

ON CONSISTENCY OF T -MATRICES FOR (C, r) -BOUNDED SEQUENCES

by M. B. ZAMAN, *Department of Mathematics, Koshi College, Khagaria (Monghyr) 851205*

(Received 18 December 1974; after revision 3 September 1976)

In this paper, the following main results have been proved besides lemmas. If T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) respectively, then A and B are consistent for $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequences, provided any of the following conditions is satisfied :

- (i) The matrices $F = (k \Delta a_{n,k})$ and $H = (k \Delta b_{n,k})$ commute.
- (ii) FH and HF are absolutely equivalent for all bounded sequences.
- (iii) $H^* \cap S \supset F^* \cap S$, where S is the set of the all-bounded sequences.
- (iv) (z_k) is within the ranges of $F[H]$ and $H[F]$, where F and H are commutable and the $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequence (s_k) is such that

$$z_k = (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + \dots + s_k)/k.$$

The above results are extended for (C, r) -bounded sequences, where r is a positive integer.

1. INTRODUCTION

Appropriate conditions are known in order that T -matrices are consistent for bounded sequences (Cooke 1950, pp. 98; Diences 1957, pp. 412). Commutable row-finite T -matrices are consistent also for unbounded sequences (Cooke 1950, pp. 98; Diences 1957, pp. 312). In the present note, we give a set of appropriate conditions in order that T -matrices are consistent for $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequences. We also extend these results for (C, r) -bounded sequences.

2. NOTATIONS, DEFINITIONS AND LEMMAS

$A(s_n)$ and $A\text{-lim } s_n$ denote $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k} s_k$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k} s_k$ respectively. A^* denotes the set of all sequences $\{s_n\}$ which are summable- A .

If $A\text{-lim } s_n = B\text{-lim } s_n$ for $\{s_n\} \in A^* \cap B^*$ and the limit is finite, A and B are said to be consistent (Cooke 1950, pp. 96).

Lemma 1—If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |\Delta a_{n,k}| \leq M$ for every n and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,k} = 0$ for every n , then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k a_{n,k} = 0$ for every n where $\Delta a_{n,k} = a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}$ (Lemma 7 of Bosanquet 1945; also Cooke 1950, pp. 216, 218).

Lemma 2—If a T -matrix A satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \leq M \text{ for every } n, \tag{2.1}$$

then the matrix $F = (f_{n,k})$ is a T -matrix, where $f_{n,k} = (k + r)(a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1})$, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, p$ (p being some fixed integer).

PROOF: Since A is a T -matrix,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,k} = 0 \text{ for every } n. \tag{2.2}$$

Lemmas 1, (2.1) and (2.2) together imply that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k a_{n,k} = 0 \text{ for every } n. \tag{2.3}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^p f_{n,k} &= \sum_{k=1}^p (k \pm r)(a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^p k (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}) \pm r \sum_{k=1}^p (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^p a_{n,k} - p a_{n,p+1} \pm r (a_{n,1} - a_{n,p+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.4) and using (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n,k} = 1 \tag{2.5}$$

Since A is a T -matrices, it follows from (2.1) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_{n,k}| \leq M_1 \text{ for every } n. \tag{2.6}$$

It is obvious that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n,k} = 0 \text{ for every fixed } k. \tag{2.7}$$

Hence, F is a T -matrix, since F satisfies the conditions (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7).

Lemma 3—If the T -matrices A and B commute, A and B are consistent at least for bounded sequences (Cooke 1950, pp. 98).

Lemma 4—If A and B are T -matrices such that AB and BA are absolutely equivalent for all bounded sequences, then the corresponding A and B limits are consistent for all bounded sequences (Cooke 1950, pp. 132, eqns. 11).

Lemma 5—If every bounded sequence which is summable by a T -matrix A is also summable by another T -matrix B , then A and B are consistent (Brudno 1945; Cooke 1950, pp. 130).

Lemma 6—If $\{s_k\}$ is within the ranges of $A [B]$ and $B [A]$, where A and B are commutable T -matrices, then A and B limits of $\{s_k\}$ are consistent (Cooke 1950, pp. 100).

We use the notation $A [B]$ to denote the transformation B followed by the transformation A and (AB) to denote the single transformation by the product matrix AB .

