

ON TAUBERIAN ESTIMATES FOR QUASI-HAUSDORFF TRANSFORMATIONS

by SORAYA SHERIF and LAYLA AWAAD, *Mathematics Department, Education College for Women, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia*

(Received 1 May 1976 ; after revision 29 September 1976)

Let t_n denote the sequence-to-sequence quasi-Hausdorff transform, [or the (H^*, μ_{n+1}) transform], such that $t_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} (\Delta^{k-n} \mu_{n+1}) s_k$ ($n \geq 0$), then the series-to-series quasi-Hausdorff transform b_n is defined by $b_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} (\Delta^{k-n} \mu_n) a_k$ ($n \geq 0$), where $t_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n b_\nu$, $s_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu$. It has been shown in this paper that the sufficient conditions under which the ordinary and the absolute Tauberian constants introduced by some authors for b_n apply also to t_n .

§ 1. Let (H^*, μ_{n+1}) be the quasi-Hausdorff transform $\{t_n\}$ of s_n defined as follows

$$t_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} (\Delta^{k-n} \mu_{n+1}) s_k, \quad \text{for } (n \geq 0), \quad \dots(1.1)$$

where $\Delta \mu_{n+1} = \mu_{n+1} - \mu_{n+2}$. It has been shown by Ramanujan (1953) that the series-to-series quasi-Hausdorff transform is given by

$$b_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} (\Delta^{k-n} \mu_n) a_k \quad \text{for } (n \geq 0), \quad \dots(1.2)$$

where

$$t_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^n b_\nu; \quad s_k = \sum_{\nu=0}^k a_\nu. \quad \dots(1.3)$$

Anjaneyulu (1964) has shown the following Tauberian estimate :

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ m/n \rightarrow q}} \sup |t_n - s_m| \leq A(q) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |na_n|, \quad \dots(1.4)$$

where (1.3) holds, $(0 < q < \infty)$, $A(q)$ is a Tauberian constant, and the series $\sum_0^\infty a_n$ was assumed to satisfy the Tauberian condition

$$a_n = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \tag{1.5}$$

Recently, Sherif (1977) (Theorems 2.1 and 3.1) has introduced two Tauberian estimates of the forms

$$\sum_0^\infty |b_n - a_n| \leq K \sum |\Delta(n a_n)|, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\sum_0^\infty \left| b_n - a_n \right| \leq A \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| \Delta\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \nu a_\nu\right) \right|, \tag{1.7}$$

respectively, where K and A are absolute* Tauberian constants. The Tauberian conditions used were also respectively as follows:

$$\sum_0^\infty |\Delta(n a_n)| < \infty, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| \Delta\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \nu a_\nu\right) \right| < \infty. \tag{1.9}$$

It is clear from the Lemma of this paper (see also Kwee 1968) that (1.1) and (1.2) are in fact equivalent [in the sense that if (1.1) converges for all n , then so does (1.2) and conversely], if and only if, for every fixed n ,

$$\binom{k}{n} (\Delta^{k-n} \mu_n) s_k \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.10}$$

We remark that if the sequence $\{na_n\}$ is bounded $(C, 1)$ (and thus *a fortiori* if it is summable $|C, 1|$) then

$$s_n = O(\log n), \tag{1.11}$$

and hence (1.10) will hold whenever

$$\binom{k}{n} (\Delta^{k-n} \mu_n) = o(1/\log k), \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1.12}$$

In § 2 of this paper, we shall obtain sufficient conditions under which (1.12) holds; and then in § 3, we shall deduce some Tauberian results concerning $A(q)$, K and A of the estimates (1.4) (1.6) and (1.7) respectively. Similar results to those deduced in this paper have been shown by Sherif and Hanna Hamad (1976) but for the Hausdorff transformations instead.

§ 2. *Theorem*—Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be the moment sequence generated by the real function of bounded variation χ on $0 \leq t \leq 1$ so that

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^n d\chi(t), \tag{2.1}$$

For the definition of (H^*, μ_{n+1}) absolute summability, see Sherif (1977).

where

$$\chi(0+) = \chi(0) = 0, \quad \chi(1) = 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Suppose that

$$\chi_1(t) = \int_0^t \chi(u) du = o(t/\log 1/t), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Then (1.12) holds.

