

# ALMOST COMPLEX MANIFOLDS—III

by R. S. MISHRA, F.N.A., and RAM HIT, *Department of Mathematics,  
Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi*

(Received 4 May 1970)

In this paper, we have obtained an \*O-connection  $D$  as a linear combination of an arbitrary connection  $E$  and some of its properties.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider a  $2n$ -dimensional real manifold  $M_{2n}$  of differentiability class  $C^{r+1}$ . Let there be defined in  $M_{2n}$  a vector-valued linear function  $F$  such that

$$\bar{\bar{X}} + X = 0 \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.1)$$

for arbitrary vector field  $X$ , where

$$\bar{\bar{X}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F(X). \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.2)$$

Then  $F$  is said to give an almost complex structure to  $M_{2n}$  and  $M_{2n}$  is called an almost complex manifold.

*Agreement 1.1*—All the equations which follow hold for arbitrary vector fields  $X, Y, Z, \dots$ , etc.

In an almost complex manifold a connection  $D$  is said to be an  $F$ -connection (Yano 1965) if

$$(D_X F)(Y) = 0. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.3)$$

In view of (1.1) and (1.2), this equation is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} D_X \bar{Y} &= \overline{D_X Y}, & D_X \bar{Y} &= \overline{D_X Y} \\ -D_X Y &= \overline{D_X \bar{Y}}, & -D_X Y &= \overline{D_X \bar{Y}}. \end{aligned}$$

In an almost complex manifold a connection  $D$  is said to be a semi- $F$ -connection (Mishra 1969) if

$$(\text{div } F)(X) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (\text{div } F)(\bar{X}) = 0. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.4)$$

In an almost complex manifold a connection  $D$  is said to be an  $M$ -connection (Mishra 1969) if

$$(D_X F)(Y) + (D_Y F)(X) = 0. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.5)$$

In consequence of (1.1) and (1.2), this equation is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} D_X \bar{Y} + D_Y \bar{X} &= \overline{D_X Y} + \overline{D_Y X} \\ D_X \bar{Y} - D_Y X &= \overline{D_X Y} + \overline{D_Y \bar{X}} \\ -D_X Y + D_Y \bar{X} &= \overline{D_X \bar{Y}} + \overline{D_Y X} \\ -D_X Y - D_Y X &= \overline{D_X \bar{Y}} + \overline{D_Y \bar{X}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Definition (1.1)*—In an almost complex manifold a connection  $D$  will be called an  $*O$ -connection if

$$(D_X F)(Y) + (D_{\bar{X}} F)(\bar{Y}) = 0. \quad \dots \dots \dots (1.6)$$

In an almost complex manifold  $M_{2n}$ , let there be defined a Hermite metric  $g$ :

$$g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = g(X, Y). \quad \dots \dots \dots (1.7a)$$

Then  $M_{2n}$  is said to be an almost Hermite manifold. By virtue of (1.1) and (1.2), eqn. (1.6) is equivalent to

- (a)  $'F(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(\bar{X}, Y) = -g(X, \bar{Y})$
- (b)  $'F(X, \bar{Y}) = -'F(\bar{X}, Y) = g(X, Y)$
- (c)  $'F(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = 'F(X, Y)$ .

In an almost Hermite manifold a connection  $D$  is said to be an almost  $F$ -connection (Mishra 1969) if

$$(D_X 'F)(Y, Z) + (D_Y 'F)(Z, X) + (D_Z 'F)(X, Y) = 0. \quad \dots \dots (1.8)$$

Let  $S$  be the torsion tensor of  $D$ :

$$S(X, Y) = D_X Y - D_Y X - [X, Y]. \quad \dots \dots \dots (1.9)$$

Then  $D$  is called half-symmetric if

$$S(X, Y) - S(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = \overline{S(X, \bar{Y})} + \overline{S(\bar{X}, Y)} \quad \dots \dots (1.10a)$$

or

$$S(X, \bar{Y}) + S(\bar{X}, Y) = \overline{S(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})} - \overline{S(X, Y)}. \quad \dots \dots (1.10b)$$

Let us put

$$T(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (C_1^{-1} S)(X). \quad \dots \dots \dots (1.11a)$$

$C_1^{-1}$  is the contraction.

Then if

$$nS(X, Y) = XT(Y) - YT(X) + \bar{X}T(\bar{Y}) - \bar{Y}T(\bar{X}) \quad \dots \dots (1.11b)$$

$D$  is said to be semi-symmetric (Mishra 1969).

Let us put

$$U(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (C_1^{-1} S)(X). \quad \dots \dots \dots (1.12a)$$

Then if

$$nS(X, Y) = XU(Y) - YU(X) + \bar{X}U(\bar{Y}) - \bar{Y}U(\bar{X}) \quad \dots (1.12b)$$

$D$  is said to be almost symmetric (Mishra 1969).

