

## COHOMOLOGY THEORY OF GRADED LIE ALGEBRAS

B. R. SITARAM AND K. C. TRIPATHY

*Department of Physics and Astrophysics, University of Delhi, Delhi 110007*

(Received 11 June 1981)

In this communication we define the cohomology groups  $H_{\phi}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  where  $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}$  are graded Lie algebras. We show the equivalence  $H_{\phi}^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \simeq \text{Opext}_{\phi}^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  for  $\mathfrak{h}$  abelian and compute  $H_{\phi}^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) \forall n > 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Dirac algebra with  $k$  generators,  $V$  is a complex graded vector space and  $\phi([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) = 1_V$ .

§ 1. Let  $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}$  be  $(Z_2-)$  graded Lie algebras over  $F(=R \text{ or } C)$  and let  $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End } \mathfrak{h}$  be a representation [Nijenhuis 1966].

*Definition 1*—An  $n$ -form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  with values in  $\mathfrak{h}$  is an  $F$ -linear mapping.

$f: \mathfrak{g}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  which satisfies

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_i, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n) = (-1)^{|X_i| \cdot |X_{i+1}|} f(X_1, \dots, X_{i+1}, X_i, \dots, X_n) \quad \dots(1)$$

$\forall X_k \in \mathfrak{g}, k = 1, \dots, n, i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and where  $|X_i|$  is the  $Z_2$ -degree of  $X_i$ .

Let  $C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  be the set of all such  $n$ -forms.

Define  $\partial: C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial f(X_1, \dots, X_n, X_{n+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{\sigma_i} (-1)^i X_i \cdot f(X_1, X_2, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{n+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^{n+1} (-1)^{\sigma_{ij}} (-1)^{\sigma_{ij}} f([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{n+1}) \quad \dots(2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_i = |X_i| \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |X_j|$

$$\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_i + \sigma_j + |X_i| \cdot |X_j|$$

$$X \cdot f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \equiv \phi(X) \cdot f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

and where the cap ‘ $\wedge$ ’ indicates that the argument is to be omitted.

*Proposition 1*— $\partial^2 = 0$ : Let  $C^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = \text{Hom}(F, \mathfrak{h}) \equiv \{f: F \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} : f \text{ is linear}\}$ , and let

$$\partial f(X) = X \cdot f(1) \quad f \in C^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}), X \in \mathfrak{g} \quad \dots(3)$$

Finally, define  $C^{-1} \simeq \{0\}$  and

$$H_{\phi}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = (\text{Ker } \partial: [C^n \rightarrow C^{n+1}]) / \partial C^{n-1} \quad \dots(4)$$

where  $C^n$  is short for  $C^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ . The (abelian) groups  $H_{\phi}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  have the natural structure of a graded vector space. In contrast to the ungraded case  $n > \dim \mathfrak{g}$  need not imply that  $H_{\phi}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  is trivial.

§ 2. Let  $\mathcal{GLA}$  be the category of graded Lie algebras over  $F$  with morphisms as graded Lie algebra homomorphisms, i.e.  $F$ -linear maps  $f: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  which satisfy

- (i)  $|f(X)| = |X|$
- (ii)  $f([X, Y]) = [f(X), f(Y)] \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  ... (5)

Assume that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is graded abelian.

*Definition 2* — An extension of  $\mathfrak{h}$  by  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a short exact sequence

$$E: 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathfrak{k} \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0 \quad \dots(6)$$

in  $\mathcal{GLA}$ .

Congruence of extensions and the sum of two extensions are defined as usual [MacLane 1963]. We assume, in the sequel, that the sequence  $E$  splits in the category of graded vector spaces. Now, the adjoint action in  $\mathfrak{k}$  leads to the mapping  $\theta: \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \text{End } \mathfrak{h}$ , where

$$\mu((\theta X) \cdot Y) = [X, \mu(Y)], \quad X \in \mathfrak{k}, Y \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad \dots(7)$$

Since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is graded abelian,  $\theta \cdot \mu = 0$  and hence  $\theta$  induces a homomorphism  $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End } \mathfrak{h}$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{k} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \mathfrak{g} \\ \theta \downarrow & & \swarrow \phi \\ \text{End } \mathfrak{h} & & \mathfrak{h} \end{array} \quad \dots(8)$$

commutes [MacLane 1963, p. 252]. We then call  $E$  as an extension of  $\mathfrak{h}$  by  $\mathfrak{g}$  with operators  $\phi$ . Note of course that  $\phi$  makes  $\mathfrak{h}$  a  $\mathfrak{g}$  module. Let  $\text{Opext}_{\phi}^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  denote the group of all such sequences (modulo congruences).

