

# ON ALMOST HERMITE SPACES

by R. S. MISHRA, F.N.A., and RAM HIT, *Department of Mathematics,  
Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi*

(Received 28 April 1970)

In this paper we have obtained some results concerning almost Hermite,  
almost Kaehler and almost Tachibana spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider a  $2n$ -dimensional space  $M_{2n}$  of differentiability class  $C^{r+1}$ . Let there be defined in  $M_{2n}$  a vector-valued linear function  $F$  such that

$$\bar{X} + X = 0 \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.1)$$

for an arbitrary vector field  $X$ , where

$$\bar{X} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F(X). \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.2)$$

Then  $F$  is said to give an almost complex structure to  $M_{2n}$  and  $M_{2n}$  is called an 'almost complex space'.

*Agreement 1.1*—All the equations which follow, hold for arbitrary vector fields  $X, Y, Z, \dots$ , etc.

Let the almost complex space  $M_{2n}$  be also endowed with the Hermitian metric tensor  $g$ :

$$g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = g(X, Y). \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.3)$$

Then  $M_{2n}$  is called an almost Hermite space.

Let us put

$$'F(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(\bar{X}, Y). \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.4)$$

Then from (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), we have

$$'F(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = -g(X, \bar{Y}) = g(\bar{X}, Y) = 'F(X, Y) \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.5a)$$

$$-'F(\bar{X}, Y) = +g(X, Y) = 'F(X, \bar{Y}) \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.5b)$$

$$'F(X, Y) + 'F(Y, X) = 0. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.6)$$

Suppose  $D$  is a Riemannian connexion in  $M_{2n}$ .

$$D_X Y - D_Y X = [X, Y] \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.7)$$

$$X \cdot \{g(Y, Z)\} = g(D_X Y, Z) + g(Y, D_X Z). \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.8)$$

If in addition to (1.1), (1.3) and (1.6), we also have

$$(D_X F)(Y) = 0 \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.9)$$

$M_{2n}$  is called Kaehler space. An almost Hermite space, for which

$$(D_X F)(Y) + (D_Y F)(X) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (1.10)$$

is satisfied, is said to be an almost Tachibana space. An almost Hermite space, for which

$$(D_X' F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y' F)(Z, X) + (D_Z' F)(X, Y) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (1.11)$$

is satisfied, is said to be an almost Kaehler space. An almost Hermite space, for which

$$\operatorname{div} F = 0 \quad \dots \quad (1.12)$$

is satisfied, is said to be an almost semi-Kaehler space.

For an almost Hermite space, we have (Mishra 1969)

$$(D_X' F)(Y, \bar{Z}) = (D_X' F)(\bar{Y}, Z) \quad \dots \quad (1.13a)$$

$$(D_X' F)(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = -(D_X' F)(Y, Z). \quad \dots \quad (1.13b)$$

For an almost Kaehler space, we have (Mishra 1969)

$$(D_X' F)(\bar{Y}, Z) + (D_Y' F)(Z, \bar{X}) + (D_Z' F)(\bar{X}, Y) = 0. \quad \dots \quad (1.14)$$

For an almost Tachibana space, we have (Mishra 1969)

$$(D_X' F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y' F)(X, Z) = 0. \quad \dots \quad (1.15)$$

Nijenhuis tensor  $N$  is given by (Mishra and Ram Hit 1971)

$$N(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] - [X, Y] - [\bar{X}, Y] - [X, \bar{Y}] \quad \dots \quad (1.16a)$$

where

$$[X, Y] = D_X Y - D_Y X. \quad \dots \quad (1.16b)$$

If we put

$$'N(X, Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(N(X, Y), Z) \quad \dots \quad (1.17)$$

$$'M(X, Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (D_X' F)(Y, Z) + (D_X' F)(\bar{Y}, Z) \quad \dots \quad (1.18)$$

then (Yano 1965)

$$'N(X, Y, Z) = 'M(X, Y, Z) - 'M(Y, X, Z). \quad \dots \quad (1.19)$$

From (1.19) we have

$$'M(X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2}('N(X, Y, Z) + 'N(Z, X, Y) + 'N(Z, Y, X)). \quad \dots \quad (1.20)$$

From (1.19) and (1.20) we can see that the vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor is equivalent to the vanishing of the tensor  $'M(X, Y, Z)$ .

