

ON A CLASS OF SEMIGROUP-RINGS

by G. L. FOTEDAR*, *Faculty of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi 110007*

(Received 21 November 1974)

In this paper the structure and some properties of an arbitrary semigroup-ring $R(S)$ have been investigated where R is a ring with an identity element.

§1. The author (Fotedar 1974) introduced the semigroup-ring $Z(S)$ where, $S = \{b^m a^n \mid m, n \in N \text{ (the set of all non-negative integers)}\}$ is a semigroup under the

$$\text{multiplication rule, } b^m a^n \cdot b^{m'} a^{n'} = \begin{cases} b^{m+n} a^{n'-m'}, & \text{if } n \geq m', \\ b^{m'-n} a^{n'}, & \text{if } n \leq m', \end{cases}$$

for all $b^m a^n, b^{m'} a^{n'}$ in S , $ba \neq 1$ and Z the ring of integers. We proved that this semigroup-ring coincides with the ring with identity element 1 generated by two elements a, b such that $ab = 1 \neq ba$. Let D be a ring with unity 1 and let $a, b \in D$ such that $ab = 1 \neq ba$. Let X be the sub-ring of D generated by a, b . Then we got the structure of ring X as the homomorphic image of the semigroup-ring $Z(N \times N)$ where $N \times N = \{(m, n) \mid m, n \in N\}$ is the semigroup with multiplication defined as

$$(m, n) \cdot (m', n') = \begin{cases} (m, n - m' + n') & \text{if } n \geq m', \\ (m' - n + m, n') & \text{if } n \leq m', \end{cases}$$

for all $(m, n), (m', n') \in N \times N$, with kernel not containing the element $(0, 0) - (1, 1)$ and studied some properties of such rings. The object of this paper is to investigate further the structure of a free semigroup-ring $R(S)$ where, R is an arbitrary ring with identity element 1. We prove that every element $x \in R(S)$ has a unique decomposition $x = y + z$, where $y = y_1 + y_2$, $y_1 \in R[a]$, $y_2 \in R[b]$ and $z \in R(S) (1 - ba) R(S) = P$. We prove that, P coincides with $P' = \{x \in R(S) \mid xb^n = 0 \text{ for some } n \in N\}$ and $P'' = \{x \in R(S) \mid a^m x = 0 \text{ for some } m \in N\}$. It is interesting to observe that the ideal P considered as a ring has structure of an infinite matrix ring over R with only a finite number of non-zero entries in each matrix. For any ring A we denote by $J(A)$ the Jacobson radical of A . We show that if R is an integral domain (ring without zero divisors) then P is a prime ideal properly containing $J(R(S))$. Moreover, we prove that $J(R(S)) \cong J(R(S''))$, where $S'' = \{e_{ij} = b^i(1 - ba) a^j \in R(S) \mid i, j \text{ are non-negative integers}\}$, so that in particular $J(R(S)) = 0$

*Present address : Bagadaji Rainawari, Srinagar Kashmir, Srinagar 190003.

if and only if $J(R) = 0$. Hence it follows that the free semigroup-ring $Z(S)$ is semi-simple in the sense of Jacobson (1964). We also prove that the only units of $\frac{R(S)}{P}$ are the products of units of R and powers of a or b , from which it follows that $\frac{R(S)}{P}$ is an integral domain but not a division ring and that $J\left(\frac{R(S)}{P}\right) = 0$. If besides R being an integral domain R is also left noetherian then so is $\frac{R(S)}{P}$, as a consequence of Goldie's theorem on noetherian prime rings we observe that $\frac{R(S)}{P}$ is left quotient simple. If R is a division ring we observe that $\frac{R(S)}{P} \cong F$ where, F is a left (right) Ore domain. In section 3, we study the structure of the free semigroup-ring $R(S')$ where, $S' = \{b^m a^m \mid m \in N\}$ is the subsemigroup of all the idempotents of S and R is an arbitrary ring.

