

## A NOTE ON APPROXIMATION OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS BY EXPONENTIALS

LUIS BERNAL-GONZÁLEZ

*Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Facultad de Matemáticas,  
Universidad de Sevilla, Apdo. 1160, Sevilla 41080, Spain (E-mail: Ibernal@cica.es)*

(Received 10 February 1997; accepted 25 August 1999)

We prove in this paper that, under suitable conditions on the distribution of the points of a set  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  and on the density of a family  $\mathcal{A}$  of functions, the linear span of a certain family of exponentials related to  $S$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is dense in the space of holomorphic functions in a domain  $G$ . Some earlier results are derived as consequences.

**Key Words :** Holomorphic Function; Entire Function; Approximation by Exponentials, Runge Domain; Counting Function; Circumscribed Radius; Laplace Transform

### 1. INTRODUCTION, NOTATION AND KNOWN RESULTS

In this paper,  $\mathbb{R}$  will denote the real line and  $\mathbb{C}$  is the complex plane.  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the field of rational numbers,  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of positive integers and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .  $\mathbb{C}_\infty = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  is the one-point compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  is the open unit disk. If  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $G$  will stand for a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , that is,  $G \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ ,  $G$  is nonempty, open and connected. Recall that a subset  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  is said to be *rare or nowhere dense* if its closure  $\bar{S}$  has an empty interior.

$H(G)$  denotes, as usual, the space of holomorphic functions in  $G$ , endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets.  $H(G)^*$  is its topological dual space. Runge's theorem [Ru, p. 290]<sup>7</sup> asserts that, given a domain  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  and a set  $A \subset \mathbb{C}_\infty$  which has one point in each connected component of  $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus G$ , then the set of rational functions with poles only in  $A$  is dense in  $H(G)$ . In particular, the set of polynomials is dense in  $H(G)$  whenever  $G$  is simply connected, i.e.,  $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus G$  is connected. A *hole* of  $G$  is a bounded connected component of  $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus G$ . A domain  $G \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  is said to be a Runge domain if the set of polynomials is dense in  $H(G)$  [V, p. 206]. If  $N = 1$ , then  $G$  is Runge if and only if it is simply connected. For properties and characterizations of Runge domains, see, for instance, [H, pp. 52-59]<sup>3</sup>, [K, p. 204]<sup>4</sup> and [V, pp. 206-208]<sup>8</sup>.

If  $f$  is entire (i.e.,  $f \in H(\mathbb{C}^N)$ ) then, trivially, the set  $Z(f)$  of its zeros is closed. Assume that, in addition,  $f$  is not identically zero. If  $N = 1$ ,  $Z(f)$  is discrete. If  $N \geq 2$ ,  $Z(f)$  is never a discrete subset. If  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_N) \in (0, +\infty)^N$ , we denote

$$M_f(r) = \max_{\substack{|z_j|=r_j \\ j=1, \dots, N}} |f(z_1, \dots, z_N)| = \max_{\substack{|z_j| \leq r_j \\ j=1, \dots, N}} |f(z_1, \dots, z_N)|.$$

We consider in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  the euclidean norm  $|z| = \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |z_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}$  for  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$ . The open ball with center  $a \in \mathbb{C}^N$  and radius  $s > 0$  is  $B(a, s) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^N : |z - a| < s\}$ . We agree that  $B(a, \infty) = \mathbb{C}^N$ . We define the circumscribed radius of  $G$  as  $R(G) = \inf_{b \in \mathbb{C}^N} \sup_{z \in G} |z - b| = \inf \{s > 0 : \text{there is an open ball } B \text{ of radius } s \text{ with } G \subset B\}$ . Clearly  $0 < R(G) \leq \infty$ , and  $R(G)$  is finite if and only if  $G$  is bounded. The infimum in the definition of  $R(G)$  is attained, that is, there is  $b \in \mathbb{C}^N$  such that  $G \subset B(b, R(G))$ . It is obvious that  $R(G) = s$  whenever  $G$  is an open ball with radius  $s$ .

A multi-index is a  $N$ -tuple  $k = (k_1, \dots, k_N) \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$  of non-negative integers. Then we denote  $z^k = z_1^{k_1} \dots z_N^{k_N}$  and  $zw = z_1 w_1 + \dots + z_N w_N$  whenever  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$  and  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$ .

