

CORE EQUALITY THEOREMS FOR REAL BOUNDED SEQUENCES.

SEYHMUS YARDIMCI

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Ankara University,
06100 Tandogan, Ankara Turkey*

*(Received 26 March 1997; after revision 24 March 1999;
Accepted 21 May 1999)*

In this paper, we have studied the matrix classes leaving the core of a bounded sequence invariant.

Key Words : Core Equality; Bounded sequence; Infinite Matrices, Almost Convergences

1. INTRODUCTION

Let m, c, c_0 be the linear spaces of real bounded, convergent and null sequences $x = \{x_n\}$, respectively, normed by $\|x\| = \sup |x_n|$. We write

$$m_0 = \left\{ x \in m : \sup_n \left| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right| < \infty \right\}.$$

We define the functionals l and L on m by

$$l(x) = \liminf x_n ; L(x) = \limsup x_n.$$

Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix and we write

$$(Ax)_n := \sum_k a_{nk} x_k$$

if the series converges for each $n \geq 0$. By Ax we denote the sequence $\{(Ax)_n\}$. If $\lim Ax = \lim x$ for each $x \in c$, we say that A is regular^{2, 11} and write $A \in (c, c; p)$. Silverman Toeplitz theorem gives the necessary and sufficient conditions for regularity of the matrix A ^{2, 11}.

A matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ is called normal if it is lower semi triangular matrix with non-zero diagonal entries².

A matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ is called strongly regular⁸ if it is regular and

$$\lim_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk} - a_{n,k+1}| = 0$$

A regular matrix A is almost positive³ if and only if

$$\lim_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| = 1.$$

The famous Knopp's theorem (see, e.g. [2], [4], [6], [9], [12]) determines a class of regular matrices for which $L(Ax) \leq L(x)$ for all $x \in m$; that is κ -core $\{Ax\} \subseteq \kappa$ -core $\{x\}$ where κ -core $\{x\}$, denotes Knopp's core of $x \in m$ defined by the closed interval $[l(x), L(x)]$.

It is well known that the functional

$$q(x) = \inf_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} \limsup_k \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r x_{k+n_i}$$

is sublinear on m ^{8,11}.

Following [10], we consider the functionals

$$l^*(x) = \liminf_n \sup_i \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{r=i}^{i+n} x_r, L^*(x) = \limsup_n \sup_i \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{r=i}^{i+n} x_r$$

on m .

If $q(x) = q(-x) = s$, then x is called almost convergent⁸ to s and in this case we write F - $\lim x = s$. F and F_0 respectively denote the set of all almost convergent and all almost convergent to zero sequences. It is also well known that $q(x) = L^*(x)$ ⁵.

Das⁴ defined the Banach core of $x \in m$ to be the closed interval $[-q(-x), q(x)]$ (see also [7]). By β -core $\{x\}$ we denote the Banach core of $x \in m$

• If F - $\lim Ax = F$ - $\lim x$ for each $x \in m$, we say that A is F -regular⁶.

Orhan¹⁰ established a class of F -regular matrices for which $L^*(Ax) \leq L^*(x)$ i.e., β -core $\{Ax\} \subseteq \beta$ -core $\{x\}$ for all $x \in m$.

Allen¹ determined the class of matrices under which the κ -core of every bounded sequence is identical with the κ -core of its transform.

In the present paper we study the core equality problems.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we assume that all matrices have finite norm.

Theorem 1 — Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be a real matrix and $x = (x_n)$ real bounded sequence. Then

$$\kappa\text{-core } \{Ax\} = \beta\text{-core } \{x\}$$

if and only if

a) A is strongly regular

$$b) \sum_k |a_{nk}| \rightarrow 1 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

and c) for every infinite sequence of suffixes p_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), the number 1 is a limit point of the sequence $(u_n) = (\sum_i a_{n, p_i})$.

PROOF : Necessity; Let κ -core $\{Ax\} \subset \beta$ -core $\{x\}$. Hence, $LA \leq L^*$. From [10], Theorem 3 (a) and (b) are satisfied.

Now let (p_i) be any given sequence of suffixes. We define a bounded sequence $x = (x_k)$ by $x_{p_i} = 1$ for every i and $x_k = 0$ otherwise. If $\{x_k\}$ has a finite number of zero terms then $\lim x = 1$ and so, F - $\lim x = 1$. In this case β -core $\{x\} = \{1\}$ and from κ -core $\{Ax\} \subset \beta$ -core $\{x\}$ we have κ -core $\{Ax\} \subseteq \{1\}$. Since Ax is bounded we must have $\lim Ax = 1$.

