

ON K -CONTACT RIEMANNIAN AND SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

R. S. MISHRA*

Department of Mathematics, University of Kuwait, Kuwait

(Received 19 June 1981; after revision 4 November 1981)

In this paper, identities in Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensors of a K -contact Riemannian manifold and also a Sasakian manifold have been obtained. Some of the consequences and applications of these identities have been studied.

1. INTRODUCTION

An odd dimensional differentiable manifold $V_n, n=2m+1$ on which there exist a tensor field F of the type (1,1), a vector field U , a 1-form u and a metric tensor g , satisfying

(a) $F^2 + I_n = u \otimes U$, (b) $g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = g(X, Y) - u(X)u(Y)$, (c) $\text{rank}((F)) = n - 1 \dots(1.1a)$
 where

$$\bar{X} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} FX \dots(1.2)$$

for all vector fields $X, Y, Z, \dots \in T$ (tangent space to V_n at a point p), is called an almost Grayan or an almost contact metric manifold. The structure $\{F, U, u, g\}$ is called an almost Grayan or an almost contact metric structure (Sasaki 1960, Hatakeyama *et al.* 1963).

On an almost Grayan manifold

(a) $\bar{U} = 0$, (b) $u \circ F = 0$, (c) $u(U) = 1$, ... (1.3)

(a) $'F(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(\bar{X}, Y) = -'F(Y, X) \Leftrightarrow$ (b) $g(\bar{X}, Y) + g(X, \bar{Y}) = 0$ (1.4)

' F is called the fundamental 2-form of V_n .

If on an almost Grayan manifold V_n ,

(a) $D_X U = \bar{X} \Leftrightarrow$ (b) $(D_X u)(Y) = 'F(X, Y)$ (1.5)

then V_n is called K -contact Riemannian manifold (Okumura 1962).

It can be easily proved that on a K -contact Riemannian manifold

$(D_Y 'F)(Z, W) = 'K(Z, W, Y, U) = u(K(Z, W, Y))$... (1.6)

where K is the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor and

$'K(X, Y, Z, W) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(K(X, Y, Z), W)$.

A K -contact Riemannian manifold on which

$(D_Y 'F)(Z, W) = 'K(Z, W, Y, U) = u(Z)g(W, Y) - u(W)g(Y, Z)$... (1.7)

it satisfied, is called a Sasakian manifold (Sasaki 1965, 1967, 1968).

2. CURVATURE IDENTITIES ON A K -CONTACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

We have from (1.6) and (1.5a)

$(D_X D_Y 'F)(Z, W) = (D_X 'K)(Z, W, Y, U) + 'K(Z, W, D_X Y, U) + 'K(Z, W, Y, \bar{X})$,
 $-(D_Y D_X 'F)(Z, W) = -(D_Y 'K)(Z, W, X, U) - 'K(Z, W, D_Y X, U) - 'K(Z, W, X, \bar{Y})$,
 $-(D_{[X, Y]} 'F)(Z, W) = -'K(Z, W, [X, Y], U)$.

*Present Address: Road No. 8A, Rajendra Nagar, Patna 800016.

Adding the last three equations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &'K(X, Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) + 'K(X, Y, Z, \bar{W}) + 'K(X, \bar{Y}, Z, W) + 'K(\bar{X}, Y, Z, W) \\ &= (D_X 'K)(Y, U, Z, W) + (D_Y 'K)(U, X, Z, W). \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.1a)$$

Applying Bianchi's second identities in this equation, we get

$$'K(X, Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) + 'K(X, Y, Z, \bar{W}) + 'K(\bar{X}, Y, Z, W) + 'K(X, \bar{Y}, Z, W) + (D_U 'K)(X, Y, Z, W) = 0. \quad \dots(2.1b)$$

Barring W or Z in this equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -'K(X, Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) + 'K(X, Y, Z, W) &= 'K(\bar{X}, Y, Z, \bar{W}) + 'K(X, \bar{Y}, Z, \bar{W}) + u(W)'K(X, Y, Z, U) \\ &+ (D_U 'K)(X, Y, Z, \bar{W}), = -u(Z)'K(X, Y, W, U) + (D_U 'K)(X, Y, \bar{Z}, W) \\ &+ 'K(\bar{X}, Y, \bar{Z}, W) + 'K(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, W). \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.2a)$$