## 2. ALMOST HERMITE SPACES

*Theorem 1*—The necessary and sufficient condition for almost Hermite space to be Hermite space is

$$g((D_X F)(Y), Z) = 'F((D_X F)(Y), Z). \quad \dots \quad (2.1)$$

PROOF: Let us put

$$'M(X, Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(D_X \bar{Y} - D_X Y, Z) + g(D_X \bar{Y} + D_X Y, \bar{Z}). \quad \dots \quad (2.2)$$

Then we have (Mishra 1967)

$$'M(X, Y, Z) = g((D_X F)(Y), Z) - 'F((D_X F)(Y), Z). \quad \dots \quad (2.3)$$

The statement follows from (1.19), (1.20) and (2.3).

Theorem 2—Let us put

$$G(X, Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (D_X'F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y'F)(X, Z) \quad \dots \quad (2.4)$$

$$J(X, Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (D_X'F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y'F)(Z, X) + (D_Z'F)(X, Y). \quad \dots \quad (2.5)$$

Then

$$G(X, Y, Z) + G(Y, Z, X) + G(Z, X, Y) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (2.6)$$

$$G(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) - G(X, \bar{Z}, \bar{Y}) = (D_Y'F)(\bar{Z}, X) + (D_Z'F)(\bar{X}, Y) - 2(D_X'F)(Y, Z). \quad (2.7)$$

PROOF: Writing two other equations obtained by cyclic permutation of  $X, Y, Z$  in (2.4), adding these two equations to (2.4) and using the fact that  $'F$  is anti-symmetric we get (2.6). From (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$G(X, Y, Z) - G(X, Z, Y) = 3(D_X'F)(Y, Z) - J(X, Y, Z). \quad \dots \quad (2.8)$$

Barring  $Y$  and  $Z$  in (2.8) and using (1.13b) and (2.5), we get (2.7).

Corollary 1—We have

$$G(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}, \bar{Y}) - G(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = (D_Y'F)(Z, X) + (D_Z'F)(X, Y) + 2(D_X'F)(Y, Z) \quad (2.9a)$$

$$G(X, Y, \bar{Z}) - G(X, \bar{Z}, Y) = (D_Y'F)(\bar{Z}, X) + (D_Z'F)(X, Y) + 2(D_X'F)(\bar{Y}, Z) \quad (2.9b)$$

$$G(X, \bar{Y}, Z) - G(X, Z, \bar{Y}) = (D_Y'F)(Z, X) + (D_Z'F)(\bar{X}, Y) + 2(D_X'F)(Y, \bar{Z}) \quad (2.9c)$$

$$G(\bar{X}, \bar{Z}, Y) - G(\bar{X}, Y, \bar{Z}) = (D_Y'F)(Z, X) - (D_Z'F)(X, \bar{Y}) - 2(D_X'F)(\bar{Y}, Z), \text{ etc.} \quad \dots \quad (2.9d)$$

PROOF: Barring different vectors in (2.7) and using (1.1) and (1.13), we obtain (2.9 a, b, c, d).

Theorem 3—For an almost Kaehler space, we have

$$G(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) + G(Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{X}) + G(Z, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = 0. \quad \dots \quad (2.10)$$

PROOF: Barring  $Y$  and  $Z$  in (2.4) and using (1.13b), we get

$$G(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = -(D_X'F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y'F)(X, \bar{Z}). \quad \dots \quad (2.11)$$

Similarly

$$G(Y, \bar{Z}, \bar{X}) = -(D_Y'F)(Z, X) + (D_Z'F)(Y, \bar{X}) \quad \dots \quad (2.12)$$

$$G(Z, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = -(D_Z'F)(X, Y) + (D_X'F)(Z, \bar{Y}). \quad \dots \quad (2.13)$$

Adding these three equations and using (1.11) and (1.14), we get (2.10).

