

ON MULTIPLIERS FOR THE ABSOLUTE NÖRLUND SUMMABILITY*

S.P. YADAV

Department of Mathematics, Govt. Post-Graduate College, Tikamgarh (M.P.) 472001

(Received 9 January 1981; after revision 24 September 1981)

Bhatt (1968) proved that Fourier series $\Sigma A_n \in |N, p_n|$ for $\varphi_1(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ and $\{p_n\}$ obeys some restrictions. Under the same conditions we prove $\Sigma A_n \epsilon_n \in |N, p_n|$ for $\{\epsilon_n\} \in BV(0, \infty)$.

§1. If Σa_n be an infinite series with partial sums s_n . Then

$$t_n = (1/P_n) \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k} s_k \tag{1.1}$$

defines Nörlund means where $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence of constants, real or complex and

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n \neq 0, P_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

If $\{t_n\}_0^\infty \in BV$ that is $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |t_n - t_{n-1}| < \infty$... (1.3)

we say that $a_n \in |N, p_n|$.

We assume that the sequence $\{p_n\}$ is non-negative and non-decreasing. Again let $f(t) \in L(0, \pi)$ and be 2π -periodic. Fourier series corresponding to $f(t)$ is

$$f(t) \sim 1/2 a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^\infty A_n(t). \tag{1.4}$$

We assume without loss of generality that the constant term is zero so that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

We write $\varphi(t) = 1/2\{f(x+t) + f(x-t)\}$

$$\Phi_0(t) = \varphi(t)$$

$$\Phi_\alpha(t) = 1/\Gamma(\alpha) \int_0^t (t-u)^{\alpha-1} \varphi(u) du, \alpha > 0$$

$$\varphi_\alpha(t) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) t^{-\alpha} \Phi_\alpha(t), \alpha \geq 0$$

$$D_n(t) = 1/2 + \cos t + \cos 2t + \dots + \cos nt = \frac{\sin(n+1/2)t}{2 \sin t/2}$$

* This paper was originally submitted under the title 'A note on a theorem of Bhatt.'

and $[x]$ denotes the integral part of x . C is written for an absolute constant but not the same at each occurrence.

§2. Generalising the theorem of Bosanquet (1936), Bhatt (1968) proved the following.

Theorem A (Bhatt 1968)—Let $\{p_n\}$ be a non-negative, non-decreasing sequence of real numbers such that

(i) $\{p_{n-1} - p_n\}$ is ultimately monotonic,

(ii) $\left\{ \frac{(n+1)p_n}{P_n} \right\} \in BV$,

and (iii) $P_k/k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 1/P_n \leq C$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ where C is a fixed constant. If $\varphi_1(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$, then (1.4) is summable $|N, p_n|$.

Remark 1: The necessary and sufficient conditions for regularity of (N, p_n) —method, are

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^n |p_\nu| = O(|P_n|) \tag{1.6}$$

and $p_n = o(|P_n|)$... (1.7)

(1.6) is satisfied if $\{p_n\}$ is non-negative non-decreasing but (1.7) is not necessarily for example $p_n = 2^n$. Of course (1.7) is satisfied by hypothesis (ii). Hence in Theorem A and later on in our case regularity of the method is maintained.

Following question is natural:

Let $\sum a_n$ is an infinite series absolutely summable by some summability methods. Is the series $a_n \epsilon_n$ also absolutely summable by the same method, when $\{\epsilon_n\}$ is a sequence of bounded variation? For a particular case $\epsilon_n \equiv 1$ the answer is trivially affirmative.

Suggested by this question it was observed that the sequences $\{\epsilon_n\}$ work as factor sequences for the result of Theorem A under the conditions stated therein. We call the elements of the set $\{\epsilon_n\}$ “multipliers”. Following generalisation of Theorem A holds.

Theorem 1—We have

$$\varphi_1(t) \in BV(0, \pi) \Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n A_n(t) \in |N, p_n| \tag{2.2}$$

provided that $\{p_n\}$ is non-negative non-decreasing and satisfies the hypotheses (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem A and $\{\epsilon_n\} \in BV(0, \infty)$.

Following corollaries are noteworthy.

