

σ -REFLEXIVE PRIMARY SEMIGROUPS

by HARBANS LAL, *Department of Mathematics, Hans Raj College, University of Delhi, Delhi 7*

(Received 20 October 1975)

Satyanarayana (1972) has initiated the study of commutative primary semigroups, and commutative semiprimary semigroups have been discussed by Lal (1975). We discuss in the present paper these semigroups in a very general set-up, namely when the underlying semi-group is σ -reflexive. The concept of σ -reflexive semigroups is due to Chacron and Thierrin (1972). We prove that a σ -reflexive semigroup is semiprimary if its ideals are totally ordered; idempotents form a chain in a σ -reflexive semigroup. In a regular σ -reflexive semigroup, primary and semiprimary semigroups become identical, but not in general. Some equivalent conditions in a left cancellative σ -reflexive semigroup have also been obtained. Finally, the inter-relations between the primary semigroups and the Archimedean semigroups (defined upon σ -reflexive semigroups) have been obtained.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND DEFINITIONS

Definition 1.1—A semigroup S is called σ -reflexive if for any sub-semigroup H of S , $ab \in H$ ($a, b \in S$) implies that $ba \in H$. Clearly, a commutative semigroup is a σ -reflexive semigroup.

The following two results on σ -reflexive semigroups are due to Chacron and Thierrin (1972).

Proposition 1.2—Any semigroup S is σ -reflexive if it satisfies the condition: $\forall a, b \in S, \exists m = m(a, b) \geq 1, ab = (ba)^m$.

Proposition 1.3—Let a, b be any two non-commuting elements of a σ -reflexive semigroup S . Then, for some $m > 1, ab = (ab)^m$.

Definition 1.4—Let A be any ideal of a semigroup S . We call radical (Zarishi and Samuel 1958) of A (to be denoted by \sqrt{A}) as the set $\{x \in S: x^n \in A \text{ for some } n\}$. Clearly $A \subseteq \sqrt{A}$.

Proposition 1.5—Radical of an ideal A of a σ -reflexive semigroup S is an ideal.

PROOF: Let $x \in \sqrt{A}$ and $a \in S$. Then, $x^n \in A$ for some n . Now, $xa = (ax)^m$ for some $m \geq 1$, by Proposition 1.2. If $m = 1$, then $xa = ax$ and clearly $xa \in \sqrt{A}$. Now, suppose that $m > 1$; we have $xa = (ax)^m = a \cdot (xa)^{m-1} \cdot x = a^2 \cdot (xa)^{m(m-1)-1} \cdot x^2$, and repeating this procedure, we ultimately get that $xa \in A$ and hence in \sqrt{A} . By a similar argument, $ax \in \sqrt{A}$, proving that \sqrt{A} is an ideal of S , in case S is σ -reflexive.

Remark 1.6—The σ -reflexive character of S is an essential part of the hypothesis to make \sqrt{A} an ideal of S . For instance, consider, $S = \{0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$, where multiplication is given by the table :

	0	a_1	a_2	a_3	a_4
0	0	0	0	0	0
a_1	0	a_1	0	a_3	0
a_2	0	0	a_2	0	a_4
a_3	0	0	a_3	0	a_1
a_4	0	a_4	0	a_2	0

This semigroup is not σ -reflexive, as $H = \{a_2\}$ is a subsemigroup of S containing $a_4 \cdot a_3$, but not $a_3 a_4$. Now taking $A = \{0\}$, we have $\sqrt{A} = \{0, a_3, a_4\}$, but this is not an ideal of S (in fact, this is not even a subsemigroup of S).

Definition 1.7—An ideal Q of a semigroup S is said to be primary (Zariski and Samuel 1958) iff $ab \in Q, a \notin Q (a, b \in S) \Rightarrow b^n \in Q$ for some n .

Theorem 1.8—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup. Then, an ideal P of S is prime if it is completely prime.

PROOF : Let P be prime and $ab \in P (a, b \in S)$. For any x in $S, xb = (bx)^m$ for some $m \geq 1$. But then $axb = a(bx)^m \in P$ for all $x \in S$. Hence, $aSb \subseteq P$, whence $a \in P$ or $b \in P$, since P is prime. Therefore, P is completely prime. The converse follows by definitions.

