

# ON GENERALIZED QUASI-CONVEX SEQUENCE AND ITS APPLICATIONS

by S. M. MAZHAR, *Department of Mathematics, Kuwait University, Kuwait*

(Received 12 January 1976)

The purpose of this note is to define a generalized quasi-convex sequence and study some of its applications in the theory of summability and Fourier analysis.

§1. Given a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  we write  $\Delta a_n = a_n - a_{n+1}$ ,  $\Delta^m a_n = \Delta(\Delta^{m-1} a_n)$  with  $\Delta^0 a_n = a_n$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer. The sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is said to be convex if  $\Delta^2 a_n \geq 0$ . It is well known that if  $\{a_n\}$  is bounded and convex, then

$$a_n \downarrow, n\Delta a_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \sum_1^{\infty} (n+1) \Delta^2 a_n < \infty.$$

For other interesting results reference may be made to Chow (1941), Pati (1954, 1962), Prasad and Bhatt (1957), Bhatt (1962) and Mazhar (1966a, b).

A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is said to be quasi-convex if

$$\sum_1^{\infty} (n+1) |\Delta^2 a_n| < \infty. \quad \dots(1.1)$$

It is clear from the above result that every bounded convex sequence is quasi-convex. However, the converse need not be true. Contrary to what we have for convex sequences, a null quasi-convex sequence  $\{a_n\}$  need not be monotonic decreasing. It is, however, of bounded variation and it satisfies the condition

$$n\Delta a_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The concept of quasi-convex sequence was recently generalized by Telyakovskii (1973). According to him a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is said to belong to class  $S$  if

- (i)  $a_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (ii) there exists a sequence of numbers  $\{A_k\}$  such that  $A_k \downarrow 0$  and  $\sum_1^{\infty} A_k < \infty$ ,
- (iii)  $|\Delta a_k| \leq A_k$  for all  $k$ .

Taking  $A_k = \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} |\Delta^2 a_m|$  it follows that a null quasi-convex sequence  $\{a_n\}$  belongs to the class  $S$ . The converse is obviously not true. In view of the

conditions (ii) and (iii), it follows that every sequence  $\{a_n\}$  of class  $S$  is of bounded variation and that  $n \Delta a_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In this note we propose to obtain a more general class by introducing the concept of  $\delta$ -quasi-monotonicity. A sequence  $\{b_n\}$  of positive numbers is said to be quasi-monotone if  $\Delta b_n \geq -\alpha b_n/n$  for some positive  $\alpha$ . It is obvious that every null monotonic decreasing sequence is quasi-monotone. The sequence  $\{b_n\}$  is said to be  $\delta$ -quasi-monotone if  $b_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $b_n > 0$  ultimately and  $\Delta b_n \geq -\delta_n$ , where  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a sequence of positive numbers. Clearly a null quasi-monotone sequence is  $\delta$ -quasi-monotone with  $\delta_n = \alpha b_n/n$ .

A sequence  $\{a_n\}$  will be said to belong to class  $S(\delta)$  if

- (a)  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (b) there exists a sequence of numbers  $\{A_n\}$  such that it is  $\delta$ -quasi-monotone and  $\sum_1^\infty A_n$  is convergent,
- (c)  $|\Delta a_n| \leq |A_n|$  for all  $n$ .

It is obvious that  $a_n \in S \Rightarrow a_n \in S(\delta)$ .

The concepts of convex and quasi-convex sequences have been applied to various types of problems in different branches of Mathematics, such as Theory of Summability, Fourier Analysis etc. In Section A of this note, we shall study an application of the generalized quasi-convex sequence to a problem in the theory of absolute summability factors, while in Section B, we discuss its application to a well-known problem in Fourier analysis.

### SECTION A

§2. Let  $\sum_1^\infty a_n$  be a given infinite series with  $s_n$  as its  $n$ th partial sum. We denote by  $t_n$  the  $n$ th  $(C, 1)$  mean of the sequence  $\{na_n\}$ . The series  $\sum_1^\infty a_n$  is said to be summable

$$|C, 1|_k, k \geq 1, \text{ if } \sum_1^\infty \frac{|t_n|^k}{n} < \infty \text{ (Flett 1957).}$$

Generalizing a theorem of Pati (1962), Mazhar (1966 b) (see also Mishra 1965) proved the following theorem :

*Theorem A* — If

$$\Delta^2 \lambda_n \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_1^\infty \frac{\lambda_n}{n} < \infty \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\sum_1^m \frac{|s_r|^k}{r} = O(\log m), \quad m \rightarrow \infty, \quad k \geq 1 \tag{2.2}$$

then the series  $\sum_1^\infty a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|C, 1|_k$ .

Later on, Mazhar (1972) proved a more general theorem in which he replaced the conditions (2.1) and (2.2) by the following :

$$\lambda_n \rightarrow 0, \quad \sum_1^\infty n \log n \quad |\Delta^2 \lambda_n| < \infty \tag{2.3}$$

$$\sum_1^m \frac{|t_n|^k}{n} = O(\log m), \quad m \rightarrow \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

It is known (Pati 1962, Mazhar 1966) that (2.1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.3) and it is easy to show that (2.2)  $\Rightarrow$  (2.4). In this section we propose to show how condition (2.3) can be further relaxed by using the concept of generalized quasi-convex sequences. Our theorem is as follows.

