

## WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED SPACES IN THE NON-LOCALLY CONVEX SETTING

BHOPINDER SINGH\* AND KAMALJEET KOAUR\*\*

\**Department of Mathematics, Govt. College of Engineering and Technology,  
Old University Campus, Canal Road, Jammu 180 001, India*

\*\**Department of Mathematics, Govt. M.A.M. College, Jammu 180 004, India*

(Received 27 May 1997; accepted 5 January 2000)

For a system  $V$  of weights on a topological space  $X$  and a Hausdorff topological algebra  $E$ , let  $FV_b(X, E)$  and  $FV_0(X, E)$  be the weighted spaces of  $E$ -valued functions  $f$  on  $X$  for which  $vf(X)$  is bounded in  $E$  and  $vf$  vanishes at infinity on  $X$ , respectively. Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow E$  and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  be two mappings. Then we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the pair  $(\pi, T)$  to induce weighted composition operators on  $FV_b(X, E)$  and  $FV_0(X, E)$ . These results extend the recent work of Khan and Thaheem<sup>7</sup> to a general setting of vector-valued functions and of Singh and one of the authors<sup>15</sup> to a non-locally convex setting.

**Key Words :** Weighted Composition Operators; Weighted Topology, System of Weights; Topological Vector Space; Locally Idempotent Algebra; Shrinkable Neighbourhoods

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Weighted composition operators on weighted locally convex spaces of vector-valued continuous functions have been studied by Singh, Summers, Manhas and one of the authors<sup>12, 14, 15 & 17</sup>. For details, we refer to the recent survey article of Singh and one of the authors<sup>16</sup>, as well as the monograph<sup>13</sup> by Singh and Manhas. Recently, Khan and Thaheem<sup>7</sup> generalizes the work of Singh and Manhas<sup>12</sup> to weighted spaces of continuous functions in the non-locally convex framework. The purpose of this paper is to extend the results of Singh and Singh<sup>15</sup>, and Khan and Thaheem<sup>7</sup> to the weighted spaces  $FV_b(X, E)$  and  $FV_0(X, E)$  of  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$  which are not necessarily continuous, and where  $X$  is just a topological space and the range space  $E$  is a topological vector space (or a topological algebra) which is not necessarily locally convex.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper we shall assume, unless stated otherwise, that  $X$  is a Hausdorff topological space, and  $E$  is a non-trivial Hausdorff topological vector space. Let  $F(X, E)$  be the vector space of all  $E$ -valued functions on  $X$ , and let  $F_b(X, E)$  ( $F_0(X, E)$ ) denote the subspace of  $F(X, E)$  consisting of those functions which are bounded (vanish at infinity). Let  $S^+(X)$  denote the set of all non-negative upper semi-continuous functions on  $X$ , and let  $S_0^+(X)$  ( $S_c^+(X)$ ) be the subset of  $S^+(X)$  consisting of

those functions which vanish at infinity (have compact support). A system of weights on  $X$  is a subset  $V$  of  $S_0^+(X)$  such that  $V$  is directed upward (or a Nachbin family) and  $V > 0$  (i.e., for given  $u, v \in V$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists  $w \in V$  such that  $\alpha u, \alpha v \leq w$  (pointwise on  $X$ ); and for every  $x \in X$  there is  $v_x \in V$  such that  $v_x(x) > 0$ ).

Given such a  $V$ , let  $FV_b(X, E)$  ( $FV_0(X, E)$ ) denote the subspace of  $F(X, E)$  consisting of those  $f$  such that  $\nu f \in F_b(X, E)$  ( $F_0(X, E)$ ) for all  $\nu \in V$ . On  $FV_b(X, E)$ , we shall consider the weighted topology  $w_V$  of 0 consisting of all sets of the form :

$$S(\nu, G) = \{f \in FV_b(X, E) : \nu(x)f(x) \in G \text{ for all } x \in X\}$$

where  $\nu \in V$  and  $G$  is a neighbourhood of 0 in  $E$ .