3. CONSISTENCY FOR $(C, 1)$ -BOUNDED SEQUENCES

Theorem I—If the T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \leq M, \text{ for every } n \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |b_{n,k} - b_{n,k+1}| \leq M_2 \text{ for every } n \tag{3.2}$$

respectively and the matrices $F = (k\Delta a_{n,k})$ and $H = (k\Delta b_{n,k})$ commute, then A and B are consistent for $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequences.

PROOF: Put

$$z_k = (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + \dots + s_k)/k \tag{3.3}$$

then

$$s_k = kz_k - (k - 1)z_{k-1} \quad (k \geq 1), z_0 = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

It is clear that if $\{s_k\}$ is any $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequences, $\{z_k\}$ defined by (3.3) is bounded. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^p a_{n,k} s_k &= \sum_{k=1}^p a_{n,k} \{kz_k - (k - 1)z_{k-1}\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^p k (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}) z_k + p a_{n,p+1} z_p \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^p f_{n,k} z_k + p a_{n,p+1} z_p \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$f_{n,k} = k (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}) = k\Delta a_{n,k}.$$

Since A is a T -matrix,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,k} = 0 \text{ for every } n. \tag{3.6}$$

Now, it follows from (3.1), (3.6) and Lemma 1 that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} ka_{n,k} = 0 \text{ for every } n. \quad \dots(3.7)$$

But (3.6) and (3.7) together imply that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} ka_{n,k+1} = 0 \text{ for every } n. \quad \dots(3.8)$$

Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.5) and using (3.8) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k} s_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n,k} z_k. \quad \dots(3.9)$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n,k} s_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_{n,k} z_k \quad \dots(3.10)$$

where

$$h_{n,k} = k\Delta b_{n,k}.$$

The existence of the left-hand sides of (3.9) and (3.10) implies the existence of right-hand sides of (3.9) and (3.10) respectively.

If the T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) respectively, then it follows from Lemma 1, with $r = 0$, that $F = (k\Delta a_{n,k})$ and $H = (k\Delta b_{n,k})$ are T -matrices.

Since F and H are commutable, it follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n,k} z_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_{n,k} z_k. \quad \dots(3.11)$$

From (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$A - \lim s_n = B - \lim s_n.$$

i.e., A and B are consistent for $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequences.

Theorem II—If the T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) respectively and FH and HF are absolutely equivalent for all bounded sequences where $F = (k\Delta a_{n,k})$ and $H = (k\Delta b_{n,k})$ then A and B are consistent for $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequences.

PROOF: Let $\{s_k\}$ be any $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequence, then the sequences $\{z_k\}$, defined by (3.3), is bounded. Now, we proceed as in Theorem I and consequently we get

$$A - \lim s_n = F - \lim z_n, \text{ where } f_{n,k} = k\Delta a_{n,k} \quad \dots(3.12)$$

and

$$B - \lim s_n = H - \lim z_n, \text{ where } h_{n,k} = k\Delta b_{n,k}. \quad \dots(3.13)$$

The existence of left-hand sides of (3.12) and (3.13) implies the existence of right-hand sides of (3.12) and (3.13) respectively. Since the T -matrices A and B satisfy conditions (3.1) and (3.2) respectively, it follows from Lemma 2 that F and H are T -matrices. Thus, it follows from Lemma 4 that the corresponding F and H limits are consistent for all bounded sequences $\{z_k\}$, since FH and HF are absolutely equivalent for all bounded sequences $\{z_k\}$. Therefore,

$$F - \lim z_n = H - \lim z_n. \tag{3.14}$$

From (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14), we get

$$A - \lim s_n = B - \lim s_n.$$

Thus, A and B are consistent for $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequences.

Theorem III—If the T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) respectively and $H^* \cap S \supset F^* \cap S$, where $F = (k\Delta a_{n,k})$, $H = (k\Delta b_{n,k})$ and S is the set of all bounded sequences, then A and B are consistent for $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequences.

PROOF: Let $\{s_k\}$ be a $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequence, then the sequence $\{z_k\}$, defined by (3.3), is bounded. Since the T -matrices A and B satisfy conditions (3.1) and (3.2) respectively, we proceed as in Theorem I and thus we get (3.9) and (3.10), where $F = (k\Delta a_{n,k})$ and $H = (k\Delta b_{n,k})$.

The existence of the left-hand sides of (3.9) and (3.10) implies the existence of their right-hand sides respectively.

The T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) respectively. Then (from Lemma 2) F and H are T -matrices.

