

## THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR FUZZY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH INFINITE DELAYS\*

J. Y. PARK, S. Y. LEE AND H. M. KIM

*Department of Mathematics, Pusan National University,  
Pusan 609735, Republic of Korea*

(Received 23 April 1998; after revision 9 April 1999;  
Accepted 12 July 1999)

The existence of solutions, the existence of  $T$ -periodic solutions and almost periodic solutions for the fuzzy functional differential equation with infinite delays are proved.

**Key Words :** Fuzzy Functional Differential Equations; Infinite Time Delays; Fuzzy Mapping; Existence of Solutions; Uniformly Stable;  $T$ -periodic Solution; Almost Periodic Solution

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Seikkala<sup>9</sup> defined the fuzzy derivative which is the generalization of the Hukuhara derivative in [7], the fuzzy integral which is the same as Dubois and Prade<sup>2</sup>, and by means of the extension principle of Zadeh, showed that the fuzzy initial value problem  $x'(t) = f(t, x(t))$ ,  $x(0) = x_0$  has a unique fuzzy solution when  $f$  satisfies the generalized Lipschitz condition which guarantees a unique solution of the deterministic initial value problem. Kaleva<sup>3</sup> studied the Cauchy problem of fuzzy differential equations, characterized those subsets of fuzzy sets in which the peano theorem is valid. Park, Kwun and Jeong<sup>6</sup> consider the existence of solutions of fuzzy integral equations in Banach space and Subramanyam and Sudarsanam<sup>10</sup> proved the existence of solutions fuzzy equations functional.

By  $C((-\infty, 0]; E^n)$  denotes the set of all fuzzy continuous mappings from  $(-\infty, 0]$  to  $E^n$  with  $D(\phi(t), \hat{\theta}) \leq M$  for some constant  $M$  and for  $\hat{\theta} \in E^n$ . Here we denote

$$D(\phi, \hat{\theta}) = \sup \{D(\phi(t), \hat{\theta}) : t \in (-\infty, 0]\} \text{ for } \phi \in C((-\infty, 0]; E^n), \hat{\theta} \in E^n.$$

We write  $\Gamma = C((-\infty, 0]; E^n)$  and for  $\phi \in \Gamma$ , set  $D(\phi, \hat{\theta}) = D(\phi|_{(-\infty, 0]}, \hat{\theta})$  for  $\hat{\theta} \in E^n$ . If  $x(t)$  is a fuzzy mapping on  $(-\infty, b)$ ,  $b \leq \infty$ , we define for each  $t \in (-\infty, b)$ ,  $x_t(s) = x(t+s)$ ,  $s \leq 0$ . Clearly, if

\*This work was supported by the Basic Sciences Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1997, Project No. BSRi-97-1410.

$x(t)$  is fuzzy continuous and bounded mapping on each interval  $(-\infty, b_1]$ ,  $b_1 < b$ , then  $x_t \in \Gamma$  for  $t \in (-\infty, b)$ .

In this paper we consider the fuzzy functional differential equations with infinite time delays

$$x'(t) = F(t, x(t), x_t), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $F$  is a  $E^n$ -valued fuzzy mapping defined on  $R \times E^n \times \Gamma$ . The existence and stability of almost periodic solutions for functional differential equation of form (1.1) have been obtained by W. Quanyi<sup>11</sup>. The purpose of this paper is the existence of solutions, the existence of  $T$ -periodic solutions and almost periodic solutions for the fuzzy functional differential equations with infinite time delays.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $P_K(R^n)$  denote the family of all nonempty compact convex subset of  $R^n$  and define the addition and scalar multiplication in  $P_K(R^n)$  as usual. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nonempty bounded subsets of  $R^n$ . The distance between  $A$  and  $B$  is defined by the Hausdorff metric

$$d(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{B \in B} \|a - b\|, \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} \|a - b\| \right\},$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denote the usual Euclidean norm in  $R^n$ . Then it is clear that  $(P_K(R^n), d)$  becomes a metric space.

*Proposition 2.1*<sup>8</sup> — The metric space  $(P_K(R^n), d)$  is complete and separable.

We denote

$$E^n = \{u : R^n \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid u \text{ satisfies (i) - (iv) below}\},$$

where

- (i)  $u$  is normal, i.e., there exists an  $x_0 \in R^n$  such that  $u(x_0) = 1$ ,
- (ii)  $u$  is fuzzy convex,
- (iii)  $u$  is upper semicontinuous

and (iv)  $[u]^0 = cl \{x \in R^n \mid u(x) > 0\}$  is compact.