Barring X or Y in (2.1b), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &'K(\bar{X}, Y, \bar{Z}, W) + 'K(\bar{X}, Y, Z, \bar{W}) + 'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z, W) - 'K(X, Y, Z, W) \\ &= -u(X)'K(Z, W, Y, U) - (D_U 'K)(\bar{X}, Y, Z, W). \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.2b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &'K(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, W) + 'K(X, \bar{Y}, Z, \bar{W}) + 'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z, W) - 'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z, \bar{W}) \\ &= -u(Y)'K(Z, W, X, U) - (D_U 'K)(X, \bar{Y}, Z, W). \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.2c)$$

(2.2a.c) also yield

$$\begin{aligned} &'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) - 'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z, W) - 'K(\bar{X}, Y, Z, \bar{W}) - 'K(X, \bar{Y}, Z, \bar{W}) = -u(Y)'K(\bar{X}, U, Z, \bar{W}) \\ &+ u(X)'K(\bar{Y}, U, Z, \bar{W}) - u(W)'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, U) - (D_U 'K)(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z, \bar{W}) \dots(2.2d) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) - K(X, Y, Z, W) - 'K(X, Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) - 'K(X, Y, Z, W) \\ &= -u(Z)'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, U, \bar{W}) - u(W)'K(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, U) - u(Y)'K(\bar{Z}, \bar{W}, X, U) \\ &- (D_U 'K)(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}). \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.2e)$$

(2.1) and (2.2) are the identities for Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor on a K -contact Riemannian manifold.

It may be noted from (2.2a) that we have on a K -contact Riemannian manifold

$$\begin{aligned} &u(Z)'K(X, Y, W, U) + u(W)'K(X, Y, Z, U) + 'K(\bar{X}, Y, Z, \bar{W}) + 'K(X, \bar{Y}, Z, \bar{W}) \\ &= (D_U 'K)(X, Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) - (D_U 'K)(X, Y, Z, \bar{W}) + 'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z, W) + 'K(X, \bar{Y}, Z, \bar{W}) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2.3)$$

Equation (2.1b) is equivalent to

$$K(X, Y, \bar{Z}) - \bar{K}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z) + K(\bar{X}, Y, Z) + 'K(X, \bar{Y}, Z) + (D_U K)(X, Y, Z) = 0.$$

Contracting this equation, with regard to X , we obtain

$$\text{Ric}(Y, \bar{Z}) + \text{Ric}(\bar{Y}, Z) + (D_U \text{Ric})(Y, Z) = 0. \quad \dots(2.4a)$$

Barring Y or Z in this equation, we obtain

$$\text{Ric}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) - \text{Ric}(Y, Z) + u(Y)\text{Ric}(Z, U) + (D_U \text{Ric})(\bar{Y}, Z) = 0, \quad \dots(2.4b)$$

$$\text{Ric}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) - \text{Ric}(Y, Z) + u(Z)\text{Ric}(Y, U) + (D_U \text{Ric})(Y, \bar{Z}) = 0. \quad \dots(2.4c)$$

Thus on a K -contact Riemannian manifold

$$u(Y)\text{Ric}(Z, U) - u(Z)\text{Ric}(Y, U) + (D_U \text{Ric})(\bar{Y}, Z) - (D_U \text{Ric})(Y, \bar{Z}) = 0. \quad \dots(2.5)$$

The equation (2.4a) is equivalent to

$$-\bar{R}\bar{Y} + R\bar{Y} + (D_U R)Y = 0, \quad \dots(2.6a)$$

where

$$g(RY, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ric}(Y, Z). \quad \dots(2.6b)$$

Contracting (2.6a), we obtain $Ur=0$, where r is the scalar curvature of V_n . Hence we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1—The scalar curvature of a K -contact Riemannian manifold is constant along the contact vector U .

