_j) > \Delta(F_0, F_j)$  and let  $G(x; \Delta(F_i, F_j)) = P_{\Delta(F_i, F_j)} [T_{ij} \leq x]$  be the cdf of  $T_{ij}$ . We want to show that  $G(x; \Delta(F_i, F_j)) \leq G(x; \Delta(F_0, F_j)) \forall x \geq 0$ . Now  $r_i(x) < r_0(x) < r_j(x) \Rightarrow F_i(x) \leq F_0(x) \leq F_j(x) \forall x \geq 0$ . The result follows from Theorem 4.3.3 of Randles and Wolfe<sup>6</sup> by taking  $H \equiv F_i$ ,  $G \equiv F_0$  and  $F \equiv F_j$ .

*Lemma 5.2*—Let  $G(x)$  and  $H(x)$  be two absolutely continuous distributions with  $G(0) = H(0) = 0$  and let  $r_G(x)$  and  $r_H(x)$  be the corresponding hazard rates. If  $r_H(x) \leq r_G(x) \forall x \geq 0$  and  $S(x)$  is a nondecreasing function of  $x$ , then

$$E_H[S(X)] \geq E_G[S(X)].$$

PROOF: Since,  $r_H(x) \leq r_G(x) \Rightarrow H(x) \leq G(x) \forall x \geq 0$ , the lemma follows.

*Lemma 5.3*—Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$  be  $k$  independent random variables where distribution of  $X_i$  is  $F_i$  with hazard rate  $r_i(x), x \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . For any fixed  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) if  $S(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  is a nondecreasing function of  $x_i$  when all  $x_j, j \neq i$ , are held fixed and  $r_G(x)$  be the hazard rate corresponding to a continuous distribution  $G(x)$  such that  $r_i(x) \leq r_G(x), x \geq 0$ , then

$$E_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i, r_{i+1}, \dots, r_k} [S(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_k)]$$

$$\geq E_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_G, r_{i+1}, \dots, r_k} [S(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_k)].$$

PROOF:  $r_i(x) \leq r_G(x) \forall x \geq 0 \Rightarrow F_i(x) \leq G(x) \forall x \geq 0$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E_{r_1, \dots, r_t, \dots, r_k} [S (X_1, \dots, X_t, \dots, X_k)] \\
 &= E_{r_1, \dots, r_{t-1}, r_{t+1}, \dots, r_k} [E_{r_t} \{S (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{t-1}, X_t, x_{t+1}, \dots, x_k)\}]
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $S (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t, \dots, x_k)$  is a nondecreasing function of  $x_t$ . Hence by Lemma 5.2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E_{r_t} \{S (x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}, X_{t+1}, \dots, x_k)\} \\
 & \geq E_{r_G} \{S (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{t-1}, X_t, x_{t+1}, \dots, x_k)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for each fixed  $i$ , the lemma follows.

*Theorem 5.1*—The procedure  $R_a$  defined in (3.2) is strongly monotone in  $\pi_i$ , for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

**PROOF:** The family of distribution of  $T_{ij}$  is stochastically increasing and for each fixed  $i$ ,  $\min_{j \neq i} T_{ij}$  is nondecreasing in  $X_t$ , when other components of  $\mathbf{X}$  are held fixed. For fixed  $i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) define.

$$Q (T_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } T_{ij} \geq C_j^{(i)} \text{ (n, } P^*) \text{ for all } j \neq i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $r_t (x) \leq r_t^* (x)$  and  $r_j (j \neq i)$  are held fixed, it follows from Lemma 5.3, that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E_{r_1, \dots, r_t, \dots, r_k} [Q (T_{ij})] \geq E_{r_1, \dots, r_t^*, \dots, r_k} [Q (T_{ij})] \\
 & \Rightarrow P_r [R_a \text{ selects } \pi_i] \geq P_{r^*} [R_a \text{ selects } \pi_i]
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$p_r^n (i) > p_{r^*}^n (i) \tag{5.1}$$

where  $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t, \dots, r_k)^t$  and

$$r^* = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t^*, \dots, r_k)^t.$$

(5.1) implies that the selection procedure  $R_a$  is strongly monotone.

Since a selection procedure which is strongly monotone is also monotone (see, for example Santner<sup>5</sup>), and hence unbiased, we have the following corollary.

*Corollary 5.1*—The selection procedure  $R_a$  is monotone and unbiased.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks are due to the referee for suggesting improvements in the earlier version of the paper.

## REFERENCES

1. K. F. Cheng, *Biometrika* **72** (1985), 211-15.
2. J. K. Patel, *Ann. Math. Statist.* **38** (1967), 957.
3. S. S. Gupta, K. Nagel, and S. Panchapakesan, *Biometrika* **60** (1973), 403-13.
4. S. S. Gupta and K. Nagel, In *Statistical Decision Theory and Related Topics* (ed. : S. S. Gupta and J. Yackel), Academic Press, New York, 1971, pp. 79-102.
5. T. J. Santner, *Ann. Statist.* **3** (1975), 334-49.
6. R. H. Randles and D. A. Wolfe, *Introduction to the Theory of Nonparametric Statistics*. Wiley, New York, 1979.
7. D. M. Mahamunulu, *Ann. Math. Statist.* **38** (1967), 1079-91.