For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  denote  $[u]^\alpha = \{x \in R^n \mid u(x) \geq \alpha\}$ . Then from (i)-(iv), it follows that the  $\alpha$ -level set  $[u]^\alpha \in P_K(R^n)$  for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

If  $g : R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$  is a function, then according to Zadeh's extension principle we can extend  $g$  to  $E^n \times E^n \rightarrow E^n$  by the equation

$$g(u, v)(z) = \sup_{z = g(x, y)} \min \{u(x), v(y)\}.$$

It is well known that

$$[g(u, v)]^\alpha = g([u]^\alpha, [v]^\alpha)$$

for all  $u, v \in E^n$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and continuous function  $g$ . Especially for addition and scalar multiplication, we have

$$[u + v]^\alpha = [u]^\alpha + [v]^\alpha, [ku]^\alpha = k[u]^\alpha,$$

where  $u, v \in E^n, k \in R, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

*Proposition 2.2<sup>5</sup>* — If  $u \in E^n$ , then

(i)  $[u]^\alpha \in PK(R^n)$  for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ,

(ii)  $[u]^{\alpha_2} \subset [u]^{\alpha_1}$  for  $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq 1$

and (iii) If  $\{\alpha_k\} \subset [0, 1]$  is a non decreasing sequence converging to  $\alpha > 0$ , then

$$[u]^\alpha = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} [u]^{\alpha_k}.$$

Conversely, if  $\{A^\alpha \mid 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$  is a family of subsets of  $R^n$  satisfying (i)-(iii), then there exists a  $u \in E^n$  such that

$$[u]^\alpha = A^\alpha \text{ for } 0 < \alpha \leq 1$$

and

$$[u]^0 = \overline{\bigcup_{0 < \alpha \leq 1} A^\alpha} \subset A^0.$$

Define  $D : E^n \times E^n \rightarrow R^+ \cup \{0\}$  by the equations

$$D(u, v) = \sup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} d([u]^\alpha, [v]^\alpha),$$

where  $d$  is the Hausdorff metric defined in  $PK(R^n)$ . Then it is easy to show that  $D$  is a metric in  $E^n$ .

Using the result in S. Seikkala<sup>9</sup>, we know that

(1)  $(E^n, D)$  is a complete metric space,

(2)  $D(u + w, v + w) = D(u, v)$  for all  $u, v, w \in E^n$  and

(3)  $D(ku, kv) = |k| D(u, v)$  for all  $u, v \in E^n, k \in R$ .

*Definition 2.1* — Let  $T = [t_0, t_0 + p] \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a compact interval. We say that a mapping  $F : T \rightarrow E^n$  is strongly measurable if for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  the set-valued mapping  $F_\alpha : T \rightarrow P_K(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined by

$$F_\alpha(t) = [F(t)]^\alpha$$

is (Lebesgue) measurable, where  $P_K(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is endowed with the topology generated by the Hausdorff metric  $d$ . A mapping  $F : T \rightarrow E^n$  is called integrably bounded if there exists an integrable function  $h$  such that  $\|x\| \leq h(t)$  for all  $x \in F_0(t)$ .

*Definition 2.2* — Let  $F : T \rightarrow E^n$ . The integral of  $F$  over  $T$  denoted by  $\int_T F(t)dt$  is defined

level-wise by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \int_T F(t)dt \right]^\alpha &= \int_T F_\alpha(t)dt \\ &= \left\{ \int_T f(t)dt \mid f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is a measurable selection for } F_\alpha \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ .

*Proposition 2.3* — <sup>1</sup> If  $F : T \rightarrow E^n$  is strongly measurable and integrably bounded, then  $F$  is integrable.

The following definitions and propositions are given in reference [4].

*Proposition 2.4* — Let  $F, G : T \rightarrow E^n$  be integrable and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$(1) \int_T (F(t) + G(t))dt = \int_T F(t)dt + \int_T G(t)dt;$$

$$(2) \int_T \lambda F(t)dt = \lambda \int_T F(t)dt,$$

(3)  $D(F, G)$  is integrable and

$$(4) D \left( \int_T F(t)dt, \int_T G(t)dt \right) \leq \int_T D(F, G)(t)dt.$$

*Remark 2.1* : Suppose  $A \in E^n$  and define  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow E^n$  by  $F(s) = A$  for all  $a \leq s \leq b$ . Then from Example 4.1, we have

$$\int_a^b F(t)dt = (b - a)A.$$

Let  $x, y \in E^n$ . If there exists a  $z \in E^n$  such that  $x = y + z$ , then we call  $z$  the  $H$ -difference of  $x$  and  $y$ , denoted by  $x - y$

*Definition 2.3* — A mapping  $F: T \rightarrow E^n$  is differentiable at  $t_0 \in T$ , if there exists a  $F'(t_0) \in E^n$  such that the limits

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(t_0 + h) - F(t_0)}{h} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{F(t_0) - F(t_0 - h)}{h}$$

exist and are equal to  $F'(t_0)$ . Here the limit is taken in the metric space  $(E^n, D)$ . At the end point of  $T$ , we consider only the one-side derivatives.