Now, it follows from Lemma 5 that

$$F - \lim z_n = H - \lim z_n \tag{3.15}$$

since F and H are T -matrices, $H^* \cap S \supset F^* \cap S$ and $\{z_k\}$ is bounded. From (3.9), (3.10) and (3.15), we get

$$A - \lim s_n = B - \lim s_n.$$

Thus, A and B are consistent for $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequences.

Theorem IV—If the T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions (3.1) and (3.2) and $\{z_k\}$ is within the ranges of $F[H]$ and $H[F]$, where $F = (k\Delta a_{n,k})$ and $H = (k\Delta b_{n,k})$ are commutable, then A and B are consistent for $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequences $\{s_k\}$ whenever $z_k = (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + \dots + s_k)/k$.

PROOF: Let $z_k = (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + \dots + s_k)/k$, where $\{s_k\}$ is $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequence. Then $\{z_k\}$ is bounded and

$$s_k = k z_k - (k - 1) z_{k-1} \quad (k \geq 1).$$

Since the T -matrices A and B satisfy conditions (3.1) and (3.2), we proceed as in Theorem I and finally we get (3.9) and (3.10). The existence of the left-hand sides of (3.9) and (3.10) implies the existence of their right-hand sides respectively.

It follows from Lemma 2 that $F = (k\Delta a_{n,k})$ and $H = (k\Delta b_{n,k})$ are T -matrices and $\{z_k\}$ is within the ranges of $F[H]$ and $H[F]$. Then (3.15) holds (from Lemma 6). Hence

$$A - \lim s_n = B - \lim s_n$$

i.e., A and B are consistent for $(C, 1)$ -bounded sequences.

4. NOTATIONS AND LEMMAS

We write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 [a_{n,k}] &= k\Delta a_{n,k} = k(a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}), \\ \Delta_2 [a_{n,k}] &= \Delta_1 [\Delta_1 (a_{n,k})] = k\Delta (k\Delta a_{n,k}), \\ \Delta_3 [a_{n,k}] &= \Delta_2 [\Delta_1 [a_{n,k}]] = k\Delta (k\Delta (k\Delta a_{n,k})), \\ \dots &= \dots = \dots \\ \Delta_r [a_{n,k}] &= \Delta_{r-1} [\Delta_1 (a_{n,k})], \text{ i.e., } \Delta_r [a_{n,k}] \end{aligned}$$

denotes the operations of $k\Delta$ on $a_{n,k}$ successively r times.

It may be noted that $k^r \Delta^r a_{n,k} \neq \Delta_r [a_{n,k}]$. For example, taking $r = 2$,

$$\Delta_2 [a_{n,k}] = k^2 \Delta^2 a_{n,k} - k\Delta a_{n,k+1}$$

which is not the same as $k^2 \Delta^2 a_{n,k}$.

Lemma 7 If A is a T -matrix satisfying the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |\Delta a_{n,k}| \leq M \text{ for every } n,$$

then $(f_{n,k})$ is a T -matrix, where $f_{n,k} = k\Delta a_{n,k}$.

The Lemma follows from Lemma 2, with $r = 0$.

Lemma 8—If $f_{n,k} = k(a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1})$ and $h_{n,k} = k(b_{n,k} - b_{n,k+1})$, then the commutability of the matrices $(\Delta_r [a_{n,k}])$ and $(\Delta_r [b_{n,k}])$ implies the commutability of $(\Delta_{r-1} [f_{n,k}])$ and $(\Delta_{r-1} [h_{n,k}])$.

PROOF: We have

$$\Delta_{r-1} [f_{n,k}] = \Delta_{r-1} [\Delta_1 [a_{n,k}]] = \Delta_r [a_{n,k}], \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\Delta_{r-1} [h_{n,k}] = \Delta_{r-1} [\Delta_1 [b_{n,k}]] = \Delta_r [b_{n,k}]. \tag{4.2}$$

From (4.1), (4.2) and by the hypothesis, $(\Delta_{r-1} [f_{n,k}])$ and $(\Delta_{r-1} [h_{n,k}])$ are commutable.

Lemma 9—If $f_{n,k} = k(a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1})$ and $h_{n,k} = k(b_{n,k} - b_{n,k+1})$, then the absolute equivalence of the matrices $(\Delta_r [a_{n,k}])$ and $(\Delta_r [b_{n,k}])$ for all bounded

sequences implies the absolute equivalence of the matrices $(\Delta_{r-1} [f_{n,k}])$ and $(\Delta_{r-1} [h_{n,k}])$ for all bounded sequences.