The space  $FV_b(X, E)$  endowed with  $w_V$  is called a weighted space of vector-valued functions. The space  $FV_0(X, E)$ , being a subspace of  $FV_b(X, E)$ , is equipped with the topology induced by  $FV_b(X, E)$ .

The following are some instances of weighted spaces :

(1) If  $V = K^+(X)$ , the set of all non-negative constant functions on  $X$ , then  $FV_b(X, E) = F_b(X, E)$  and  $FV_0(X, E) = F_0(X, E)$ . The topology  $w_V$  in this case is the topology  $\sigma$  of uniform convergence.

(2) If  $V = \{\alpha \chi_k : \alpha \geq 0 \text{ and } K \subset X, K \text{ compact}\}$  and  $V = S_c^+(X)$ , then  $FV_b(X, E) = FV_0(X, E) = F(X, E)$  and  $w_V$  is the compact-open topology  $k$ .

(3) If  $V = S_0^+(X)$ , then  $FV_b(X, E) = FV_0(X, E) = F_b(X, E)$  and  $w_V$  in this case is called the substrict topology  $\beta_0$ .

For more details on weighted spaces, we refer to Summers<sup>18</sup>, Prolla<sup>11</sup>, Bierstedt<sup>1</sup> and Bierstedt, Meise and Summers<sup>2</sup> when  $E$  is a scalar field or a locally convex space, and to Bierstedt<sup>1</sup> Khan<sup>3-6</sup> and Nawrocki<sup>10</sup> in the general setting.

Let  $\theta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\pi: X \rightarrow E$  be two mappings. Then the scalar multiplication on  $E$  and, in case  $E$  is an algebra, multiplication on  $E$  give rise to two linear transformations  $M_\theta$  and  $M_\pi$  from  $FV_b(X, E)$  ( $FV_0(X, E)$ ) into  $F(X, E)$  defined by  $M_\theta(f) = \theta f$  and  $M_\pi(f) = \pi f$ , where the product of functions is defined pointwise. In case  $M_\theta$  and  $M_\pi$  takes  $FV_b(X, E)$  ( $FV_0(X, E)$ ) into itself and are continuous, they are called multiplication operator on  $FV_b(X, E)$  ( $FV_0(X, E)$ ) induced by  $\theta$  and  $\pi$ , respectively. Let  $T$  be a selfmap on  $X$ . Then the correspondence  $f \rightarrow f \circ T$  is a linear transformation from  $FV_b(X, E)$  ( $FV_0(X, E)$ ) into  $F(X, E)$  and is denoted by  $C_T$ . In case  $C_T$  takes  $FV_b(X, E)$  ( $FV_0(X, E)$ ) into itself and is also continuous, it is called the composition operator on  $FV_b(X, E)$  ( $FV_0(X, E)$ ) induced by  $T$ . The product  $M_\pi C_T$  of multiplication operator  $M_\pi$  on  $FV_b(X, E)$  ( $FV_0(X, E)$ ) and composition operator  $C_T$  on  $FV_b(X, E)$  ( $FV_0(X, E)$ ) is called the weighted composition operator on  $FV_b(X, E)$  ( $FV_0(X, E)$ ) induced by the pair  $(\pi, T)$  and we denote it by  $W_{\pi, T}$ .

A neighbourhood  $G$  of 0 in  $E$  is called shrinkable if  $rG \subseteq \text{int}.G$  for  $0 \leq r < 1$ . It is known from Klee [8, Theorems 4 and 5] that every Hausdorff topological vector space has a base of shrinkable neighbourhoods of 0 and also the Minkowski functional  $p_G$  of any such neighbourhood

$G$  is continuous. A topological algebra  $E$  is called locally idempotent algebra if it has a base  $\mathcal{N}$  of neighbourhoods of 0 consisting of idempotent sets ( $G$  is called idempotent or multiplicative if  $G^2 = G \cdot G \subseteq G$ ). It is easily seen that if  $G \in \mathcal{N}$  is idempotent, then  $p_G$  is submultiplicative, that is,  $p_G(st) \leq p_G(s)p_G(t)$  for all  $s, t \in E$ . The notion of locally idempotent algebras is a strict generalization of the notion of locally multiplicatively convex algebras introduced by Michael<sup>9</sup> (see also Zelazko<sup>19</sup>).