§2. We shall first prove a few lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 — Any element of $R(S)$ reduces to a polynomial in $R[b]$ (in $R[a]$) by multiplying by a suitable power of b (of a) on the right (left).

PROOF : Any $x \in R(S)$ can be written in the form $x = \sum_{i=0}^m p_i(b) a^i$, where $p_i(b) \in R[b]$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Hence we have $xb^m = \sum_{i=0}^m p_i(b) b^{m-i}$. Likewise any $x \in R(S)$ can be reduced to an element of $R[a]$ by multiplying on the left by a suitable power of a .

Lemma 2.2 — If $P' = \{x \in R(S) \mid xb^m = 0 \text{ for some } m \in N\}$ and $P'' = \{x \in R(S) \mid a^n x = 0 \text{ for some } n \in N\}$, then P' and P'' are ideals in $R(S)$ and both contain $P = R(S) (1 - ba) R(S)$.

PROOF : P' is obviously a left ideal in $R(S)$. Now let $x \in P'$ so that $xb^n = 0$ for some $n \in N$ and let $y \in R(S)$. Reduce y to a polynomial in b as in lemma (2.1), say $yb^m \in R[b]$. Then $xyb^{m+n} = x(yb^m) b^n = (xb^n) (yb^m) = 0$. Hence $xy \in P'$. We observe that $(1 - ba) b = 0$ so that P is contained in P' . Analogous property of P'' can be verified in a similar way.

Let $P''' = \{x \in R(S) \mid x = p_1(a) + p_2(b), p_1(a) \in R[a], p_2(b) \in R[b]\}$, then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3 — $P''' \cap P' = 0 = P''' \cap P''$.

PROOF : If $x = p(b) \in R[b]$, then $xb^n \neq 0 \forall n \in N$ unless $x = 0$. If $x = p_1(a)$ or $p_1(a) + p_2(b)$, where $0 \neq p_1(a) \in R[a], p_2(b) \in R[b]$ then $xb^n \in R[b]$

where n is the degree of $p_1(a)$ and hence $xb^{n+k} \neq 0 \forall k \in N$ unless $x = 0$, it follows that $P''' \cap P' = 0$. Likewise $P''' \cap P'' = 0$ can be verified.

Lemma 2.4 — If $P^{(iv)} = \{bxa - x \mid x \in R(S)\}$ then, $P^{(iv)} = P$.

PROOF : Let $x = \sum_{m,n \in N} r(m, n) b^m a^n \in R(S)$, $r(m, n) \in R$. Then $bxa - x = \sum_{m,n \in N} r(m, n) (b^{m+1} a^{n+1} - b^m a^n) = \sum_{m,n \in N} r(m, n) b^m (1 - ba) a^n \in P$. Conversely any element of P has the form $\sum_{m,n \in N} r(m, n) b^m (1 - ba) a^n$ (since, $(ba - 1)b = 0 = a(ba - 1)$ by using the fact that $ab = 1 \neq ba = bxa - x$ where, $x = - \sum_{m,n \in N} r(m, n) b^m a^n \in R(S)$).

Theorem 2.1 — P is isomorphic to an infinite matrix ring over R with only a finite number of non-zero entries in each matrix.

PROOF : With each $x = \sum_{m,n \in N} r(m, n) b^m (1 - ba) a^n \in P$ associate the matrix $(r(m, n))$ of its coefficients in R which is unique since $R(S)$ is free. It follows that the mapping $x \rightarrow (r(m, n))$ is a $(1-1)$ onto map of P to the ring of infinite matrices on R with each matrix having only a finite number of nonzero entries. If

$$y = \sum_{l,k \in N} r(l, k) b^l (1 - ba) a^k \text{ then clearly } x + y \rightarrow (r(m, n)) + (r(l, k))$$

and

$$xy = \sum_{m,n,l,k \in N} r(m, n) s(l, k) b^m (1 - ba) a^n b^l (1 - ba) a^k$$

$$= \sum_{m,k \in N, n=l} r(m, n) s(l, k) b^m (1 - ba) a^k$$

$$\text{(since } (1 - ba)b = 0 = a(1 - ba) \text{ and } (1 - ba)^2 = (1 - ba)}$$

corresponds to $(r(m, n)) (s(l, k))$.