*Proposition 2.5* — If  $F, G: T \rightarrow E^n$  are differentiable and  $\lambda \in R$ , then

$$(F + G)'(t) = F'(t) + G'(t), \quad (\lambda F)'(t) = \lambda F'(t).$$

*Definition 2.4* — A mapping  $F: T \rightarrow E^n$  is called continuous at  $t_0 \in T$  if it is continuous at  $t = t_0$  in the metric space  $(E^n, D)$ .

*Proposition 2.6* — Let  $F: T \rightarrow E^n$  be continuous, then for every  $t \in T$ , the integral  $G(t) = \int_{t_0}^t F(s) ds$  is differentiable and  $G'(t) = F(t)$ .

*Proposition 2.7* — Let  $F: T \rightarrow E^n$  be differentiable and assume that the derivative  $F'(t)$  is integrable over  $T$ . Then for each  $s \in T$  we have

$$F(s) = F(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^s F'(t) dt.$$

*Proposition 2.8* — A mapping  $F: T \rightarrow E^n$  is said to be uniformly continuous on  $T$  if it is uniformly continuous in the metric space  $(E^n, D)$ .

*Proposition 2.9* — A mapping  $F: T \rightarrow E^n$  is said to be bounded if there is a real number  $M$  such that  $D(f(t), \hat{0}) \leq M$  for all  $t \in T, \hat{0} \in E^n$ .

### 3. MAIN THEOREM

By  $C((-\infty, 0]; E^n)$  denotes the set of all fuzzy continuous and bounded mappings from  $(-\infty, 0]$  to  $E^n$ . Here we denote

$$D(\phi, \hat{0}) = \sup \{D(\phi(t), \hat{0}) : t \in (-\infty, 0]\} \quad \text{for } \phi \in C((-\infty, 0]; E^n), \hat{0} \in E^n.$$

We write  $\Gamma = C((-\infty, 0]; E^n)$  and for  $\phi \in \Gamma$ , set  $D(\phi, \hat{0}) = D(\phi(-\infty, 0], \hat{0})$  for  $\hat{0} \in E^n$ . If  $x(t)$  is a fuzzy mapping on  $(-\infty, b), b \leq \infty$ , we define for each  $t \in (-\infty, b), x_t(s) = x(t+s), s \leq 0$ . Clearly, if

$x(t)$  is fuzzy continuous and bounded mapping on each interval  $(-\infty, b_1]$ ,  $b_1 < b$ , then  $x_t \in \Gamma$  for  $t \in (-\infty, b)$ . The  $E^n$ -valued fuzzy mapping  $F(t, x, \phi)$  on  $R \times E^n \times \Gamma$  is said to satisfy following conditions:

(A<sub>1</sub>) It is almost periodic fuzzy mapping in  $t$  uniformly for  $(x, \phi)$  in closed bounded subsets of  $E^n \times \Gamma$ .

(A<sub>2</sub>) There exists a  $M > 0$  such that  $D(F(t, 0, 0), \hat{\theta}) \leq M$  for  $t \in R, \hat{\theta} \in E^n$ .

(A<sub>3</sub>) The fuzzy mapping  $F(t, x(t), x_t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $R$  whenever  $x(t)$  is uniformly continuous with  $D(x(t), \hat{\theta}) \leq M'$  for some constant  $M', \hat{\theta} \in E^n$ .

(A<sub>4</sub>) There exist positive numbers  $p, h$  and  $r$  such that  $ph < 1, p \geq M/r$  where  $M$  is a constant in (A<sub>2</sub>).

$$D(x(t) + hF(t, x(t), x_t), y(t) + hF(t, y(t), y_t)) \leq (1 - ph) D(x_t, y_t) \quad \dots (3.1)$$

for  $t \in R$  and for any fuzzy mappings  $x(t), y(t)$  are uniformly continuous mappings on  $R$  with  $D(x(t), \hat{\theta}) \leq r, D(y(t), \hat{\theta}) \leq r$  for  $\hat{\theta} \in E^n$ .