### 3. WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS

In this section, we present necessary and sufficient conditions for  $W_{\pi, T}$  and  $W_{\theta, T}$  to be the weighted composition operators on weighted spaces  $FV_b(X, E)$  and  $FV_0(X, E)$ . We begin with the following proposition :

**Proposition 3.1** — *Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological algebra, and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a base of neighbourhoods of 0 in  $E$ . If  $\pi: X \rightarrow E$  and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  are two mappings such that  $W_{\pi, T}: FV_0(X, E) \rightarrow FV_b(X, E)$  is a weighted composition operator, then for every  $v \in V$  and  $G \in \mathcal{N}$ , there exist  $u \in V$  and  $H \in \mathcal{N}$  such that*

$$v(x) p_G(\pi(x)t) < u_0 T(x) p_H(t) \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } t \in E.$$

PROOF : We may assume that  $\mathcal{N}$  consists of closed, balanced, and shrinkable sets.

Let  $v \in V$  and  $G \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then, by continuity of  $W_{\pi, T}$ , there exist  $u \in V$  and  $H \in \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$W_{\pi, T}(S(u, H) \cap FV_0(X, E)) \subseteq S(v, G). \quad \dots (1)$$

We claim that  $v(x) p_G(\pi(x), t) \leq 3u \circ T(x) p_H(t)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $t \in E$ . Assume that for some  $x_0 \in X$  and  $t_0 \in E$ ,

$$v(x_0) p_G(\pi(x_0) t_0) > 3u \circ T(x_0) p_H(t_0).$$

Let  $h: X \rightarrow E$  be defined as  $h(x) = 3t_0$  for  $x = T(x_0)$ , and  $= 0$  otherwise. Then  $h \in FV_0(X, E)$ . Let  $\alpha = v(x_0) p_G(\pi(x_0) t_0)$  and define  $f = h/\alpha$ . Since  $p_H$  is homogeneous, for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$p_H(u(x) f(x)) \leq 3/\alpha u \circ T(x_0) p_H(t_0) < 1,$$

or equivalently,  $u(x) f(x) \in H$ . So we have  $f \in S(u, H)$ . Hence, by (1),  $W_{\pi, T}f \in S(v, G)$ . This implies that, for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$p_G(v(x) \pi(x) f \circ T(x)) \leq 1.$$

In particular, by taking  $x = x_0$ , we have

$$v(x_0) p_G(\pi(x_0) t_0) \leq \alpha/3 = 1/3 v(x_0) p_G(\pi(x_0) t_0),$$

which is absurd. This proves our claim and the proposition.

**Theorem 3.2** — Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a base of neighbourhoods of 0 in a Hausdorff topological algebra  $E$ . Then for mappings  $\pi: X \rightarrow E$  and  $T: X \rightarrow X$ , the following are equivalent :

- (a)  $W_{\pi, T}$  is a weighted composition operator on  $FV_b(X, E)$ ; and  
 (b) for every  $v \in V$  and  $G \in \mathcal{N}$  there exist  $u \in V$  and  $H \in \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$v(x) p_G(\pi(x)t) < u \circ T(x) p_H(t) \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } t \in E.$$

PROOF : We may assume that  $\mathcal{N}$  consists of closed, balanced and shrinkable sets.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) — This implication follows from Proposition 3.1 above.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) — We first show that  $W_{\pi, T}$  takes  $FV_b(X, E)$  into itself.