Remark 1.9—In the above example, $\{0\}$ is prime, but not completely prime. This shows the necessity of σ -reflexivity in the hypothesis of Theorem 1.8.

As we have to consider in this paper, only σ -reflexive semigroups, in view of the above theorem, we do not make any distinction between the prime and completely prime ideals.

Proposition 1.10—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup and Q , a primary ideal. Then \sqrt{Q} is prime.

PROOF : Let $ab \in \sqrt{Q}, a \notin \sqrt{Q}$. There exists $m \geq 1$, such that $(ab)^m \in Q$. If $ab = ba$, then $(ab)^m = a^m \cdot b^m \in Q; a \notin \sqrt{Q} \Rightarrow a^m \notin Q \forall m$, and Q being primary, we get $(b^m)^p \in Q$ for some p ; whence $b \in \sqrt{Q}$ and \sqrt{Q} is prime. If, on the other hand, $ab \neq ba$, then since S is σ -reflexive, we have $ab = (ab)^t$ for some $t > 1$. If $m \leq t$, then $ab = (ab)^t = (ab)^m (ab)^{t-m} \in Q$, i.e., $ab \in Q, a \notin \sqrt{Q}$, whence $b \in Q$ and $b \in \sqrt{Q}$, again proving \sqrt{Q} to be prime.

Next, if $m > t$, then $m = tq + r (0 \leq r < t); (ab)^m = (ab)^{qt} \cdot (ab)^r = (ab)^q \cdot (ab)^r = (ab)^{q+r}$, using $ab = (ab)^t$. If $q + r \geq t$, by repetition of the above procedure, we get ultimately $(ab)^m = (ab)^p \in Q$ and $p \leq t$. The argument in the previous paragraph is again applicable.

Definitions 1.11—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup. Then S is said to be primary if each of its ideals is primary. An ideal A of S is said to be semiprimary if \sqrt{A} is prime. S is said to be a semiprimary semigroup if each of its ideals is semiprimary (Lal 1975). By Proposition 1.10, \sqrt{Q} of a primary ideal Q is prime; therefore, a primary ideal is semiprimary and hence a primary semigroup is semiprimary. S is said to satisfy condition (*) (Gilmer 1962), if every semiprimary ideal of S is primary.

2. SEMIPRIMARY AND PRIMARY SEMIGROUPS

Remark 2.1—Every one-sided ideal of a σ -reflexive semigroup is clearly a two-sided ideal.

Lemma 2.2—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup and A any ideal of S . Then $\sqrt{A} = \cap P$, where intersection is over all prime ideals P of S , such that $A \subseteq P$.

PROOF: Clearly $\sqrt{A} \subseteq \cap P$. For the reverse inclusion, suppose $x \notin \sqrt{A}$; then $T = \{x, x^2, x^3, \dots\}$ is a subsemigroup of S , such that $T \cap A = \phi$. An application of Zorn's lemma yields an ideal $P \supseteq A$, $T \cap P = \phi$ and P is maximal with respect to this property. This P can be easily seen to be a prime ideal and it does not contain x . Hence, $\cap P \subseteq \sqrt{A}$.

Theorem 2.3—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup. Then S is semiprimary if prime ideals of S are totally ordered.

PROOF: Let, first, prime ideals be totally ordered and A any ideal. Then by the above lemma, $\sqrt{A} = \cap P$. We prove that $\cap P$ is prime. Let $a \notin \cap P$ and $b \notin \cap P$. Then $a \notin P_1$ and $b \notin P_2$ for some primes P_1 and P_2 . But $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ or $P_2 \subseteq P_1$. To be definite, let $P_1 \subseteq P_2$. Then $b \notin P_1$, whence $ab \notin P_1$ and hence $ab \notin \cap P$, proving that $\cap P$ is prime, i.e., A is semiprimary and so is S . Conversely, let S be semiprimary and suppose there exists prime ideals P and P' , such that $P \not\subseteq P'$ and $P' \not\subseteq P$. This gives $a \in P \setminus P'$ and $b \in P' \setminus P$, whence $ab \in P \cap P'$, $a \notin P \cap P'$, $b \notin P \cap P'$, i.e., $P \cap P'$ is not a prime ideal. But $P \cap P' = \sqrt{P \cap P'}$, and as S is semiprimary, $P \cap P'$ is prime. This contradiction establishes that prime ideals of S are totally ordered.

