

WHICH MILNOR MANIFOLDS BOUND?

S. S. KHARE AND A. K. DAS

*Department of Mathematics, North Eastern Hill University, Permanent Campus,
 Shillong 793 022, Meghalaya, India*

(Received 7 September 1999; accepted 22 February 2000)

The object of this paper is to determine those Milnor manifolds which bound.

Key Words : Bordism; Stiefel-Whitney Numbers

1. INTRODUCTION

Milnor manifolds were first introduced by Milnor³. For positive integers m and n , the Milnor manifold $H(m, n)$ is the $m + n - 1$ dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{R}P^m \times \mathbb{R}P^n$ given by

$$\left\{ ([x_0, \dots, x_m], [y_0, \dots, y_n]) \in \mathbb{R}P^m \times \mathbb{R}P^n : \sum_{i=0}^{\min(m, n)} x_i y_i = 0 \right\}$$

In fact $H(m, n)$ is the submanifold of $\mathbb{R}P^m \times \mathbb{R}P^n$ dual to $(a + b)$; a and b being the generators of $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^m; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ respectively. Note that $a^{m+1} = b^{n+1} = 0$, whereas a^i and b^j are nonzero for $0 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n$. The total Stiefel-Whitney class $W(H(m, n))$ is given by the restriction to $H(m, n)$ of

$$\frac{(1+a)^{m+1} (1+b)^{n+1}}{(1+a+b)} \quad \dots (*)$$

and the Stiefel-Whitney number of $H(m, n)$ corresponding to a partition $i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_k = m + n - 1$ is given by

$$\langle (a+b) W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_k}, [\mathbb{R}P^m \times \mathbb{R}P^n] \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

where W_{i_j} is the sum of i_j -dimensional terms in the expansion of the expression (*) mentioned above.

More specifically

$$W_{ij} = \sum_{t=0}^{i_j} \Sigma \binom{m+1}{f} \binom{n+1}{g} \binom{i+1}{j} a^t b^{j-t},$$

where the second summation is over all f, g, i and j such that $f + i = t$ and $g + j = i_j - t$, and the symbols $\binom{\cdot}{\cdot}$ stand for the binomial coefficients reduced modulo 2. Thus $H(m, n)$ does not bound if and only if

$$(a + b) W_{i_1} \dots W_{i_k} = a^m b^n,$$

for some partition $i_1 + \dots + i_k = m + n - 1$. It is also well known that $H(m, n)$ fibres over RP^m with fibre RP^{n-1} , if $m \leq n$. One may note here that $H(m, n) \cong H(n, m)$. Milnor manifolds give generators of unoriented bordism algebra. But practically nothing is known about the bounding of Milnor manifolds. The corresponding problem for Dold manifolds has been settled in [2]. In this paper, we consider the question "Which Milnor manifolds bound?" and answer it as follows :

Theorem 1.1 — *A Milnor manifold $H(m, n)$ with $m \leq n$, bounds if and only if at least one of the following conditions holds :*

- (a) $m = n$,
- (b) $m = 1$,
- (c) $mn \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and
- (d) $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $m + 1 < 2^{\nu(n+2)}$, where $\nu(n+2)$ is the largest integer such that $2^{\nu(n+2)} \mid_{(n+2)}$.

The first author is thankful to Professor R.E. Stong for suggesting this problem during his visit to the University of Virginia.

2. BOUNDING OF $H(m, n)$ WHEN $m = n$ OR m IS ODD

We first consider few simple cases.

Proposition 2.1 — $H(m, m)$ bounds.

PROOF : It is enough to note that

$$T([x_0, \dots, x_m], [y_0, \dots, y_m]) = ([y_0, \dots, y_m], [x_0, \dots, x_m])$$

defines a fixed point free involution on $H(m, m)$. □

Proposition 2.2 — $H(2r + 1, 2q + 1)$ bounds.

PROOF : It is enough to note that

$$\begin{aligned} T([x_0, \dots, x_{2r+1}], [y_0, \dots, y_{2q+1}]) \\ = ([-x_1, x_0, \dots, -x_{2r+1}, x_{2r}], [-y_1, y_0, \dots, -y_{2q+1}, y_{2q}]) \end{aligned}$$

defines a fixed point free involution on $H(2r + 1, 2q + 1)$. □

Proposition 2.3 — $H(1, n)$ bounds.

PROOF : Comparing the Stiefel-Whitney classes and hence the Stiefel-Whitney numbers, one can see that $H(1, n)$ is bordant to $RP(\xi \oplus (n-1)R)$, where $\xi \oplus (n-1)R \rightarrow RP^1$ is the Whitney sum of the canonical line bundle and the trivial $(n-1)$ -plane bundle over RP^1 . But, by 2.2 of [1], $RP(\xi \oplus (n-1)R)$ bounds. Hence $H(1, n)$ bounds. \square

Proposition 2.4 — $H(2r+1, 2^\beta(2B+1))$ does not bound if at least one of the following holds :

- (i) $\beta \geq 1$ and $2r+1 > 2^\beta(2B+1)$ and
- (ii) $\beta > 1$ and $r > 0$.

