

ON QU-OPERATORS

by S. V. PHADKE, S. K. KHASBARDAR and N. K. THAKARE, *Department of Mathematics, Shivaji University, Kolhapur (Maharashtra)*

(Received 9 March 1976; after revision 22 April 1976)

An operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be quasi-unitary (QU—for short) if and only if $TT^* = T^*T = T + T^*$. Properties of QU-operators regarding norm, spectrum properties, similarity and unitary equivalence are studied. We show further that for a QU-operator T : (i) $(-T)$ is an accretive operator and (ii) Cayley transform of T is a contraction. In addition we also study condition for what operators the product of QU-operators with them is a QU-operator and such properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Paschke (1972) has considered the concept of U^* -algebra due to Palmer (1971) in terms of quasi-unitary elements. According to him, x in A , a Banach $*$ -algebra, is quasi-unitary if and only if $xx^* = x^*x = x + x^*$. This suggests that the study of an operator on Hilbert space H satisfying similar conditions will be of interest.

Definition—An operator T on a Hilbert space will be called quasi-unitary (QU-operator, for short) if

$$TT^* = T^*T = T + T^*.$$

Note that by an operator we mean the elements of the Banach $*$ -algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear transformations on a Hilbert space H .

West (1965) proves the following result:

“If $T \in B(H)$ is compact and normal, then $\sigma(T) \subset C_1$, the unit circle with centre at 1 iff $TT^* = T + T^*$.” This also suggests that the study of QU-operators is worth undertaking.

In the course of our investigations we have obtained various properties of QU-operators such as norm properties, spectral properties, similarity and unitary equivalence. In addition certain conditions implying quasi-uniticity are exhibited and some results regarding the product of an operator with a QU-operator are established. We have characterized a QU-operator T in terms of $T - I$. Rudin (1974; prob. 13, p. 324) states the problem: “If $T \in B(H)$ is normal, show that $T^* = UT$ for some unitary U . When U is unique?” Our discussion here shows that for a QU-operator T , the operator U turns out to be unique and it is equal to $(T^* - I)$.

Here, we furnish some non-trivial examples of QU-operators:

1. The most simple example will be the operator $T = 2I$. It should be noted that $T = I$ does not serve as an example of a QU-operator.

$$2. \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4. \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - i \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. PROPERTIES OF QU-OPERATORS

In this section we state the basic properties of a QU-operator. The proofs are omitted as they are straightforward.

Properties

2.1. Let $T_1, T_2 \in B(H)$ be QU. Then $T_1 + T_2$ is QU iff $T_1T_2^* + T_2T_1^* = T_1^*T_2 + T_2^*T_1 = 0$.

2.2. The class of QU-operators forms a closed subset of $B(H)$.

2.3. Let $T \in B(H)$ be QU; which is also a contraction. Then

$$T^* = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} T^k.$$

2.4. Let $T \in B(H)$ be QU and $S \in B(H)$ be such that $\|(I - T) - S\| < 1$, then S is invertible. (See Helmsberg 1969, p. 162).

2.5. Let $T \in B(H)$ be QU. Then $(T - I)T^*T = T^2 = T^*T(T - I)$.

Lemma 2.1—Let $T \in B(H)$ be QU. Then

$$\|Tx + x\|^2 = 2\|Tx\|^2 + \|x\|^2 \text{ for } x \in H.$$

Result 2.1—Let $T \in B(H)$ be QU. Then $R(T + I)$, the range of $(T + I)$ is closed if and only if the graph T is closed.

PROOF: By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\|Tx + x\|^2 = 2\|Tx\|^2 + \|x\|^2, \quad (x \in H).$$

We can, argue on the lines of Rudin (1974), that the mapping $(T + I)x \leftrightarrow (x, \sqrt{2}Tx)$ is an isometric one-one correspondence. Hence $R(T + I)$ is closed if and only if the graph of $\sqrt{2}T$ is closed, and from this the result follows.

Result 2.2—Let $T \in B(H)$ be QU. Then $T + I$ is an isomorphism on H .

PROOF: Suppose $(T + I)x = (T + I)y$. Then $(T + I)(x - y) = 0$. So that by Lemma 2.1, we have $\|x - y\|^2 = 0$, i.e. $x = y$. Hence $T + I$ is an isomorphism on H .

To complete this section we state some norm properties of a QU-operator.

Result 2.3—Let $T \in B(H)$ be QU. Then

- (1) $\|T\| \leq 2$.
- (2) $\|T\|^2 = \|T^2\| = \|T + T^*\|$.
- (3) $\|T^*Tx\| - \|Tx\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|T^2\| \|x\|$ for $x \in H$.
- (4) $\|T^2x\| = \sqrt{2} [\|Tx\|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(T^2x, x)]^{1/2}$.
- (5) $\|Tx\|^2 \leq \|x\| [\|Tx\| + 2\|x\|]$ for $x \in H$.