Assume that  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ . If  $N = 1$ , we denote by  $n(S; t)$  ( $t \geq 0$ ) the number of points of  $S$  lying on the closed disk  $\{|\zeta| \leq t\}$ . The notation is *a fortiori* more involved in the case  $N \geq 2$ . If  $t \geq 0$ ,  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ,  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_N) \in (0, +\infty)^N$  and  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$  is such that  $|\lambda| = 1$ , then  $n(a, S, \lambda; t)$  will stand for the number of points of  $S$  lying on the "complex segment"  $L(a, \lambda, t) = \{(a_1 + \lambda_1 \zeta, \dots, a_N + \lambda_N \zeta) : |\zeta| \leq t\}$  of the complex affine straight line  $L(a, \lambda) = \{(a_1 + \lambda_1 \zeta, \dots, a_N + \lambda_N \zeta) : \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . The rate of growth of the function  $n(a, S, \lambda; t)$  obviously characterizes the density of the points of  $S$  on the line  $L(a, \lambda)$ . In this paper, the most used instance will be the case  $S \subset Z(f)$ , where  $f$  is an adequate entire function. The reason for fixing a point  $a$  in the case  $N \geq 2$  in the definition of the counting function is the simple one that the asymptotic distribution of zeros on a line  $L(a, \lambda)$  may be wholly different from their distribution on other line  $L(b, \mu)$ . Obviously, this cannot happen if  $N = 1$ . With the same notation, if  $f$  is entire and  $N = 1$  ( $N \geq 2$ , resp.), then we put  $n(f; t)$  ( $n(a, f, \lambda; t)$ , resp.) to mean the number of points of  $Z(f)$  in  $|\zeta| \leq t$  (in  $L(a, \lambda, t)$ , resp.), repeated according to their multiplicity. An elementary application of Hurwitz's theorem [A, p. 178]<sup>1</sup> on the number of roots of the limit function shows that if  $f(0) \neq 0$ , then the function  $\lambda \mapsto n(0, f, \lambda; t)$  is bounded for any fixed  $t$  (see [Ro, p. 233])<sup>6</sup>.

Let  $f$  be entire and not identically zero. Jensen's formula relates the values of  $\log |f|$  on the boundary of a ball with the distribution of the zeros of  $f$  in the ball. For the case  $N = 1$ , the formula can be written as follows:

$$\int_0^r \frac{n(f; t) - n(f; 0)}{t} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta - \log |c| - n(f; 0) \log r$$

for every  $r > 0$ , where  $c$  is the coefficient of order  $n(f; 0)$  in the Taylor expansion of  $f$  around the origin. We must suppose  $f(0) \neq 0$  in the case  $N = 2$ , for if  $f(0) = 0$ , then  $f$  cannot generally

be expressed around the origin as a product  $f(z) = z^k \cdot g(z)$ , where  $g$  is an entire function such that  $g(0) \neq 0$ . With this in mind, Jensen's formula becomes the following one (see [Ro, Chapter 4, Sections 2.1-2.4]):<sup>6</sup>

$$\int_0^{|r|} \left( \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} n(0, f, \lambda(\alpha, r); s) d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_{N-1} \right) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_N e^{i\theta_N})| d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_N - \log |f(0)|,$$

for every  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_N) \in (0, +\infty)^N$ . We have denoted

$$\lambda(\alpha, r) = \left( \frac{r_1}{|r|} e^{i\alpha_1}, \dots, \frac{r_{N-1}}{|r|} e^{i\alpha_{N-1}}, \frac{r_N}{|r|} \right).$$

It is well known that the linear span of the set  $\{\exp(cz) : c \in \mathbb{C}^N\}$  is dense in  $H(\mathbb{C}^N)$  [H, p. 97]<sup>3</sup>. In fact, the points  $c$  need not fill in the whole space  $\mathbb{C}^N$ :

**Theorem A** — *If  $V$  is a nonempty open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , then the linear span of  $\{\exp(cz) : x \in V\}$  is dense in  $H(\mathbb{C}^N)$ .*

The proof of the latter result can be found in [GS, pp. 258-260]<sup>2</sup>, where the authors consider the Laplace transform of  $H(\mathbb{C}^N)^*$ , that is, the linear operator

$$L \in H(\mathbb{C}^N)^* \mapsto \hat{L} \in H(\mathbb{C}^N)$$

defined by  $\hat{L}(w) = L(z \mapsto e^{zw})$  ( $w \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ). This transform is also used in [LR, pp. 61-64 and 78-79]<sup>5</sup> to prove the next result, which involves the asymptotic behaviour of a sequence of points.