Remark : We observed (Fotedar 1973) that the elements

$$\sigma_{ij}(ba) = b^{i+1} a^{j+1} - b^i a^j \neq 0 \text{ (= } e_{ij} \text{ say) } i, j \in N \text{ of } \sigma_{ij}(Z(S))$$

behave like matrix units. It is clear that P is precisely the semigroup-ring $R(S^n)$ where $S^n = \{e_{ij} \mid i, j \in N\}$. This gives an alternative way of looking at P as an infinite matrix ring over R with a finite number of nonzero entries.

Theorem 2.2 — Any $x \in R(S)$ has a unique representation of the form $x = y + z$, $y \in P'''$, $z \in P$.

PROOF : If $x = \sum_{m,n \in N} r(m, n) b^m a^n$, $r(m, n) \in R$ then by observing that

$$b^m a^n = (b^m a^n - b^{m-1} a^{n-1}) + (b^{m-1} a^{n-1} - b^{m-2} a^{n-2}) + \dots + a^{n-m}$$

for $m \leq n$ and $b^m a^n = (b^m a^n - b^{m-1} a^{n-1}) + (b^{m-1} a^{n-1} - b^{m-2} a^{n-2}) + \dots + b^{m-n}$ for $n \leq m$ the last terms being in P''' and rest of the terms in P by Lemma 2.4. Hence we have that

$$x = \sum_{m \geq n} r(m, n) \{(b^m a^n - b^{m-1} a^{n-1}) + (b^{m-1} a^{n-1} - b^{m-2} a^{n-2}) + \dots + b^{m-n}\} \\ + \sum_{m \leq n} r(m, n) \{(b^m a^n - b^{m-1} a^{n-1}) + (b^{m-1} a^{n-1} - b^{m-2} a^{n-2}) + \dots + a^{n-m}\} = z + y$$

where

$$z = \sum_{m \geq n} r(m, n) \{(b^m a^n - b^{m-1} a^{n-1}) + \dots + (b^{m-(n-1)} a - b^{m-n})\} \\ + \sum_{m \leq n} r(m, n) \{(b^m a^n - b^{m-1} a^{n-1}) + \dots + (b^{n-(m-1)} - a^{n-m})\}$$

and

$$y = \sum_{m \geq n} r(m, n) b^{m-n} + \sum_{m < n} r(m, n) a^{n-m} \in P'''.$$

This representation is unique since $x = y + z = y' + z'$; $y, y' \in P'''$; $z, z' \in P$ implies $y - y' = z' - z \in P''' \cap P \subseteq P'' \cap P''' = 0$ by lemmas (2.2) and (2.3).

Theorem 2.3 — $P = P' = P''$

PROOF : To prove $P = P'$ we have in view of Lemma 2.2 only to show that $P \supseteq P'$. Let $x \in P'$ and let $x = y + z$, $y \in P'''$, $z \in P \subseteq P'$ be the decomposition of x given by Theorem 2.1. Then $y = x - z \in P''' \cap P' = 0$ by Lemma 2.3, so that $x = z \in P$. A similar argument shows that $P'' \subseteq P$.

Theorem 2.4 — Let R be an integral domain then,

(i) $\frac{R(S)}{P}$ is an integral domain and $\frac{R(S)}{P}$ is left (right) noetherian if R is left (right) noetherian.

(ii) $J(R(S)) \cong J(R)(S'')$ (S'' considered as a semigroup and the zero of S'' identified with the zero of $J(R)$ so that, in particular, here $J(R(S)) = J(R)(S'')$ and consequently $R(S)$ is semisimple if and only if R is semisimple and also $J(R(S)) \subset P$).

(iii) The only units of $\frac{R(S)}{P}$ are the products of units of R and powers of a or b .

(iv) $J\left(\frac{R(S)}{P}\right) = 0$.