Corollary 2—We have

$$G(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) - G(Y, \bar{Z}, X) - G(Z, X, \bar{Y}) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (2.14a)$$

$$G(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{X}) - G(X, Y, \bar{Z}) - G(Z, \bar{X}, Y) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (2.14b)$$

$$G(\bar{Z}, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}) - G(X, \bar{Y}, Z) - G(Y, Z, \bar{X}) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (2.14c)$$

$$G(Z, X, Y) - G(\bar{X}, Y, \bar{Z}) - G(Y, \bar{Z}, X) = 0, \text{ etc.} \quad \dots \quad (2.14d)$$

*Theorem 4*—For an almost Kaehler space, we have

$$G(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) + G(\bar{X}, Y, \bar{Z}) + G(X, Y, Z) = 2(D_Y'F)(X, \bar{Z}) + (D_Z'F)(X, \bar{Y}) \quad \dots \quad (2.15)$$

$$G(X, \bar{Z}, \bar{Y}) - G(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = 2(D_X'F)(Y, Z) + (D_X'F)(\bar{Y}, Z). \quad \dots \quad (2.16)$$

PROOF: Barring  $Y$  and  $Z$  in (2.4) and using (1.13b), we get

$$G(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = -(D_X'F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y'F)(X, \bar{Z}). \quad \dots \quad (2.17)$$

Similarly

$$G(\bar{X}, Y, \bar{Z}) = -(D_Y'F)(X, Z) + (D_X'F)(Y, \bar{Z}). \quad \dots \quad (2.18)$$

Adding these two equations and using (1.14) and (2.4), we get (2.15). Equation (2.16) is obtained from (2.7) by using (1.14).

*Theorem 5*—Let us put

$$G(X, Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (D_X'F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y'F)(X, Z) \quad \dots \quad (2.19)$$

$$'M(X, Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (D_X'F)(Y, Z) + (D_X'F)(Y, \bar{Z}). \quad \dots \quad (2.20)$$

Then

$$'M(X, Y, Z) + 'M(Y, X, Z) = G(X, Y, \bar{Z}) - G(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}). \quad \dots \quad (2.21)$$

Consequently, for almost Kaehler space, we have

$$G(X, Y, \bar{Z}) + G(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = 0. \quad \dots \quad (2.22)$$

PROOF: From (2.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 'M(X, Y, Z) + 'M(Y, X, Z) &= (D_X'F)(Y, Z) + (D_X'F)(Y, \bar{Z}) \\ &\quad + (D_Y'F)(X, Z) + (D_Y'F)(X, \bar{Z}). \quad \dots \quad (2.23) \end{aligned}$$

Barring  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  in (2.19) and using (1.13b), we get

$$G(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = -\{(D_X'F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y'F)(X, Z)\}. \quad \dots \quad (2.24)$$

Substituting from (2.24), (2.19) in (2.23), we get (2.21).

Using (1.13a) and (1.14) in (2.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 'M(X, Y, Z) + 'M(Y, X, Z) &= -(D_Y'F)(Z, \bar{X}) - (D_Z'F)(\bar{X}, Y) + (D_X'F)(Y, \bar{Z}) \\ &\quad - (D_X'F)(Z, \bar{Y}) - (D_Z'F)(\bar{Y}, X) + (D_Y'F)(X, \bar{Z}) \\ &= 2(D_X'F)(Y, \bar{Z}) + 2(D_Y'F)(X, \bar{Z}). \quad \dots \quad (2.25) \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.19) and (2.21) in (2.25), we get (2.22).

