

A FIXED POINT THEOREM IN BANACH SPACE

by BARADA K. RAY, *Regional Engineering College, Durgapur*

(Received 14 March 1975 ; after revision 21 July 1976)

In this paper, a characterization of reflexivity due to Smulian (1939) has been used to prove a fixed point theorem in a reflexive Banach space. The theorem extends the recent theorems of Woodward (1975) and Kirk (1965).

INTRODUCTION

Let X denote a Banach space, and K , a bounded convex subset of X . We define the diameter of K by $D(K) = \sup \{ \|x - y\| : x, y \in K \}$. K is said to have normal structure, if for each convex subset H of K , which contains more than one point, there is a point $x \in H$, such that

$$\sup \{ \|x - y\| : y \in H \} < D(H)$$

Let T be a self-mapping of X . T is said to be nonexpansive if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\| \forall x, y \in X$. By $O(x)$ we denote

$$O(x) = \{x, Tx, T^2x, \dots\}$$

Finally, C_0K and $\overline{C_0K}$ will denote the convex hull and closed convex hull of K .

Smulian (1939) proved that a necessary and sufficient condition that a Banach space X is reflexive is that: (a) Every bounded descending sequence (transfinite) of nonempty closed convex subsets of X has a nonempty intersection. Kirk (1970) proved the following theorem :

Theorem A—Let K be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of a reflexive Banach space X , and suppose that K has a normal structure. If T is a nonexpansive mapping of K into itself, then T has a fixed point.

Recently, Woodward (1975) proved that the conclusion of Theorem A of Kirk (1965) remains true if $T : K \rightarrow K$ satisfies:

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x - Tx\| + \frac{1}{2} \|y - Ty\| \quad \forall x, y \in K.$$

Further, T has a unique fixed point in this case.

AMS (MOS) subject classification (1970) Primary; 471170; Secondary; 54H25 Keywords and phrases; Fixed point, reflexive Banach space, normal structure, convex, non-expansive.

We shall prove the following theorem :

Theorem—Let K be a nonempty, bounded, closed and convex subset of a reflexive Banach space X and let K have a normal structure. If T be a mapping of K into itself, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\| &\leq \alpha \|x - y\| + \beta \{ \|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\| \} \\ &+ \gamma \{ \|x - Ty\| + \|y - Tx\| \} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R^+$ with $3\alpha + 2\beta + 4\gamma \leq 1$, then T has a unique fixed point.

Remarks— (1) The theorem of Woodward (1975) follows from our theorem by taking $\beta = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha = \gamma = 0$ and the theorem of Kirk (1965) follows by taking $\beta = \gamma = 0$ and $3\alpha = \lambda = 1$.

(2) It is not known whether normal structure is essential for the results of this paper (Kirk 1965).

Lemma A—Let K be a subset of a Banach space X and let the following condition

$T : K \rightarrow K$ be satisfied.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(B)} \quad \|Tx - Ty\| &\leq \alpha \|x - y\| + \beta \{ \|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\| \} \\ &+ \gamma \{ \|x - Ty\| + \|y - Tx\| \} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in K$ and for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R^+$ with $3\alpha + 2\beta + 4\gamma \leq 1$.

Then, for $x \in K, \|T^m x - T^{n+1} x\| \leq \|T^{m-1} x - T^n x\|$ and $\|T^m x - T^n x\| \leq \|x - Tx\|$ for positive integers m and n .

PROOF : With $y = Tx$ in (B), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - T^2x\| &\leq \alpha \|x - Tx\| + \beta \{ \|x - Tx\| + \|Tx - T^2x\| \} \\ &+ \gamma \{ \|x - T^2x\| + \|Tx - Tx\| \} \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \|x - Tx\| + (\beta + \gamma) \|Tx - T^2x\| \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - T^2x\| &\leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \|x - Tx\| \\ &\leq \frac{1 - 2\alpha - \beta - 3\gamma}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \|x - Tx\| \\ &= \left[\frac{(1 - \beta - \gamma) - (2\alpha + 2\gamma)}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right] \|x - Tx\| \\ &= \left[1 - \frac{(2\alpha + 2\gamma)}{1 - \beta - \gamma} \right] \|x - Tx\| \leq \|x - Tx\| \end{aligned}$$