Let  $f \in FV_b(X, E)$ , and let  $v \in V$  and  $G \in \mathcal{N}$ . Choose  $u \in V$  and  $H \in \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$v(x) p_G(\pi(x)t) < u \circ T(x) p_H(t) \quad \dots (1)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t \in E$ . There exists a scalar  $k > 0$  such that  $(uf)(X) \subseteq kH$ , that is,  $p_H(u(x)f(x)) \leq k$  for all  $x \in X$ . Now

$$p_G(v(x) \pi(x)f \circ T(x)) \leq u \circ T(x) p_H(f \circ T(x)) \leq k$$

for all  $x \in X$  implies that  $W_{\pi, T}f \in FV_b(X, E)$ .

Next, we establish the continuity of  $W_{\pi, T}$ . For this, let  $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  be a net in  $FV_b(X, E)$  such that  $f_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ . Also let  $v \in V$  and  $G \in \mathcal{N}$  and choose  $u \in V$  and  $H \in \mathcal{N}$  as above satisfying (1). There exists an  $\alpha_0 \in I$  such that  $f_\alpha \in S(u, H)$  for all  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ . Now, for any  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ , we have

$$p_G(v(x) \pi(x)f_\alpha \circ T(x)) \leq u \circ T(x) p_H(f_\alpha \circ T(x)) \leq 1,$$

or equivalently,  $v(x) W_{\pi, T}f_\alpha(x) \in G$ .

Thus  $W_{\pi, T}$  is continuous at 0 and hence, by its linearity, on  $FV_b(X, E)$ .

Similarly, we can prove the following :

**Proposition 3.3** — Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space and let  $T$  be a selfmap on  $X$ . Then  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $FV_b(X, E)$  if and only if  $V \leq V \circ T$ , that is, for every  $v \in V$  there exists  $u \in V$  such that  $v(x) \leq u \circ T(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Remark 3.4** : The condition (b) of Theorem 3.2 is not sufficient for the pair  $(\pi, T)$  to induce a weighted composition operator on  $FV_0(X, E)$  as the following example shows :

**Example 1** — Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$  with the discrete topology,  $E = \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $V = K^+(X)$ . Then  $FV_0(X, E) = c_0$ , the space of all null sequences in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define  $\pi(n) = 1$  and  $T(n) = n_0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the pair  $(\pi, T)$  satisfies condition (b) of Theorem 3.2, but  $W_{\pi, T}$  is not an operator on  $c_0$ . It is not even an into map, for if  $f(n) = \frac{1}{n}$  then  $f \in c_0$  but  $W_{\pi, T}f \notin c_0$ .

Therefore, we require some more conditions on the pair  $(\pi, T)$  so that  $W_{\pi, T}$  is a weighted composition operator on  $FV_0(X, E)$ . Let  $spt(v)$  denote the support of  $v \in V$ . In the following theorem, we shall present a necessary and sufficient condition for  $W_{\pi, T}$  to be a weighted composition operator on  $FV_0(X, E)$  :

**Theorem 3.5** — *Suppose  $E$  is a Hausdorff locally idempotent algebra and  $\mathcal{N}$  is a base of neighbourhoods of 0 in  $E$ . Then the following conditions are sufficient for mappings  $\pi: X \rightarrow E$  and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  to induce a weighted composition operator on  $FV_0(X, E)$ : (a) for every  $v \in V$  and  $G \in \mathcal{N}$ , there exist  $u \in V$  and  $H \in \mathcal{N}$  such that  $v(x) p_G(\pi(x)t) < u \circ T(x) p_H(t)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $t \in E$ ; and (b) for every  $v \in V, G \in \mathcal{N}$  and compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , the set  $T^{-1}(K) \cap spt(v \cdot p_G \circ \pi)$  is a compact subset of  $X$ .*

Further, the above conditions are also necessary if  $E$  has unit element  $e$ ,  $\pi$  is a semi-continuous and  $T$  is continuous.

PROOF : We may assume that  $\mathcal{N}$  consists of closed, balanced, shrinkable, and idempotent sets.