PROOF : Suppose (i) holds. Then $H(2r+1, 2^\beta(2B+1))$ fibres over $RP^{2^\beta(2B+1)}$ with fibre RP^{2r} . Therefore,

$$\chi(H(2r+1, 2^\beta(2B+1))) = \chi(RP^{2^\beta(2B+1)}) \chi(RP^{2r}) \neq 0.$$

Here χ stands for the Euler characteristic reduced modulo 2.

Thus $H(2r+1, 2^\beta(2B+1))$ does not bound, if (i) holds.

On the other hand, suppose (ii) holds. Then the total Stiefel-Whitney class $W(H(2r+1, 2^\beta(2B+1)))$ is given by

$$\left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ab(a+b)^i \right) (1+a)^{2r+1} (1+b)^{2^\beta(2B+1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$W_1 = a,$$

$$W_3 = \begin{cases} ab^2, & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ a(a+b)^2, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

$W_{2^\beta-4} = ab(a+b)^{2^\beta-6} + \text{terms divisible by } a^2$, if $\beta > 2$ and $W_{2^\beta} = b^{2^\beta} + \text{terms divisible by } a$.

Hence,

$$(a+b)W_1^{2r-2}W_3^2W_{2^\beta-4}W_{2^\beta}^{2B} = a^{2r+1}b^{2^\beta(2B+1)}, \text{ if } \beta > 2,$$

and

$$(a+b)W_1^{2r-2}W_3^{-4}W_{2^\beta}^{2B} = a^{2r+1}b^{2^\beta(2B+1)}, \text{ if } \beta = 2.$$

Thus $H(2r+1, 2^\beta(2B+1))$ does not bound, if (ii) holds. \square

In order to investigate the remaining cases of H (odd, even), we first establish the following:

Lemma 2.5 — If $B + 1 = 2^\theta$ odd, then

$$(a + b) W_1^i W_2^j = a^{2r+1} b^{2(2B+1)}$$

for some i, j if and only if $r \geq 2^{\theta+1} - 1$; W_1 and W_2 being the first and second Stiefel-Whitney classes of $H(2r + 1, 2(2B + 1))$.

PROOF : We have

$$W_1 = a$$

and

$$W_2 = \begin{cases} b(a + b), & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\ ab + (a + b)^2, & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Now, suppose $r \geq 2^{\theta+1} - 1$. Consider $i = 2r - 2^{\theta+2} + 2$ and $j = 2B + 2^{\theta+1}$. If r is even, the coefficient of $a^{2r+1} b^{2(2B+1)}$ in $(a + b) W_1^i W_2^j$ is

$$\binom{2(B + 1) + 2^{\theta+1} - 1}{2^{\theta+2} - 1} = \binom{2^{\theta+1} \cdot \text{odd} + 2^{\theta+1} - 1}{2^{\theta+2} - 1} \neq 0.$$

If r is odd, the coefficient of $a^{2r+1} b^{2(2B+1)}$ is

$$\sum_k \binom{2(B + 1) + 2^{\theta+1} - 2}{k} = \binom{2(2^{\theta+1} \cdot \text{odd} + 2^{\theta+2} - 2 - k) + 1}{2^{\theta+2} - 1 - k}$$

which is again nonzero, since the first factor is nonzero only when k is even; and $2^{\theta+2} - 2$ is the only even value of k for which the second factor is nonzero.

Conversely, suppose $r < 2^{\theta+1} - 1$. We shall show that there do not exist any i, j for which

$$(a + b) W_1^i W_2^j = a^{2r+1} b^{2(2B+1)}$$

From dimensional considerations, it is clear that i cannot be odd. Also, for each i, j , we have

$$(a + b) a^{2i} (ab + (a + b)^2)^j = \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} (a + b) a^{2(i+k)} (b(a + b))^{j-k}.$$

Thus, it is enough to show that, for each i, j , the coefficient, say C , of $a^{2r+1} b^{2(2B+1)}$ in $(a + b) a^{2i} (b(a + b))^{j-k}$ is zero. Now,

$$C = \binom{2(B + 1) + r - i}{1 + 2(r - i)} = \binom{r - i + 2^{\theta+1} \cdot \text{odd}}{1 + 2(r - i)}.$$

Further, $r < 2^{\theta+1} - 1 \Rightarrow r - i < 2^{\theta+1} - 1$. Let ϕ be the smallest non-negative integer such that

$$\binom{r-i}{2^\phi} = 0.$$

Clearly, $\phi \leq \theta$. Therefore, $C = 0$, since

$$\binom{2(r-i)}{2^\phi} = 1.$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.6 — If $B + 1 = 2^\theta \cdot \text{odd}$ then $H(2r + 1, 2(2B + 1))$ does not bound if and only if $r \geq 2^{\theta+1} - 1$.