PROOF : (i) We observe that $\frac{R(S)}{P} \cong R[a, a^{-1}]$, the infinite cyclic grouping over R . Then it is easy to see that $R[a, a^{-1}]$ is left noetherian if R is left noetherian by the Hilbert basis theorem (Lambek 1966, p. 70).

(ii) Let $x = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} r(i, j) b^i(1 - ba) a^j \in J(R(S))$ and let $\max \{i \mid r(i, j) \neq 0\} = m$ and $\max \{j \mid r(m, j) \neq 0\} = n$. Then $a^m x b^n = r(m, n) (1 - ba) \in J(R(S))$ so that $r(m, n) \neq 1$. Let $y = \sum_{l,k \in \mathbb{N}} s(l, k) b^l(1 - ba) a^k$ be its quasi-inverse so that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - r(m, n) (1 - ba)) (1 - \sum_{l,k \in \mathbb{N}} s(l, k) b^l(1 - ba) a^k) &= 1 \\ &= (1 - \sum_{l,k \in \mathbb{N}} s(l, k) b^l(1 - ba) a^k) \cdot (1 - r(m, n) (1 - ba)). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen that $l \geq 0$ and $k \geq 0$ so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - r(m, n) (1 - ba)) (1 - s(0, 0) (1 - ba)) &= 1 \\ &= (1 - s(0, 0) (1 - ba)) (1 - r(m, n) (1 - ba)) \end{aligned}$$

or that

$$(r(m, n) \circ s(0, 0)) (1 - ba) = 0 = (s(0, 0) \circ r(m, n)) (1 - ba)$$

which implies that

$$s(0, 0) \circ r(m, n) = 0 = r(m, n) \circ s(0, 0) = 0.$$

Hence $r(m, n)$ is quasi-regular (*q.r.*). Since

$$r(m, n) (1 - ba) \in J(R(S)), r(m, n) s(1 - ba) \in J(R(S))$$

for all $s \in R$ it follows, as above, that $r(m, n)s$ is *q.r.* Hence $r(m, n) \in J(R)$.

Let $n' = \max \{ \{j \mid r(m, n) \neq 0\} \setminus \{n\} \}$ if it exists.

$$\text{Then } a^m x b^{n'} = r(m, n) (1 - ba) a^{n-n'} + r(m, n') (1 - ba) \in J(R(S)).$$

But $r(m, n) (1 - ba) a^{n-n'} \in J(R(S))$ so that $r(m, n) (1 - ba) \in J(R(S))$ which by a similar argument as above implies that $r(m, n')$ is in $J(R)$. By repeating this type of argument we can show that all the coefficients $r(m, j) \in J(R)$. Next choose

$$m_1 = \max \{ \{i \mid r(i, j) \neq 0\} \setminus \{m\} \}$$

and

$$n = \max \{j \mid r(m_1, j) \neq 0\}$$

then

$$a^{m_1} x b^{n_1} = \sum_{j \geq n_1} r(m, j) b^{m-m_1} (1 - ba) a^{j-n_1} + r(m_1, n_1) (1 - ba).$$

But we have already shown that

$$\sum_{j \geq n_1} r(m, j) b^{m-m_1} (1 - ba) a^{j-n_1} \in J(R(S))$$

hence

$$r(m_1, n_1) (1 - ba) \in J(R(S)),$$

so that $r(m_1, n_1) \in J(R)$. Repeating this argument we can show that

$$r(i, j) \in J(R) \forall i, j \in N$$

from which it follows that $x \in J(R)(S^n)$. In particular it follows that if $J(R(S)) \neq 0$ then $J(R) \neq 0$. Conversely let $0 \neq r \in J(R)$ we show that $0 \neq r(1 - ba) R(S)$ is a right quasi-regular right ideal. Any element of $r(1 - ba) R(S)$ has the form $r(1 - ba) p(a)$ where, $p(a) \in R[a]$. Let r_0 be the constant term of $p(a)$ such that $p(a) = p_0(a) + r_0$. Since $r \in J(R)$ it follows that $rr_0 \in J(R)$ so that \exists an $s_0 \in J(R)$ such that