3. PROPERTIES ON A K -CONTACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

Theorem 3.1—If a K -contact Riemannian manifold is conformally flat, then

$$g(Y,W)(D_U Ric)(X,Z) - g(Y,Z)(D_U Ric)(X,W) - g(X,W)(D_U Ric)(Y,Z) + g(X,Z)(D_U Ric)(Y,W) + (n-2)(D_U K)(X,Y,Z,W) = 0. \quad \dots(3.1)$$

PROOF : We have

$$\begin{aligned} 'K(X,Y,Z,W) &= \frac{1}{n-2} \{g(X,W) Ric(Y,Z) - g(X,Z) Ric(Y,W) - g(Y,W) Ric(X,Z) \\ &+ g(Y,Z) Ric(X,W)\} - \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} \{g(X,W)g(Y,Z) - g(Y,W)g(X,Z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting from this equation in (2.1b). we obtain (3.1).

4. CURVATURE IDENTITIES ON A SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

From (1.7) and (1.5b), we have

$$(D_X D_Y F)(Z,W) = F(X,Z)g(Y,W) - F(X,W)g(Y,Z) + u(Z)g(W, D_X Y) - u(W)g(Z, D_X Y).$$

Consequently, we get as in § 2

$$\begin{aligned} 'K(X,Y,Z,\bar{W}) + 'K(X,Y,\bar{Z},W) &= F(X,Z)g(Y,W) - F(Y,Z)g(X,W) \\ &- F(X,W)g(Y,Z) + F(Y,W)g(X,Z). \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.1a)$$

Barring W in this equation and using (1.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 'K(X,Y,\bar{Z},\bar{W}) - 'K(X,Y,Z,W) &= F(Z,X)F(Y,W) + F(Y,Z)F(X,W) \\ &- g(Y,Z)g(X,W) + g(X,Z)g(Y,W). \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.1b)$$

Since $'K$ is symmetric in two pairs of slots, we have from the above equation

$$'K(\bar{X},\bar{Y},Z,W) = 'K(X,Y,\bar{Z},\bar{W}). \quad \dots(4.2a)$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} 'K(\bar{X},\bar{Y},\bar{Z},\bar{W}) &= 'K(X,Y,Z,W) + u(X)\{u(Z)g(Y,W) - u(W)g(Y,Z)\} \\ &- u(Y)\{u(Z)g(X,W) - u(W)g(X,Z)\}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.2b)$$

From (4.1), we also get

$$\begin{aligned} 'K(X,\bar{Y},Z,\bar{W}) + 'K(X,\bar{Y},\bar{Z},W) &= F(X,Z)F(Y,W) - F(Y,Z)F(X,W) \\ &+ g(\bar{Y},\bar{Z})g(X,W) - g(\bar{Y},W)g(X,Z), \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.3a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 'K(\bar{X},Y,Z,\bar{W}) + 'K(\bar{X},Y,\bar{Z},W) &= F(Y,W)F(X,Z) - F(Y,Z)F(X,W) \\ &+ g(\bar{X},\bar{W})g(Y,Z) - g(\bar{X},\bar{Z})g(Y,W). \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.3b)$$

(4.1 a,b), (4.2) and (4.3) are identities in Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor on a Sasakian manifold.

Interchanging X and Z and Y and W in (4.1a), we obtain

$$-'K(X,\bar{Y},Z,W) - 'K(\bar{X},Y,Z,W) = 'K(X,Y,Z,\bar{W}) + 'K(X,Y,\bar{Z},W). \quad \dots(4.1c)$$

Theorem 4.1—We have on a Sasakian manifold.

$$\begin{aligned} 'K(X,Y,\bar{Z},\bar{W}) + 'K(Y,Z,\bar{X},\bar{W}) + 'K(Z,X,\bar{Y},\bar{W}) &= 2\{F(X,Y)F(Z,W) \\ &+ F(Y,Z)F(X,W) + F(Z,X)F(Y,W)\}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(4.4)$$

PROOF : Using (4.1b) in Bianchi's cyclic identities, we obtain (4.4).

Theorem 4.2—Let us put

$$'H(Y,Z) \underline{\text{def}} \text{tr } \overline{K(Y,Z)} \quad \dots(4.5a)$$

$$*H(Y,Z) \underline{\text{def}} -'H(Y,\overline{Z}). \quad \dots(4.5b)$$

Then

$$*H(Y,Z) - \text{Ric}(Y,Z) = g(\overline{Y},\overline{Z}) - (n-1)g(Y,Z) = -u(Y)u(Z) - (n-2)g(Y,Z). \quad \dots(4.5c)$$

Consequently $*H$ is symmetric.