(A<sub>5</sub>) For each  $r > 0$  there exists a  $M(r) > 0$  such that  $D(F(t, x(t), \phi), \hat{\theta}) \leq M(r)$  for  $D(x, (t), \hat{\theta}) \leq r, D(\phi, \hat{\theta}) \leq r$  for  $t \in R, \hat{\theta} \in E^n$  and for any  $\phi \in \Gamma$ .

(A<sub>6</sub>) In the condition (A<sub>4</sub>), and for any fuzzy mappings  $x(t), y(t)$  continuous on  $R$  there is  $p > M/r$ , (3.1) is also valid.

*Remark 3.1 :* The condition (A<sub>6</sub>) contains the condition (A<sub>4</sub>).

We consider the fuzzy functional differential equations with infinite time delays

$$x'(t) = F(t, x(t), x_t). \quad \dots (3.2)$$

*Definition 3.1* — A solution  $x(t, t_0, \phi_1)$ , which satisfies  $x_{t_0} = \phi_1$  with  $\phi_1 \in \Gamma$  and  $D(x(t, t_0, \phi_1), \hat{\theta}) \leq M$  for  $t \geq t_0, \hat{\theta} \in E^n$  is uniformly stable if, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $t_0 \geq 0$ , there exists a positive number  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$  (independent of  $t_0$ ) such that  $D(x(t, t_0, \phi_1), y(t, t_0, \phi_2)) < \varepsilon$ , whenever  $D(\phi_1, \phi_2) < \delta$  and  $t \geq t_0$ , where  $y(t, t_0, \phi_2)$ , which satisfies  $y_{t_0} = \phi_2$  with  $\phi_2 \in \Gamma$ , is any solution of (3.2) for  $t \geq t_0$ .

*Theorem 3.1* — Suppose that the fuzzy mapping  $F : R \times E^n \times \Gamma \rightarrow E^n$  satisfies (A<sub>2</sub>) – (A<sub>5</sub>). Then (3.2) has only one solution  $\bar{x}(t)$  with  $D(\bar{x}(t), \hat{\theta}) \leq r$  for  $t \in R$  and for any  $\hat{\theta} \in E^n$ .

**PROOF :** We write (3.2) in the following form :

$$x'(t) = F(t, x(t), x_t) = -\frac{1}{h}x(t) + g(t, x(t), x_t) + F(t, 0, 0), \quad \dots (3.3)$$

where  $g(t, x(t), x_t) = \frac{1}{h}x(t) + F(t, x(t), x_t) - F(t, 0, 0)$ . Then there is  $g(t, 0, 0) = 0$  and it follows from  $(A_3)$  and  $(A_4)$  that  $g(t, x(t), x_t)$  satisfies following condition :

$(A_7)$   $g(t, x(t), x_t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $R$  and satisfies

$$D(g(t, x(t), x_t), g(t, y(t), y_t)) \leq \frac{1-ph}{h} D(x_t, y_t) \quad \dots (3.4)$$

for  $t \in R$  and fuzzy mappings  $x(t), y(t)$  uniformly continuous on  $R$  such that

$$D(x(t), \hat{0}) \leq r, D(y(t), \hat{0}) \leq r \text{ for } t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n.$$

(I) We prove that (3.2) has a solution  $\bar{x}(t)$  with  $D(\bar{x}(t), \hat{0}) \leq M/p$  for  $t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n$ .

We first construct the mapping sequences as follows :

$$x^0(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) F(s, 0, 0) ds, \text{ for } t \in R, \quad \dots (3.5)$$

$$x^{m+1}(t) = x^0(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) g(s, x^m(s), x_s^m) ds, \text{ for } t \in R \quad \dots (3.6)$$

when  $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

(i) We prove that  $x^m(t), (m=0, 1, 2, \dots)$  are uniformly continuous and  $D(x^m(t), \hat{0}) < M/p$  for  $t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n$ . From  $(A_2)$  and  $(A_3)$ , there is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} D(x^0(t), \hat{0}) &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) D(F(s, 0, 0), \hat{0}) ds \\ &\leq M \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) ds \\ &= Mh < \frac{M}{p} \quad \text{for } t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n. \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (3.7)$$

And it follows from  $(A_3)$  and (3.5) that  $x^0(t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $R$ . Using  $(A_7)$ , (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x^1(t), \hat{\theta}) &\leq D(x^0(t), \hat{\theta}) + \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) D(g(s, x^0(s), x_s^0), \hat{\theta}) ds \\
 &\leq Mh + \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) \frac{(1-ph)}{h} D(x_s^0, \hat{\theta}) ds \\
 &\leq Mh + (1-ph) M \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) ds \\
 &= Mh(1 + (1-ph)) \text{ for } t \in R, \hat{\theta} \in E^n
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.8}$$

Since  $0 < 1 - ph < 1$ , there is

$$\frac{1}{ph} = \frac{1}{1 - (1-ph)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1-ph)^j.
 \tag{3.9}$$

It follows from (3.8) and (3.9), we see that

$$D(x^1(t), \hat{\theta}) \leq Mh[1 + (1-ph)] < M/p \text{ for } t \in R, \hat{\theta} \in E^n.
 \tag{3.10}$$

From (A<sub>7</sub>), (3.6)-(3.8) and the uniform continuity of  $x^0(t)$  on  $R$ , we see easily that  $x^1(t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $R$ .