$$rr_0 \circ s_0 = s_0 \circ rr_0 = 0.$$

We now observe that

$$r(1 - ba) p(a) \circ (1 - ba) \{ -rp_0(a) + s_0rp_0(a) + s_0 \} = 0,$$

so that

$$r(1 - ba) \in r(1 - ba) R(S) \subseteq J(R(S)).$$

It follows that if $J(R) = 0$ then $J(R(S)) = 0$. We now show that any element of the form $rb^n(1 - ba) p(a)$, $n > 0$, $p(a) \in R[a]$ and $r \in J(R)$ is right quasi-regular. Let the degree of $p(a)$ be equal to m . If $m < n$, then $rb^n(1 - ba) p(a) \circ (-rb^n(1 - ba) P(a)) = 0$. If $m \geq n$, then let the coefficient of a^n is $p(a)$ be r_n and let $rr_n \circ s_n = 0$ for some $s_n \in J(R)$. Then we have

$$rb^n(1 - ba) p(a) \circ b^n(1 - ba) (s_n - 1) rp(a) = 0.$$

$$\text{Let } x = \sum_{i,j \in N} r(i, j) b^i(1 - ba) a^j = \sum_{l \in N} r_l b^l(1 - ba) p_l(a) \in J(R)(S^n),$$

and let $\min \{l \mid r_l \neq 0\} = m_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ b^{m_1}(1 - ba) (s_{m_1} - 1) r_{m_1} p_{m_1}(a) &= \sum_{l \neq m_1} r_l b^l(1 - ba) p_l(a) \\ &\quad - \sum_{l \neq m_1} r_l b^l(1 - ba) p_l(a) \cdot b^{m_1}(1 - ba) (s_{m_1} - 1) r_{m_1} p_{m_1}(a) \\ &= \sum_{l \neq m_1} u_l b^l(1 - ba) p_l'(a), \quad u_l \in J(R), \text{ (say)} \end{aligned}$$

where s_{m_1} is given by $r_{m_1} t_{m_1} \circ s_{m_1} = 0$, t_{m_1} being the coefficient of a^{m_1} in $p_{m_1}(a)$. By repeating the above process for each $l \in N$ we get the right quasi-inverse of x . For any $y \in R(S)$, xy has the same form as x , hence $x \in J(R(S))$. Since $J(R)(S^n) \subseteq P$, it follows that $J(R(S)) \subseteq P$. Since $(1 - ba)^2 = (1 - ba) \in P$, it is clear that $J(R(S)) \subset P$. In general for any

$$x = \sum_{m,n \in N} r(m, n) (b^m a^n - b^{m+1} a^{n+1}) \in J(R(S)), \quad r(m, n) \in J(R),$$

the mapping $x \rightarrow \sum_{m,n \in N} r(m, n) e_{mn}$ is an isomorphism of $J(R(S))$ upon $J(R)(S^n)$.

(iii) Since $\frac{R(S)}{P} \cong R[a, a^{-1}]$ the proof of this statement is identical to finding units in commutative integral group-rings given by Sehgal (1970).

(iv) Let $x \in J\left(\frac{R(S)}{P}\right)$ so that $1 - x = ra^k$, where r is a unit in R and $k \geq 0$. If $k \geq 0$ then $(1 - xa) = 1 - a + ra^{k+1}$ which is not a unit unless $r = 1, k = 0$ i.e., unless $x = 0$. Similarly if $k < 0$ then $1 - xb = 1 - b + rb^{k+1}$ is not a unit unless $x = 0$. Hence $J\left(\frac{R(S)}{P}\right) = 0$.

Corollary 1 — The free semigroup-ring $Z(S)$ is semisimple.

Corollary 2 — If R is a division ring, then $\frac{R(S)}{P}$ is a semisimple principal left (right) ideal domain.

Corollary 3 — If R is a left (right) noetherian integral domain then, $\frac{R(S)}{P}$ is left (right) quotient simple.