*Theorem 1* — Let  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose that there exists a sequence of numbers  $\{A_k\}$  such that it is  $\delta$ -quasi-monotone with  $\sum_1^\infty n \log n \delta_n < \infty, \sum_1^\infty A_k \log k$  is convergent and  $|\Delta \lambda_k| \leq |A_k|$  for all  $k$ . If (2.4) holds, then  $\sum_1^\infty a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|C, 1|_k$ .

To show that condition (2.3) implies the condition of our theorem we can take, for example,  $A_k = \sum_{n=k}^\infty |\Delta^2 \lambda_n|$ .

§3. We need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 1.

*Lemma 1* — If  $\{b_n\}$  is  $\delta$ -quasi-monotone with  $\sum n \log n \delta_n < \infty$  and  $\sum b_n \log n$  is convergent, then

$$mb_m \log m \rightarrow 0, \quad m \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum n \log n \quad |\Delta b_n| < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Theorems 1 and 2 of Boas (1965, case  $\gamma = 1$ ) and hence omitted.

§4. *Proof of Theorem 1* — By partial summation

$$T_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_1^n v a_v \lambda_v = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta \lambda_v \sum_{r=0}^v r a_r + \frac{\lambda_n}{n+1} \sum_{r=0}^n r a_r$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^m \frac{|T_n|^k}{n} &= O \left[ \sum_1^m n^{-k-1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} | \Delta \lambda_v | (v+1) | t_v | \right)^k \right] \\ &\quad + O \left[ \sum_1^m \frac{|\lambda_n|}{n} | t_n |^k \right] \\ &= O \left[ \sum_1^m n^{-k-1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v | A_v | | t_v |^k \right) \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v | A_v | \right)^{k-1} \right] \\ &\quad + O \left[ \sum_1^m \frac{|t_n|^k}{n} \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} | \Delta \lambda_v | \right] \\ &= O \left[ \sum_1^m n^{-2} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v | A_v | | t_v |^k \right] + O \left[ \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{|t_n|^k}{n} \sum_{v=n}^{\infty} | A_v | \right] \\ &= O \left[ \sum_{v=1}^m v | A_v | \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \right] + O \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} | A_v | \sum_{n=1}^v \frac{|t_n|^k}{n} \right] \\ &= O \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta (v | A_v |) \sum_{r=1}^v \frac{|t_r|^k}{r} + m | A_m | \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{|t_r|^k}{r} \right] \\ &\quad + O \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} | A_v | \log v \right] \\ &= O \left[ \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} ( | A_v | + v | \Delta A_v | ) \log v \right] + O(m | A_m | \log m) + O(1) \\ &= O(1), \quad m \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypothesis and Lemma 1.

*Remark :* Following the analysis of this note we can obtain a more general result in which  $\log n$  is replaced by a positive sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  such that it is non-decreasing and

$$\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n = O \left( \frac{\mu_n}{n} \right).$$

SECTION B

§5. Let us consider the trigonometric cosine series

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx. \tag{5.1}$$

If  $a_n \downarrow 0$ , then this series converges to a function  $f(x)$  for all  $x$  except possibly at  $x = 0$ . It is well known (Zygmund 1959) that the condition of monotonicity alone does not ensure the  $L$ -integrability of  $f(x)$  and consequently (5.1) cannot be a Fourier series. Young (1913) proved that if  $\{a_n\}$  is a null convex sequence, then the above series is a Fourier series of a non-negative function. Later on, Kolmogorov (1923) observed that for the series (5.1) to be a Fourier series it is enough to assume that  $\{a_n\}$  is a null quasi-convex sequence. These results were subsequently generalized by Telyakovskii (1964, 1967) and others. The conditions imposed on  $\{a_n\}$  were, however, quite involved. Recently Telyakovskii (1973) proved another theorem which is as follows :

*Theorem B* — Let  $a_n \in S$ , then (5.1) is a Fourier series and the following estimate is valid :

$$\int_0^{\pi} \left| \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_1^{\infty} a_n \cos nx \right| dx \leq C \sum_0^{\infty} A_k,$$

where  $C$  is an absolute constant.

It was shown by him that Theorem B can be deduced from his more general results obtained earlier. He has also observed that his result is equivalent to a theorem of Sidon (1939). However, his result (Theorem B) is interesting in the sense that conditions on  $\{a_n\}$  are simple and can be verified easily.

In this section we propose to obtain a generalization of the above theorem by introducing the notion of  $\delta$ -quasi-convex sequences.

We prove the following theorem.

*Theorem 2* — Let  $a_k \rightarrow 0$  and  $\{A_k\}$  be a  $\delta$ -quasi-monotone sequence with  $\sum_1^{\infty} n\delta_n < \infty$ .