PROOF : Equation (4.1b) is equivalent to

$$-\overline{K(X,Y,\overline{Z})} - K(X,Y,Z) = 'F(Z,X)\overline{Y} - 'F(Z,Y)\overline{X} - g(Y,Z)X + g(X,Z)Y.$$

Contracting this equation with respect to X and using (4.5a,b), we get (4.5c)

Theorem 4.3—Let us put further

$$(a) g(HX,Y) \underline{\text{def}} *H(X,Y), \quad (b) *h \underline{\text{def}} \text{tr } H. \quad \dots(4.6)$$

Then on a Sasakian manifold, ($n > 1$)

$$r > *h. \quad \dots(4.7)$$

PROOF : (4.5c) is equivalent to

$$HY - RY = -u(Y)U - (n-2)Y.$$

Contraction of this equation and use of (4.6) yields

$$*h - r = -(n-1)^2.$$

This equation proves the statement.

Note 4.1: It may be noted that (4.5b,c) and (4.1) yield the known results

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (a) *H(X,U) &= 0, & (b) \text{Ric}(X,U) &= (n-1)u(X), \\ (c) 'K(\overline{X},\overline{Y},Z,U) &= 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots(4.8)$$

Theorem (4.4)—We have on a Sasakian manifold;

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (a) \text{Ric}(\overline{Y},\overline{Z}) &= \text{Ric}(Y,Z) - (n-1)u(Y)u(Z), \\ (b) \text{Ric}(\overline{Y},Z) + \text{Ric}(Y,\overline{Z}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots(4.9)$$

PROOF : Equation (4.2 b) yields

$$\begin{aligned} -K(X,Y,Z) &= K(X,Y,Z) + u(X)u(Z)Y - g(Y,Z)u(X)U \\ &\quad - u(Y)u(Z)X + u(Y)g(X,Z)U. \end{aligned}$$

Contraction of this equation with respect to X yields (4.9 a). (4.9 b) follows from (4.9 a).

Note 4.2 : (4.9) is well known, but has been obtained here by a different and simpler method.

Theorem 4.5 — We have on a Sasakian manifold

$$*H(\overline{Y},\overline{Z}) = *H(Y,Z). \quad \dots(4.10)$$

PROOF : Barring Y, Z in (4.5) c, we immediately get (4.10).

5. HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL CURVATURE

Holomorphic sectional curvature of an almost Grayan manifold V_n at a point p in the direction X is given by

$$k = \frac{-'K(X,\overline{X},X,\overline{X})}{g(X,X)g(\overline{X},\overline{X})}.$$

Shukla has proved that if an almost Grayan manifold is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature at p , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 6 'K(X, Y, Z, W) + 6 'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z, W) + 6 'K(X, Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) + 6 K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) \\
 & 4 'K(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}, X) + 4 'K(Y, Z, \bar{W}, \bar{X}) + 4 'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}, Y, W) + 4 'K(X, Z, \bar{Y}, \bar{W}) \\
 & - 2 'K(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, W) - 2 'K(X, \bar{Y}, Z, \bar{W}) - 2 'K(\bar{X}, Y, Z, \bar{W}) - 2 'K(\bar{X}, Y, \bar{Z}, W) \\
 & + u(Z) \{3'K(X, Y, W, U) + 3'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, W, U) + 'K(\bar{W}, \bar{Y}, X, U) + 'K(\bar{X}, \bar{W}, Y, U) \\
 & + 'K(\bar{W}, X, \bar{Y}, U) + 'K(Y, \bar{W}, \bar{X}, U) - 2u(Y) 'K(X, U, W, U) + 2u(X) 'K(Y, U, W, U)\} \\
 & - u(W) \{3'K(X, Y, Z, U) + 3'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z, U) + 'K(\bar{Z}, \bar{Y}, X, U) + 'K(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}, Y, U) \\
 & + 'K(\bar{Z}, X, \bar{Y}, U) + 'K(Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{X}, U) - 2u(Y) 'K(X, U, Z, U) + 2u(X) 'K(Y, U, Z, U)\} \\
 & + u(X) \{3'K(Z, W, Y, U) + 3'K(\bar{Z}, \bar{W}, Y, U) + 'K(\bar{Y}, \bar{W}, Z, U) + 'K(\bar{Z}, \bar{Y}, W, U) \\
 & + 'K(\bar{Y}, Z, \bar{W}, U) + 'K(W, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, U)\} \\
 & - u(Y) \{3'K(Z, W, X, U) + 3'K(\bar{Z}, \bar{W}, X, U) + 'K(\bar{X}, \bar{W}, Z, U) + 'K(\bar{Z}, \bar{X}, W, U) \\
 & + 'K(\bar{X}, Z, \bar{W}, U) + 'K(W, \bar{X}, \bar{Z}, U)\} \\
 & = - 2k \{4g(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})g(\bar{Y}, \bar{W}) - 4g(\bar{X}, \bar{W})g(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) + 8 'F(X, Y) 'F(Z, W) \\
 & - 4 'F(Y, Z) 'F(X, W) + 4 'F(Y, W) 'F(X, Z) + u(X)u(Z)g(Y, W) \\
 & - u(Y)u(Z)g(X, W) + u(Y)u(W)g(X, Z) - u(X)u(W)g(Y, Z)\}. \quad \dots (5.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