**Theorem B** — *Let  $G \subset D$  be a simply connected domain and  $S = \{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  a sequence of distinct complex numbers. If*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \frac{n(S; t)}{t} dt \geq 1,$$

*then the linear span of  $\{\exp(c_n z) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $H(G)$ .*

In particular, if the sequence  $\{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has a finite accumulation point (i.e.,  $c_n \not\rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then the conclusion of the theorem holds. Indeed, in such a case there would be  $t_0 > 0$  such that  $n(S; t) = \infty$  for all  $t \geq t_0$ .

In this note, we generalize all the above results about approximation by exponentials to holomorphic functions on general domains of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Our results depend upon the "density" of certain set of values. For a Runge domains, sufficient conditions for approximation lie on its circumscribed radius.

## 2. APPROXIMATION BY EXPONENTIALS

We first deal with the case  $N \geq 2$ , and then consider the special case  $N = 1$ . For future references, we say that a subset  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  such that for every  $a \in A$  there exists  $t = t(a) > 0$  satisfying that given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$  and  $n(a, S, \lambda; t) > m$ .

**Theorem 1** — Let  $S, G$  be nonempty subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  such that  $N \geq 2$  and  $G$  is a domain. Assume that there are  $N$  families of functions  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_N$  in  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  such that the linear span of

$$\{g_1^{k_1} \cdots g_N^{k_N} : k_j \in \mathbb{N}_0, g_j \in \mathcal{A}_j, j = 1, \dots, N\}$$

is dense in  $H(G)$ . Denote by  $M(S)$  the linear span of the set of functions

$$\{\exp(c_1 g_1 + \dots + c_N g_N) : (c_1, \dots, c_N) \in S, g_j \in \mathcal{A}_j, j = 1, \dots, N\},$$

and by  $V(a, r)$  the quantity

$$\int_0^{r|} \left( \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} n(0, S, \lambda(\alpha, r); s) d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_{N-1} \right) ds$$

for every  $r \in (0, +\infty)^N$  and every  $a \in \mathbb{C}^N$ . Then the following properties are satisfied:

- If  $S$  has the property (P), then  $M(S)$  is dense in  $H(G)$ ;
- If there are a non-rare subset  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  and a point  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$  such that

$$\limsup_{|r| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(a, r)}{|r|} \geq (2\pi)^{N-1} \cdot \sup_{\substack{g_j \in \mathcal{A}_j \\ j=1, \dots, N}} \sup_{z \in G} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |g_j(z) - b_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

for all  $a \in A$ , then  $M(S)$  is dense in  $H(G)$ ; and

- If  $S$  is non-rare, then  $M(S)$  is dense in  $H(G)$ .

**PROOF** : In order that  $M(S)$  can be dense in  $H(G)$ , it is enough to prove that if  $L \in H(G)^*$  is such that  $L(\exp(c_1 g_1 + \dots + c_N g_N)) = 0$  for all  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_N) \in S$  and all  $g_j \in \mathcal{A}_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ), then  $L = 0$ . This is a consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem. But, in turn, it suffices to show that  $L(g_1^{k_1} \cdots g_N^{k_N}) = 0$  for all  $k_j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and all  $g_j \in \mathcal{A}_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ), since the linear span of the functions  $g_1^{k_1} \cdots g_N^{k_N}$  is dense in  $H(G)$ . We may suppose without loss of generality that none of the functions of the families  $\mathcal{A}_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ) is constant.