3. CONDITIONS IMPLYING QU-NESS

Result 3.1— $T \in B(H)$ is a QU-operator if and only if $(T - I)$ is a unitary operator.

Result 3.2—Let $T \in B(H)$ be an operator with S and R as its real and imaginary parts respectively. Then T is QU iff $SR = RS$ and $(S - I)^2 = (I - R)(I + R)$.

Result 3.3—Let $T \in B(H)$ be hyponormal such that $\sigma(T - I)$ lies on the unit circle. Then T is QU.

Result 3.4—Let $T \in B(H)$ be QU and unitary then T^{-1} is also QU.

The proofs of the above results are straightforward hence are omitted.

Result 3.5—Let $T \in B(H)$ be such that

$$R = (T^*T)^{1/2}, \quad S = (TT^*)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad M = \operatorname{Re}(T).$$

If each of R and S commutes with M and $R^2 + S^2 - 4M = 0$ then T is a QU-operator.

PROOF: It follows by Embry's result, viz. "If each of R and S commutes with M , then T is normal" (Embry 1966).

Remarks

3.1. Since $T + I$ and $T - I$ are one-one, ± 1 do not belong to $\sigma(T)$ (Riesz and Nagy 1955).

3.2. Let $T - I$ be a unimodular contraction on H . Then $\sigma(T - I) = \phi$ and eigenspaces corresponding to distinct eigenvalues of $T - I$ are orthogonal. If H is spanned by eigenvectors of $(T - I)$, then T is a QU-operator (Russo 1968, Saito 1973).

3.3. Let $T - I$ be a unimodular contraction. Then $\sigma(T - I)$ is a spectral set for $T - I$ iff T is a QU-operator (Russo 1968, Saito 1973).

4. SIMILARITY AND UNITARILY EQUIVALENCE

Result 4.1—If $T, S \in B(H)$, T is QU and S is unitarily equivalent to T then S is also a QU-operator.

PROOF: Since T and S are unitarily equivalent $S = U^*TU$, where U is unitary. Consider,

$$SS^* = (U^*TU)(U^*TU)^* = U^*TT^*U.$$

Similarly each of S^*S and $S + S^*$ comes out to be U^*TT^*U and hence S is QU.

Result 4.2—If M and N are invertible operators and T is QU with M and N similar with respect to $(T - I)$ then so are $(N^*N)^{-1}$ and $(M^*M)^{-1}$.

PROOF: Since M and N are similar with respect to $T - I$, we have $M = (T - I)N(T - I)^{-1}$. But $T - I$ is unitary so that $(T - I)^{-1} = T^* - I$. Hence we have

$$(T^* - I) = N(T^* - I)M^{-1}.$$

This implies that

$$(T - I) = M^{*-1}(T - I)N^*. \tag{1}$$

Also

$$M(T - I) = (T - I)N.$$

Hence

$$(T - I) = M(T - I)N^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

From (1) and (2) we get

$$M(T - I)N^{-1} = M^{*-1}(T - I)N^*.$$

Hence

$$M(T - I)N^{-1}N^{*-1} = M^{*-1}(T - I)$$

which gives

$$(T - I)(N^*N)^{-1}(T - I)^{-1} = (M^*M)^{-1}$$

which shows that $(N^*N)^{-1}$ and $(M^*M)^{-1}$ are similar with respect to $(T - I)$.

Remarks: If $T, M, N \in B(H)$ are such that, N is invertible, T is QU and M and N are similar with respect to $(T - I)$ then so are M and $M^*N^{*-1}N$ if M^* commutes with $(T - I)$.

5. SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF QU-OPERATORS

Result 5.1—If α, β are two eigenvalues of T corresponding to two eigenvectors x and y then

$$x \perp y \text{ or } \bar{\beta} = \frac{2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}{\alpha}.$$

PROOF : Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\bar{\beta}(x, y) &= (\alpha x, \beta y) = (Tx, Ty) \\ &= (T^*Tx, y) = ((T + T^*)x, y) \\ &= (Tx, y) + (T^*x, y) \\ &= (\alpha x, y) + (\bar{\alpha}x, y) \\ &= (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\{\alpha\bar{\beta} - (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})\}(x, y) = 0.$$

Thus we have either $(x, y) = 0$ or $\alpha\bar{\beta} = \alpha + \bar{\alpha} = 2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$. From this we conclude that $x \perp y$ or $\bar{\beta} = \frac{2 \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}{\alpha}$.