Corollary 1—Let $\{p_n\}$ satisfies all conditions of Theorem 1. Then

$$\varphi_1(t) \in BV(0, \pi) \Rightarrow \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_n(t)}{(\log n)^\delta} \in |N, p_n|, \delta \geq 0 \tag{2.3}$$

Corollary 2—We have

$$\varphi_1(t) \in BV(0, \pi) \Rightarrow \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{A_n(t)}{(\log n)^\delta} \in |C, \alpha|, \alpha > 1, \delta > 0. \quad \dots(2.4)$$

N.B. Corollary 2 is a particular case of Corollary 1, for $p_n = \binom{n+\alpha}{n}$, $\alpha > 1$ which satisfy all conditions of Theorem A.

To complete the proof of Theorem 1 following lemmas are required.

Lemma 1 (Bhatt and Kishore 1967)—Let $\{p_n\}$ be any sequence of non-negative real members such that

$$(i) \left\{ \frac{(n+1)p_n}{P_n} \right\} \in BV \quad \dots(2.5)$$

$$\text{and } (ii) P_k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_n} \leq C. \quad \dots(2.6)$$

If $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k$ is bounded then a necessary and sufficient condition for $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k$ to be summable $|N, p_n|$ is that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n-k} k a_k \right| < \infty. \quad \dots(2.7)$$

Lemma 2—If $\{q_n\}$ is non-negative and non-increasing then for $0 \leq a \leq b < \infty$, $0 \leq t \leq \pi$ and any n

$$\left| \sum_{k=a}^b q_k \epsilon_k e^{i(n-k)t} \right| \leq C Q_\tau; \quad \dots(2.8)$$

$$Q_m = q_0 + q_1 + \dots + q_m,$$

where $\tau = [1/t]$ and C is an absolute constant, $\{\epsilon_k\} \in BV(0, \infty)$.

PROOF: We have $\epsilon_k = \alpha_k - \beta_k$ such that $\{\alpha_k\}$ and $\{\beta_k\}$ are non-negative non-increasing for $\{\epsilon_k\} \in BV$ [see Hirschman 1962, pp. 101, Prob. 8&9].

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \left| \sum_a^b q_k \epsilon_k e^{i(n-k)t} \right| &= \left| \sum_a^b q_k \alpha_k e^{i(n-k)t} - \sum_a^b q_k \beta_k e^{i(n-k)t} \right| \\ &\leq \alpha_a \max_{a \leq b' \leq b} \left| \sum_a^{b'} q_k e^{i(n-k)t} \right| + \beta_a \max_{a \leq b' \leq b} \left| \sum_a^{b'} q_k e^{i(n-k)t} \right| \\ &\leq C_1 Q_\tau + C_2 Q_\tau = C Q_\tau \quad (\text{see McFadden 1942}). \end{aligned}$$

§ 3. Proof of Theorem 1—We have

$$\varphi_1(t) \in BV(0, \pi) \Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) \text{ converges;}$$

and when $\{\epsilon_n\} \in BV(0, \infty)$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t)$ converges $\Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_n A_n(t) < \infty$.

So by lemma 1 it is sufficient to prove that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\sigma_n|}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} < \infty$... (3.1)

where $\sigma_n = \sum_{k=1}^n p_{n-k} k \epsilon_k A_k(x)$.

Now $A_n(x) = 2/\pi \int_0^{\pi} \varphi(t) \cos nt \, dt = -(2/\pi) \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin nt}{n} d\varphi_1(t) + (2/\pi) \int_0^{\pi} t \cos nt \, d\varphi_1(t)$

and hence to prove (3.1) we show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi_1(t) \sum_{v=1}^n p_{n-v} \epsilon_v \sin vt \right| < \infty \quad \dots(3.2)$$

and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \int_0^{\pi} t d\varphi_1(t) \sum_{v=1}^n p_{n-v} v \epsilon_v \cos vt \right| < \infty$.. (3.3)

But $\int_0^{\pi} |d\varphi_1(t)| < \infty$, so by mean value theorem it is sufficient to show that

$$I_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{v=1}^n p_{n-v} \epsilon_v \sin vt \right| = O(1) \quad \dots(3.4)$$

and $I_2 = t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{v=1}^n p_{n-v} \epsilon_v v \cos vt \right| = O(1)$... (3.5)

uniformly for $0 < t \leq \pi$,

Let $I_1 = \sum_{n \leq 1/t} + \sum_{n > 1/t}$ so that,

$$\sum_{n \leq 1/t} \leq \sum_{n \leq 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n |p_{n-v}| vt \leq \sum_{n \leq 1/t} \frac{nP_n}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \leq C$$

and $\sum_{n > 1/t} = c_1 \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{p_{n-1}t^{-1}}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \cdot \left(\{P_{n-v}\} \downarrow \text{with respect to } v \right)$

$$< C t^{-1} \sum_{n > 1/t} 1/n < C \left(\frac{p_n}{P_{n-1}} \leq \frac{C}{n+1} \text{ by hyp. (ii)} \right)$$