*Sufficient Part* : Assume that conditions (a) and (b) are true. Then, by Theorem 3.2,  $W_{\pi, T}$  is a weighted composition operator on  $FV_b(X, E)$ . We show that  $FV_0(X, E)$  is invariant under  $W_{\pi, T}$ . For this let  $f \in FV_0(X, E), v \in V$  and  $G \in \mathcal{N}$ . By (a), we choose  $u \in V$  and  $H \in \mathcal{N}$  such that

$$v(x) p_G(\pi(x)t) < u \circ T(x) p_H(t) \quad \dots (*)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $t \in E$ . Now, given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  such that

$$u(x) p_H(f(x)) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } x \notin K.$$

Let  $K_1 = T^{-1}(K) \cap spt(v \cdot p_G \circ \pi)$ . Then  $K_1$  is compact, by (b). If  $x \notin K_1$ , then either  $x \notin T^{-1}(K)$  or  $x \notin spt(v \cdot p_G \circ \pi)$ , suppose first that  $x \notin T^{-1}(K)$ , then  $T(x) \notin K$ , and so  $u \circ T(x) p_H(f \circ T(x)) < \varepsilon$ . Suppose first that  $x \in T^{-1}(K)$ . Then  $T(x) \in K$ , and so  $u \circ T(x) p_H(f \circ T(x)) < \varepsilon$ . By (\*), this implies that  $v(x) p_G(\pi(x) f \circ T(x)) < \varepsilon$ . If  $x \notin spt(v \cdot p_G \circ \pi)$ , then  $v(x) p_G(\pi(x)) = 0$ . Since  $p_G$  is submultiplicative, this implies

$$v(x) p_G(\pi(x) f \circ T(x)) \leq v(x) p_G(\pi(x)) p_G(f \circ T(x)) = 0 < \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $v \cdot W_{\pi, T} f \in FV_0(X, E)$ . Since  $v \in V$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $W_{\pi, T} f \in FV_0(X, E)$ .

*Necessary Part* — Assume that  $E$  has a unit element  $e$ ,  $\pi$  is upper semi-continuous, and  $T$  is continuous. Let  $W_{\pi, T}$  be an operator on  $FV_0(X, E)$ . Then condition (a) follows in view of Theorem 3.2. To see that condition (b) holds, let  $v \in V, G \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $K$  a compact subset of  $X$  be given. Define  $f: X \rightarrow E$  as  $f(x) = e$ , if  $x \in K$ , and 0 if  $x \notin K$ . Then  $f \in FV_0(X, E)$ , and so the set

$$K_2 = \{x \in X : v(x) p_G(\pi(x) f \circ T(x)) \geq \varepsilon\}$$

is compact for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $T$  is continuous and  $\pi$  is upper semi-continuous, we note that the set  $K_1 = T^{-1}(K) \cap \text{spt}(v \cdot P_G \circ \pi)$  is closed and is also contained in  $K_2$ . Hence,  $K_1$  is compact and this completes the proof.

In a similar way, we can prove the following result :

**Proposition 3.6** — *Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space. Then the following conditions are sufficient for selfmap  $T$  on  $X$  to induce a composition operator on  $FV_0(X, E)$  :*

(a)  $V \leq V \circ T$  and

(b) for every  $v \in V$  and compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , the set  $T^{-1}(K) \cap \text{spt}(v)$  is compact. Further these conditions (a) and (b) are also necessary if  $T$  is continuous.

**Remark 3.7** : Proposition 3.6 generalizes Proposition 1 of [11], Propositions 3.3 and 3.6 respectively generalizes Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 of [17], and Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 respectively generalizes Theorems 3.6 and 3.2 of [14], and Theorems 3.8 and 3.9 of [15] to a non-locally convex setting of weighted spaces of vector-valued functions. Also, Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 generalizes Theorem 3.2 of [7] to weighted spaces of vector-valued functions.

