

# SOME RESULTS ON $p$ -VALUED ENTIRE ALGEBROID FUNCTIONS

by P. K. JAIN, *Department of Mathematics, Hansraj College,  
University of Delhi, Delhi*

and

S. K. ANAND\*, *Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi*

(Received 29 March 1975)

Some results of Valiron, Pólya and Shah concerning the growth of the maximum modulus relative to the counting function of an entire function have been extended to  $p$ -valued entire algebroid function  $y(z)$ : Following Shah, e.v.s., i.e. exceptional value in sense of Shah for  $y(z)$  has been defined. Finally, it has been deduced as a consequence of one of our results that if  $y(z)$  is a  $p$ -valued entire algebroid function of nonintegral order, then there are at most  $p - 1$  e.v.s.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Valiron (1914, 1923, 1924) and Pólya (1923) have proved that if  $f(z)$  is an entire function of finite non-integral order, then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r)}{\log M(r)} > 0. \quad \dots(1.1)$$

This result is no longer true for integral order. But Shah (1940, 1941, 1942) has proved, for a function of integral order whose genus is the same as that of its canonical product, that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r) \varphi(r)}{\log M(r)} = \infty, \quad \dots(1.2)$$

for any positive continuous increasing function  $\phi(r)$ , such that

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t \varphi(t)} \quad \dots(1.3)$$

converges. However, Boas (1953) gave a shorter proof of the results (1.1) and (1.2) in a slightly more general form assuming that  $\varphi(r)$  is only positive and integral (1.3) exists.

---

\*The research work of this author is supported by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (India).

Our purpose in this paper is to obtain the above results, along with a few more, for a  $p$ -valued entire algebroid function.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND TERMINOLOGY

Let  $y(z)$  be a  $p$ -valued entire algebroid function in  $|z| < \infty$ , defined by an irreducible equation

$$F(z, y) = y^n + A_1(z) y^{n-1} + \dots + A_n(z) = 0,$$

where  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  are entire functions without any common zeros.

Let us write

$$M(r, y) = \max_{|z|=r} \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} |y_j|,$$

where  $y_j$  denotes the  $j$ th determination of  $y$ . Then, the order  $\rho$  of  $y(z)$  is defined as

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, y)}{\log r} = \rho \quad (0 \leq \rho \leq \infty).$$

If  $0 < \rho < \infty$ , then there exists a proximate order\*  $\rho(r)$  of the function  $y(z)$  satisfying the conditions :

- (i)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rho(r) = \rho$
- (ii)  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \rho'(r) \log r = 0$
- (iii)  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, y)}{r^{\rho(r)}} = 1.$

By  $n(r, a, y)$  we mean the quantity  $n(r, a, F(z, a))$ , the number of the roots of the equation  $F(z, a) = 0$  in the disc  $|z| \leq r$ . We shall also be using the following† :

$$N(r, a, y) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, a, y)}{t} dt,$$

$$N_q(r, a, y) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, a, y)}{t^{q+1}} dt,$$

where  $q$  is a positive integer.

\*For its existence and various properties see Cartwright (1962), Levin (1964), and Shah (1946).

†Throughout, we consider those points  $a$  for which  $F(0, a) = 1$ , and so the integrals converge at the lower limits.

3. STATEMENTS AND DISCUSSIONS OF THE RESULTS

*Theorem 1* — Let  $y(z)$  be  $p$ -valued entire algebroid function of nonintegral order  $\rho$ . Then, there is at least one  $a_j$  among  $p$ -different finite numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$  satisfying

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{pn(r, a_j, y)}{\log M(r, y)} \geq \frac{\sin \pi(\rho - q)}{3e \pi(q + 1)(2 + \log q)}.$$

where  $q = [\rho]$ .

With the same hypothesis as of theorem 1, we also have :

*Theorem 2* — There is at least one  $a_j$  among  $p$ -different finite numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$  satisfying

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{pN(r, a_j, y)}{\log M(r, y)} \geq \frac{\sin \pi(\rho - q)}{3e \pi(q + 1)^2 (2 + \log q)}.$$

*Theorem 3* — There is at least one  $a_j$  among  $p$ -different finite numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$  satisfying

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{pN_q(r, a_j, y)}{\log M(r, y)} \geq \frac{\sin \pi(\rho - q)}{3e \pi(q + 1)(2 + \log q)(\rho - q)}.$$

*Remark* : The result in Theorem 2 extends an earlier result of Ozawa (1970), obtained for a  $p$ -valued entire algebroid function of order  $\rho, 0 < \rho < 1$ .