Lemma 2.4—(Chacron and Thierrin 1972)—Every idempotent of a σ -reflexive semigroup is in its centre.

Corollary 2.5—Let S be a σ -reflexive semiprimary semigroup. Then its idempotents form a chain under natural ordering.

PROOF: By 2.1, and 2.3, $\sqrt{eS} \subseteq \sqrt{fS}$ or $\sqrt{fS} \subseteq \sqrt{eS}$ for any two idempotents e and f . By the above lemma, $e = ef$ or $f = ef$.

Theorem 2.6—Let S be a regular σ -reflexive semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) Every ideal of S is prime.
- (ii) S is a primary semigroup.

- (iii) S is a semiprimary semigroup.
- (iv) The idempotents form a chain.
- (v) The ideals are totally ordered.

PROOF : (iv) \Rightarrow (v). Let A and B be any two ideals, such that $B \subseteq A$. Then there exists $b \in B \setminus A$. For any $a \in A$, $aS = eS$ and $bS = fS$, where e and f are some idempotents of S , by regularity of S . As $b \in B \setminus A$, we have $f \leq e$ and since idempotents form a chain, $e \leq f$, whence $aS \subseteq bS$ and consequently $A \subseteq bS \subseteq B$, i.e., the ideals of S are totally ordered. (v) \Rightarrow (i). Let A be any ideal of S and $xy \in A$. There exist idempotents e and f , such that $Sx = Se$ and $yS = fS$. Also, Se and fS are ideals. Assume for the sake of definiteness, $Se \subseteq fS$, whence $e = fe = ef = sx \cdot yt \in A$. Hence, $x \in A$. Similarly, the other case proves that A is prime. The remaining implications follow by the previous results and definitions.

This theorem yields that if S is a regular (σ -reflexive) semigroup, then the two concepts of semiprimary and primary semigroups coincide. In general, these are two different concepts, as can be seen by Example 2 of Lal (1975).

Corollary 2.7—Let S be a σ -reflexive semiprimary semigroup. Then every ideal of S is prime if S is a regular semigroup.

PROOF : Let every ideal of S be prime. Then for any ideal A , A^2 is prime and hence $A^2 = A$. Thus, for any $x \in S$, $S^1 \cdot x = (S^1 \cdot x)^2 = S^1 \cdot x^2$, since S is σ -reflexive. But then $x = tx^2$ for some $t \in S^1$. If $t = 1$, then x is idempotent and hence regular, and if $t \neq 1$, i.e., $t \in S$, then $x = tx^2 = (xt)^m \cdot x$, i.e., x is again regular. Therefore, S is regular. The converse follows by the above theorem.

Combining this with Theorem 2.6, we get

Corollary 2.8—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup. Then every ideal of S is prime if S is regular and its idempotents form a chain.

Proposition 2.9—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup with identity. If every prime ideal is maximal, then S is a primary semigroup.

PROOF : By hypothesis, S has a unique proper maximal ideal M , which is also prime and any element not in M is a unit. For any ideal $A \neq S$, $\sqrt{A} = M$, as M is the only prime ideal by hypothesis. This means A is primary and so is S .

However, S to be primary does not imply that every prime ideal is maximal. The example in Remark 2.6 (Satyanarayana 1972) gives an illustration.

Lemma 2.10—A set P of a σ -reflexive semigroup S is a minimal prime ideal belonging to an ideal A of S if $S \setminus P$ is maximal subsemigroup not meeting A .

Lemma 2.11—Any prime ideal containing an ideal of a σ -reflexive semigroup contains a minimal prime ideal belonging to A .

McCoy (1962, pp. 103–107), though working in commutating rings, provides a proof which can be easily adapted for σ -reflexive semigroups for proving the above two lemmas.

Proposition 2.12—Let S be a left cancellative σ -reflexive semigroup satisfying condition (*). If Q is a primary ideal with \sqrt{Q} a non-maximal prime ideal, then Q is prime.