If $\{x_k\}$ has an infinite number of zero terms, then κ -core $\{x\} = [0, 1]$. In this case, there exists subsequences $\{u_j\}$ and $\{y_v\}$ such that

$$u_j := x_{k_j} \rightarrow 1 \quad (j \rightarrow \infty)$$

$$y_v := x_{k_v} \rightarrow 0 \quad (v \rightarrow \infty)$$

also 1 and 0 are respectively F -limit points of subsequences (u_j) and (y_v) . Hence,

$$[0, 1] \subset \beta\text{-core } \{x\} \subset \kappa\text{-core } \{x\} = [0, 1].$$

By assumption we have

$$\kappa\text{-core } \{Ax\} = \beta\text{-core } \{x\} = [0, 1].$$

It follows that (c) is necessary.

Sufficiency : Let (a), (b) and (c) hold. Then it follows Theorem 3 of Orhan¹⁰ that $LA \leq L^*$, i.e. κ -core $\{Ax\} \subset \beta$ -core $\{x\}$ for every $x \in m$. Now we shall prove that β -core $\{x\} \subset \kappa$ -core $\{Ax\}$. In order to do so, we must show that the set of all F -limit points of the sequence $\{x_k\}$ is a subset of the set of limit points of $\{(Ax)_n\}$.

Let $\{x_k\}$ be bounded and let α be an F -limit point of x . Then there exist a sequence $\{p_i\}$ of suffixes such that $F\text{-}\lim x_{p_i} = \alpha$. Given any $\epsilon > 0$ we put $x_{p_i} = \alpha + \epsilon_{p_i}$ such that $F\text{-}\lim \epsilon_{p_i} = 0$.

Let q_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) be the sequence obtained by, omitting the italic number p_i from the sequence $(1, 2, 3, \dots)$. Now we can write

$$y_n = \sum_i a_{n, p_i} x_{p_i} + \sum_j a_{n, q_j} x_{q_j}$$

we see from (c) that there is a sequence $\{m_r\}$ of positive integers such that

$$\sum_i a_{m_r} = u_{m_r} \rightarrow 1$$

when $r \rightarrow \infty$ and we have

$$y_{m_r} = \sum_i a_{m_r, p_i} x_{p_i} + \sum_j a_{m_r, q_j} x_{q_j}$$

And also we have

$$y_{m_r} - \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^N a_{m_r, p_i} x_{p_i} + \alpha \left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} a_{m_r, p_i} - 1 \right) + \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} a_{m_r, p_i} \varepsilon_{p_i} + \sum_j a_{m_r, q_j} x_{q_j}$$

We shall prove that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} y_{m_r} = \alpha$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y_{m_r} - \alpha| &\leq \|x\|_{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N |a_{m_r, p_i}| + |\alpha| \left| \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} a_{m_r, p_i} - 1 \right| + \left| \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} a_{m_r, p_i} \varepsilon_{p_i} \right| \\ &\quad + \|x\|_{\infty} \sum_j |a_{m_r, q_j}| \\ &= \sum_1 + \sum_2 + \sum_3 + \sum_4 \end{aligned}$$

By regularity of A , we get $\sum_1 \rightarrow 0, (r \rightarrow \infty)$.

From (c) we have $\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} a_{m_r, p_i} \rightarrow 1 (r \rightarrow \infty)$ hence $\sum_2 \rightarrow 0 (r \rightarrow \infty)$.

We know that $F - \lim \varepsilon_{p_i} = 0$. Let $A' := (a_{m_r, p_i})$. Since $A \in (F, c; p)$, it is easy to see that $A' \in (F_o, c_o)$. Hence, $\sum_3 \rightarrow 0, (r \rightarrow \infty)$.

Finally, we shall show that $\sum_4 \rightarrow 0$.

From (b) we have

$$\sum_k |a_{m_r, k}| \rightarrow 1.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_k |a_{m_r, k}| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

for all $r > r_1(\varepsilon)$. Since

$$\sum_k |a_{m_r}| = \sum_i |a_{m_r, p_i}| + \sum_j |a_{m_r, q_j}|. \quad \dots (1)$$

It follows that

$$\sum_i |a_{m_r, p_i}| \leq 1 + \varepsilon \quad \dots (2)$$

for all $r > r_2(\varepsilon)$.