*Theorem 1* —  $H_{\phi}^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \cong \text{Opext}_{\phi}^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  as sets

**PROOF:** Choose a mapping  $u: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}: \nu \cdot u = 1_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . This is possible since  $E$  splits in the category of graded vector spaces;  $u$  is then a morphism in this category. Identify  $\mu(Y)$  with  $Y \forall Y \in \mathfrak{h}$  and denote  $\phi(X) \cdot Y \equiv X \cdot Y, \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}, Y \in \mathfrak{h}$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore [u(X), \mu(Y)] &= [u(X), Y] = \mu((\theta \cdot u(X)) \cdot Y) \\ &= \mu(\phi(X) \cdot Y) = \phi(X) \cdot Y = X \cdot Y \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}, Y \in \mathfrak{h}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(9)$$

Thus,  $X \cdot Y = [u(X), Y], X \in \mathfrak{g}, Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

Now  $[u(X), u(Y)]$  must lie in the same coset in  $\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{h}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} u([X, Y]) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad \text{Therefore,} \\ \exists f: \mathfrak{g}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}: [u(X), u(Y)] = u([X, Y]) + f(X, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(10)$$

It is trivial to verify that  $f \in C^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ . Further, the Jacobi condition

$$(-1)^{|X| \cdot |Z|} [u(X), [u(Y), u(Z)]] + \text{cyclic terms} = 0 \quad \dots(11)$$

leads to the condition  $\partial f = 0$ . Finally the choice  $u'(X) = u(X) + V(X)$ ,  $V: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  leads to

$$f'(X, Y) = f(X, Y) + \partial v(X, Y). \quad \dots(12)$$

It is easy to show that the extensions defined by  $f, f'$  are equivalent. Hence the theorem.

§3. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Dirac algebra over  $k$  generators  $\{J_0, J_1, \dots, J_k\}$  with

(i)  $|J_0| = 0, |J_i| = 1$

(ii)  $[J_i, J_j] = 2\delta_{ij} J_0, i, j = 1, \dots, k$  all other independent brackets being zero.

Let  $V$  be a complex vector space and let  $\phi$  be a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $V$  such that

$$\phi([g, g]) = \phi(J_0) = 1_V.$$

Then one has

*Theorem 2* —  $H_\phi^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \{0\} \forall n > 0$ .

PROOF: Let  $f \in C^n(\mathfrak{g}, V), n > 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial f(J_{\mu_1}, J_{\mu_2}, \dots, J_{\mu_{n+1}}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} J_{\mu_i} \cdot f(J_{\mu_1}, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_i}, \dots, J_{\mu_{n+1}}) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=i+1}^{n+1} \delta_{\mu_i \mu_j} \\ &\quad \times f(J_0, J_{\mu_1}, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_i}, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_j}, \dots, J_{\mu_{n+1}}) \end{aligned} \quad \dots(13)$$

and 
$$\begin{aligned} \partial f(J_0, J_{\mu_1}, \dots, J_{\mu_n}) &= f(J_{\mu_1}, \dots, J_{\mu_n}) - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n J_{\mu_i} f(J_0, J_{\mu_1}, \dots, J_{\mu_i}, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_i}) \right) \\ &\quad \forall J_{\mu_i} \in \mathfrak{g} / \{J_0\}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(14)$$

It is easy to see that if the R. H. S. of eqn. (14) is zero, then so is the R. H. S. of eqn. (13). Thus,  $\partial f = 0 \Leftrightarrow$

$$f(J_{\mu_1}, \dots, J_{\mu_n}) = \sum J_{\mu_i} f(J_0, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_i}, \dots, J_{\mu_n}) \forall J_{\mu_i} \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \{J_0\} \quad \dots(15)$$

Let  $f' = f + \partial\omega, \omega \in C^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  and choose  $\omega$  in such a way that  $f'(J_0, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_i}, \dots, J_{\mu_n}) = 0$ , i.e., such that  $f(J_0, J_{\mu_1}, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_i}, \dots, J_{\mu_n}) + \omega(J_{\mu_0}, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_i}, \dots, J_{\mu_n})$

$$- \left( \sum_{j \neq i} J_{\mu_j} \omega(J_0, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_i}, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_j}, \dots, J_{\mu_n}) \right) = 0. \quad \dots(16)$$

Note that this can always be done; for example

$$\omega(J_{\mu_1}, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_i}, \dots, J_{\mu_n}) = -f(J_0, \dots, J_{\mu_i}, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_i}, \dots, J_{\mu_n})$$

$$\omega(J_0, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_i}, \dots, \hat{J}_{\mu_j}, \dots, J_{\mu_n}) = 0$$

is a solution. Now, we know that  $\partial f' = 0$ ; eqn. (15) yields immediately that  $f' = 0$ . Hence  $H^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \{0\} \forall n > 1$ . For the case  $n = 1$ , let  $f \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ . Then,  $\partial f = 0 \Leftrightarrow$

$$f(J) = J.f(J_0) \forall J \in \mathfrak{g}/\{J_0\}. \quad \dots(18)$$

Define  $f' = f + \partial\omega$  and choose  $\omega$  such that  $f'(J_0) = 0$  i.e.  $f(J_0) + \omega(1) = 0$ . One readily has  $f' = 0$ , implying that  $H^1_\phi(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \{0\}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- MacLane, S. (1963). Homology, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, V. 114. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Nijenhuis A., and Richardson R. W. (Jr.) (1966). Cohomology and deformations in graded Lie algebras. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, 92, 1.