PROOF: There exists an ideal A , such that $\sqrt{Q} \subset A \subset S$, by hypothesis. Choose $y \in A \setminus \sqrt{Q}$ and let $x \in \sqrt{Q}$. Then $\sqrt{Q \cup yxS^1} = \sqrt{Q}$. By condition (*) $Q \cup yxS^1$ is primary. For a $t \in S \setminus A$, $yx t \in Q \cup yxS^1$, $y \notin \sqrt{Q} \Rightarrow xt \in Q \cup yxS^1$. For $xt \in yxS^1$, we have $xt = yxt = (xy)^m \cdot t'$ ($t' \in S^1$), whence by left cancellation, $t = (yx)^{m-1} \cdot yt' \in A$. This is not possible. Therefore, $xt \in Q$, $t \in \sqrt{Q}$, and Q is primary, $x \in Q$. Hence, $Q = \sqrt{Q}$ and Q is prime.

Lemma 2.13—Let S be a left cancellative σ -reflexive semigroup. If there exist two non-commuting elements in S , then S is with identity and both these elements are units.

PROOF: Let $ab \neq ba$. Then $ab = (ab)^s$, where $s > 1$, whence $(ab)^{s-1}$ is an idempotent. But an idempotent in a left cancellative semigroup is a left identity of S . Also, this idempotent is in the centre of S and, therefore, it is an identity. Clearly $(ba)^{r-1}$ is an idempotent again and hence it equals the identity, whence a and b are both units.

Theorem 2.14—Let S be a left cancellative σ -reflexive semigroup satisfying condition (*). Then every proper prime ideal of S is maximal.

PROOF: Let P be a proper prime ideal. Let $p \in P$. By Lemma 2.11, there is a minimal prime ideal P_1 , such that $pS^1 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq P$. We shall show that P_1 is maximal. Suppose it is not. Call $T = S \setminus P_1$ and $A = \{a \in S : at \in pS^1 \text{ for some } t \in T\}$. T is a subsemigroup and A is an ideal contained in P_1 . Now take any $b_1 \in P_1$. Put $T_1 = \{b_1^r \cdot t : t \in T \text{ and } r \geq 0\}$. For any two elements $b_1^r \cdot t$ and $b_1^s \cdot t'$, the product $b_1^r \cdot t \cdot b_1^s \cdot t'$ is in T , if $tb_1^s = b_1^s \cdot t$. Also, if $tb_1^s \neq b_1^s \cdot t$, then by Lemma 2.13, t and b_1^s are units and hence $P_1 = P = S$, which is a contradiction. Thus, T_1 is a subsemigroup of S , and it contains T properly. As P_1 is a minimal prime ideal belonging to the ideal pS^1 , T is a maximal subsemigroup not meeting pS^1 , and hence $T_1 \cap pS^1$ is nonempty, whence $b_1^r \cdot t \in pS^1$ for some $r \geq 1$ and $t \in T$, whence $b_1 \in \sqrt{A}$. Thus, $P_1 = \sqrt{A} = A$, by Proposition 2.12. Again, P_1 is a minimal prime ideal containing the ideal $p^2 \cdot S_1$. A repetition of the previous procedure will yield $P_1 = \sqrt{B} = B$, where $B = \{b \in S : bt \in p^2 \cdot S^1 \text{ for some } t \in T\}$. Thus, we have $A = B$. But $p \in A$, and, therefore, $p \in B$, whence $pt = p^2 \cdot x$ for some $t \in T$ and $x \in S^1$, whence by left cancellation, $t = px \in T \cap P_1$, which is not possible. Hence, P_1 is a maximal ideal and so is P .

Remark 2.15—Left cancellation cannot be dropped from the hypothesis of the above theorem.

Theorem 2.16—Let S be a left cancellative σ -reflexive semigroup with identity. Then the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) S is a primary semigroup.
- (ii) S satisfies condition (*).

(iii) Every proper prime ideal is maximal.

(iv) If a and b are nonunits in S , then $a^m = bx$ and $b^n = ay$ for some x, y in S and for some positive integers m and n .

PROOF: (iii) \Rightarrow (iv). If S is not a group, then as S is with identity, it has a unique maximal ideal M , which is also prime, and every nonunit will be contained in it. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, $\sqrt{aS} = \sqrt{bS} = M$, whence follows (iv). Other implications are immediate.

Theorem 2.17—Let S be a left cancellative σ -reflexive semigroup without identity. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) S is a primary semigroup.

(ii) S has no proper prime ideals.

(iii) If $a, b \in S$, then there exist integers m and n , such that $a^m = bx$ and $b^n = ay$ for some $x, y \in S$.