We have (Mishra 1969)

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, Y) - S(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) - \overline{S(X, \bar{Y})} - \overline{S(\bar{X}, Y)} &= \{[X, Y] - [\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] - \overline{[\bar{X}, Y]} - \overline{[X, \bar{Y}]} \\ &(\alpha - \delta - \phi - \rho - 1) + \{[\bar{X}, Y] - [\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] + [\bar{X}, Y] + [X, \bar{Y}]\} \\ &(\theta - \sigma + \beta + \gamma) + \{s(X, Y) - s(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) - \overline{s(X, \bar{Y})} - \overline{s(\bar{X}, Y)}\} \\ &(\alpha - \delta - \phi - \rho) + \{\overline{s(X, Y)} - \overline{s(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})} + s(\bar{X}, Y) + s(X, \bar{Y})\} \\ &(\theta - \sigma + \beta + \gamma) \quad \dots \dots \dots (1.13) \end{aligned}$$

2. \*O-CONNECTION

*Theorem (2.1)*—Let  $E$  be an arbitrary connection in  $M_{2n}$ . Then the connection  $D$  defined by

$$D_X Y = (-\phi + \rho - \delta)E_X Y + (\sigma + \gamma + \theta)E_X \bar{Y} + \gamma E_X Y + \delta E_X \bar{Y} + \theta \overline{E_X Y} + \phi \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} + \rho \overline{E_X Y} + \sigma \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} \quad \dots \quad (2.1a)$$

is an \*O-connection.

PROOF: Let us put

$$D_X Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha E_X Y + \beta E_X \bar{Y} + \gamma E_X Y + \delta E_X \bar{Y} + \theta \overline{E_X Y} + \phi \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} + \rho \overline{E_X Y} + \sigma \overline{E_X \bar{Y}}. \quad \dots \quad (2.2)$$

Barring this equation throughout, we get

$$\overline{D_X Y} = \alpha \overline{E_X Y} + \beta \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} + \gamma \overline{E_X Y} + \delta \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - \theta E_X Y - \phi E_X \bar{Y} - \rho E_X Y - \sigma E_X \bar{Y}. \quad \dots \quad (2.3a)$$

From (1.1) and (2.3a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D_X Y} + \overline{D_X \bar{Y}} &= (\alpha + \delta)(\overline{E_X Y} + \overline{E_X \bar{Y}}) + (\beta - \gamma)(\overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - \overline{E_X Y}) \\ &\quad - (\theta + \sigma)(E_X Y + E_X \bar{Y}) - (\phi - \rho)(E_X \bar{Y} - E_X Y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3b)$$

Barring  $Y$  in (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (D_X F)(Y) + \overline{D_X Y} &= \alpha E_X \bar{Y} - \beta E_X Y + \gamma E_X \bar{Y} - \delta E_X Y + \theta \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} \\ &\quad - \phi \overline{E_X Y} + \rho \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - \sigma \overline{E_X Y}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots \quad (2.4a)$$

From (1.1), (1.6) and (2.4a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D_X Y} + \overline{D_X \bar{Y}} &= (\alpha + \delta)(E_X \bar{Y} - E_X Y) - (\beta - \gamma)(E_X Y + E_X \bar{Y}) \\ &\quad + (\theta + \sigma)(\overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - \overline{E_X Y}) - (\phi - \rho)(\overline{E_X Y} + \overline{E_X \bar{Y}}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4b)$$

Comparing (2.3b) and (2.4b), we get

$$\alpha = -\phi + \rho - \delta, \quad \beta = \sigma + \gamma + \theta. \quad \dots \quad (2.5)$$

Substituting from the above equations in (2.2), we obtain (2.1).