In the following results, we shall record characterizations of weighted composition operators  $W_{\theta, T}$  on  $FV_b(X, E)$  and  $FV_0(X, E)$ .

**Theorem 3.8** — *Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space, and let  $\theta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  are two mappings. Then  $W_{\theta, T}$  is a weighted composition operator on  $FV_b(X, E)$  if and only if  $V \cdot |\theta| \leq V \circ T$ .*

PROOF : It follows from Theorem 3.2 with a slight modification in its proof.

**Theorem 3.9** — *Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space. Then the following conditions are sufficient for mappings :  $\theta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $T: X \rightarrow X$  to induce a weighted composition operator on  $FV_0(X, E)$*

(a)  $V \cdot |\theta| \leq V \circ T$ ; and

(b) for every  $v \in V$  and compact subset  $K$  of  $X$ , the set  $T^{-1}(K) \cap \text{spt}(v \cdot |\theta|)$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . Further, the above conditions are also necessary if  $\theta$  is upper semi-continuous and  $T$  is continuous.

PROOF : It follows from Theorem 3.5 with a slight modification in its proof.

**Remark 3.10** : Theorems 3.8 and 3.9 generalizes respectively Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 of [15] to a non-locally convex setting of weighted spaces of vector valued functions, and also generalizes Theorem 3.1 of [7] to a general setting of weighted spaces of vector-valued functions.

Now we apply the above results to the cases when  $V = S_c^+(X)$ ,  $V = S_0^+(X)$  and  $V = K^+(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.11** — *Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff locally idempotent algebra. Then every  $\pi: X \rightarrow E$  induces a multiplication operator on  $(F(X, E), k)$ .*

PROOF : Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a base of neighbourhoods of 0 in  $E$  consisting of closed, balanced, idempotent, and shrinkable sets. We need to verify that condition (b) of Theorem 3.2 holds for  $V = S_c^+(X)$ . Let  $v \in V$  and  $G \in \mathcal{N}$ . Choose a compact set  $K \subset X$  with  $v(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X \setminus K$ . Let  $\alpha = \sup \{p_G(\pi(x)) : x \in K\}$  and  $\beta = \sup \{v(x) : x \in K\}$ . Put  $u = \beta \alpha \chi_k + 1$  and  $H = G$ . Then  $u \in V$ . Now for  $x \in K$  and  $t \in E$ , we have

$$v(x) p_G(\pi(x)) t \leq \beta p_G(\pi(x)) p_G(t) \leq \beta \alpha \chi_k(x) p_G(t) < u(x) p_H(t).$$

If  $x \in X \setminus K$ , the above inequality holds trivially (since  $v(x) = 0$ ). Thus  $M_\pi$  is a multiplication operator on  $FV_b(X, E) = (F(X, E), k)$ .

Similarly, we can prove the following proposition :

**Proposition 3.12** — Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological vector space. Then every  $\theta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  induces a multiplication operator on  $(F(X, E), k)$ .

**Proposition 3.13** : Let  $E$  be a Hausdorff topological space and let  $T$  be a continuous selfmap on  $X$ . Then  $C_T$  is a composition operator on  $(F(X, E), k)$ .

PROOF : In view of Proposition 3.3, we only need to verify that  $V \leq V \circ T$ , where  $V = \{\alpha \chi_K : \alpha \geq 0 \text{ and } K \subset X, K \text{ compact}\}$ . Let  $v = \alpha \chi_K$ , where  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . Then there exists a compact subset  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $K \subset T^{-1}(F)$ . Let  $u = \alpha \chi_F$ . Then  $u \in V$  and

$$v = \alpha \chi_K \leq \alpha \chi_{T^{-1}F} = \alpha \chi_F \circ T = u \circ T.$$

This completes the proof of the Proposition.

**Corollary 3.14** — Suppose  $E$  be a Hausdorff locally idempotent algebra (topological vector space). Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow E$  ( $\theta: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ) and let  $T$  be a continuous selfmap on  $X$ . Then  $W_{\pi, T}(W_{\theta, T})$  is a weighted composition operator on  $(F(X, E), k)$ .