PROOF: (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Since prime ideals are maximal by 2.14 and they are totally ordered by 2.3, S has either no proper prime ideals or it has a unique proper prime ideal, which is maximal also. The latter alternative, we prove, is untenable. Suppose P is the unique proper prime ideal, which is also maximal. For an $a \notin P$, we have $P \cup aS^1 = P \cup a^2 \cdot S^1 = S$, whence $a = a^2 \cdot x$ for some $x \in S$. Now $a = a^2 \cdot x = a \cdot (xa)^m$. Thus, a is regular and $S \setminus P$ is a regular semigroup with exactly one idempotent e as its identity. Again, by left cancellation, $S \setminus P$ is a group. Clearly, $e \notin P$ and $\sqrt{Se} = S$, whence for any $x \in S$, $x^n = x^n \cdot e$. By left cancellation, $x = xe$; and hence e is the identity of S , a contradiction. Therefore, S has no proper prime ideals. (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). For any $a, b \in S$, $\sqrt{aS^1} = \sqrt{bS^1} = S$, whence follows (iii). (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is obvious.

3. ARCHIMEDEAN SEMIGROUPS

Definition 3.1—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup. For any $a, b \in S$, we define: a divides b if there exists $x \in S^1$, such that $b = ax$. If for any pair of elements a, b of S , each divides some power of the other, then we call S to be an Archimedean semigroup.

Theorem 3.2—A σ -reflexive semigroup is Archimedean if it has no proper prime ideals.

Its proof is obvious.

Definition 3.3 (Petrich 1964)—A semigroup S is called weakly commutative if for any $a, b \in S$, we have $(ab)^k = xa = by$ for some $x, y \in S$ and a positive integer k . Clearly, a σ -reflexive semigroup is weakly commutative in view of Proposition 1.2.

Theorem 3.4—Every σ -reflexive semigroup is expressible as a semilattice of Archimedean subsemigroups.

This follows from Theorem 2 of Pondelicek (1975) in view of the fact that every σ -reflexive semigroup is weakly commutative.

Corollary 3.5—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup. Then every prime ideal in S is a union of Archimedean subsemigroups of S .

PROOF: By the above theorem, $S = \cup S_\alpha$, where S is an Archimedean subsemigroup of S . For a prime ideal P , let $\{S_\beta\}$ be a collection of subsemigroups from amongst the collection of Archimedean subsemigroups $\{S_\alpha\}$, such that $P \cap S_\beta$ is nonempty for each β . Then, $P \subseteq \cup S_\beta$. Also, $P \cap S_\beta$ is a prime ideal of S_β , and S_β , being Archimedean, has no proper prime ideal by Theorem 3.2, implying that $P \cap S_\beta = S_\beta$, i.e., $S_\beta \subseteq P$, whence $P = \cup S_\beta$.

Theorem 3.6—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent :

- (i) Prime ideals are maximal and idempotents form a chain.
- (ii) S is an Archimedean semigroup or there exists only one prime ideal P which is Archimedean and $S \setminus P$ is a group.
- (iii) Each prime ideal of S is maximal and there are at most two idempotents in S .