*Corollary* — Under the hypothesis of theorem 1, there is at least one  $a_j$  among  $p$ -different finite numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$  such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{pn(r, a_j, y) \varphi(r)}{\log M(r, y)} = \infty \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\varphi(r)$  is any increasing function of  $r$ . The same conclusion if  $n(r, a_j, y)$  is replaced by  $N(r, a_j, y)$  or  $r^q N_q(r, a_j, y)$ .

*Remark* : The result (3.1) is analogous to (1.1).

To state the next result more precisely, let us consider

$$F(z, a_v) = e^{Q_v(z)} P_v(z),$$

where  $P_v(z)$  is the cononical product formed by the zeros of  $F(z, a_v)$  and  $Q_v(z)$  is a polynomial. Let  $q_v$  be the genus of  $F(z, a_v)$  and  $a_v$  the degree of  $Q_v(z)$ . Let  $s_v$  be the genus of  $P_v(z)$ . Put  $q = \max_{1 \leq v \leq p} q_v, d = \max_{1 \leq v \leq p} d_v$  and  $s = \max_{1 \leq v \leq p} s_v$ . By the definition of genus  $q_v = \max_{1 \leq v \leq p} (d_v, s_v)$ . Thus  $q = \max(d, \rho)$ . In case  $d \leq \rho$ , then

$$q = \rho.$$

As an analogue of (1.2) we should have the following for a  $p$ -valued entire algebroid function.

*Theorem 4* — Let  $y(z)$  be of integral order  $\rho$ , and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$  be  $p$  different numbers for which  $q = s$ . Then, there exists at least one  $a_j$  among  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p$  such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{pn(r, a_j, y)}{\log M(r, y)} = \infty$$

where  $\varphi$  is a positive function satisfying (1.3).

However, we are unable to prove this theorem and it is still an open problem.

Following Shah (1951), we may define a value  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) as an exceptional value in sense of Shah for a  $p$ -valued entire algebroid function  $y(z)$  if

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{n(r, \alpha, y) \zeta(r)} > 0$$

for some  $\zeta \in S$ , where  $S$  denotes the set of all positive non-decreasing functions  $\zeta(x)$  for which the integral (1.3) exists. Briefly,  $\alpha$  is e.v.s.

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we have

*Proposition* — If  $y(z)$  is a  $p$ -valued entire algebroid function of nonintegral order, then there are atmost  $p - 1$  e.v.s.

*Remark* : If there are  $p$ -different e.v.s. of a  $p$ -valued entire algebroid function, then the function is necessarily of integral order.

#### 4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1, 2, AND 3

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(r, y) &= \max_{|z|=r} \max_{1 \leq v \leq p} \log |y_v(z)| \\ &\leq \max_{|z|=r} \max_{1 \leq v \leq p} \log |y_v(z)| \\ &\leq \max_{|z|=r} \sum_{v=1}^p \log^+ |y_v(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= \max \{1, |A_1|, \dots, |A_n|\} \\ g(z) &= \max \{|g_1|, \dots, |g_n|\} \end{aligned}$$

with  $g_\nu(z) = F(z, a_\nu)$ . Then, by Valiron's (1914) arguments, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=1}^p \log^+ |y_\nu(z)| &\leq \log |A(z)| + O(1) \\ &\leq \log |g(z)| + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(r, y) &\leq \log \left\{ \max_{|z|=r} g(z) \right\} \\ &= \log \left\{ \max_{1 \leq \nu \leq p} \max_{|z|=r} g_\nu(z) \right\} \\ &= \max_{1 \leq \nu \leq p} \log M(r, g_\nu). \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that (see Levin 1964, p. 57)

$$\log M(r, g_\nu) \leq K(q) \int_0^\infty \frac{n(t, 0, g_\nu)}{r^{q+1}(t+r)} r^{q+1} dt + O(r^q) \quad \dots(4.1)$$