In general, for any natural number  $k$ , we can assume inductively that

$$D(x^k(t), \hat{\theta}) \leq Mh \sum_{j=0}^k (1-ph)^j < \frac{M}{p} \text{ for } t \in R, \hat{\theta} \in E^n,
 \tag{3.11}$$

and  $x^k(t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $R$ . Then from (3.4), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.11), there is

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(x^{k+1}(t), \hat{\theta}) &\leq D(x^0(t), \hat{\theta}) + \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) D(g(s, x^k(s), x_s^k), \hat{\theta}) ds \\
 &\leq Mh + \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) \frac{(1-ph)}{h} D(x_s^k, \hat{\theta}) ds \\
 &\leq Mh + (1-ph) M \sum_{j=0}^k (1-ph)^j \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) ds \\
 &= Mh \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (1-ph)^j < \frac{M}{p} \text{ for } t \in R, \hat{\theta} \in E^n
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.12}$$

Because of (A<sub>7</sub>), (3.6) and the uniform continuity of  $x^0(t)$  and  $x^k(t)$  on  $R$ ,  $x^{k+1}(t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $R$ . Therefore by induction, for any natural number  $m$ , there is



$$D(x^m(t), \hat{0}) \leq Mh \sum_{j=0}^m (1-ph)^j < \frac{M}{p} \text{ for } t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n. \quad \dots (3.13)$$

and  $x^m(t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $R$ .

(ii) We prove that  $\{x^m(t)\}$  is uniformly convergent on  $R$  and its limit mapping  $\bar{x}(t)$  is uniformly continuous and satisfies  $D(\bar{x}(t), \hat{0}) \leq M/p$  for  $t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n$ . Let

$$L_{m+1} = \sup \{D(x^{m+1}(t), x^m(t)) : t \in R\}, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \dots (3.14)$$

Then from (3.4), (3.6), (3.14), and  $(A_7)$  and (i) we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} D(x^{m+1}(t), x^m(t)) &\leq \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) D(g(s, x^m(s), x_s^m), g(s, x^{m-1}(s), x_s^{m-1})) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1-ph}{h} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) D(x_s^m, x_s^{m-1}) ds \\ &\leq \frac{(1-ph)L_m}{h} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) ds \\ &= (1-ph)L_m \text{ for } t \in R. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots (3.15)$$

Thus, we get

$$L_{m+1} \leq (1-ph)L_m, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since  $0 < 1 - ph < 1$  and  $(E^n, D)$  is complete metric space, the sequence  $\{x^m(t)\}$  of fuzzy mappings is uniformly convergent on  $R$ . Let  $D(x^m(t), \bar{x}(t)) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $t \in R$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $x^m(t), m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , are uniformly continuous on  $R$  and  $D(x^m(t), \hat{0}) \leq M/p$  for  $t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n$ , hence  $\bar{x}(t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $R$  and  $D(\bar{x}(t), \hat{0}) < M/p$  for  $t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n$ .

(iii) We prove that

$$D\left(\int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) g(s, x^m(s), x_s^m) ds, \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) g(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s) ds\right) \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly in  $t \in R$  when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $D(x^m(t), \bar{x}(t)) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $R$  when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a natural number  $N = N(\epsilon)$  sufficiently large such that

$$D(x^m(t), \bar{x}(t)) < \varepsilon \text{ for } t \in R,$$

when  $m \geq N$ . Thus, if  $m \geq N$ , using (A<sub>7</sub>), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & D \left( \int_{-\infty}^t \exp \left( -\frac{1}{h}(t-s) \right) g(s, x^m(s), x_s^m) ds, \int_{-\infty}^t \exp \left( -\frac{1}{h}(t-s) \right) g(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s) ds \right) \\ & \leq \int_{-\infty}^t \exp \left( -\frac{1}{h}(t-s) \right) D(g(s, x^m(s), x_s^m), g(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s)) ds \\ & \leq \frac{(1-ph)}{h} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp \left( -\frac{1}{h}(t-s) \right) D(x_s^m, \bar{x}_s) ds \\ & \leq \frac{(1-ph)\varepsilon}{h} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp \left( -\frac{1}{h}(t-s) \right) ds \\ & = (1-ph) \varepsilon < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in R$ , i.e.,