Suppose  $\sum_1^{\infty} A_k$  is convergent and  $|\Delta a_k| \leq |A_k|$  for all  $k$ . Then  $a_0/2 + \sum_1^{\infty} a_n \cos nx$  is a Fourier series and

$$\int_0^{\pi} \left| \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_1^{\infty} a_n \cos nx \right| dx \leq C \sum_0^{\infty} |A_k|.$$

The following lemmas are pertinent for the proof of our theorem.

*Lemma 2* (Boas 1965) — If  $\{a_n\}$  is  $\delta$ -quasi-monotone with  $\sum n^\gamma \delta_n < \infty$ ,  $\gamma \neq 0$ , then the convergence of  $\sum n^{\gamma-1} a_n$  implies that  $n^\gamma a_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Lemma 3* (Telyakovskii 1973) — If the sequence of numbers  $\{\alpha_i\}$  satisfies the condition  $|\alpha_i| \leq 1$ , then

$$\int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{i=0}^k \alpha_i D_i(x) \right| dx \leq C(k + 1),$$

where  $D_i(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \cos x + \cos 2x + \dots + \cos ix$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2* — Since  $\sum_0^\infty A_k$  is convergent and  $A_k > 0$  ultimately, it follows that  $\sum_0^\infty |A_k| < \infty$ . From the hypothesis,  $\sum |\Delta a_k| < \infty$ . Therefore, by the well-known result,  $a_0/2 + \sum_1^\infty a_n \cos nx$  is convergent for all  $x$  except possibly at  $x = 0$ . Hence in order to prove that it is a Fourier series, it is sufficient to establish Lebesgue integrability of its sum-function.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_1^\infty a_n \cos nx &= \sum_0^\infty \Delta a_n D_n(x) \\ &= \sum_0^\infty A_n \frac{\Delta a_n}{A_n} D_n(x) \\ &= \sum_0^\infty (A_n - A_{n+1}) \sum_0^n \frac{\Delta a_m}{A_m} D_m(x), \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of Lemma 2 ( $\gamma = 1$ ). Hence in view of Lemma 3

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\pi \left| \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_1^\infty a_n \cos nx \right| dx \\ &\leq \sum_0^\infty |A_n - A_{n+1}| \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{\Delta a_m D_m(x)}{A_m} \right| dx \\ &\leq C \sum_0^\infty (n + 1) |A_n - A_{n+1}| = C \sum_0^\infty (n + 1) |A_n - A_{n+1} + \delta_n - \delta_n| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \sum_0^{\infty} (A_n - A_{n+1} + \delta_n) (n + 1) + C \sum_0^{\infty} \delta_n (n + 1) \\
&= C \sum_0^{\infty} (A_n - A_{n+1}) (n + 1) + 2 \sum_0^{\infty} (n + 1) \delta_n \\
&\leq C \sum_0^{\infty} |A_n|
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\sum_0^{\infty} n\delta_n < \infty$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

- Boas, R. P. (Jr.) (1965). Quasi-positive sequences and trigonometric series. *Proc. Lond. math. Soc.*, **14** A, 38-46.
- Bhatt, S. N. (1962). On the summability factors of infinite series. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **11**, 237-44.
- Chow, H. C. (1941). On the summability factors of Fourier series. *J. Lond. math. Soc.*, **16**, 215-20.
- Flett, T. M. (1957). On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley. *Proc. Lond. math. Soc.* (3), **7**, 113-41.
- Kolmogorov, A. N. (1923). Sur l'ordre de grandeur des coefficients de la série de Fourier-Lebesgue. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math. Nat. Cracovie*, 83-86.
- Mazhar, S. M. (1966a). On the summability factors of infinite series. *Publ. Math.*, **13**, 229-36.
- (1966b). On  $|C, 1|_k$  summability factors of infinite series. *Acta Sci. Math.*, **27**, 67-70.
- (1972). On  $|C, 1|_k$  summability factors of infinite series. *Indian J. Math.*, **14**, 45-48.
- Mishra, B. P. (1965). On the absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series. *Rend. Cir. Mat. Palermo*, **14**, 189-94.
- Pati, T. (1954). The summability factors of infinite series. *Duke Math. J.*, **21**, 271-84.
- (1962). Absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series. *Math. Z.*, **78**, 293-97.
- Prasad, B. N., and Bhatt, S. N. (1957). The summability factors of Fourier series. *Duke Math. J.*, **24**, 103-17.
- Sidon, S. (1939). Hinreichende Bedingungen für den Fourier-Charakter einer trigonometrischen Reihe. *J. Lond. math. Soc.*, **14**, 158-60.
- Telyakovskii, S. A. (1964). Conditions of integrability of trigonometric series and their application to the study of linear methods for summing Fourier series. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.*, **28**, 1209-36.
- (1967). Asymptotic estimate of an integral from the absolute value of a function given by a series of cosines. *Sibirsk Mat.*, **8**, 1416-22.
- (1973). Concerning a sufficient condition of Sidon for the integrability of trigonometric series. *Mat. Zametki*, **14**, 317-28.
- Young, W. H. (1913). On the Fourier series of bounded functions. *Proc. Lond. math. Soc.* (2), **12**, 41-70.
- Zygmund, A. (1959). *Trigonometric Series, Vol. I.* Cambridge University Press, London.