The lemma follows immediately from (4.1), (4.2) and by the hypothesis.

Lemma 10—If $f_{n,k} = k\Delta a_{n,k}$ and $h_{n,k} = k\Delta b_{n,k}$, then $(\Delta_r [b_{n,k}])^* \cap S \supset (\Delta_r [a_{n,k}])^* \cap S$ implies that $(\Delta_{r-1} [h_{n,k}])^* \cap S \supset (\Delta_{r-1} [f_{n,k}])^* \cap S$, where S is the set of all bounded sequences.

PROOF: From (4.1) and (4.2), we get

$$(\Delta_{r-1} [h_{n,k}])^* \cap S = (\Delta_r [b_{n,k}])^* \cap S$$

and

$$(\Delta_{r-1} [f_{n,k}])^* \cap S = (\Delta_r [a_{n,k}])^* \cap S.$$

Therefore, by the hypothesis,

$$(\Delta_{r-1} [h_{n,k}])^* \cap S \supset (\Delta_{r-1} [f_{n,k}])^* \cap S.$$

Lemma 11—If $f_{n,k} = k\Delta a_{n,k}$ and $h_{n,k} = k\Delta b_{n,k}$, then $\{z_k\}$ is within the ranges of $(\Delta_r [a_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_r [b_{n,k}])]$ and $(\Delta_r [b_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_r [a_{n,k}])]$ implies that $\{z_k\}$ is within the ranges of $(\Delta_{r-1} [f_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_{r-1} [h_{n,k}])]$ and $(\Delta_{r-1} [h_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_{r-1} [f_{n,k}])]$.

PROOF: From (4.1) and (4.2), we get

$$(\Delta_{r-1} [f_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_{r-1} [h_{n,k}])] = (\Delta_r [a_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_r [b_{n,k}])]$$

and

$$(\Delta_{r-1} [h_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_{r-1} [f_{n,k}])] = (\Delta_r [b_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_r [a_{n,k}])].$$

Hence, the lemma follows.

Lemma 12—If r is a positive integer, then $\{s_k\}$ is (C, r) -bounded if and only if $\{z_n\}$ is $(C, r-1)$ -bounded, where

$$z_n = (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + \dots + s_n)/n.$$

This is essentially Lemma 1, of Bosanquet (1945).

Lemma 13—If $\{s_n\}$ is (C, r) -bounded where r is a positive integer, then $s_n = O(n^r)$ (Jha 1962, pp. 117; Bosanquet 1945, Lemma 3).

Lemma 14—If $f_{n,k} = k(a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1})$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^j |\Delta^j a_{n,k}| \leq M$ for every n ($J = r, r - 1$) implies that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{r-1} |\Delta^{r-1} f_{n,k}| \leq M$, for every n , where r is a positive integer (Zaman 1972, pp. 105).

5. CONSISTENCY FOR (C, r) -BOUNDED SEQUENCES

In this section, we extend the results of section 3 for (C, r) -bounded sequences, where r is a positive integer.

Theorem V—If the T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^j |\Delta^j a_{n,k}| \leq M_1 \text{ for every } n, (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r) \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^r a_{n,k} = 0 \text{ for every } n \end{aligned} \right\} \dots(5.1)$$

and

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^j |\Delta^j b_{n,k}| \leq M_2 \text{ for every } n, (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r) \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^r b_{n,k} = 0 \text{ for every } n \end{aligned} \right\} \dots(5.2)$$

respectively and the matrices $(\Delta_j [a_{n,k}])$ and $(\Delta_j [b_{n,k}])$, $(j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r)$, are commutable, then A and B are consistent for (C, r) -bounded sequences, where r is a positive integer.

PROOF: Putting in $r = 1$ in (5.1) and (5.2), we proceed as in Theorem I and consequently the theorem holds for $r = 1$. Suppose the theorem holds for $r = t - 1$, then we shall prove that the theorem is also true for $r = t$.