$$D \left( \int_{-\infty}^t \exp \left( -\frac{1}{h}(t-s) \right) g(s, x^m(s), x_s^m) ds, \int_{-\infty}^t \exp \left( -\frac{1}{h}(t-s) \right) g(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s) ds \right) \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly for  $t \in R$ , as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now, taking its limit from the both sides of (3.6), we obtain

$$\bar{x}(t) = x^0(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t \exp \left( -\frac{1}{h}(t-s) \right) g(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s) ds \text{ for } t \in R. \tag{3.16}$$

Then, from the right side of (3.16), it is easy to see that  $\bar{x}(t)$  is continuously differentiable on  $R$ . Immediately, differentiating the both side of (3.16), we have  $\bar{x}'(t) = F(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{x}_t)$  for  $t \in R$ .

Therefore,  $\bar{x}(t)$  is a bounded solution of (3.2) such that  $D(\bar{x}(t), \hat{\theta}) \leq M/p$  for  $t \in R, \hat{\theta} \in E^n$ .

(II) We prove that  $\bar{x}(t)$  is a unique bounded solution of (3.2) such that  $D(\bar{x}(t), \hat{\theta}) \leq r$  for  $t \in R, \hat{\theta} \in E^n$ .

In fact, if this conclusion is not valid, then there is another bounded solution  $y(t)$  of (3.2) such that  $D(y(t), \hat{\theta}) \leq r$  for  $t \in R, \hat{\theta} \in E^n$  and  $y(t) \neq \bar{x}(t)$ . It follows from (A<sub>5</sub>) that  $y(t)$  is uniformly continuous on  $R$ . Since

$$\bar{x}'(t) - y'(t) = -\frac{1}{h} [\bar{x}(t) - y(t)] + [g(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{x}_t) - g(t, y(t), y_t)],$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}(t) - y(t) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-t_0)\right) [\bar{x}(t_0) - y(t_0)] \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) [g(t, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s) - g(s, y(s), y_s)] ds, \text{ for } t \geq t_0. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.17)$$

Using (A<sub>7</sub>), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\bar{x}(t), y(t)) &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-t_0)\right) D(\bar{x}(t_0), y(t_0)) \\ &+ \frac{(1-ph)}{h} \int_{t_0}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) D(\bar{x}_s, y_s) ds \text{ for } t \geq t_0. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.18)$$

Let  $c_1 = \sup \{D(\bar{x}(t), y(t)) : t \in R\}$ . There are  $c_1 > 0$  and  $t_1 \in R$  such that

$$D(\bar{x}(t_1), y(t_1)) \geq c_1 \left(1 - \frac{ph}{4}\right) \quad \dots (3.19)$$

Taking  $t_0 = t_1 - T$ , where  $T > 0$  is sufficiently large with

$$\exp\left(-\frac{T}{h}\right) \leq \frac{ph}{2}. \quad \dots (3.20)$$

From (3.18)-(3.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \left(1 - \frac{ph}{4}\right) &\leq D(\bar{x}(t_1), y(t_1)) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{T}{h}\right) c_1 + (1-ph) c_1 \\ &\leq \frac{phc_1}{2} + (1-ph)c_1 \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{ph}{2}\right) c_1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction because of  $0 < 1 - ph < 1$  and  $c_1 > 0$ . Therefore  $\bar{x}(t)$  is a unique bounded solution of (3.2) such that  $D(\bar{x}(t), \hat{0}) \leq r$  for  $t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n$ . It completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.2** — Suppose that the fuzzy mapping  $F : R \times E^n \times \Gamma \rightarrow E^n$  has (A<sub>2</sub>), (A<sub>3</sub>), (A<sub>5</sub>) and (A<sub>6</sub>). Then (3.2) has only one bounded solution  $\bar{x}(t)$  which is uniformly stable and satisfies  $D(\bar{x}(t), \hat{0}) \leq r$  for  $t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n$ .