For proof see Goldie (1958).

Corollary 4 — If R is a division ring, then $\frac{R(S)}{P} \cong F$, where F is a left or right Ore domain.

For proof see Goldie (1962).

§3. Let $S' = \{\epsilon_m = b^m a^m \in S \mid m \in N\}$ be the set of all the idempotents of S . Then S' is a commutative subsemigroup with unity $\epsilon_0 = 1$ and the multiplication in S' reduces to $\epsilon_m \cdot \epsilon_n = \epsilon_{\max(m, n)}$. Let $R(S')$ denote the free semigroup-ring of S' over an arbitrary ring R . For $\alpha = \sum_{i \in N} r_i \epsilon_i \in R(S')$, let $\alpha_n = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i \epsilon_i$ for each $n \in N$ and $|\alpha| = \sum_{i \in N} r_i$. Denote the mapping $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_n$ of $R(S')$ into itself by ϕ_n and the mapping $\alpha \rightarrow |\alpha|$ of $R(S')$ into R by ψ . Then clearly ψ is an epimorphism. Further denote the restriction of ψ to $\phi_n(R(S'))$ by ψ_n . Then we have

Lemma (3.1) — ϕ_n is an endomorphism and ψ_n is an epimorphism.

PROOF : Let $\alpha = \sum_{i \in 1}^n r_i \epsilon_i, \beta = \sum_{j \in N} s_j \epsilon_j \in R(S')$. Then clearly $(\alpha + \beta)_n = \alpha_n + \beta_n$

and $(\alpha\beta)_n = \sum_{\substack{i, j \\ \max(i, j) \leq n}} r_i s_j \epsilon_i \epsilon_j = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i \epsilon_i \sum_{j=0}^n s_j \epsilon_j = \alpha_n \beta_n$. It follows in particular that $\phi_n(R(S'))$

is a subring of $R(S')$ and hence also that ψ_n is a homomorphism which is obviously onto since, $\psi_n(r \epsilon_n) = r \forall r \in R$.

Corollary — $(\alpha \circ \beta)_n = \alpha_n \circ \beta_n$ and $|(\alpha \circ \beta)_n| = |\alpha_n| \circ |\beta_n|$.

Let R_∞ be the ring of all infinite sequences of elements of R such that each sequence after a finite stage becomes stationary i.e., the elements of R have the form $(r_0, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, r_n, \dots)$ for $n < \infty$, and addition and multiplication are defined coordinatewise.

Lemma 3.2 — $R(S') \cong R_\infty$ under the mapping

$$\alpha \rightarrow (|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_n|, |\alpha_n|, \dots)$$

where

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i \epsilon_i.$$

PROOF : From Lemma 3.1, it follows that the mapping is indeed a homomorphism. Clearly $|\alpha_n| = 0, \forall n \in N \Leftrightarrow \alpha = 0$ and the element

$$(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m, s_m, \dots) \in R_\infty$$

is the image of

$$s_0 \epsilon_0 + (s_1 - s_0) \epsilon_1 + (s_2 - s_1) \epsilon_2 + \dots + (s_m - s_{m-1}) \epsilon_m \in R(S').$$

Denote by $J(R(S'))$ and $J(R)$ the Jacobson radical of $R(S')$ and R respectively. Then we have

Theorem 3.1 — $J(R(S')) = \{ \sum_{i \in N} r_i \epsilon_i \in R(S') \mid r_i \in J(R) \forall i \in N \}$,

so that in particular $R(S')$ is semisimple or a radical ring iff R is semisimple or a radical ring respectively.

