

## AN EXPANSION THEOREM FOR A SECOND-ORDER SINGULAR MATRIX DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

BIKAN BHAGAT AND GUMA SWESI

*University of Al-Fateh, Post Box 13045, Tripoli, Libya*

(Received 6 February 1981; after revision 13 October 1981)

We consider the second-order matrix differential equation

$$(N - \lambda) \phi = 0, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty,$$

where  $N$  is a second-order matrix differential operator and  $\phi$  a vector having two components. The general expansion formula

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{r=1}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_r(x, \mu) dF_r(\mu), \quad 0 \leq x < \infty,$$

has been established.

§ 1. Titchmarsh (1962) in his book has developed the direct convergence theory for the real second-order differential equation

$$\psi^{(2)}(x) + (\lambda - q(x)) \psi(x) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty, \tag{1.1}$$

applying his analytical methods to the singular case in Chapters II and III. In his paper (1961) he has extended the theory to the matrix differential equation of the first-order

$$(L - \lambda) \phi = 0, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $L$  denotes the matrix operator

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} p(x) & q(x) + \frac{d}{dx} \\ q(x) - \frac{d}{dx} & r(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

$p(x)$ ,  $q(x)$  and  $r(x)$  being given real valued functions of  $x$ ,  $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$  a vector having two components. [For special cases see Conte and Sangren (1954) and Roos and Sangren (1963).]

In the present paper we are concerned with the problem of extending the results of Titchmarsh (1961) to the second-order matrix differential equation

$$(N - \lambda) \phi = 0, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

where  $N$  denotes the second-order matrix differential operator

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{d}{dx} (p_0(x) \frac{d}{dx}) + p_1(x) r(x) & \\ r(x) - \frac{d}{dx} (q_0(x) \frac{d}{dx}) + q_1(x) & \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.4}$$

$\phi$  is a vector having two components  $u \equiv u(x)$  and  $v \equiv v(x)$  represented as a column matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $\lambda$  is a parameter, real or complex.

The coefficients  $p_0(x), q_0(x), p_1(x), q_1(x)$  and  $r(x)$  satisfy the following conditions

- (i)  $p_0(x), q_0(x)$  are real valued and absolutely continuous on all compact subintervals of  $[0, \infty)$ ;
- (ii)  $p_0(x), q_0(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ;
- (iii)  $p_1(x), q_1(x)$  and  $r(x)$  are all real valued and continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ .

The bilinear concomitant  $[gh](x) = [g(x)h(x)]$  of any two differentiable

vectors  $g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(x) \\ g_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$  and  $h(x) = \begin{pmatrix} h_1(x) \\ h_2(x) \end{pmatrix}$  is defined as

$$[gh](x) = p_0(g_1'\bar{h}_1 - g_2'\bar{h}_2) + q_0(g_2'\bar{h}_2 - g_1'\bar{h}_1), \quad \dots(1.5)$$

and Green's formula is given by

$$\int_a^b (g^T N\bar{h} - \bar{h}^T Ng) dx = [gh](b) - [gh](a), \quad \dots(1.6)$$

where  $0 \leq a < b < \infty$ .

The boundary conditions to be satisfied by any solution  $\phi$  of (1.3) at  $x = 0$ , are taken in the form

$$[\phi \phi_j](0) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad \dots(1.7)$$

where

$$\phi_j \equiv \phi_j(0/x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} u_j(0/x, \lambda) \\ v_j(0/x, \lambda) \end{pmatrix} \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

are the boundary condition vectors such that

$$[\phi_1 \phi_2] = 0. \quad \dots(1.8)$$

§ 2. To obtain an expansion theorem for eqn. (1.3) and the boundary conditions (1.7) we define a matrix differential operator  $T$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2[0, \infty)$ . The domain of such an operator  $T$  may well determine the set of vector functions for which the expansion is valid.