Replacing x by $T^{n-1} x$, one has

$$\|T^n x - T^{n+1} x\| \leq \|T^{n-1} x - T^n x\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \| T^m x - T^n x \| &\leq \alpha \| T^{m-1} x - T^{n-1} x \| \\ &+ \beta \{ \| T^{m-1} x - T^m x \| + \| T^{n-1} x - T^n x \| \} \\ &+ \gamma \{ \| T^{m-1} x - T^n x \| + \| T^{n-1} x - T^m x \| \} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \| T^m x - T^n x \| &\leq \alpha \| T^{m-1} x - T^m x \| + \alpha \| T^m x - T^n x \| \\ &+ \alpha \| T^n x - T^{n-1} x \| + \beta \| T^{m-1} x - T^m x \| \\ &+ \beta \| T^{n-1} x - T^n x \| + \gamma \| T^{m-1} x - T^m x \| \\ &+ \gamma \| T^m x - T^n x \| + \gamma \| T^{n-1} x - T^n x \| \\ &+ \gamma \| T^n x - T^m x \| \\ &= (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \| T^{m-1} x - T^m x \| + (\alpha + \beta + \gamma) \| T^{n-1} x - T^n x \| \\ &+ (\alpha + 2\gamma) \| T^m x - T^n x \|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \| T^m x - T^n x \| &\leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \alpha - 2\gamma} \| T^{m-1} x - T^m x \| \\ &+ \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \alpha - 2\gamma} \| T^{n-1} x - T^n x \| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \alpha - 2\gamma} \{ \| x - Tx \| + \| x - Tx \| \} \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \| T^m x - T^n x \| &\leq \frac{2\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma}{1 - \alpha - 2\gamma} \cdot \| x - Tx \| \\ &\leq \| x - Tx \|. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF THE THEOREM : By Zorn's lemma, there is a minimal subset M of K , such that M is closed, convex and invariant under T . If $D(M) = 0$, then we are home. So let $D(M) > 0$. Now, since K has a normal structure, there is a point $y \in M$, such that $\text{Sup} \{ \| x - y \| : x \in M \} \leq r < D(M)$.

Thus, $\| Ty - y \| \leq r$, and so by Lemma A, we get

$$D(O(y)) \leq r.$$

Let $N = \{ x \in M : \| x - Tx \| \leq r \}$ and let

$$P = C_0(T(N)).$$

Then, P is closed, convex and nonempty. We wish to show that $T:P \rightarrow P$.
Let $y \in P$.

Case 1

$$y = Tp \text{ for some } p \in N$$

Then, by Lemma A:

$$\|Ty - y\| = \|T^2 p - Tp\| \leq \|p - Tp\| \leq r.$$

Hence

$$y \in N \text{ and } Ty \in P.$$

Case 2

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i Tp_i, \quad p_i \in N, \quad \lambda_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ty - y\| &= \left\| Ty - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i Tp_i \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \|Ty - Tp_i\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \left[\frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{\beta - \alpha - 2\gamma} \{ \|y - Ty\| + \|p_i - Tp_i\| \} \right] \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\|Ty - y\| \leq r.$$

So, $y \in N$ and $Ty \in P$

Case 3

y is the limit of terms of the form $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i Tp_i$,

$$p_i \in N, \quad \lambda_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1.$$

Then,

$$\|Ty - y\| \leq \left\| Ty - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i Tp_i \right\| + \left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i Tp_i \right\|$$

Hence, from Case 2, we have

$$\|Ty - y\| \leq r + \left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i Tp_i \right\|.$$

Thus, $\|Ty - y\| \leq r$, so $y \in N$ and $Ty \in P$. But M is minimal and so we should have $P = M$ and $D(P) = D(M)$.

Now, it can be easily shown that if A be a subset of a Banach space, then

$$D(A) = D(\bar{C}_0(A)).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(M) &= D(P) \\
 &= D(\bar{C}_0 T(N)) \\
 &= D(T(N)) \\
 &= \text{Sup} \{ \|Tx - Ty\| : x, y \in N \} \\
 &\leq \text{Sup} \left\{ \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \alpha - 2\gamma} [\|x - Tx\| + \|y - Ty\|]; x, y \in N \right\} \\
 &\leq r < D(M).
 \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that $D(M) = 0$ and so T has a fixed point, say ξ . Obviously, ξ is unique.

REFERENCES

- Belluce, L. P., and Kirk, W. A. (1969). Fixed point theorems for certain classes of non-expansive mappings. *Proc. Am. math. Soc.*, **20**, 141-46.
- Kirk, W. A. (1965). A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances. *Am. math. Mon.*, **72**, 1004-6.
- (1970). Fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings. *Proc. Am. math. Soc. Symp. pure Math.*, **18**, 162-68.
- Smulian, V. (1939). On the principle of inclusion in the space of type (B). *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, **5**, 327-28.
- Woodward, W. R. (1975). Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear maps. Under publication.