PROOF : From Remark 3.1 and Theorem 3.1, we can see that it suffices to prove that  $\bar{x}(t)$  is uniformly stable. In fact, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  (especially  $\varepsilon < r - M/p$ ) and each  $t_0 \geq 0$ , taking a positive number  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) = \min \{(r - M/p)/2, ph \varepsilon/2\}$  (obviously,  $\delta(\varepsilon)$  is independent of  $t_0$ ), we see that  $D(\bar{x}_{t_0}, \phi) < \delta$ , then we have

$$D(\bar{x}(t), y(t)) < \varepsilon, \text{ for } t \geq t_0 \tag{3.21}$$

where  $y(t) = y(t, t_0, \phi)$ , which satisfies  $y_{t_0} = \phi$  with  $\phi \in \Gamma$ , is any solution of (3.2) for  $t \geq t_0$ . Otherwise, if (3.21) is not valid, there is  $t_1 > t_0$  such that

$$D(\bar{x}(t_1), y(t_1)) = \varepsilon \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$D(\bar{x}(t), y(t)) < \varepsilon \text{ for } t_0 < t < t_1. \tag{3.23}$$

Thus we get

$$D(\bar{x}_t, y_t) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } t \leq t_1. \tag{3.24}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}'(t) - y'(t) &= F(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{x}_t) - F(t, y(t), y_t) \\ &= -\frac{1}{h} [\bar{x}(t) - y(t)] + [g_1(t, \bar{x}(t), \bar{x}_t) - g_1(t, y(t), y_t)] \text{ for } t \geq t_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where  $g_1(t, x(t), x_t) = \frac{1}{h} x(t) + F(t, x(t), x_t)$  and from  $(A_6)$ , we have

$$D(g_1(t, x(t), x_t), g_1(t, y(t), y_t)) \leq \frac{1-ph}{h} D(x_t, y_t) \tag{3.26}$$

for any continuous fuzzy mappings  $x(t), y(t)$  with  $D(x(t), \hat{0}) \leq r$  and  $D(y(t), \hat{0}) \leq r$  for  $t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n$ . It follows from (3.25) that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}(t_1) - y(t_1) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t_1 - t_0)\right) [\bar{x}(t_0) - y(t_0)] \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t_1 - s)\right) [g_1(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s) - g_1(s, y(s), y_s)] ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Using (3.22)-(3.24), (3.26) and (3.27), we can obtain

$$\varepsilon = D(\bar{x}(t_1), y(t_1)) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t_1 - t_0)\right) D(\bar{x}(t_0), y(t_0))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t_1-s)\right) D(g_1(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s), g_1(s, y(s), y_s)) ds \\
 & \leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t_1-t_0)\right) \delta + \frac{1-ph}{h} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t_1-s)\right) D(\bar{x}_s, y_s) ds \\
 & \leq \delta + \frac{(1-ph)\varepsilon}{h} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t_1-s)\right) ds \\
 & \leq \delta + (1-ph) \varepsilon \leq \frac{ph\varepsilon}{2} + (1-ph) \varepsilon \\
 & = \left(1 - \frac{ph}{2}\right) \varepsilon < \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\bar{x}(t)$  is uniformly stable.

**Theorem 3.3** — *If the fuzzy mapping  $F : R \times E^n \times \Gamma \rightarrow E^n$  is periodic in  $t$  with period  $T$  independent of  $(t, \phi)$  and if the fuzzy mapping  $F : R \times E^n \times \Gamma \rightarrow E^n$  satisfies conditions  $(A_2) - (A_4)$ , then (3.2) has only one  $T$ -periodic solution  $\bar{x}(t)$  with  $D(\bar{x}(t), \hat{0}) \leq r$  for  $t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n$ .*

PROOF : Because a continuous  $T$ -periodic solution of (3.2) is uniformly continuous on  $R$ , the condition  $(A_5)$  is not need. From Theorem 3.1, obviously, it suffices to prove that  $\bar{x}(t)$  is a  $T$ -periodic solution of (3.2). Using (3.16) and the conditions of this theorem, we obtain

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 \bar{x}(t+T) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t+T} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t+T-s)\right) [g(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s) + F(s, 0, 0)] ds \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-u)\right) [g(u+T, \bar{x}(u+T), \bar{x}_{u+T}) + F(u+T, 0, 0)] du \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-u)\right) [g(u, \bar{x}(u+T), \bar{x}_{u+T}) + F(u, 0, 0)] du \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) [g(s, \bar{x}(s+T), \bar{x}_{s+T}) + F(s, 0, 0)] ds
 \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (3.28)$$

Let  $L = \sup \{D(\bar{x}(t), \bar{x}(t+T)) : t \in R\}$ . Then from (3.16), (3.28) and  $(A_7)$ , we have

$$D(\bar{x}(t), \bar{x}(t+T)) \leq \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) D(g(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s), g(s, \bar{x}(s+T), \bar{x}_{s+T})) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{(1-ph)L}{h} \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) ds \\ &= (1-ph)L, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $L \leq (1-ph)L$ . It follows from  $0 < 1-ph < 1$  that  $L = 0$ . Therefore,  $\bar{x}(t)$  is a  $T$ -periodic solution of (3.2).