Define the set  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  of vectors  $f$  as follows :

$$f \in \mathcal{D}(T) \subset L^2 \text{ if}$$

- (i)  $f \in L^2[0, \infty)$ ,
- (ii)  $f'$  is absolutely continuous on  $[0, b]$  for all  $b > 0$ ,
- (iii)  $Nf \in L^2[0, \infty)$ ,
- (iv)  $[f \phi_j](0) = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2$ ,
- (v)  $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} [f \psi_r](b) = 0$  for  $r = 1, 2$  and all complex  $\lambda$ .

Let the operator  $T$  be defined by

$$T : \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow L^2, \quad Tf = Nf \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{D}(T).$$

The main theorem to be proved is the following expansion theorem for the second-order matrix differential equation (1.3).

*Theorem* — Let the vector  $f(x) \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ ,

$$\chi_r(x, \mu) = \sum_{s=1}^2 \int_0^x \phi_s(x, \mu) dk_{rs}(\mu) \quad (r = 1, 2),$$

where 
$$k_{rs}(\mu) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\mu} -\operatorname{im} [m_{rs}(\mu + i\nu)] d\mu,$$

and 
$$F_r(\mu) = \int_0^{\infty} \chi_r^T(x, \mu) f(x) dx.$$

Then

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{r=1}^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_r(x, \mu) dF_r(\mu) \quad (0 \leq x < \infty).$$

We shall use the results and notations of Bhagat (1969 a, b). One can also see Chakravarty (1965, 1968).

§ 3. As in the analysis of Chapters II and III of Titchmarsh (1962) and that of Chaudhury and Everitt (1969) and Titchmarsh (1961), we need some of the properties of the boundary-value problem on the finite interval  $[0, b]$  determined by the system of differential equations (1.3), the boundary conditions (1.7) at  $x = 0$  with condition (1.8) and similar conditions at  $x = b$ . It has been shown by Bhagat (1969a) that such a boundary-value problem is self-adjoint and determines real eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_n, b : n \geq 1\}$  such that

$$-\infty < \lambda_{1, b} \leq \lambda_{2, b} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{n, b} \leq \dots$$

and a corresponding set of eigenvectors  $\{\psi_{n,b}(x) : n \geq 1\}$ , all real valued on  $[0, b]$ , which form an orthonormal set i. e.

$$\int_0^b \psi_{n,b}^T(x) \psi_{m,b}(x) dx = \delta_{nm}.$$

These eigenvectors are complete in the space  $L^2 [0, b]$  and satisfy the Parseval relation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n,b}^2 = \int_0^b f^T(x) f(x) dx \quad \dots(3.1)$$

for all  $f(x) \in L^2 [0, b]$ , where  $c_{n,b} = \int_0^b \psi_{n,b}^T f dx, n \geq 1. \quad \dots(3.2)$

We now indicate the lines of proof of some of the properties of  $\Phi(x, \lambda, f)$  for the interval  $[0, \infty)$  defined in § 9 of Bhagat (1969b).

*Lemma 3.1* — For all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ ,  $\lambda \Phi(x, \lambda, f)$   
 $= f(x) + \Phi(x, \lambda, Nf)$  ( $0 \leq x < \infty$ ). ... (3.3)

Using Green's formula (1.6) and condition (iv) of § 2, we have

$$\lambda \int_0^x \phi_j^T(y, \lambda) f(y) dy = \int_0^x \phi_j^T Nf dy + [\phi_j f](x), \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad \dots(3.4)$$

and using condition (v) of § 2, we have

$$\lambda \int_x^\infty \psi_r^T(y, \lambda) f(y) dy = \int_x^\infty \psi_r^T Nf(y) dy - [\psi_r f](x), \quad (r = 1, 2). \quad \dots(3.5)$$

Hence substituting, from (3.4) and (3.5) in the explicit formula for  $\Phi(x, \lambda, f)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \Phi(x, \lambda, f) &= \psi_1 \int_0^x \phi_1^T Nf dy + \psi_2 \int_0^x \phi_2^T Nf dy + \phi_1 \int_x^\infty \psi_1^T Nf dy \\ &\quad + \phi_2 \int_x^\infty \psi_2^T Nf dy + \psi_1 [\phi_1 f](x) + \psi_2 [\phi_2 f](x) \\ &\quad - \phi_1 [\psi_1 f](x) - \phi_2 [\psi_2 f](x). \end{aligned}$$

Now using Lemma (8.1) of Bhagat (1969b), it follows that

$$\psi_1 [\phi_1 f](x) + \psi_2 [\phi_2 f](x) - \phi_1 [\psi_1 f](x) - \phi_2 [\psi_2 f](x) = f(x).$$

Hence the result follows.