Remark: If $\beta = \alpha$, then $\bar{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}$.

α will be real if $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = 2$.

Similar result holds if α is replaced by β .

Result 5.2—If T is a QU-operator which is invertible, then

$$\sigma(T^*) = \sigma(I + T^{-1}T^*)$$

and

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma(I + T^*{}^{-1}T).$$

Remark: For a QU-operator T ,

$$0 \in \sigma(T) \text{ if and only if } 0 \in \sigma(T + T^*).$$

Result 5.3—If T is a QU-operator, then

$$(1) \omega(T + T^*) = \rho(T + T^*) = \omega(T^2)$$

$$(2) \omega(T^2) \leq 2\omega(T) = 2\rho(T).$$

PROOF: Since T is QU, it is normal. Hence $\omega(T) = \rho(T) = \|T\|$ (Halmos 1967).

Result 5.4—For a QU-operator T on H , $\operatorname{Re}(T) \geq 0$, and $\{\lambda: \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\}$ is a spectral set for T .

PROOF: It is obvious that for any operator T , we have

$$\|(T + I)x\|^2 - \|(T - I)x\|^2 = 4 \operatorname{Re}(Tx, x).$$

In addition, T is QU-operator and hence on account of Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\|(T + I)x\|^2 - \|(T - I)x\|^2 = 2 \|Tx\|^2$$

Thus, $4 \operatorname{Re}(Tx, x) = 2 \|Tx\|^2$ which shows that $\operatorname{Re}(Tx, x) \geq 0$. That is $\operatorname{Re}(T) \geq 0$. Hence $(T + I)^{-1}$ exists and $\|(T - I)(T + I)^{-1}\| \leq 1$. This shows that $\{\lambda: \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0\}$ is a spectral set for T (Riesz and Nagy 1955).

Remark: The above result leads us to the conclusion that if T is a QU-operator on H , then $-T$ is an accretive operator (Fillmore 1968). In fact much more is true.

Result 5.5—Let T be a QU-operator on H . Then $(T + I)^{-1}$ is a contraction and Cayley transform of T exists which is a contraction.

PROOF: We have seen in the proof of result (5.4), that $\|(T - I)(T + I)^{-1}\| \leq 1$. But if T is QU-operator then we know that $(T - I)$ is unitary and hence $\|(T + I)^{-1}\| \leq 1$; that is $(T + I)^{-1}$ is a contraction.

We can now consider $S = (T - I)(T + I)^{-1}$ as the Cayley transform for T , which is a contraction as was seen earlier (Fillmore 1968).

Result 5.6—Let T be a QU-operator on H , then

$$\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}: \lambda = \mu + 1, \quad |\mu| = 1\}$$

where $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$.

PROOF: Since T is a QU-operator, $(T - I)$ is unitary. Hence $\sigma(T - I)$ lies on the unit circle.

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(T) &= \sigma(T - I + I) \subseteq \sigma(T - I) + \{1\}, \\ &\subseteq \{\mu \in \mathcal{C}: |\mu| = 1\} + \{1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, then $\lambda = \mu + 1$ where $|\mu| = 1$.

Result 5.7.—If T is a QU-operator on H , and μ is a complex number such that $|\mu - 1| < 1$ then μ is a regular value.

PROOF: We have the result from Helmbert (1969): “If λ is regular value of an operator A and

$$|\mu - \lambda| < \frac{1}{\|(A - \lambda I)^{-1}\|},$$

then μ is also regular value.”

It is clear that 1 is a regular value for a QU-operator T . Hence $|\mu - 1| < 1$ implies that

$$|\mu - 1| < \frac{1}{\|T - I\|} = \frac{1}{\|(T - I)^{-1}\|},$$

and thus μ is a regular value.

Remark: As a consequence of result (5.7) and $\|T\| \leq 2$ we see that for a QU-operator T ,

$$\sigma(T) \subset \{z \in \mathcal{C}: |z| \leq 2\} - \{z \in \mathcal{C}: |z - 1| < 1\}.$$

Result 5.8—If T is a QU-operator on H and z is any point in the complex plane, then

$$d(z, W(T)) \leq 1 + |z - 1|.$$

Here $d(z, W(T))$ is the distance of z from $W(T)$.

PROOF: We shall use the following result from Stampfli (1965):

“For any operator $T \in B(H)$, we have

$$\|(T - zI)x\| \geq d(z, W(T)) \quad \text{where} \quad \|x\| = 1.”$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T - zI)x\| &= \|(T - I + I - zI)x\| \\ &\leq \|(T - I)x\| + \|(I - zI)x\| \\ &= \|x\| + |1 - z| \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows.