With this in mind, fix a point  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$  and a function  $g_j$  in every  $\mathcal{A}_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ). Denote  $G_j(z) = g_j(z) - b_j$  ( $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \forall z \in G$ ). Define the "generalized" Laplace transform of  $L$  as

$$\hat{L}(w) = L(\exp(w_1 G_1 + \dots + w_N G_N)) \quad (w = (w_1, \dots, w_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N).$$

It is a standard exercise to prove that  $\hat{L}$  is an entire function on  $\mathbb{C}^N$  with partial derivatives

$$D^k \hat{L}(w) = L(G_1^{k_1} \dots G_N^{k_N} \cdot \exp(w_1 G_1 + \dots + w_N G_N))$$

for every multi-index  $k$  and every  $w \in \mathbb{C}^N$ . We have denoted  $D^k = D_1^{k_1} \dots D_N^{k_N}$ , where  $D_j$  is the partial differentiation operator with respect to the variable  $w_j$ . If we got to demonstrate that  $\hat{L} \equiv 0$ , we would have

$$D^k \hat{L}(0) = 0 \quad \forall k,$$

so  $L(G_1^{k_1} \dots G_N^{k_N}) = 0$  for every multi-index  $k$ . But this implies that  $L(g_1^{k_1} \dots g_N^{k_N}) = 0$  for all  $k$ , since the linear manifolds spanned by  $\{g_1^{k_1} \dots g_N^{k_N} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0^N\}$  and  $\{G_1^{k_1} \dots G_N^{k_N} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0^N\}$  are the same. Hence, our goal is to prove that  $\hat{L} \equiv 0$ .

Observe that  $S \subset Z(\hat{L})$  and that

$$n(a, S, \lambda; t) \leq n(a, Z(\hat{L}), \lambda; t) \leq n(a, \hat{L}, \lambda; t) = n(0, f_a, \lambda; t) \dots \quad (1)$$

for all  $a \in \mathbb{C}^N$ , all  $t \geq 0$  and all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^N$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ , where we have set  $f_a(w) = \hat{L}(w + a)$ . Now, we prove parts a), b) and c).

a) From the hypothesis, given  $a \in A$  there exists  $t > 0$  such that the function  $\lambda \mapsto n(a, S, \lambda; t)$  is not bounded, so the function  $\lambda \mapsto n(0, f_a, \lambda; t)$  neither is. Then  $f_a(0) = 0$  by a property mentioned in Section 1, so  $\hat{L}(a) = 0$  for every  $a \in A$ , i.e.,  $A \subset Z(\hat{L})$ . But  $Z(\hat{L})$  is closed, so  $Z(\hat{L}) \supset \bar{A}$  and  $\bar{A}$  contains a nonempty open set. By the Analytic Continuation Principle,  $\hat{L} \equiv 0$ . Thus  $M(S)$  is dense.

b) Consider the point  $b$  of the hypothesis, fix  $g_j \in \mathcal{A}_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ) and construct the corresponding entire function  $\hat{L}$ . Note that the choice of the point  $b$  was unimportant in part a), but clearly not here. Assume, by the way of contradiction, that  $\hat{L} \not\equiv 0$ . The same argument of the proof of a) tells us that there must be at least one point  $a \in A$  which is not a zero for  $\hat{L}$ , i.e.,  $\hat{L}(a) \neq 0$  or  $f_a(0) \neq 0$ . By Jensen's formula for several variables,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{|r|} \left( \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} n(0, f_a, \lambda(\alpha, r); s) \, d\alpha_1 \dots d\alpha_{N-1} \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f_a(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_N e^{i\theta_N})| \, d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_N - \log |f_a(0)| \quad \dots \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $r \in (0, +\infty)^N$ .

We now proceed to show that  $\hat{L}$  satisfies an exponential-type inequality. To this end, by using the continuity condition for  $L$ , we note that there is a compact subset  $K \subset G$  and a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$L(f) \leq C \cdot \sup_{z \in K} |f(z)| \quad \forall f \in H(G).$$

Therefore, by definition of  $\hat{L}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{L}(w)| &= |L(\exp(w_1 G_1 + \dots + w_N G_N))| \\ &\leq C \cdot \sup_{z \in K} \exp(w_1 G_1(z) + \dots + w_N G_N(z))| \\ &\leq C \cdot \sup_{z \in K} \exp(|w_1| |G_1(z)| + \dots + |w_N| |G_N(z)|) \\ &\leq C \cdot \exp \left( \sup_K \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |G_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |w_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for every  $w \in \mathbb{C}^N$ . Hence

$$M_{\hat{L}}(r) \leq C \cdot \exp \left( |r| \cdot \sup_K \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |G_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \quad \dots (3)$$

for every  $r \in (0, +\infty)^N$ . From (1) and (2) we obtain, for all  $r \in (0, +\infty)^N$ , that

$$V(a, r) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f_a(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_N e^{i\theta_N})| d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_N - \log |\hat{L}(a)|.$$