where  $K(q) = 3e(q+1)(2 + \log q)$  since  $q_\nu \leq q$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(r, y) &\leq K(q) \max_{1 \leq \nu \leq p} \int_0^\infty \frac{n(t, 0, g_\nu)}{t^{q+1}(t+r)} dt + O(r^q) \\ &= K(q) \max_{1 \leq \nu \leq p} \int_0^\infty \frac{n(t, a_\nu, y)}{t^{q+1}(t+r)} dt + O(r^q) \\ &\leq K(q) \max_{1 \leq \nu \leq p} p \int_0^\infty \frac{n(t, a_\nu, y)}{t^{q+1}(t+r)} dt + O(r^q). \quad \dots(4.2) \end{aligned}$$

Assume, for all  $\nu$  that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{pn(r, a_\nu, y)}{\log M(r, y)} < \frac{\sin \pi(p, q)}{3e \pi(q+1)(2 + \log q)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{pn(r, a_\nu, y)}{\log M(r, y)} < \frac{\sin \pi(p - q)}{3e \pi(q+1)(2 + \log q)} - \epsilon = U$$

for all  $r \geq r_0$ . Thus

$$\log M(r, y) < r^{q+1} K(q) U \int_0^\infty \frac{\log M(r, y)}{t^{q+1}(t+r)} dt$$

$$< r^{q+1} K(q) U \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{\rho(t)}}{t^{q+1}(t+r)} dt.$$

Putting  $t = ur$  in the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(r, y) &< r^{\rho(r)} K(q) U \int_0^{\infty} \frac{U^{\rho-1}}{u+1} du + O(r^q) \\ &= \frac{r^{\rho(r)} K(q) U \pi}{\sin \pi(\rho - q)} + O(r^q), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\rho - q - 1$ . Hence

$$1 \leq \frac{3e(2 + \log q)(q+1)\pi}{\sin \pi(\rho - q)} U < 1,$$

which is a contradiction. This proves Theorem 1.

The proof of Theorems 2 and 3 follow on the same lines as that of the proof of Theorem 1 by using the following estimates in place of (4.1):

$$\log M(r, g_v) \leq (q+1) K(q) r^{q+1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{N(t, 0, g_v)}{t^{q+1}(t+r)} dt + O(v)$$

and

$$\log M(r, g_v) \leq K(q) r^{q+1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{N_g(t, 0, g_v)}{(t+r)^2} dt + O(r^q).$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the referee for pointing out certain mistakes in the original manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Boas, R. P. (1953). Two theorems on integral functions. *J. Lond. math. Soc.*, **28**, 194-96.  
 Cartwright, M. L. (1962). *Integral Functions*. Cambridge University Press.  
 Levin, B. Ja. (1964). Distribution of zeros of entire functions. *AMS Trans. Providence*.  
 Ozawa, M. (1970). On the growth of algebroid functions with several deficiencies (II). *Kodai math. Ser. Rep.*, **22**, 129-37.  
 Pólya, G. (1923). Bemerkungen über unendliche Folgen und ganze Funktionen. *Math. Ann.*, **88**, 169-89.  
 Shah, S. M. (1940). A theorem on integral functions of integral order. *J. Lond. math. Soc.*, **15**, 23-31.

- Shah, S. M. (1941). A theorem on integral functions of integral order (II). *J. Indian math. Soc.*, **5**, 179-88.
- (1942). On integral functions of integral or zero order. *Bull. Am. math. Soc.*, **48**, 329-34.
- (1946). On proximates orders of integral functions. *Bull. math. Soc.*, **52**, 326-28.
- (1951). On exceptional values of entire functions. *Compositio Math.*, **9**, 227-38.
- Valiron, G. (1914). Sur les fonctions entieres d'ordre fini et d'ordre nul, et en particulier les fonctions a correspondance reguliere. *Ann. Faculta Sci. Univ. Toulouse*, **3**, 117-257.
- (1923). Lectures on the general Theory of Integral Functions. Toulouse.
- (1924). A propos d'un memoire de M. Polya. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, (2) **48**, 9-12.