Put

$$z_k = (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + \dots + s_k)/k, \dots(5.3)$$

so that,

$$s_k = k z_k - (k - 1) z_{k-1} (k \geq 1). \dots(5.4)$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^p a_{n,k} s_k &= \sum_{k=1}^p a_{n,k} \{k z_k - (k - 1) z_{k-1}\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^p k (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}) z_k + p a_{n,p+1} z_p \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^p f_{n,k} z_k + p a_{n,p+1} z_p, \end{aligned} \dots(5.5)$$

where

$$f_{n,k} = k (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}).$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{k=1}^p b_{n,k} s_k = \sum_{k=1}^p h_{n,k} z_k + p b_{n,p+1} z_p, \dots(5.6)$$

where

$$h_{n,k} = k (\Delta b_{n,k+1}).$$

It follows from Lemma 12 that the sequence $\{s_k\}$, defined by (5.3), is (C, t) -bounded if and only if $\{z_k\}$ is $(C, t - 1)$ -bounded. This also follows from Lemma 13 $z_k = O(k^{t-1})$.

The condition (5.1) (ii) implies that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} p a_{n,p+1} z_p = 0, \text{ when } z_p = O(p^{t-1}) \quad \dots(5.7)$$

From (5.5) and (5.7), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k} s_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} j_{n,k} z_k. \quad \dots(5.8)$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{n,k} s_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_{n,k} z_k. \quad \dots(5.9)$$

By Lemma 7, the conditions (5.1) (i) and (5.2) (i), with $r = 1$, imply respectively that $(f_{n,k})$ and $(h_{n,k})$ are T -matrices.

The conditions (5.1) (ii) and (5.2) (ii) imply respectively that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{t-1} f_{n,k} = 0 \text{ for every } n, \quad \dots(5.10)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{t-1} h_{n,k} = 0 \text{ for every } n. \quad \dots(5.11)$$

By Lemma 14, with $r = t$, condition (5.1) (i) implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{t-1} |\Delta^{t-1} f_{n,k}| \leq M_3 \text{ for every } n \quad \dots(5.12)$$

Similarly, (5.2) (i) implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{t-1} |\Delta^{t-1} h_{n,k}| \leq M_4 \text{ for every } n. \quad \dots(5.13)$$

Now the matrices $(f_{n,k})$ and $(h_{n,k})$ satisfy the conditions (5.10) and (5.12) and (5.11) and (5.13) respectively and also the matrices $(\Delta_{t-1} [f_{n,k}])$ and $(\Delta_{t-1} [h_{n,k}])$ are commutable (from Lemma 8, with $r = t$). Thus, the T -matrices $(f_{n,k})$ and $(h_{n,k})$ are consistent for $(C, t-1)$ -bounded sequences $\{z_k\}$ whenever they exist (for by the supposition, the theorem holds for $r = t - 1$). Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n,k} z_k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_{n,k} z_k, \quad \dots(5.14)$$

by virtue of the observation made above.

From (5.8), (5.9) and (5.14), we get

$$A - \lim s_n = B - \lim s_n.$$

Hence, A and B are consistent for (C, t) -bounded sequences.

Theorem VI—If the T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions (5.1) and (5.2) respectively and the matrices

$$(\Delta_j [a_{n,k}]) (\Delta_j [b_{n,k}]) \text{ and } (\Delta_j [b_{n,k}]) (\Delta_j [a_{n,k}]), \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r)$$

are absolutely equivalent for all bounded sequences, then A and B are consistent for (C, r) bounded sequences, where r is a positive integer.

PROOF: Putting $r = 1$ in (5.1) and (5.2), we proceed as in Theorem II. Then the theorem is true for $r = 1$. Suppose that the theorem is true for $r = t - 1$, then we shall prove that the theorem is also true for $r = t$.

Since A and B are T -matrices satisfying the conditions (5.1) and (5.2) respectively we proceed as in Theorem V so that (5.8) and (5.9) hold.

We have proved in Theorem V that $(f_{n,k})$ and $(h_{n,k})$ are T -matrices (from Lemma 7) satisfying the conditions (5.10) and (5.12) & (5.11) and (5.13) respectively. Moreover, $(\Delta_{t-1} [f_{n,k}])$ $(\Delta_{t-1} [h_{n,k}])$ and $(\Delta_{t-1} [h_{n,k}])$ $(\Delta_{t-1} [f_{n,k}])$ are absolutely equivalent for all bounded sequences (from Lemma 9, with $r = t$). Thus, $(f_{n,k})$ and $(h_{n,k})$ are consistent for $(C, t - 1)$ -bounded sequences $\{z_k\}$, since by the supposition, the theorem is true for $(r = t - 1)$.

By virtue of the observations made above, (5.14) holds. Now it follows from (5.8), (5.9) and (5.14) that $A - \lim s_n = B - \lim s_n$.

Hence, A and B are consistent for (C, t) -bounded sequences.