When the manifold is *K*-contact Riemannian, it is not possible to simplify the above equation further. But when the manifold is Sasakian, the above equation can be simplified as seen from the following theorem :

Theorem 5.1—When a Sasakian manifold is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature k , then

$$k = 1 \quad \dots(5.2)$$

and

$$'K(X, Y, Z, W) = g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - 'F(X, Y) 'F(Z, W). \quad \dots(5.3)$$

PROOF : Substituting from (4.1b), (4.2), (4.3) and (1.7) in (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 16'K(X, Y, Z, W) &= 4'F(X, Z) 'F(Y, W) - 4'F(Y, Z) 'F(X, W) - 8'F(X, Y) 'F(Z, W) \\
 & + 12g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - 12g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - 3u(X)u(Z)g(Y, W) \\
 & + 3u(Y)u(Z)g(X, W) + 3u(X)u(W)g(Y, Z) \\
 & - 3u(Y)u(W)g(X, Z) \\
 & - k \{4g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - 4g(X, W)g(Y, Z) \\
 & + 8'F(X, Y) 'F(Z, W) - 4'F(Y, Z) 'F(X, W) + 4'F(Y, W) 'F(X, Z) \\
 & - 3u(X)u(Z)g(Y, W) + 3u(Y)u(Z)g(X, W) \\
 & + 3u(X)u(W)g(Y, Z) - 3u(Y)u(W)g(X, Z)\}. \quad \dots(5.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}
 16'K(X, Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) - 16'K(X, Y, Z, W) &= 16'F(Y, Z) 'F(X, W) - 16'F(X, Z) 'F(Y, W) \\
 & + 16g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - 16g(Y, Z)g(X, W) \\
 & - (k-1) \{u(X)u(Z)g(Y, W) - u(Y)u(Z)g(X, W) \\
 & - u(X)u(W)g(Y, Z) + u(Y)u(W)g(X, Z)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparison of this equation with (4.1b) yields (5.2). Putting $k=1$ in (5.4), we obtain (5.3).

Corollary 5.1—On a Sasakian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature

$$\text{Ric}(Y, Z) = n g(Y, Z) - u(Y) u(Z), \quad \dots(5.4a)$$

$$r = n^2 - 1. \quad \dots(5.4b)$$

Hence the scalar curvature r is always positive.

PROOF : (5.3) is equivalent to $K(X, Y, Z) = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y - 'F(X, Y)\bar{Z}$.

Contracting this equation, we obtain $\text{Ric}(Y, Z) = (n-1)g(Y, Z) + g(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})$

which is equivalent to (5.4a). From (5.4a) $RY = nY - u(Y)U$.

Contracting this equation, we obtain (5.4b).

Corollary 5.2—On a Sasakian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $\text{Ric}(Y, Y) + \|u(Y)\|^2$, is positive definite.

The statement follows from (5.4a).

Corollary 5.3—A Sasakian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature cannot be an Einstein manifold.

The statement is obvious from (5.4a).