**Remark 3.15** : Corollary 3.14 generalizes Proposition 4.2 of [15] and Theorem 3.3 of [7] to a general setting of vector-valued functions.

Next, we remark that the Propositions 3.11, 3.12 and 3.13 need not hold for the space  $FV_0(X, E)$  when  $V = S_0^+(X)$  or  $V = K^+(X)$ . To see this, let us consider the following examples :

**Example 2** — Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $E = \mathbb{C}$  and  $V = \{\alpha v : \alpha > 0\}$ , where  $v(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $\theta = \pi: X \rightarrow E$  be given by  $\theta(x) = x^2$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then, for any  $\alpha > 0$ , we have  $\alpha v(x) \mid \theta(x) \mid = \alpha x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since each  $u \in V$  is a bounded function,  $v \mid \theta \not\leq u$  for every  $u \in V$ . Hence by Theorem 3.8,  $M_\theta$  is not a multiplication operator on  $(F_b(X, E), \beta_0)$ . Further, if  $T: X \rightarrow X$  is given by  $T(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  for all  $x \in X$ , then it can be easily seen that  $V \not\leq V \circ T$ . Therefore, according to Proposition 3.3,  $C_T$  is not a composition operator on  $(F_b(X, E), \beta_0)$ .

**Example 3** — Let  $X, V$  and  $E$  be the same as in Example 1 so that  $FV_0(X, E) = c_0$ . If we define  $\theta(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $V \mid \theta \not\leq V$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.8,  $M_\theta$  is not a multiplication operator on  $c_0$ . In fact,  $M_\theta$  is not an even into map, for if,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $f \in c_0$  but  $M_\theta f \notin c_0$ . Similarly, if  $T$  is the map as defined in Example 1, then  $C_T$  is not a composition operator on  $c_0$ .

## REFERENCES

1. K. D. Bierstedt, *J. Reine Angew Math.* **259** (1973), 196-210, II; *J. Reine Angew Math.* **260** (1973), 133-46.
2. K. D. Bierstedt, R. Meise and W. H. Summers, *Trans. Amer. math. Soc.* **272** (1982), 107-60.
3. L. A. Khan, *Proc. Edinburgh math. Soc.* **22** (1979), 35-41.
4. L. A. Khan, *Mat. Vesnik* **27** (1985), 189-95.
5. L. A. Khan, *Glasgow Math. J.* **29** (1987), 65-68.

6. L. A. Khan, *Periodica Math. Hungarica* **30** (1995), 81-86.
7. L. A. Khan and A. B. Thaheem, *Int. J. Math. math. Sci.* **20** (1997), 75-80.
8. V. Klee, *Math. Ann.* **141** (1960), 281-85.
9. E. A. Michael, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **11** (1952).
10. M. Nawrocki, *Glasgow Math. J.* **31** (1989), 59-64.
11. J. B. Prolla, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **89** (1971), 145-58.
12. R. K. Singh and J. S. Manhas, *J. Austral. math. Soc. (Series A)* **50** (1991), 98-107.
13. R. K. Singh and J. S. Manhas, *Composition Operators on Function Spaces*, North-Holland Math. Studies, **179**, Amsterdam, 1993.
14. R. K. Singh and J. S. Manhas, *Math. Nachr.* **169** (1994), 279-85.
15. R. K. Singh and Bhopinder Singh, *J. Indian math. Soc.* **59** (1993), 191-200.
16. R. K. Singh and Bhopinder Singh, *Extracta Math.* **10** (1995), 1-20.
17. R. K. Singh and W. H. Summers, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)*, **45** (1988), 303-19.
18. W. H. Summers, *Trans. Amer. math. Soc.* **146** (1969), 121-31.
19. W. Zelazko, *Studia Math.* **19** (1960), 333-58.