*Lemma 3.2*—If  $f(x) \in L^2[0, \infty)$ ,  $x$  fixed and  $\text{im } \lambda = v \neq 0$ ,  $|\lambda| \geq A > 0$  then  
 $\Phi_1(x, \lambda, f), \Phi_2(x, \lambda, f) = O(|\lambda|^{-1/4} |v|)$ . ... (3.6)

The proof follows exactly using the method of § 2 of Bhagat (1975).

*Lemma 3.3* — For all real valued vector functions  $f \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ , there exists a parameter  $K(x)$  depending on  $x$  only such that

$$\int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} |\text{im } \Phi_r(x, f)| d\mu < K(x), \quad r = 1, 2,$$

where  $\lambda = \mu + iv$ ,  $\mu_1 \leq \mu \leq \mu_2$  and  $v > 0$ .

The proof follows exactly following the methods of § 12.7 of Titchmarsh (1958) and § 9 of Chaudhury and Everitt (1969).

§ 4. It is known (Bhagat (1969 b), § 4) that the only singularities of  $l_r(b; \lambda)$  for each fixed  $b$ , are simple poles on the real axis. Let  $\lambda_{n,b}$  be a simple pole of  $l_r(b; \lambda)$  with residue  $R_r(b; n)$ . Then from (4.6) of Bhagat (1969b), as  $v \rightarrow 0$  ( $\mu$  fixed)

$$\int_0^\infty iv \psi_r^T(b; x, \lambda_{n,b} + iv) (-iv \psi_r(b; x, \lambda_{n,b} - iv)) dx < \infty, \quad r = 1, 2. \quad \dots(4.1)$$

and

$$\int_0^b (i\nu \psi_r(b; x, \lambda_{n,b} + i\nu) - U_r(b; x, \lambda_{n,b}))^T (-i\nu \psi_r(b; x, \lambda_{n,b} - i\nu) - U_r(b; x, \lambda_{n,b})) dx$$

tends to zero, where

$$U_r(b; x, \lambda_{n,b}) = \sum_{s=1}^2 R_{rs}(b; n) \phi_s(x, \lambda_{n,b}). \tag{4.2}$$

Hence  $i\nu \psi_r(b; x, \lambda_{n,b} + i\nu)$  ( $r = 1, 2$ ) converge in the mean to  $U_r(b; x, \lambda_{n,b})$  uniformly with respect to  $b$  as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ .

Also we have (from Bhagat (1969b, § 4)

$$\int_0^b \psi_r^T(b; x, \lambda_1) \psi_s(b; x, \lambda_2) dx = \frac{l_{sr}(b; \lambda_2 - l_{rs}(b; \lambda_1))}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}. \tag{4.3}$$

Putting  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_{n,b} + i\nu$  in (4.3) and multiplying by  $i\nu$  and then making  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$\int_0^b \psi_r^T(b; x, \lambda_1) U_s(b; x, \lambda_{n,b}) dx = \frac{R_{rs}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{n,b}}, \quad \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_{n,b}. \tag{4.4}$$

Putting  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_{m,b} + i\nu$  ( $\lambda_{m,b} \neq \lambda_{n,b}$ ) and making  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\int_0^b U_r^T(b; x, \lambda_{m,b}) U_s(b; x, \lambda_{n,b}) dx = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

If we put  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_{n,b} + i\nu$ , then making  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$\int_0^b U_r^T(b; x, \lambda_{n,b}) U_s(b; x, \lambda_{n,b}) dx = R_{rs}(b; n). \tag{4.6}$$