(equation continued on p. 462)

$$I_2 = t \sum_{n \leq 1/t} + t \sum_{n > 1/t} = J_1 + J_2 \text{ (say),}$$

where $J_1 \leq Ct \sum_{n \leq 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} p_{n-1} \sum_{v=1}^n v \leq Ct \sum_{n \leq 1/t} \frac{np_n}{P_{n-1}} < C.$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } J_2 &= t \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{v=1}^n p_{n-v\epsilon_v} v \cos v\epsilon \right| \\ &= t \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=0}^n D_v(t) \Delta(p_{n-v\epsilon_v}) \end{aligned}$$

(Δ operator acts on v so that $\Delta f_v = f_v - f_{v+1}$)

$$\begin{aligned} &= t \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{v=0}^n \left[D_v(t) v \epsilon_v \Delta p_{n-v} + D_v(t) p_{n-v-1} v \Delta \epsilon_v - D_v(t) p_{n-v-1} \epsilon_{v+1} \right] \right| \\ &\leq \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3 \text{ (say),} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Sigma_1 = t \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{v=0}^n D_v(t) v \epsilon_v \Delta p_{n-v} \right|$

Let k be a constant so chosen that $\{p_n - p_{n-1}\}$ is monotonic for $n > k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=1}^{n-k-1} |p_{n-v} - p_{n-v-1}| &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-k-1} (p_{n-v} - p_{n-v-1}); p_{n-v} - p_{n-v-1} \geq 0, \quad \dots(3.6) \\ &= \begin{cases} O(n+1)(p_n - p_{n-1}) & \text{(for } \{p_{n-v} - p_{n-v-1}\} \text{ monotonic non-} \\ & \text{increasing with respect to } v) \text{ (for} \\ O(n+1) & \text{\{ } p_{n-v} - p_{n-v-1} \} \text{ monotonic non-} \\ & \text{decreasing with respect to } v). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \Sigma_1 &\leq t \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{v=n-k}^n (p_{n-v} - p_{n-v-1}) v \epsilon_v D_v(t) \right| \\ &+ t \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-k-1} (p_{n-v} - p_{n-v-1}) v \epsilon_v D_v(t) \right| \\ &= K_{2.1} + K_{2.2} \text{ (say),} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } K_{2.1} &= O(1) \sum_{n > 1/t} 1/P_{n-1} \sum_{v=n-k}^n |p_{n-v} - p_{n-v-1}| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \text{ (since } k \text{ is fixed)} \end{aligned}$$

= $O(1)$ (by hypothesis (iii)).

Now in $K_{2,2}$ let $\{p_{n-\nu} - p_{n-\nu-1}\}$ is monotonic non-increasing with respect to ν , so that $\{p_r - p_{r-1}\}$ is monotonic non-decreasing for $k+1 \leq r \leq n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_{2,2} &= t \sum_{n < 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{r=k+1}^{n-1} (p_r - p_{r-1})(n-r) \epsilon_{n-r} D_{n-r}(t) \right| \\ &= t \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} (p_{n-1} - p_{n-2})(n-k-1) \max_{k+1 \leq a \leq b \leq n-1} \left| \sum_{r=a}^b \epsilon_{n-1} D_{n-r}(t) \right| \\ &= O(t^{-1}) \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{(p_n - p_{n-1})}{P_{n-1}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2}), \\ &= O(t^{-1}) \sum_{n > 1/t} \left[\frac{1}{n} \left\{ \frac{(n+1)p_n}{P_n} - \frac{np_{n-1}}{P_{n-1}} \right\} + O(1/n^2) \right] \\ &= O(t^{-1}) \sum_{n > 1/t} 1/n \left| \Delta \left\{ \frac{(n+1)p_n}{P_n} \right\} \right| + O(t^{-1}) \sum_{n > 1/t} 1/n^2 \\ &= O(1) \text{ [by hypothesis (ii)].} \end{aligned}$$