It is evident that  $M_{f_a}(r) \leq M_{\hat{L}}(s(r))$  for every  $r \in (0, +\infty)^N$ , where  $s(r) = (r_1 + |a_1|, \dots, r_N + |a_N|)$ . Then

$$V(a, r) \leq (2\pi)^{N-1} \cdot \log M_{\hat{L}}(s(r)) - \log |\hat{L}(a)|.$$

This inequality, together with (3), drives us to

$$V(a, r) \leq (2\pi)^{N-1} \cdot \left( \log C + \sup_K \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |G_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot s(r) \right) - \log |\hat{L}(a)|$$

Since  $s(r)/|r| \rightarrow 1$  as  $|r| \rightarrow \infty$ , we derive that

$$\limsup_{|r| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(a, r)}{|r|} \leq (2\pi)^{N-1} \cdot \sup_K \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |G_j|^2 \right)^{1/2} < (2\pi)^{N-1} \cdot \sup_{z \in G} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N |g_j(z) - b_j|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

which clearly contradicts the hypothesis. Therefore,  $\hat{L} \equiv 0$ , as required.

c) It is evident that  $\overline{M(S)} \supset M(\overline{S}) \supset M(S)$ , so  $M(S)$  is dense in  $H(G)$  if and only if  $M(\overline{S})$  is. Thus, we can suppose that  $S$  is closed, so  $S$  contains an open ball  $B = B(d, R)$ . Given  $a \in \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{d\}$ , it is clear that for  $s > |d - a|$  and  $\lambda = \frac{d - a}{|d - a|}$  we have  $n(a, B, \lambda; s) = \infty$ , so  $n(a, S, \lambda; s) = \infty$ .

It now suffices to apply a) with  $A = \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{d\}$ . There is another way of proof: Given  $a \in A$ , we can write  $d_j - a_j = |d_j - a_j| e^{i\beta_j}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ). It is obvious that for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\}$ , there is an open angular interval  $I_j \subset [0, 2\pi]$  containing  $\beta_j - \beta_N$  such that  $n(a, B, \lambda(\alpha, r); s) = \infty$  for all  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{N-1}) \in I_1 \times \dots \times I_{N-1}$ , all  $s > |d - a|$  and all  $r$  of the form  $r(t) = (t|d_1 - a_1|, \dots, t|d_N - a_N|)$  ( $t > 0$ ). Therefore,  $n(a, S, \lambda(\alpha, r); s) = \infty$  for the same values of  $\alpha, s$  and  $r$ , so  $V(a, r(t)) = \infty$  whenever  $t > 1$ . Then

$$\limsup_{|r| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(a, r)}{|r|} = \infty$$

and b) can also be applied. The theorem is totally proved. □

*Corollary 1* — If  $G \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  is a Runge domain,  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  and there is a non-rare subset  $A \subset \mathbb{C}^N$  such that

$$\limsup_{|r| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(a, r)}{|r|} \geq (2\pi)^{N-1} R(G)$$

for all  $a \in A$ , then the linear span of  $\{\exp(cz) : c \in S\}$  is dense in  $H(G)$ . In particular, the same conclusion holds if either  $S$  is non-rare or has the property (P).

PROOF : Polynomials are dense in  $H(G)$ . But  $\{\text{polynomials}\} = \text{span} \{z^k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0^N\}$ .

So it suffices to take  $\mathcal{A}_j = \{z_j\}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ) in Theorem 1. The second part of the result follows readily from parts a) and c) of Theorem 1. The first part is derived from the definition of circumscribed radius by taking a point  $b \in \mathbb{C}^N$  in part b) of Theorem 1 such that  $B(b, R(G)) \supset G$ . □

Note that Theorem A follows from Corollary 1 just by taking  $S = V, G = \mathbb{C}^N$ .