If  $\lambda_{n,b}$  is a simple zero of  $D(\lambda)$ , then from § 7 of Bhagat (1969a), by considering the limits of  $i\nu \psi_r(b; x, \lambda_{n,b} + i\nu)$  ( $r = 1, 2$ ) as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$  we get

$$R_{11}(b; n) R_{22}(b; n) = R_{12}^2(b; n); \tag{4.7}$$

and the normalized eigenvectors  $\psi_{n,b}(x)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{n,b}$  can be put in the form

$$\psi_{n,b}^{(r)}(x) = R_{rr}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(b; n) U_r(b; x, \lambda_{n,b}) \quad (r = 1, 2).$$

By similar arguments it can be shown that if  $\lambda_{n,b}$  is a double zero of  $D(\lambda)$ , then

$$R_{11}(b; n) R_{22}(b; n) - R_{12}^2(b; n) = \frac{1}{I_{11} I_{22} I_{12}^2} > 0 \tag{4.8}$$

where  $I_{jk} = \int_0^b \phi_j^T \phi_k dx$ ,  $\phi_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) and  $\phi_k$  ( $k = 3, 4$ ) being the boundary

condition vectors at  $x = 0$  and  $x=b$  respectively. The two orthonormal eigenvectors  $\psi_{n,b}^{(r)}(x)$ ,  $r = 1, 2$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{n,b}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n,b}^{(1)}(x) &= R_{11}^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_1(b; x, \lambda_{n,b}) \dots(4.9) \\ \psi_{n,b}^{(2)}(x) &= \frac{R_{12} U_1(b; x, \lambda_{n,b}) - R_{11} U_2(b; x, \lambda_{n,b})}{R_{11}^{\frac{1}{2}} (R_{11} R_{22} - R_{12}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

In this case a suitable linear combination of  $\psi_{n,b}^{(1)}$  and  $\psi_{n,b}^{(2)}$  is taken as a normalized eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{n,b}$ .

§ 5. In this section we prove some of the results corresponding to § 3.2, § 3.3 and 3.4 of Titchmarsh (1962).

*Lemma 5.1* — For  $-\infty < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \infty, 0 < v \leq 1$ ,

$$\left| \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} -\operatorname{im} [m_{rs}(\mu + i v)] d\mu \right| \leq B(\mu_1, \mu_2), \quad 1 \leq r, s \leq 2. \dots(5.1)$$

If  $\psi_{n,b}(x)$  is the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_{n,b}$ , we have, from (4.4), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9),

$$\int_0^b \psi_r^T(b; x, \lambda) \psi_{n,b}(x) dx = \frac{C_{rr}(b; n)}{\lambda - \lambda_{n,b}}, \quad (v \neq 0) \dots(5.2)$$

where  $C_{rr}(b; n)$  are functions of  $R_{rs}(b; n)$  ( $1 \leq r, s \leq 2$ ).

Now exactly following Titchmarsh (1962), p. 54 we can prove that

$$\left| \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} -\operatorname{im} l_{rr}(b; \lambda) d\mu \right| \leq B(\mu_1, \mu_2) < \infty,$$

for  $r = 1, 2$  and  $0 < v \leq 1$ .

For real vectors  $F$  and  $G$

$$(F_1 G_1 + F_2 G_2)^2 \leq (F_1^2 + F_2^2)(G_1^2 + G_2^2)$$

whence  $\int_a^b F^T G dx \leq \left\{ \int_a^b F^T F dx \int_a^b G^T G dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  the Schwarz inequality. ... (5.3)

For this and (4.7) of Bhagat (1969 b)

$$\left| -\operatorname{im} l_{rs}(b; \lambda) \right| \leq \{ (-\operatorname{im} l_{rr}(b; \lambda)) (-\operatorname{im} l_{ss}(b; \lambda)) \}^{1/2}.$$

Hence

$$\left| \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} -\operatorname{im} l_{rs}(b; \mu + i v) d\mu \right| \leq B(\mu_1, \mu_2) < \infty. \dots(5.4)$$

Since  $l_{rs}(b; \lambda)$  converge boundedly to  $m_{rs}(\lambda)$  as  $b \rightarrow \infty$ , the result (5.1) follows.