Theorem VII—If the T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions (5.1) and (5.2) respectively and

$$(\Delta_j [b_{n,k}])^* \cap S \supset (\Delta_j [a_{n,k}])^* \cap S \quad (j = 1, 2, 3 \dots r),$$

where S is the set of all bounded sequences and r is a positive integer, then A and B are consistent for (C, r) -bounded sequences.

PROOF: Putting $r = 1$ in (5.1) and (5.2), it follows from Theorem III that the theorem holds for $r = 1$. Let the theorem hold for $r = t - 1$; then we shall prove that the theorem holds also for $r = t$.

The T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions (5.1) and (5.2) respectively and we proceed as in Theorem V, so that (5.8) and (5.9) hold.

We have also proved in Theorem V that the T -matrices $(f_{n,k})$ and $(h_{n,k})$ satisfy the conditions (5.10) and (5.12) & (5.11) and (5.13) respectively. By Lemma 10, with $r = t$, we have

$$(\Delta_{t-1} [h_{n,k}])^* \cap S \supset (\Delta_{t-1} [f_{n,k}])^* \cap S.$$

Since the theorem holds for $r = t - 1$, the T -matrices $(f_{n,k})$ and $(h_{n,k})$ are consistent for $(C, t - 1)$ -bounded sequence $\{z_k\}$.

Now (5.14) holds by virtue of the observations made above. Thus, it follows from (5.8), (5.9) and (5.14) that $A - \lim s_n = B - \lim s_n$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem VIII—If the T -matrices A and B satisfy the conditions (5.1) and (5.2) respectively and $\{z_k\}$ is within the ranges of

$$(\Delta_j [a_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_j [b_{n,k}])] \quad \text{and} \quad (\Delta_j [b_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_j [a_{n,k}])] \quad (j = 1, 2, 3 \dots r),$$

where $(\Delta, [a_{n,k}])$ and $(\Delta, [b_{n,k}])$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r$) are commutable and r being a positive integer, then A and B are consistent for (C, r) -bounded sequences $\{s_k\}$ whenever $z_k = (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + \dots + s_k)/k$.

PROOF: Putting $r = 1$, in (5.1) and (5.2), it follows from Theorem IV that the theorem is true for $r = 1$. If we suppose that the theorem is true for $r = t-1$, we shall prove that the theorem is also true for $r = t$.

It is clear that $\{z_k\}$ is $(C, t-1)$ -bounded sequence (from Lemma 12). We have proved in Theorem V that the T -matrices $(f_{n,k})$ and $(h_{n,k})$ satisfy the conditions (5.10) and (5.12) & (5.11) and (5.13) respectively. Also, (5.8) and (5.9) hold.

By Lemma 11, with $r = t$, z_k is within the ranges of

$$(\Delta_{t-1} [f_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_{t-1} [h_{n,k}])] \text{ and } (\Delta_{t-1} [h_{n,k}]) [(\Delta_{t-1} [f_{n,k}])];$$

and $(\Delta_{t-1} [f_{n,k}])$ and $(\Delta_{t-1} [h_{n,k}])$ are commutable (from Lemma 8, with $r = t$). By supposition, the T -matrices $(f_{n,k})$ and $(h_{n,k})$ are consistent for $(C, t-1)$ -bounded sequences and, therefore, (5.14) holds. Thus, (5.8), (5.9) and (5.14) together imply that $A - \lim s_n = B - \lim s_n$. Consequently, the theorem is true for (C, t) -bounded sequences.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author expresses his thanks to the referee for the suggestion to extend Theorem V, when r is any number ≥ 1 . The results will be reported in another paper.

REFERENCES

- Bosanquet, L. S. (1945). Note on convergence and summability factors. *J. Lond. math. Soc.*, **20**, 39-48.
- Brudno, A. (1945). Summation of bounded sequences by matrices (in Russian). *Mat. Sb.* **NS**, **16**, 191-247.
- Cooke, R. G. (1950). Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces. Macmillan & Co., London.
- Dienes, P. (1957). Taylor Series. Dover Publication.
- Jha, D. K. (1962). On absolute equivalence of general infinite matrices. *Semon Stewin Natuurkundig Tijdsch. prift.*, **35** (III), 113-28.
- Zaman, M. B. (1972). On absolute equivalence of T -matrices for (C, r) -bounded sequences. *Communs. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara, Ser. A*, **21**, 57-110.