Again in a similar way, let $\{p_{n-\nu} - p_{n-\nu+1}\}$ is monotonic non-decreasing so that $\{p_r - p_{r-1}\} \equiv \{-\Delta p_r\}$ is monotonic non-increasing. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_{2,2} &= t \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{r=k+1}^{n-1} (p_r - p_{r-1})(n-r) \epsilon_{n-r} D_{n-r}(t) \right| \\ &= t \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} (n-k-1) \max_{k+1 \leq a \leq n-1} \left| \sum_{r=a}^{n-1} \Delta p_r \epsilon_{n-r} D_{n-r}(t) \right| \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{p_r}{P_{n-1}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 2}) \\ &= O(p_r) \frac{C_\tau}{P_r} = O\left(\frac{\tau p_r}{P_r} \right) = O(1) \text{ (by hypothesis (ii)),} \end{aligned}$$

so that $\Sigma_1 = O(1)$ uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_3 &= t \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^n D_\nu(t) p_{n-\nu-1} \epsilon_{\nu+1} \right| \\ &= t \sum_{n > 1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \left[\sum_{\nu=0}^n (p_{n-\nu-1} - p_{n-\nu-2}) \sum_{\mu=0}^\nu \epsilon_{\mu+1} D_\mu(t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= t \sum_{n>1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} O(1/t^2) \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left| (p_{n-\nu-1} - p_{n-\nu-2}) \right| \\
 &= O(t^{-1}) \sum_{n>1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (p_{n-\nu-1} - p_{n-\nu-2}) = O(t^{-1}) \sum_{n>1/t} \frac{p_n}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \\
 &= O(t^{-1}) \sum_{n>1/t} 1/n^2 = O(1), \text{ uniformly in } t \in (0, \pi).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also $\Sigma_2 = O\left\{ \sum_{n>1/t} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} p_{n-\nu-1} \nu \left| \Delta \epsilon_\nu \right| \right\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} p_{n-\nu-1} \nu \left| \Delta \epsilon_\nu \right| \right\} \\
 &= O\left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu \left| \Delta \epsilon_\nu \right| \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n-\nu-1}}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{2\nu} \frac{p_{n-\nu-1}}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \leq \frac{1}{(\nu+2)P_\nu} \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{2\nu} p_{n-\nu-1} \leq \frac{P_{\nu-\nu}}{(\nu+2)P_\nu} < \frac{1}{\nu+2}$$

and by hypothesis (ii) $\frac{(n+1)p_n}{P_n} \leq M$ (M a constant),

we have $p_{n-\nu-1} < \frac{MP_{n-\nu-1}}{n-\nu} < \frac{MP_{n-1}}{n-\nu}$

and so $\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n-\nu-1}}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} \leq M \sum_{n=2\nu+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)(n-\nu)} = O(1/\nu)$

i.e. $\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \frac{p_{n-\nu-1}}{(n+1)P_{n-1}} = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right)$

We, therefore, have $\Sigma_2 = O\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \Delta \epsilon_\nu \right| \right\} = O(1)$

Collecting the orders of Σ_1 , Σ_2 , and Σ_3 we have $I_2 = O(1)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Remark 2: If in addition to $\{\epsilon_n\} \in BV(0, \infty)$, we also assume $\{\nu \Delta \epsilon_n\} \in BV(0, \infty)$ in Theorem 1, then the proof of our theorem is exactly the same as that of Bhatt (1968); and our Corollaries 1.2 are still true.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to the referee for his helpful comments. U.G.C.'s grant No. 11618 for this project is hereby acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Bhatt, S.N., and Kishore, N. (1967). On the absolute Nörlund summability of a Fourier series. *Indian J. Math.*, **9**, 251-68.
- Bhatt, S. N. (1969). On the absolute Nörlund summability of a Fourier series. *Indian J. Math.*, **10**, 157-66.
- Bosanquet, L.S. (1936). The absolute Cesàro summability of a Fourier series. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **41**, 517-28.
- Hirschman, I.I. (1962). *Infinite Series*. Holt, Rienhart and Winston, New York.
- McFadden, L. (1942). Absolute Nörlund summability. *Duke Math. J.*, **9**, 168-207.