PROOF: Since, for fixed n ,

$$\binom{k}{n} \sim \frac{1}{n!} k^n, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then (1.12) is equivalent to

$$k^n \int_0^1 (1-t)^{k-n} t^n d\chi(t) = o(1/\log k) \tag{2.4}$$

Writing $I_n(k)$ for the expression on the left of (2.4). Integrating by parts twice we have for $k \geq n + 2$,

$$I_{n,k} = k^n \int_0^1 (1-t)^{k-n-2} t^{n-2} F_n(k;t) \chi_1(t) dt, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$F_n(k,t) = n(n-1)(1-t)^2 - 2n(k-n)t(1-t) + (k-n)(k-n-1)t^2.$$

Now, for fixed n and large k uniformly in $0 < t < 1/k$, we have

$$F_n(k;t) = O(1). \tag{2.6}$$

[In the case $n = 0$, we must use the stronger result (which holds for $n = 0$, but not in the general case)

$$F_0(k;t) = O(k^2 t^2)]. \tag{2.7}$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7) we see that the contribution to (2.5) of the range $(0, 1/k)$ is $o(1/\log k)$.

Next, for fixed n and large k uniformly in $1 > t > 1/k$,

$$F_n(k;t) = O(k^2 t^2). \tag{2.8}$$

If $t < 1/\sqrt{k}$, $\log(1/t) > \log \sqrt{k} = \frac{1}{2} \log k$; so the contribution to (2.5) of the range $(1/k, 1/\sqrt{k})$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & o \left\{ \frac{k^{n+2}}{\log k} \int_{1/k}^{1/\sqrt{k}} t^{n+1} (1-t)^{k-n-2} dt \right\} \\ & = o \left\{ \frac{k^{n+2}}{\log k} \int_{1/k}^1 t^{n+1} (1-t)^{k-n-2} dt \right\} \\ & = o(1/\log k). \end{aligned}$$

Finally for $t > 1/\sqrt{k}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \log(1 - t) &< -1/\sqrt{k}, \\ \log[(1 - t)^{k-n-2}] &< -\sqrt{k} + O(1), \\ (1 - t)^{k-n-2} &= O(e^{-\sqrt{k}}). \end{aligned}$$

Also, $t^n < 1$, and $\chi_1(t)$ is bounded; so the contribution to (2.5) of the range $t > 1/\sqrt{k}$ is

$$O(e^{-\sqrt{k}} k^{n+2}) = o(1/\log k).$$

Hence, the conclusion follows.

§ 3. For deducing our previously mentioned Tauberian results, we require the following lemma:

Lemma—Let any series-to-series transformation b_n be such that

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,k} a_k. \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose that for each fixed n ,

$$\alpha_{n,k} s_k \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Then (3.1) and the (formally equivalent) transformation

$$t_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n,k} s_k, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\gamma_{n,k} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\alpha_{\nu,k} - \alpha_{\nu,k+1})$$

are in fact equivalent [in the sense that if (3.1) converges for all n then so does (3.3) and conversely].

For the proof of the Lemma, see Sherif (1967).

Now, Sherif (1977) has restricted μ_n by the conditions (2.1), (2.2) and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{|\chi(t)|}{t} dt < \infty. \tag{3.4}$$

So, if in addition to these restrictions on μ_n , we suppose that (2.3) holds. Then under the condition (1.9), it follows by combining (1.11) with the result of the Theorem that (1.10) holds. Applying then the Lemma with

$$\alpha_{n,k} = \binom{k}{n} (\Delta^{k-n} \mu_n), \tag{3.5}$$

it thus follows that the absolute Tauberian constants K and A of (1.6) and (1.7) respectively, determined by Sherif (1977, Theorems 2.1 and 3.1) apply also to the sequence-to-sequence transformation (1.1).

We may also remark that since the restrictions on μ_n of Anjaneyulu (1964) were as those just mentioned by Sherif (1977), then by a similar argument to that stated above it can be shown that for every series satisfying the condition (1.5), the Tauberian constant $A(q)$ of (1.4), determined by Anjaneyulu (1964) apply also to the sequence-to-sequence transformation (1.1).

REFERENCES

- Anjaneyulu, K. (1964). Tauberian constants and quasi-Hausdorff series-to-series transformations. *J. Indian math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **28**, 69-82.
- Kwee, B. (1968). The relation between the sequence-to-sequence and the series-to-series versions of quasi-Hausdorff summability methods. *Proc. J. Am. math. Soc.*, **1**, 45-49.
- Ramanujan, M. S. (1953). Series-to-series quasi-Hausdorff transformations. *J. indian math. Soc. (N.S.)*, **17**, 47-53.
- Sherif, S. (1967). A Tauberian constant for the (S, μ_{n+1}) transformation. *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **19**, 110-25.
- (1977). Absolute Tauberian constants for quasi-Hausdorff series-to-series transformations. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **8**, No. 3, 363-73.
- Sherif, S., and Hanna Hamad (1976). On the ordinary and the absolute Tauberian constants for Hausdorff transformations. To appear in the *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*