

ON A CHARACTERIZATION OF GEODESIC SPHERES

ALAIN R. VEERAVALLI

*Département de Mathématiques, Université d'Evry-Val d'Essonne,
Boulevard des Coquibus, 91025 Evry Cedex, France,
E-mail: Alain. Veeravalli@maths.univ-evry.fr*

(Received 17 June 1999; accepted 22 November 1999)

A previous theorem, due to Hasegawa and Abe, asserts that any Euclidean compact convex connected hypersurface which scalar curvature is bounded from above by a certain function is a geodesic sphere. Two different proofs are given: one using the Weitzenböck-Bochner formula, the other using the Minkowski integral formulae. Actually, this last method provides a natural extension to the above theorem.

Key Words : Hypersurface; k th-Mean Curvature; Weitzenböck-Bochner Formula; Minkowski Formulae

1. RESULTS

Any distance sphere $S(p, r)$ of centre p and radius r in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is a totally umbilical hypersurface with constant scalar curvature equal to $n(n-1)/r^2$. On the other hand, Hasegawa and Abe⁴ proved the following

Theorem — *If M_n is a closed (compact without boundary) connected orientable Euclidean hypersurface ($n \geq 2$) with non-negative Ricci curvature and scalar curvature $Scal$ which satisfy*

$$d_p^2 \cdot Scal \leq n(n-1)$$

d_p being the Euclidean distance function to some point p of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , then M is a geodesic sphere of centre p in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Let $(\sigma_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ be the k th symmetric elementary functions of the principal curvatures of M and $(H_k = \sigma_k / C_n^k)_{0 \leq k \leq n}$ be the k -th-mean curvatures of M . For example, $H_0 = 1$, $H_1 = H$ is the mean curvature of M , $n(n-1)H_2 = Scal$ and H_n is the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M . The hypersurface M will be called *convex* if the sectional curvature of M is everywhere non-negative. This is equivalent to say that the Ricci curvature Ric of M is everywhere non-negative: indeed, let k_1, \dots, k_n be the principal curvatures of M at a point of M and v_1, \dots, v_n an associated orthonormal basis of principal vectors. If $k_i > 0$ and $k_j < 0$, then the assumption on the Ricci curvature and the Gauss formula imply that $k_i(nH - k_j) \geq 0$ and $k_j(nH - k_i) \geq 0$ which provides the contradiction $k_i \leq nH \leq k_j$. So the product of any two principal curvatures is non-negative, that is the sectional curvature of M is non-negative.

The above theorem is a special case of:

The Main Result — Let M_n be a closed connected Euclidean convex hypersurface ($n \geq 2$) which k th-mean curvature H_k satisfies

$$d_p^k \cdot |H_k| \leq 1$$

for some point p of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and some integer $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then M is a geodesic sphere of center p in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Remark : Note that any compact connected Euclidean hypersurface is orientable by the generalized Jordan curve theorem.

As a consequence, we obtain:

Corollary 1 — Let M_n be a closed connected Euclidean convex hypersurface ($n \geq 2$) included in a closed ball $\bar{B}_p(r)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}(p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, r > 0)$ and which k th-mean curvature H_k satisfies

$$r^k \cdot |H_k| \leq 1$$

for some integer $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $M = \partial \bar{B}_p(r)$, that is M is the geodesic sphere of centre p and radius r in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Corollary 2 — Let M_n be a closed Euclidean convex hypersurface ($n \geq 2$) included in a closed ball $\bar{B}_p(r)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}(p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, r > 0)$. Then

$$\text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n, \max_M |H_k| \geq \frac{1}{r^k}.$$

Remark : For close results, one can see articles 1, 2 and 5.

2. FIRST PROOF

We show in this section how the Weitzenböck-Bochner formula can be used to give a new proof of Hasegawa-Abe result.

Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ (resp. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$) be the euclidean connection (resp. scalar product) of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , ∇ (resp. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$) the one induced on M , η a smooth unit vector field normal to M , h the second fundamental form of M valued on the normal bundle of M , A its shape operator and H its mean curvature. We also consider the function $F : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : q \mapsto d_p^2(q)/2$, its smooth restriction $f = F|_M$ and the support function $\alpha = \langle \tilde{\nabla} F, \eta \rangle : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let finally ξ be a unit vector field on M such that $\nabla f = |\nabla f| \cdot \xi$.