*Lemma 5.2* — The symmetric matrix

$$K(\lambda) = (k_{rs}(\lambda)) = \left( \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\lambda} -\operatorname{im} [m_{rs}(\mu + i v)] d\mu \right)$$

exists for all real  $\lambda$ ;  $K(\lambda)$  is a function of bounded variation, and

$$K(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \{K(\lambda + 0) + K(\lambda - 0)\},$$

and the symmetric matrix  $K(\lambda)$  is non-decreasing for increasing  $\lambda$ . Also

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow +0} \int_0^{\mu} -\operatorname{im} [\psi_r(x, \mu + i\nu)] d\mu = \sum_{s=1}^2 \int_0^{\mu} \phi_s(x, \mu) dk_{rs}(\mu).$$

Putting  $\lambda_2 = \lambda$ ,  $\lambda_1 = i$  in (4.3), we get, using inequality (5.3)

$$|l_{rs}(b; \lambda)| \leq |l_{rs}(b; i)| + |i - \lambda| \left\{ \int_0^b |\psi_r(b; x, \lambda)|^2 dx \int_0^b |\psi_s(b; x, i)|^2 dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence if  $\lambda = \mu + i\nu$ ,  $\mu_1 \leq \mu \leq \mu_2$ ,  $0 < \nu \leq 1$ , we have

$$|l_{rs}(b; \mu + i\nu)| \leq \alpha + \beta \left\{ \frac{-\operatorname{im} l_{rr}(b; \mu + i\nu)}{\nu} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where

$$\alpha = \alpha(\mu_1, \mu_2) = |l_{rs}(b; i)| = O(1) \text{ as } b \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\beta = \beta(\mu_1, \mu_2) = |\lambda - i| \{ |-\operatorname{im} l_{ss}(b; i)| \}^{1/2} = O(1) \text{ as } b \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $l_{rs}(b; \lambda) \rightarrow m_{rs}^{(2)}$  as  $b \rightarrow \infty$  (through a sequence of values if necessary), we get

$$|m_{rs}(\mu + i\nu)| \leq \alpha + \beta \left\{ \frac{-\operatorname{im} [m_{rr}(\mu + i\nu)]}{\nu} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} |m_{rs}(\mu + i\nu)| d\mu \leq \beta_1(\mu_1, \mu_2) + \frac{\rho_2(\mu_1, \mu_2)}{\nu^{1/2}}.$$

Hence

$$\int_0^1 d\nu \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} |m_{rs}(\mu + i\nu)| d\mu < \infty.$$

So from Fubini's theorem on multiple integrals we see that

$$\int_0^1 |m_{rs}(\mu + i\nu)| d\nu \quad (1 \leq r, s \leq 2)$$

are finite for all  $\mu$  in  $\mu_1 \leq \mu \leq \mu_2$ .

Now from the general theorem on the boundary values of analytic functions (Titchmarsh (1958), § 22.23) it follows that the limit  $K(\lambda)$  exists and is of bounded variation.

From (5.4), exactly following Chaudhury and Everitt (1969) it can be shown that the matrix  $K(\lambda)$  is non-decreasing.

Now

$$\int_0^{\mu} -\operatorname{im} [\psi_r(x, \mu + i\nu)] d\mu = \int_0^{\mu} -\operatorname{im} [\theta_r(x, \mu + i\nu)] d\mu$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_0^{\mu} \left\{ - \sum_{s=1}^2 \operatorname{re} [m_{rs} (\mu + i\nu)] \operatorname{im} [\phi_s (x, + i\nu)] \right\} d\mu \\
 & + \int_0^{\mu} \left\{ - \sum_{s=1}^2 \operatorname{im} [m_{rs} (\mu + i\nu)] \operatorname{re} [\phi_s (x, \mu + i\nu)] \right\} d\mu.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the vectors  $\theta_r (x, \lambda)$  and  $\phi_r (x, \lambda)$  are real for real  $\lambda$ ,  $\operatorname{im} \theta_r (x, \mu + i\nu)$  and  $\operatorname{im} \phi_r (x, \mu + i\nu)$  are  $O(\nu)$ , uniformly with respect to  $\mu$  over a finite interval. Hence the first two terms tend to zero with  $\nu$ . Let