**Theorem 3.4** — Suppose that the fuzzy mapping  $F : R \times E^n \times \Gamma \rightarrow E^n$  has  $(A_1) - (A_4)$ . Then (3.2) has unique almost periodic solution  $\bar{x}(t)$  with  $D(\bar{x}(t), \hat{0}) \leq r$  for  $t \in R, \hat{0} \in E^n$ .

PROOF : Because an almost periodic solution of (3.2) is uniformly continuous on  $R$ , the condition  $(A_5)$  is not needed. From Theorem 3.1, obviously, it suffices to prove that  $\bar{x}(t)$  is an almost periodic solution of (3.2). Using (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}(t+\tau) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t+\tau} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t+\tau-s)\right) [g(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s) + F(s, 0, 0)] ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-u)\right) [g(u+\tau, \bar{x}(u+\tau), \bar{x}_{u+\tau}) + F(u+\tau, 0, 0)] du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) [g(s+\tau, \bar{x}(s+\tau), \bar{x}_{s+\tau}) + F(u+\tau, 0, 0)] ds \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t, \tau \in R$ . It follows from (3.16) and (3.29) that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}(t) - \bar{x}(t+\tau) &= \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) \frac{1}{h} [\bar{x}(s) - \bar{x}(s+\tau) + h(F(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s) \\ &\quad - F(s+\tau, \bar{x}(s+\tau), \bar{x}_{s+\tau}))] ds \quad \dots (3.30) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) \left[ \frac{1}{h} [\bar{x}(s) - \bar{x}(s+\tau) + h(F(s, \bar{x}(s), \bar{x}_s) - F(s, \bar{x}(s+\tau), \bar{x}_{s+\tau})) \right] \\ &\quad + [F(s, \bar{x}(s+\tau), \bar{x}_{s+\tau}) - F(s+\tau, \bar{x}(s+\tau), \bar{x}_{s+\tau})] \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in R$ . By  $(A_1)$ , for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $l(\epsilon) > 0$  such that every interval of  $R$  of length  $l(\epsilon)$  contains a  $\tau = \tau(\epsilon)$  with

$$D(F(t, \bar{x}(t+\tau), \bar{x}_{t+\tau}) - F(t+\tau, \bar{x}(t+\tau), \bar{x}_{t+\tau})) < \epsilon \quad \dots (3.31)$$

for all  $t \in R$ . Let  $L_0 = \sup \{D(\bar{x}(t), \bar{x}_{t+\tau}) : t \in R, \tau = \tau(\epsilon)\}$ . Thus for such a  $\tau$ , it follows from  $(A_7)$ , (2.30) and (2.31) that

$$D(\bar{x}(t), \bar{x}(t + \tau)) \leq \int_{-\infty}^t \exp\left(-\frac{1}{h}(t-s)\right) \left[ \frac{(1-ph)}{h} D(\bar{x}_s, \bar{x}_{s+\tau}) + \varepsilon \right] ds$$

$$\leq (1-ph)L_0 + h\varepsilon,$$

i.e.,  $L_0 \leq (1-ph)L_0 + h\varepsilon$ . Therefore, there is  $L_0 \leq \varepsilon/p$ . Thus  $\tau$  is an  $\varepsilon/p$ -translation number for  $\bar{x}(t)$ , and since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $\bar{x}(t)$  is almost periodic fuzzy mapping  $F$ . The proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. J. Aumann, *J. math. Anal. Appl.*, **12** (1965), 1-12.
2. D. Dubois and H. Prade, *Fuzzy Set. Syst.* **8** (1982), 1-17.
3. O. Kaleva, *Fuzzy Set. Syst.* **35** (1990), 389-96.
4. O. Kaleva, *Fuzzy Set. Syst.* **24** (1987), 301-17.
5. C. V. Negoita and D. A. Raiesscu, *Applications of Fuzzy Sets to Systems Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1975.
6. J. Y. Park and Y. C. Kwun and J. U. Jeong, *Fussy set. Syst.* **72** (1995), 373-78.
7. M. L. Puri and D. A. Ralescu, *J. math. Anal. Appl.*, **91** (1983), 552-58.
8. M. L. Puri and D. A. Ralescu, *J. math. Anal. Appl.*, **114** (1986), 409-22.
9. S. Seikkala, *Fuzzy Set. Syst.* **24** (1987), 319-30.
10. P. V. Subramanyam and S. K. Sudarsanam, *Fuzzy Set. Syst.* **64** (1994), 333-38.
11. W. Quanyi, *Chin. Ann. Math.*, **18B** : 2 (1997), 233-42.