6. PROPERTIES ON A SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

C-Bochner curvature tensor ' B ' on a Sasakian manifold V_n is given by (Matsumoto and Chuman 1969)

$$\begin{aligned} 'B(X, Y, Z, W) &= 'K(X, Y, Z, W) + \frac{1}{n+3} \{ \text{Ric}(X, Z)g(Y, W) - \text{Ric}(Y, Z)g(X, W) \\ &+ g(X, Z)\text{Ric}(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)\text{Ric}(X, W) + \text{Ric}(\bar{X}, Z)'F(Y, W) \\ &- \text{Ric}(\bar{Y}, Z)'F(X, W) + 'F(X, Z)\text{Ric}(\bar{Y}, W) - 'F(Y, Z)\text{Ric}(\bar{X}, W) \\ &+ 2\text{Ric}(\bar{X}, Y)'F(Z, W) + 2'F(X, Y)\text{Ric}(\bar{Z}, W) - \text{Ric}(X, Z)u(Y)u(W) \\ &+ \text{Ric}(Y, Z)u(X)u(W) - u(X)u(Z)\text{Ric}(Y, W) + u(Y)u(Z)\text{Ric}(X, W) \\ &- \frac{l+n-1}{n+3} \{ 'F(X, Z)'F(Y, W) - 'F(Y, Z)'F(X, W) + 2'F(X, Y)'F(Z, W) \} \\ &+ \frac{l}{n+3} \{ g(X, Z)u(Y)u(W) + g(Y, W)u(X)u(Z) - g(Y, Z)u(X)u(W) \\ &- g(X, W)u(Y)u(Z) \} - \frac{l-4}{n+3} \{ g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)g(X, W) \}, \quad \dots(6.1a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } l \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{r+n-1}{n+1}. \quad \dots(6.1b)$$

It can be easily proved that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (a) \quad 'B(X, Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) &= 'B(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z, W) \\ (b) \quad 'B(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{W}) &= 'B(X, Y, Z, W) \\ (c) \quad B(X, Y, Z, U) &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots(6.2)$$

Theorem 6.1—A Sasakian manifold cannot be flat.

PROOF : If a Sasakian manifold is flat, it is Ricci flat.

In that case (4.9a) assumes the form $(n-1)u(Y)u(Z) = 0$, which is not possible, since $n > 1$.

Theorem 6.2—If a Sasakian manifold is an Einstein manifold, then its scalar curvature is $n(n-1)$.

PROOF : If a Sasakian manifold is an Einstein manifold, we have from (4.9a)

$$\frac{r}{n} g(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = \frac{r}{n} g(Y, Z) - (n-1) u(Y)u(Z).$$

This equation yields the result.

Theorem 6.3—If a Sasakian manifold is of constant Riemannian curvature k , then k must be unity and $r = n(n-1)$.

PROOF : We have $K(X, Y, Z) = k \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\}$.

Barring X and Y in the above equation, using (4.2a) and (4.1b) and subtracting the above equation from the resulting equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (k-1) \{F(Y, Z)\bar{X} - F(X, Z)\bar{Y} - g(Y, Z)X + g(X, Z)Y\} &= 0 \\ (k-1) \{-u(Y)X + u(X)Y\} &= 0 \Rightarrow (k-1)(n-1)u(Y) = 0 \Rightarrow k = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since a manifold of constant Riemannian curvature is an Einstein manifold, we have $r = n(n-1)$.

Note 6.1 : It is well known (Mishra 1973) that a necessary and sufficient condition for $V_n, n > 2$ to be of constant Riemannian curvature is that it is projectively flat. Therefore, in the above theorem the words ‘of constant Riemannian curvature’ may be replaced by ‘projectively flat’.

Note 6.2 : Theorems (6.2) and (6.3) are known, but they have been obtained here by a different method.

Theorem 6.4—On a Sasakian manifold $'K$ is parallel along U .

PROOF : We have $'K(Z, W, Y, U) = u(Z)g(W, Y) - u(W)g(Y, Z)$.