6. ON PRODUCT OF OPERATOR WITH A QU-OPERATOR

Result 6.1—Let T be a non-zero QU-operator on H . Then there exists a self-adjoint operator S on H such that $ST = TS$ and $ST^* = T^*S$ and in this case

$$T^* = T[e^{-i\pi/2}S + T - I].$$

PROOF: Since T is given by $S_0 = T - T^*$, let $T_0 = e^{-i\pi/2}T$ and $S = e^{-i\pi/2}S_0$, then $S = T_0 + T_0^*$ and this is clearly self-adjoint. Now consider,

$$\begin{aligned} ST &= (T_0 + T_0^*)T = (e^{-i\pi/2}T + e^{i\pi/2}T^*)T \\ &= e^{-i\pi/2}T^2 + e^{i\pi/2}T^*T. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $TS = e^{-i\pi/2}T^2 + e^{i\pi/2}TT^*$. The quasi-unitary nature of the operator T gives us $TS = ST$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} ST^* &= (T_0 + T_0^*)T^* = (e^{-i\pi/2}T + e^{i\pi/2}T^*)T^* \\ &= e^{-i\pi/2}TT^* + e^{i\pi/2}T^{*2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T^*S = e^{i\pi/2}T^{*2} + e^{-i\pi/2}T^*T.$$

This leads to the conclusion that $ST^* = T^*S$.

Next

$$\begin{aligned} TS &= e^{-i\pi/2}T^2 + e^{i\pi/2}TT^* \\ &= e^{-i\pi/2}T^2 + e^{i\pi/2}(T + T^*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$e^{i\pi/2}T^* = TS - e^{i\pi/2}T - e^{-i\pi/2}T^2 \text{ and we get the remaining part.}$$

Result 6.2—Let T be a QU-operator on H and $ST = TS$ for any $S \in B(H)$. Then ST will be a QU-operator on H if S is a projection on H .

PROOF: Suppose S to be a projection on H in the sense that $S = S^*$ and $S^2 = S$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}(ST)(ST)^* &= (ST)(T^*S^*) = STS^*T^* = STST^* \\ &= S^2TT^* = STT^*\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(ST) + (ST)^* &= ST + T^*S^* = ST + ST^* \\ &= S(T + T^*) = STT^*.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have $(ST)^*(ST) = T^*SST = ST^*ST = SST^*T = ST^*T$ (Rudin 1974).

Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

Result 6.3—If T is a QU-operator on H , $ST = TS$ for a self-adjoint operator S on H , then $(S + T)$ is a QU-operator on H if and only if $2S = S(TT^* + S)$.

Remarks—If in addition S is idempotent operator on H , then $(S + T)$ will be a QU-operator on H if and only if $S = STT^*$.

Result 6.4—Let $T \in B(H)$ be an isometry such that either $I - TT^*$ or $I + TT^*$ is a QU-operator. Then T is unitary.

PROOF: Since $I - TT^*$ is QU, we have

$$(I - TT^*)^2 = 2I - 2TT^*.$$

Also

$$(I - TT^*)^2 = I - TT^*.$$

Thus $I - TT^* = 0$ implying $TT^* = I$.

Similar arguments will give the proof regarding $I + TT^*$. Hence the result follows.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Our thanks are due to the referee whose suggestions led to the improvement on presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- Embry, M. R. (1966). Conditions implying normality in Hilbert space. *Pacific J. Math.*, **18**.
 Fillmore, P. A. (1968). Notes on Operator Theory. Van Nostrand, Amsterdam.
 Halmos, P. R. (1967). A Hilbert Space Problem Book. Van Nostrand, Amsterdam.
 Helmberg, G. (1969). Introduction to Spectral Theory in Hilbert Space. North Holland Publishing Co., N.Y.

- Palmer, T. W. (1971). *-representations of U^* -algebras. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **20**, 929-33.
- Paschke, W. L. (1972). Completely positive maps on U^* -algebras. *Proc. Am. math. soc.*, **34**, 412-16.
- Riesz, F., and Nagy, B. Sz. (1955). *Functional Analysis*. Frederick.
- Rudin, W. (1974). *Functional Analysis*. Tata McGraw-Hill.
- Russo, B. (1968). Unimodular contractions in Hilbert space. *Pacific J. Math.*, **26**, 163-69.
- Saito, T. (1973). Hyponormal Operators and Related Topics in Lectures on Operator Algebras, edited by K. H. Hofman. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Stampfli, J. G. (1965). Hyponormal operators and spectral density. *Trans. Am. math. Soc.*, **117**, 469-76.
- West, T. T. (1965). The spectra of compact operators in Hilbert spaces. *Proc. Glasgow math. Assoc.*, **7**, 34-38.