*Theorem 2* — Suppose that  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a domain and that  $S = \{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is a sequence of distinct complex numbers. If there is a point  $b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \frac{n(S; t)}{t} dt \geq \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{z \in G} |g(z) - b|,$$

where  $\mathcal{A} \subset H(G)$  is a family such that the linear span of  $\{g^k : g \in \mathcal{A}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is dense in  $H(G)$ , then the linear span  $M(S)$  of  $\{\exp(c_n g) : g \in \mathcal{A}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is also dense in  $H(G)$ . In particular, the same conclusion holds if  $c_n \not\rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

PROOF : The proof runs over the same steps as Theorem 1 (specially, part *b*)), but it is much easier: take  $N = 1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$ . The details are left to the reader. The only delicate point is the fact that in Jensen's formula for  $N = 1$  the case  $f(0) = 0$  is possible (so  $n(f; 0) \neq 0$ ), where we have taken this time  $f(w) = L(\exp((g(z) - b)w))$  ( $w \in \mathbb{C}$ ). Here  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $L \in H(G)^*$  are fixed and  $L$  is such that  $L(\exp(c_n h)) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $h \in \mathcal{A}$ .

It should be proved that  $f \equiv 0$ . This happens when  $S$  has a finite accumulation point, because  $S \subset Z(f)$ . It remains to show that  $f \equiv 0$  if  $S$  has no finite accumulation point. But in this case there is  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $|c_n| > r_0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because  $0 \notin S$ . By the way of contradiction, assume that  $f \neq 0$ . Then, by Jensen's formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \frac{n(S; t)}{t} dt &= \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n(S; t)}{t} dt \leq \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n(f; t)}{t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \int_{r_0}^r \frac{n(f; t) - n(f; 0)}{t} dt + n(f; 0) \cdot \frac{\log(r/r_0)}{r} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta - \frac{\log |c| + \log r_0}{r}, \end{aligned}$$

for every  $r > 0$ , where  $c$  is a nonzero constant. Now, it suffices to employ an exponential-type inequality for  $f$  similar to (3) and take "limsup" as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , obtaining the contradiction

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \frac{n(S; t)}{t} dt < \sup_{z \in G} |g(z) - b|.$$

Consequently,  $f \equiv 0$  and the closure of  $M(S)$  is  $H(G)$ . □

Corollary 2 — If  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a simply connected domain and  $S = \{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is a sequence of distinct complex numbers such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \frac{n(S; t)}{t} dt \geq R(G),$$

then the linear span of  $\{\exp(c_n z) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $H(G)$ . In particular, the same conclusion holds if  $c_n \not\rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

PROOF : Take  $\mathcal{A} = \{z\}$  in Theorem 2 and a point  $b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $B(b, R(G)) \supset G$ , and we are done. □

Corollary 2 evidently extends Theorem B, since  $R(G) \leq 1$  whenever  $G \subset \mathbb{D}$ .

Next, we take out another consequence for general plane domains.

*Corollary 3* — Assume that  $G \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a domain,  $A$  is a set which has one point in each hole of  $G$  and  $S = \{c_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is a sequence of distinct points. Let us suppose that there are points  $b, d \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $d \neq 0$  and a mapping  $m : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying the inequality

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \frac{n(S; t)}{t} dt \geq \max \left\{ \sup_{z \in G} |dz - b|, \sup_{a \in A} \sup_{z \in G} \left| \frac{m(a)}{z - a} - b \right| \right\}.$$

Then the linear span of  $\{\exp(dc_n z) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{m(a)c_n}{z - a}\right) : a \in A, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$  is dense in  $H(G)$ .

PROOF : Take  $\mathcal{A} = \{dz\} \cup \left\{ \frac{m(a)}{z - a} : a \in A \right\}$  in Theorem 2 and apply Runge's theorem together with the decomposition of a rational function into a sum of a polynomial plus finitely many partial fractions. □

To finish, we provide three examples. Firstly, with  $N = 2j$  assume that  $\varepsilon > 0$  and that  $\{q_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an enumeration of the set  $A = B(0, \varepsilon) \cap [(Q + iQ) \cup (Q - iQ)]$ .