$$k_{rs} (\mu + i\nu) = \int_0^{\mu} - \operatorname{im} [m_{rs} (\mu + i\nu)] d\mu.$$

Then the third term tends to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[ \sum_{s=1}^2 k_{rs} (\mu) \phi_s (x, \mu) \right]_0^{\mu} - \int_0^{\mu} \sum_{s=1}^2 k_{rs} (\mu) \frac{\partial \phi_s (x, \mu)}{\partial \mu} d\mu \text{ as } \nu \rightarrow 0, \\
 & = \int_0^{\mu} \sum_{s=1}^2 \phi_s (x, \mu) d k_{rs} (\mu), \text{ since } k_{rs} (\mu) \text{ are of bounded variation and } \phi_s, \frac{\partial \phi_s}{\partial \mu}
 \end{aligned}$$

are continuous.

*Lemma 5.3*—Let  $\chi_r (x, \mu) = \sum_{s=1}^2 \int_0^{\mu} \phi_s (x, \mu) d k_{rs} (\mu) (r = 1, 2) \dots(5.5)$

$0 \leq x < \infty$  and  $-\infty < \mu < \infty$ . Then the vectors  $\chi_r (x, \mu) \in L^2 [0, \infty)$ .

From (5.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^b \psi_{n,b} (x) dx \int_0^{\mu} \operatorname{im} \psi_r (b; x, \mu + i\nu) d\mu \\
 & = C_{rr} (b; n) \int_0^{\mu} \frac{-\nu d\mu}{(\mu - \lambda_{n,b})^2 + \nu^2} = O \left( \frac{C_{rr} (b; n)}{(\lambda_{n,b}^2 + 1)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Parseval formula gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^b \left[ \left\{ \int_0^{\mu} \operatorname{im} \psi_r^T (b; x, \mu + i\nu) d\mu \right\} \left\{ \int_0^{\mu} \operatorname{im} \psi_r (b; x, \mu + i\nu) d\mu \right\} \right] dx = 0 \\
 & \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{rr}^2 (b; n)}{\lambda_{n,b}^2 + 1} \right\} = O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Making  $b \rightarrow \infty$ , (possibly through a sequence of values) and  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \chi_r^T (x, \mu) \chi_r (x, \mu) dx < K < \infty, K \text{ being an absolute constant.}$$

This proves Lemma 5.3.



From (4.7) of Bhagat (1969b), we have

$$\int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} d\mu \int_0^b \psi_r^T(b; x, \mu + i\nu) \psi_s(b; x, \mu - i\nu) dx = \int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} -\frac{\text{im } l_{rs}(b; \mu + i\nu)}{\nu} d\mu.$$

Hence as in Lemma 5.1 it follows that, as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ .

$$\int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} d\mu \int_0^\infty \psi_r^T(x, \mu + i\nu) \psi_r(x, \mu + i\nu) dx = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right), \text{ for fixed } \mu_1 \text{ and } \mu_2.$$

For  $r = 1, 2$ , define

$$F_r(\mu) = \int_0^\infty \chi_r^T(x, \mu) f(x) dx, \mu \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Then from Lemma 5.3,  $F_r(\cdot)$  is finite for all real  $\mu$  and for all real vectors  $f \in \mathcal{D}(T)$ .

Then exactly following § 3.6 of Titchmarsh (1962), it follows that the variation of  $F_r(\mu)$  over the compact interval  $[-R, R]$  is finite and is uniformly bounded for all  $R > 0$ ;

(variation of  $F_r(\mu)$  over  $[-R, R]$ )  $\leq K < \infty$ , ( $r = 1, 2$ ),

for all  $R > 0$  where  $K$  is an absolute constant.