PROOF: We work out a cyclic proof. First we prove (iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let e and f be the only two idempotents. Since S is σ -reflexive, $ef = fe$ and it is an idempotent. Therefore, $ef = fe = e$ or $ef = fe = f$. Now we come to (i) \Rightarrow (ii). As prime ideals of S are maximal, we have two possibilities : (a) There are no proper prime ideals in which case S is Archimedean by Theorem 3.2, and (b) S contains proper prime ideals. Let P be any one of them. Now for an $x \in S \setminus P$, $x^2 \in S \setminus P$, and P , being a maximal ideal of S , $P \cup xS^1 = P \cup x^2S^1 = S$, whence $x = x^2 \cdot y$, for some $y \in S^1$. Clearly, we can choose such a y in S . But then $xy = (yx)^n$ for some n . Hence, $x = x^2 \cdot y = x(xy) = x(yx)^n$ and x is regular i.e., $S \setminus P$ is regular, and hence contains idempotents. Let e and f be two idempotents in $S \setminus P$. This means $P \cup eS = P \cup fS = S$, whence $e = fe$ and $f = ef$. Hence, $e = f$. This means that $S \setminus P$ is a group. Similarly, for any other proper prime ideal Q of S , $S \setminus Q$ is a group. Let e_1 and e_2 be their respective identities. Suppose next that $e_1 \leq e_2$, as idempotents are given to form a chain, i.e., $e_1 = e_1 \cdot e_2 = e_2 \cdot e_1$, whence $e_2 \in P$. This, however, means that $e_1 = e_2$ as $S \setminus P$ is a group. Now any $x \in S \setminus P$ has an inverse y , such that $e_1 = xy$, whence $x \in S \setminus Q$ and $S \setminus Q \supseteq S \setminus P$. By symmetry of this argument, we get $P = Q$ and we have a unique proper prime ideal P , with $S \setminus P$ to be a group. Also, $a, b \in P$ implies $\sqrt{aS^1} = \sqrt{bS^1} = P$, whence P is Archimedean. And lastly to (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). In the first case, trivially every prime ideal is maximal. In the second case, let there exist a proper ideal A containing P (the unique proper prime ideal) properly. Thus, for $x \in A \setminus P$, there exists $y \in S \setminus P$, such that $xy = e$, where e is the identity of the group $S \setminus P$. This means $e \in A$. Now for any $b \in S \setminus A$, we have $b \in S \setminus P$ and hence $b = be \in A$, which is clearly not possible. Therefore the unique proper prime ideal P is maximal as well. For the second part in (iii), it suffices to show that P has at most one idempotent. Let

there be two : e_1 and e_2 . Then $\sqrt{e_1} \cdot S = \sqrt{e_2} \cdot S = P$, whence $e_1 = e_2$, as S is σ -reflexive.

Theorem 3.7—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup with no identity. Then S is a primary semigroup in which prime ideals are maximal iff S is Archimedean.

PROOF : Let S be primary in which every prime is maximal. By 2.5, idempotents of S form a chain. Then by 3.6, S is Archimedean or there is a unique proper prime ideal P in S , such that $S \setminus P$ is a group and P , itself, is an Archimedean subsemigroup. We show that the second alternative is untenable. Let it hold. Then by hypothesis, the identity e of $S \setminus P$ cannot be the identity of S , which means for some x in P , $x \neq ex$. Clearly, $ex \in Sex$ and $x \notin Sex$. Also, no power of e is in Sex , whence the ideal Sex , is not primary, which is against the hypothesis. Thus, S is Archimedean. The converse follows by 3.2 and 2.2.

We now have two immediate corollaries.

Corollary 3.8—Let S be a σ -reflexive semigroup. Then the following are equivalent :

- (i) S is an Archimedean semigroup.
- (ii) S is a primary semigroup having no proper prime ideals.
- (iii) S is a semiprimary semigroup and it has no proper prime ideals.

Corollary 3.9—Let S be a left cancellative σ -reflexive semigroup with no identity. Then the following are equivalent :

- (i) S is primary.
- (ii) S possesses no proper prime ideals.
- (iii) S is Archimedean.

REFERENCES

- Bořák, J. (1972). On radicals of semigroups. *Mat. časop.*, **18**, 204–12.
- Chacron, M., and Thierrin, G. (1972). σ -reflexive semigroups and rings. *Can. math. Bull.*, **15**, 185–88.
- Clifford, A. H., and Preston, G. B. (1967). *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. I. Am. Math. Soc., Providence.
- Gilmer, R. W. (1962). Commutative rings in which semiprimary ideals are primary. *Pac. J. Math.*, **12**, 1273–76.
- Lal, H. (1975). Commutative semiprimary semigroups. *Czech. Math. J.*, **25**, 1–3.
- Luh, J. (1960). On the concepts of radical of semigroup having kernel. *Port. math.*, **19**, 189–98.
- McCoy, N. H. (1948). Rings and ideals. *The Carus math. Monogr., Math. Ass. Am.*
- Petrich, M. (1964). The maximal semilattice decomposition of a semigroup. *Math. Z.*, **85**, 68–82.
- Pondelicek, B. (1975). On weakly commutative semigroups. *Czech. Math. J.*, **25**, 20–23.
- Satyanarayana, M. (1972). Commutative primary semigroups. *Czech. Math. J.*, **22**, 509–16.
- Zariski O., and Samuel, P. (1958). *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. I. D. Van Nostrand, Princeton.