Consequently

$$(D_X 'K)(Z, W, Y, U) = -'K(Z, W, Y, \bar{X}) + 'F(X, Z)g(W, Y) - 'F(X, W)g(Y, Z).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (D_U 'K)(X, Y, Z, W) &= -(D_X 'K)(Y, U, Z, W) - (D_Y 'K)(U, X, Z, W) \\ &= -'K(Z, W, \bar{X}, Y) - 'K(Z, W, X, \bar{Y}) - 'F(X, Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad + 'F(Y, Z)g(X, W) + 'F(X, W)g(Y, Z) - 'F(Y, W)g(X, Z) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (4.1a). Hence, we have the statement.

Theorem 6.5—A necessary and sufficient condition for a Sasakian manifold to be an Einstein manifold is

$$*H(Y, Z) = g(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}). \tag{6.3}$$

PROOF : When the Sasakian manifold is an Einstein manifold, we have from Theo. (6.2)

$$\text{Ric} = (n-1)g. \tag{6.4}$$

Substituting from this equation in (4.5c), we have (6.3).

Conversely, if (6.3) is satisfied, (4.5c) yields (6.4).

Theorem 6.6—On a Sasakian manifold $*H$ can never be equal to Ric.

PROOF : Putting

$$*H = \text{Ric},$$

in (4.5c), we get $u(Y)u(Z) + (n-2)g(Y,Z) = 0$, which is impossible.

Theorem 6.7—Let us put

$$Q(X) \underline{\text{def}} -'K(X, \bar{X}, X, \bar{X}). \quad \dots(6.5)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & Q(X + \bar{Y}) + Q(X - \bar{Y}) + Q(X + Y) + Q(X - Y) - 4Q(X) - 4Q(Y) \\ &= -16'K(X, \bar{X}, Y, \bar{Y}) - 8|'F(X, Y)|^2 + 8||X||^2||Y||^2 - 8|g(X, Y)|^2 \\ &- 4|u(X)|^2||Y||^2 - 4|u(Y)|^2||X||^2 + 8u(X)u(Y)g(X, Y), \quad \dots(6.6a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 3Q(X + \bar{Y}) + 3Q(X - \bar{Y}) - Q(X + Y) - Q(X - Y) - 4Q(X) - 4Q(Y) \\ &= -32'K(X, Y, X, Y) + 24|'F(X, Y)|^2 + 24|g(X, Y)|^2 \\ &- 24||X||^2||Y||^2 - 4|u(X)|^2||Y||^2 + 8u(X)u(Y)g(X, Y) \\ &- 6|u(Y)|^2||X||^2 + 2|u(X)|^2|u(Y)|^2 - 2|u(Y)|^2||X||^2 + 2|u(Y)|^4. \quad \dots(6.6b) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF : (6.6a, b) follow by direct computation and use of (1.1a), (4.1b), (4.2) and (4.3).

Definition 6.1—If on a K -contact Riemannian manifold

$$\text{Ric} = ag + bu \otimes u, \quad a + b = 2m > 2, \quad \dots(6.7)$$

then the K -contact Riemannian manifold is called C -Einstein or η -Einstein manifold.

Theorem 6.8—On a C -Einstein manifold, Ric is parallel along U .

PROOF : Substituting from (6.7) in (2.4a), we get

$$D_U \text{Ric} = 0,$$

which proves the statement.

It may be noted that on a C -Einstein manifold, (4.9) are identically satisfied.

REFERENCES

- Hatakeyama, Y., Ogawa, Y., and Tanno, S. (1963). Some properties of manifolds with almost metric structure. *Tohoku Math. J.*, 15 (1), 42-48.
- Matsumoto, M., and Chuman, G. (1969). On C -Bochner curvature tensor. *T.R.U. Math. Japan*, 5, 21-30.
- Mishra, R. S. (1973). A course in tensors with applications to Riemannian Geometoy. Pothushala Pvt. Ltd., Allahabad.
- (1982). Structures on differentiable manifolds and their applications. (To be published).
- Okumura, M. (1962). Some remarks in spaces with certain contact structures. *Tohoku Math. J.*, 14, 135-45.
- Sasaki, S. (1960). On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure. *Tohoku Math. J.*, 12, 456-76.
- (1965, 1967, 1968). Almost contact manifolds, I, II, III. A lecture note, *Tohoku University*.
- Shukla, A. Ph. D. Thesis (Private Communication).