Consider the sets

$$S_1 = A,$$

$$S_2 = \left\{ q_n + \left( n - \frac{1}{j} \right) (e^{2\pi i/m}, 1) : n, m, j \in \mathbb{N}; j \leq m \right\}$$

and

$$S_3 = \left\{ q_n + \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{i\alpha}, 1) : n, k \in \mathbb{N}; k \geq n; \alpha \in [0, 2\pi] \right\}.$$

The open ball  $G = B(0, 1)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  is a Runge domain. Denote by  $M(S_j)$  the linear span of  $\{\exp(cz) : c \in S_j\}$  ( $j = 1, 2, 3$ ). Let us try to apply Corollary 1. Since  $\bar{A} \supset B(0, \varepsilon)$ , the set  $A$  is non-rare. Then  $M(S_1)$  is dense in  $H(G)$ . Note that each vector  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{i\alpha}, 1)$  ( $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ ) satisfies  $|\lambda| = 1$ . From the definition of  $S_2$ , we have that  $n \left( q_n, S_2, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{2\pi i/m}, 1); \sqrt{2}n \right) \geq m$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $M(S_2)$  is dense in  $H(G)$ . Finally observe that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , all  $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ , all  $s \geq 0$  and all  $t > 0$ ,  $n \left( q_n, S_3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}t} (te^{i\alpha}, t); s \right) \geq [s] - (n - 1)$ , because  $q_n + \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{i\alpha}, 1) \in L \left( q_n, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{i\alpha}, 1), s \right)$  for every  $k \in \{n, n + 1, \dots, [s]\}$  (we have denoted by  $[s]$  the entire part of  $s$ ). Therefore, for vectors

$r(t) = (t, t)$  ( $t > 0$ ), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{V(q_n, r(t))}{|r(t)|} &= \frac{1}{(t^2 + t^2)^{1/2}} \cdot \int_0^{(t^2/t^2)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{s} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} n \left( q_n, S_3, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} (te^{i\alpha}, t); s \right) d\alpha \right) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} \int_{\sqrt{2n}}^{\sqrt{2t}} \frac{1}{s} \cdot 2\pi \cdot (s - n) ds = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2t}} \left( \sqrt{2} (t - n) - n \log \frac{t}{n} \right) \rightarrow 2\pi (t \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{|r| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(a, r)}{|r|} \geq 2\pi = (2\pi)^{2-1} R(G) \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Consequently,  $M(S_3)$  is also dense in  $H(G)$ .

As for the second example, let us consider the pierced annulus

$$G = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 < |z| < 3, |z - 2| > 1/2\}.$$

Then every function  $f \in H(G)$  can be uniformly approximated on compacta by finite linear combinations of functions of the kind  $e^{nz/3}, e^{n/z}, e^{n/(2z-4)}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Indeed, it suffices to put  $c_n = n(n \in \mathbb{N}), A = \{0, 2\}, b = 0, d = 1/3, m(0) = 1$  and  $m(2) = 1/2$  in Corollary 3.

Finally, let  $\rho$  be any positive real number satisfying  $\rho e^\rho \leq 1$ . Then  $\rho < 1$  and, from Theorem B (or Corollary 2), the linear span of  $\{\exp(nz) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $H(B(0, \rho))$ . But  $\exp(nz) = h(z)^n$  for each  $n$ , where  $h(z) \equiv e^z$ . Observe that

$$|e^z - 1| \leq |z| e^{|z|} < \rho e^\rho \leq 1 \quad \forall z \in B(0, \rho),$$

so  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \frac{n(s; t)}{t} dt = 1 \geq \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{z \in G} |g(z) - b|$  if we take  $G = B(0, \rho), \mathcal{A} = \{h\}, b = 1$  and  $S = \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, by Theorem 2, the linear span of  $\{\exp(n \exp z) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is dense in  $H(B(0, \rho))$ .

### REFERENCES

1. [A] L. V. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, London, 1979.
2. [GS] G. Godefroy and J. H. Shapiro. *J. funct. Anal.* **98** (1991), 229-69.
3. [H] L. Hormander, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Complex Variables*, Van Nostrand, Toronto, 1967.
4. [K] S. Krantz, *Function Theory of Several Complex Variables*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1982.
5. [LR] D. H. Luecking and L. A. Rubel, *Complex Analysis: A Functional Analysis Approach*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1984.
6. [Ro] L. I. Ronkin, *Introduction to the Theory of Entire Functions of Several Variables*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1974.
7. [Ru] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, Faridabad, India, 1974.
8. [V] V. S. Vladimirov, *Les fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes*, Dunod, Paris, 1967.