PROOF : We observe by Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i \epsilon_i \in J(R(S')) &\Leftrightarrow (|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_n|, |\alpha_n|, \dots) \\ &\in J(R_\infty) \Leftrightarrow |\alpha_i| \in J(R) \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $r_0, r_0 + r_1, \dots, r_0 + r_1 + \dots + r_n \in J(R) \Leftrightarrow r_i \in J(R), i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Denote by R^∞ the infinite discrete direct sum of copies of R and let K denote the kernel of ψ . Clearly R^∞ is an ideal of R_∞ . Let i_1 denote the injection of K into $R(S')$, i_2 the injection of R^∞ into R_∞ and let $\pi : (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m, r_m, \dots) \rightarrow r_m$ be a map of R_∞ into R . Then clearly π is an epimorphism and

$$\ker(\pi) = \{(r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n, 0, 0, \dots) \in R_\infty \mid n \in N\} = R^\infty$$

and $R^\infty \cong K$ by Lemma 3.2. Thus we have

Theorem 3.2 —

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{i_1} & R(S') & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \uparrow \text{iso.} & & \uparrow \text{iso.} & & \uparrow \text{Id map} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & R^\infty & \xrightarrow{i_2} & R_\infty & \xrightarrow{\pi} & R \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram of rings.

We remark that R^∞ is neither noetherian nor artinian it follows that K and hence $R(S')$ cannot be noetherian or artinian. It also follows from the theorem that the problem of finding the ideals of $R(S')$ is reduced to that of finding the ideals of R_∞ , which we proceed to do. Let R have unity element 1 and let I be a right ideal of R_∞ . Let I_i be the ideal formed by the i th coordinates of the elements of I , for $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Further let M be the set of all the stationary coordinates of elements of I so that

$$M = \{r_n \in R \mid (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n, r_n, \dots) \in I, n \geq 0\}.$$

Then clearly M is also an ideal in R . Let

$$J_i = \bigcap_{k=i}^\infty I_k, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$J = \lim. \text{ inf. } I_k = \bigcup_{i=0}^\infty J_i.$$

Then it is easily seen that $M \subseteq J$ and that if

$$\begin{aligned}
 I' = \{ & (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a, a, \dots) \mid a_j \in I_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, i-1, \\
 & a \in M \cap J_i, i = 0, 1, \dots \}
 \end{aligned}$$

then $I' = I$ since $(a_0, 0, 0, \dots), (0, a_1, 0, 0, \dots), \dots (0, 0, \dots, 0, a_i, a_i, \dots) \in I$.

Conversely, if $I_k, k = 0, 1, \dots$ is a given sequence of ideals of R and $M \subseteq J = \lim. \text{ inf. } I_k$ is an ideal of R then the set I' constructed as above is an ideal of R_∞ .

Theorem 3.3 — (i) If R is an integral domain then the set of all right divisors of zero of $R(S')$ is $K_1 = \{\alpha \in R(S') \mid |\alpha_n| = 0 \text{ for some } n \in N\}$.

(ii) If R has unity then the set of all right units of $R(S')$ is $K_2 = \{\alpha \in R(S') \mid |\alpha_n| \text{ is a right unit in } R \forall n \in N\}$.

(iii) $R(S')$ is von-Neumann regular iff R is von-Neumann regular.

PROOF : It follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to his supervisor, Dr. P. Kesava Menon, Director, J.C.B., Ministry of Defence, for suggesting the topic and his unfailing encouragement during the preparation of this manuscript. He also wishes to express his thanks to the referee for some fruitful suggestions made by him in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

REFERENCES

- Fotedar, G. L. (1973). On one sided quasi-inverses. *Riv. Mat., Univ. Par.*, (3) 2, 83-89.
- (1974). On a semigroup associated with an ordered group. *Math. Nach.*, 60 Band, Heft 1-6, 297-302.
- Goldie, A. Q. (1958). The structure of prime rings under ascending chain condition. *Proc. Lond. math. Soc.*, 8, 589-608.
- (1962). Non-commutative principal ideal rings. *Archiv Math.*, 13, 213-21.
- Jacobson, Nathan (1943). Theory of Rings. *A. M. Colloq. Pub.*
- (1964). Structure of Rings. *A. M. Colloq. Pub.*
- Lambek, Joachim (1966). Lectures on Rings and Modules. Blaisdell Publishing Company.
- Sehgal, S. K (1970). Units in commutative integral group rings. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 14, 135-38.