*Theorem 6*—A necessary and sufficient condition for almost Hermite space to be almost Tachibana space is

$$(D_X'F)(Y) + (D_Y'F)(X) = \overline{(D_X'F)(Y)} + \overline{(D_Y'F)(X)}.$$

PROOF: The statement follows from the fact that Nijenhuis tensor is skew-symmetric in all the vectors in almost Tachibana space.

*Theorem 7*—In almost Tachibana space, we have

$$[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] + [X, Y] = \overline{D_X'Y} + \overline{D_Y'X} - \overline{D_Y'X} - \overline{D_X'Y} \quad \therefore \quad \dots \quad (2.26a)$$

$$[\bar{X}, Y] - [X, \bar{Y}] = -\overline{D_X'Y} - \overline{D_Y'X} - \overline{D_Y'X} - \overline{D_X'Y}. \quad \dots \quad (2.26b)$$

PROOF: We have

$$D_X \bar{Y} + D_Y \bar{X} = (D_X F)(Y) + (D_Y F)(X) + \overline{D_X Y} + \overline{D_Y X}.$$

Substituting from (1.10) in this equation and then barring  $X$  in the resulting equation and using (1.1) and (1.16b), we obtain (2.26a). (2.26b) follows from (2.26a) by barring  $X$  or  $Y$  and using (1.1).

*Theorem 8*—For almost Tachibana space, we have

$$J(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) + J(Y, \bar{X}, \bar{Z}) = 0. \quad \dots \quad (2.27)$$

PROOF: Barring  $Y$  and  $Z$  in (2.5) and using (1.13b), we get

$$J(X, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) = -(D_X' F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y' F)(\bar{Z}, X) + (D_Z' F)(X, \bar{Y}). \quad \dots \quad (2.28)$$

Similarly

$$J(Y, \bar{X}, \bar{Z}) = -(D_Y' F)(X, Z) + (D_X' F)(\bar{Z}, Y) + (D_Z' F)(Y, \bar{X}). \quad \dots \quad (2.29)$$

Adding these equations and using (1.15), we get (2.27).

*Corollary 3*—We also have

$$J(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z) - J(Y, X, Z) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (2.30a)$$

$$J(X, \bar{Y}, Z) + J(Y, \bar{X}, Z) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (2.30b)$$

$$J(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) - J(Y, X, \bar{Z}) = 0. \quad \dots \quad (2.30c)$$

PROOF: Barring  $X$  in (2.27) and using (1.1), we get (2.30a). (2.30b, c) follow from (2.30a) and (1.1).

*Theorem 9*—If an almost Hermite space has the following two properties:

- (a) it is an almost Kaehler space
- (b) it is an almost Tachibana space

then

$$(D_Z' F)(X, \bar{Y}) = 2(D_X' F)(Y, Z). \quad \dots \quad (2.31)$$

PROOF: Let us put

$$J(X, Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (D_X' F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y' F)(Z, X) + (D_Z' F)(X, Y) \quad \dots \quad (2.32)$$

$$G(X, Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (D_X' F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y' F)(X, Z). \quad \dots \quad (2.33)$$

Then

$$2(D_X' F)(Y, Z) + (D_Z' F)(X, Y) = 0 \quad \dots \quad (2.34)$$

under the conditions (a) and (b).

Barring  $Y$  and  $Z$  in (2.34) and using (1.13b), we get

$$(D_Z' F)(X, \bar{Y}) = 2(D_X' F)(Y, Z). \quad \dots \quad (2.35)$$

### REFERENCES

Mishra, R. S. (1967). - On almost Hermite spaces. II. Nijenhuis tensor. *Indian J. Math.*, 9, No. 1, 161-68.  
 ——— (1969). On almost Hermite spaces. III. *Proc. natn. Inst. Sci. India*, 35, 140-46.  
 Mishra, R. S., and Ram Hit (1971). On almost Hermite spaces, Nijenhuis tensor. *Indian J. Pure appl. Math.*, 2, 344-48.  
 Yano, K. (1965). *Differential Geometry on Complex and Almost Complex spaces*. Pergamon Press, New York.