*Corollary 2.1*—The equation (2.1a) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} D_X \bar{Y} &= (-\phi + \rho - \delta)E_X \bar{Y} - (\sigma + \gamma + \theta)E_X Y + \gamma E_X \bar{Y} - \delta E_X Y \\ &\quad + \theta \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - \phi \overline{E_X Y} + \rho \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - \sigma \overline{E_X Y} \quad \dots \quad (2.1b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_X Y &= (-\phi + \rho - \delta)E_X Y + (\sigma + \gamma + \theta)E_X \bar{Y} - \gamma E_X Y \\ &\quad - \delta E_X \bar{Y} + \theta \overline{E_X Y} + \phi \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - \rho \overline{E_X Y} - \sigma \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} \quad \dots \quad (2.1c) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_X \bar{Y} &= (-\phi + \rho - \delta)E_X \bar{Y} - (\sigma + \gamma + \theta)E_X Y - \gamma E_X \bar{Y} + \delta E_X Y \\ &\quad + \theta \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - \phi \overline{E_X Y} - \rho \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} + \sigma \overline{E_X Y} \quad \dots \quad (2.1d) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D_X Y} &= (-\phi + \rho - \delta)\overline{E_X Y} + (\sigma + \gamma + \theta)\overline{E_X \bar{Y}} + \gamma \overline{E_X Y} + \delta \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} \\ &\quad - \theta E_X Y - \phi E_X \bar{Y} - \rho E_X Y - \sigma E_X \bar{Y} \quad \dots \quad (2.1e) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D_X \bar{Y}} &= (-\phi + \rho - \delta) \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - (\sigma + \gamma + \theta) \overline{E_X Y} + \gamma \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - \delta \overline{E_X Y} \\ &\quad - \theta E_X \bar{Y} + \phi E_X Y - \rho E_X \bar{Y} + \sigma E_X Y \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.1f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D_X Y} &= (-\phi + \rho - \delta) \overline{E_X Y} + (\sigma + \gamma + \theta) \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - \gamma \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - \delta \overline{E_X Y} \\ &\quad - \theta E_X Y - \phi E_X \bar{Y} + \rho E_X Y + \sigma E_X \bar{Y} \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.1g) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D_X \bar{Y}} &= (-\phi + \rho - \delta) \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} - (\sigma + \gamma + \theta) \overline{E_X Y} - \gamma \overline{E_X \bar{Y}} + \delta \overline{E_X Y} \\ &\quad - \theta E_X \bar{Y} + \phi E_X Y + \rho E_X \bar{Y} - \sigma E_X Y. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.1h) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: Barring different vectors in (2.1a) and using (1.1) and (1.2), we obtain (2.1b)–(2.1h).

*Theorem 2.2*—An  $*O$ -connection is a semi- $F$ -connection

PROOF: From (2.3a) and (2.4a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (D_X F)(Y) &= (\alpha + \phi)(E_X F)(Y) + (\theta - \beta) \overline{(E_X F)(\bar{Y})} + (\gamma + \sigma)(E_X F)(Y) \\ &\quad + (\rho - \delta) \overline{(E_X F)(\bar{Y})}. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.6) \end{aligned}$$

Contracting this equation, we get

$$(\operatorname{div}_D F)(Y) = (\alpha + \delta + \phi - \rho)(\operatorname{div}_E F)(Y) + (\beta - \sigma - \gamma - \theta)(\operatorname{div}_E F)(\bar{Y}) \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\operatorname{div}_D$  refers to the connection  $D$  and  $\operatorname{div}_E$  refers to the connection  $E$ .

Using (2.5) in (2.7), we get

$$(\operatorname{div} F)(X) = 0 \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.8)$$

which proves the statement.

*Theorem 2.3*—Let  $E$  be an arbitrary connection given by (2.2). The condition for an  $*O$ -connection to be an  $F$ -connection or an  $M$ -connection or an almost  $F$ -connection is

$$\alpha + \phi = 0, \quad \beta = \theta \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.9a)$$

or

$$\rho = \delta, \quad \sigma + \gamma = 0. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.9b)$$

PROOF: The connection  $D$  is the most general  $F$ -connection if (Mishra 1967)

$$\alpha + \phi = 0, \quad \beta = \theta, \quad \gamma + \sigma = 0, \quad \rho = \delta. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.10)$$

The statement follows from (2.5) and (2.10).

*Theorem 2.4*—Let connections  $D$  and  $E$  be related by (2.2). If any two of the following properties hold, the third also holds:

- (i) connection  $D$  is semi-symmetric,
- (ii) connection  $E$  is almost symmetric,
- (iii)  $[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] - [X, Y] + [\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] + [\bar{X}, Y] = 0$ .

PROOF: The statement follows from (1.11), (1.12) and (1.13).

*Theorem 2.5*—Let connections  $D$  and  $E$  be related by (2.2). If any two of the following properties hold, the third also holds:

- (i) connection  $D$  is half-symmetric,
- (ii) connection  $E$  is almost symmetric,
- (iii)  $[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] - [X, Y] + \overline{[X, Y]} + \overline{[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]} = 0$ .

PROOF: The statement follows from (1.10), (1.12) and (1.13).

#### REFERENCES

- Mishra, R. S. (1967). On almost complex spaces. General  $F$ -connection. *Prog. Math.*, **1**, No. 2, 31–35.
- (1969). On almost complex manifold. *Tensor (N.S.)*, **20**, 361–66.
- Yano, K. (1965). *Differential Geometry on Complex and Almost Complex Spaces*. Pergamon Press, New York.