From (c) we get

$$\sum_i a_{m_r, p_i} = u_{m_r} \rightarrow 1$$

hence we have $|u_{m_r} - 1| \leq \varepsilon$, so $\|u_{m_r} - 1\| \leq \varepsilon$, hence

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq |u_{m_r}| = \left| \sum_i a_{m_r, p_i} \right| \leq \sum_i |a_{m_r, p_i}|$$

for all $r > r_2(\varepsilon)$.

From (2) and the last inequality, for $r > r_0(\varepsilon) := \max\{r_1, r_2\}$ we have

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \sum_i |a_{m_r, p_i}| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

and so

$$\sum_i |a_{m_r, p_i}| \rightarrow 1.$$

Hence, from (1) we have

$$\sum_j |a_{m_r, q_j}| \rightarrow 0.$$

In this case $|y_{m_r} - \alpha| < \varepsilon$ and so α is a limit point of Ax . It follows that

$$\beta - \text{core}\{x\} \subset \kappa - \text{core}\{Ax\}$$

Hence we have

$$\beta - \text{core}\{x\} \subset \kappa - \text{core}\{Ax\}$$

which proves the theorem.

We write

$$m_B := \{x : Bx \in m\}.$$

Before giving the equality $L^*(Ax) = L^*(Bx)$, we need the following result¹³:

Theorem A — Let B be a normal matrix. Then for a row-finite matrix

$$L^*(Ax) \leq L^*(Bx) \text{ (for all } x \in m_B)$$

if and only if

a) $C = AB^{-1}$ is F -regular (i.e., $F - \lim Cx = F - \lim x$ for each $x \in F$)

$$b) \limsup_n \sum_i \sum_k \left| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{r=i}^{i+n} c_{rk} \right| = 1.$$

If we interchange the roles of the matrices A and B , we immediately get the following :

Theorem 2 — Let $B = (b_{nk})$ and $A = (a_{nk})$ be a normal matrices. Then we have for all $x \in m_B \cap m_A$ that $L^*(Ax) = L^*(Bx)$ if and only if $C = AB^{-1}$ and $D = BA^{-1}$ are F -regular and

$$\limsup_n \sum_i \sum_k \left| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{r=i}^{i+n} c_{rk} \right| = 1$$

and

$$\limsup_n \sum_i \sum_k \left| \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{r=i}^{i+n} d_{rk} \right| = 1.$$

Before stating our final result we need the following result of Choudhary³.

Theorem B — Let B be a normal matrix. Then for a row finite matrix A ,

$$L(Ax) \leq L(Bx)$$

for all $x \in m_B$ if and only if AB^{-1} is a regular and almost positive³.

Interchanging the roles of A and B we get the following :

Theorem 3 — Let $B = (b_{nk})$ and $A = (a_{nk})$ be a normal matrices. Then we have $L(Ax) = L(Bx)$ for all $x \in m_B \cap m_A$ if and only if the matrices $C = AB^{-1}$ and $D = BA^{-1}$ are regular and almost positive.

REFERENCES

1. H. S. Allen, *J. London math. Soc.* **19** (1994), 42-46.
2. R. G. Cooke, *Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces*. McMillan, 1950.
3. B. Choudhary, *J. math. Anal. Appl.* **132** (1988), 226-33.
4. G. Das, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, **15** (1987), 89-106.
5. G. Das and S. K. Mishra, *Math. Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.*, **89** (1981), 393-96.
6. J. E. Duran, *Math. Z.*, **128** (1972), 75-83.
7. L. Loone, *Tartu Riikl. II. Toimetised Vih*, **335** (1975), 148-56.
8. G. G. Lorentz, *Acta Mathematica*, **80** (1948), 167-90.
9. I. J. Maddox, *Int. J. Math. math. Sci.* **3** (1990), 461-68.
10. C. Orhan, *Int. J. Math. math. Sci.* **3** (1990), 461-68.
11. G. M. Petersen, *Regular Matrix Transformations*. McGraw-Hill, 1966.
12. S. Simons, *J. math. Anal. Appl.*, **26** (1969), 640-55.
13. S. Yardimci, *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **27** (1996), 861-67.