§ 6. Let  $f(x)$  be a real valued vector belonging to  $\mathcal{D}(T)$ . Then following §§ 2.15 and 3.6 of Titchmarsh (1962), we have

$$f(x) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-R+i\delta}^{R+i\delta} \Phi(x, \lambda) d\lambda, \tag{6.1}$$

where  $\delta > 0$ , and then following Roos and Sangren (1963), § 8, it can be proved that (6.1) can be replaced by

$$f(x) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-R+i\delta}^{R+i\delta} \text{im } \Phi(x, \lambda) d\lambda. \tag{6.2}$$

It is then the question of the behaviour of this integral as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

$\phi_j(x, \lambda), \theta_j(x, \lambda)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) are analytic functions of  $\lambda$  and real for real  $\lambda$ , it follows that each of

$$\text{im}(u_j), \text{im}(v_j), \text{im}(x_j), \text{im}(y_j) \tag{6.3}$$

is equal to  $O(\delta)$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, for  $x, y$  in the fixed interval

$$\text{im}[\psi_{r1}(x, \lambda) u_j(y, \lambda) - u_j(x, \lambda) \psi_{r1}(y, \lambda)] = O(\delta) \quad (1 \leq r, j \leq 2) \tag{6.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im}[\psi_{11}(x, \lambda) v_1(y, \lambda) - u_1(x, \lambda) \psi_{12}(y, \lambda) + \psi_{21}(x, \lambda) v_2(y, \lambda) \\ - u_2(x, \lambda) \psi_{22}(y, \lambda)] = O(\delta). \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Now using explicit expression for  $\Phi(x, \lambda, f)$  and following Titchmarsh (1962), § 3.4, it follows from (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5) that as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , for fixed  $R$ ,

$$-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-R+i\delta}^{R+i\delta} \operatorname{im} \Phi_1(x, \lambda) d\lambda \text{ is equal to the Stieltjes integral}$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{r=1}^2 \int_{-R}^R u_r(x, \mu) dF_r(\mu).$$

Hence

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{r=1}^2 \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R u_r(x, \mu) dF_r(\mu).$$

Similarly,

$$f_2(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{r=1}^2 \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R v_r(x, \mu) dF_r(\mu).$$

#### REFERENCES

- Bhagat, B. (1969a). Eigenfunction expansions associated with a pair of second-order differential equations. *Proc. natn. Inst. Sci. India*, A 35, 161-74.
- (1969b). Some problems on a pair of singular second-order differential equations. *Proc. natn. Inst. Sci. India*, A 35, 232-44.
- (1975). Some asymptotic formulae *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, 6, 648-54.
- Chakravarty, N. K. (1965). Some problems in eigenfunction expansions I. *Quart. Jl Math.*, (Oxford) (2), 16, 135-150.
- (1968a). Some problems in eigenfunction expansion II. *Quart. Jl Math.*, (Oxford) (2), 19, 213-24.
- (1968b). Some problems in eigenfunction expansion III. *Quart. Jl Math.*, (Oxford) (2), 19, 397-415.
- Chaudhury, J., and Everitt, W. N. (1969). On an eigenfunction expansion for a fourth-order singular differential equation. *Quart. Jl Math.*, (Oxford) (2), 20, 195-213.
- Conte, S. D., and Sangren, W. C. (1954). An expansion theorem for a pair of first-order differential equations. *Canad. J. Math.*, 6, 554-60.
- Roos, B. W., and Sangren, W. C. (1963). Expansions associated with a pair of singular first-order differential equations. *J. Math. Phys.*, 4, 999-1008.
- Titchmarsh, E. C. (1958). *Eigenfunction Expansions Associated with Second-order Differential Equations, Part II* Oxford.
- (1961). Some eigenfunction expansion formulae. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 11, 159-68.
- (1962). *Eigenfunction Expansions Associated with Second-order Differential Equations, Part I*, second edn. Oxford.