A straightforward computation shows that for any vectors fields X, Y on M ,

$$\nabla^2 f(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle + \langle \tilde{\nabla} F, h(X, Y) \rangle \tag{2.1}$$

which by contraction leads to

$$\Delta f = n + nH\alpha \tag{2.2}$$

Considering a local orthonormal basis $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of principal vectors on M , we deduce from equation (2.1), that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 f|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \{1 + \langle \tilde{\nabla} F, h(X_i, X_i) \rangle\}^2 \\ &= n + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \tilde{\nabla} F, h(X_i, X_i) \rangle^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \tilde{\nabla} F, h(X_i, X_i) \rangle \\ &= n + \alpha^2 \text{Trace} (A^2) + 2nH\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\nabla} F = \nabla f + \alpha\eta$ on M , we have $\alpha^2 = d_p^2 - |\nabla f|^2$ on M . On the other hand, the Gauss formula implies that $Scal = (nH)^2 - \text{Trace} (A^2)$. So, the squared norm of $\nabla^2 f$ can be rearranged into

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 f|^2 &= \{n(n-1) - d_p^2 \cdot Scal\} + |\nabla f|^2 \cdot \{Scal + Ric(\xi, \xi)\} \\ &\quad + (\alpha nH)^2 + 2\Delta f - n^2 - Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2.3)$$

At last,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla f, \nabla(\Delta f) \rangle &= n \langle \nabla f, \nabla(\alpha H) \rangle = n \cdot Div(\alpha H \cdot \nabla f) - n\alpha H \Delta f \\ &= n \cdot Div(\alpha H \cdot \nabla f) - n\Delta f + n^2 - (\alpha nH)^2 \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2.4)$$

Integrating the classical Weitzenböck-Bochner formula:

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta (|\nabla f|^2) = |\nabla^2 f|^2 + \langle \nabla f, \nabla(\Delta f) \rangle + Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f)$$

on M and using equations (2.3), (2.4) and the Green theorem, we obtain :

$$0 = \int_M \{ \{n(n-1) - d_p^2 \cdot Scal\} + |\nabla f|^2 \cdot \{Scal + Ric(\xi, \xi)\} \} \cdot dM$$

The hypothesis yield to :

$$\begin{cases} n(n-1) = d_p^2 \cdot Scal \\ |\nabla f|^2 \cdot (Scal + Ric(\xi, \xi)) = 0 \end{cases}$$

So $Scal$ is positive on M and $\nabla f = 0$ on M , that is M is included in a geodesic sphere $F^{-1}(r)$ for some nonzero r . As M is closed and open in the connected set $F^{-1}(r)$, M coincides with $F^{-1}(r)$ and this achieves the proof.

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

This section gives a proof of the main result and by the same way a second new proof of Hasegawa-Abe result.

The classical Minkowski integral formulae say that

$$\text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1, \int_M (H_k + \alpha H_{k+1}) \cdot dM = 0. \quad \dots (3.1)$$

Since the Ricci curvature of M is non-negative, the principal curvatures of M are of the same sign by the Gauss formula. By reversing the orientation if necessary, we can assume that the principal curvatures are all non-negative. On the other hand, we have³

$$H_1 \geq H_2^{1/2} \geq H_3^{1/3} \geq \dots \geq H_n^{1/n}. \quad \dots (3.2)$$

Moreover, if there exists $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and $q \in M$ such that $H_{k-1}^{1/(k-1)}(q) = H_k^{1/k}(q)$, then q is an umbilical point.

As $|\alpha| \leq d_p$, we deduce from (3.1), (3.2) and the assumption on H_k that

$$\begin{aligned} H_{k-1} + \alpha H_k &\geq H_{k-1} - d_p H_k \\ &\geq H_k^{(k-1)/k} - d_p H_k = H_k^{(k-1)/k} (1 - d_p H_k^{1/k}) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Minkowski formula, all these inequalities are in fact equalities. In particular, $H_{k-1}^{1/(k-1)} = H_k^{1/k}$ on M , that is each point of M is an umbilical point. As M is compact and connected, M is a geodesic sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to express his deep thanks to Lamiae and Zak for their support.

REFERENCES

1. L. Coghlan and Y. Itokawa, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **109** (1990), 215-21.
2. S. Deshmukh, *Mich. Math. J.*, **40** (1993) 171-74.
3. G. Hardy, J. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities* (2nd edn.,) Cambridge Univ. press, 1989.
4. I. Hasegawa and T. Abe, *Tensor, N. S.*, **56** (1995), 75-78.
5. A. R. Veeravalli, *Geometriae Dedicata*, **74** 3 (1999), 287-90.