PROOF : If $r \geq 2^{\theta+1} - 1$ then, by Lemma 2.5, $H(2r + 1, 2(2B + 1))$ does not bound. Conversely, suppose $r < 2^{\theta+1} - 1$. Now, the total Stiefel-Whitney class

$$W(H(2r + 1, 2(2B + 1))) = \frac{(1 + W_1)^{2r+1} (1 + b^{2^{\theta+2}})^{\text{odd}}}{1 + W_1 + W_2 + \delta W_1^2},$$

where $\delta = 0$ or 1 , according as r is even or odd. Thus, W is a function of W_1, W_2 and $b^{2^{\theta+2}}$. So, to complete the proof of theorem, it is enough to show that there do not exist any i, j for which

$$(a + b) W_1^i W_2^j (b^{2^{\theta+2}})^k = a^{2r+1} b^{2(2B+1)}.$$

But this follows immediately, once we observe that any such i, j would also satisfy the equation

$$(a + b) W_1^i W_2^j = a^{2r+1} b^{2(2B'+1)}$$

for the Milnor manifold $H(2r + 1, 2(2B' + 1))$, where $B' + 1 = B - 2^\theta \cdot k + 1 = 2^{\theta'}$, odd for some $\theta' \geq \theta$, which is a contradiction to Lemma 2.5. □

3. BOUNDING OF $H(m, n)$, WHEN $m \neq n$ AND BOTH m, n ARE EVEN

In this section we shall discuss the bounding of $H(2^\alpha(2A + 1), 2^\beta(2B + 1))$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$. Since $H(m, n) = H(n, m)$, we assume that $2^\alpha(2A + 1) < 2^\beta(2B + 1)$. First we consider the case $\beta > 1$.

Theorem 3.1 — $H(2^\alpha(2A + 1), 2^\beta(2B + 1))$ does not bound, if $\beta > 1$.

PROOF : Here the total Stiefel-Whitney class $W(H(2^\alpha(2A + 1), 2^\beta(2B + 1)))$ is given by

$$\left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ab(a+b)^i \right) (1 + a^{2^\alpha})^{2A+1} (1 + b^{2^\beta})^{2B+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$W_2 = \begin{cases} ab, & \text{if } \alpha > 1 \\ a(a+b), & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$W_3 = ab(a+b)$$

and $W_{2^\beta} = b^{2^\beta} +$ terms divisible by a .

Case I — $\alpha < \beta$.

In this case $2^\beta(2B+1) - 2^\alpha(2A+1) = 2^\beta \cdot t + 2s$ where $t \geq 0$ and $0 < 2s < 2^\beta$, and we have

$$(a+b) W_2^{2^\alpha(2A+1)-2} W_3 (W_{2^\beta})^t W_{2s} = a^{2^\alpha(2A+1)} b^{2^\beta(2B+1)},$$

noting that $W_{2s} = ab(a+b)^{2s-2} +$ terms divisible by a^2 .

Case II — $\alpha > \beta$.

In this case $W_2 = ab$ and we have

$$(a+b) W_2^{2^\alpha(2A+1)-2^\beta+1} W_3^{2^\beta-1} W_{2^\beta}^{2B-2^{\alpha-\beta}(2A+1)} = a^{2^\alpha(2A+1)} b^{2^\beta(2B+1)}.$$

Case III — $\alpha = \beta$.

In this case we have

$$(a+b) W_2^{2^\alpha(2A+1)} W_3^{2^\alpha-1} W_{2^\beta}^{2(B-A)-1} = a^{2^\alpha(2A+1)} b^{2^\beta(2B+1)}$$

Hence it follows that $H(2^\alpha(2A+1), 2^\beta(2B+1))$ does not bound if $\beta > 1$. □

We now consider the case $\beta = 1$. In fact we shall settle this case part by part.

Theorem 3.2 — *Let $B + 1 = 2^\theta \cdot \text{odd}$. Then $H(2^\alpha(2A+1), 2(2B+1))$ bounds if $2^\alpha(2A+1) < 2^{\theta+2}$.*

PROOF : In this case, $W_2 = b(a+b) + \delta \cdot a^2$, where $\delta = 0$ or 1 according as $\alpha > 1$ or $\alpha = 1$, $W_3 = ab(a+b)$, and the total Stiefel-Whitney class $W(H(2^\alpha(2A+1), 2(2B+1)))$ is given by

$$\frac{(1+a)^{2^\alpha(2A+1)+2} (1+b)^{2(2B+1)+2}}{(1+a)(1+b)(1+a+b)} = \frac{(1+a^2)^{2^{\alpha-1}(2A+1)+1} (1+b^{2^{\theta+2}})^{\text{odd}}}{1 + W_3 + W_2 + (1-\delta)a^2}.$$

Thus, W is a function of W_2, W_3, a^2 and $b^{2^{\theta+2}}$. We shall show that there do not exist any i, j, k, t such that

$$(a+b) a^{2i} b^{2^{\theta+2}k} W_2^j W_3^t = a^{2^\alpha(2A+1)} b^{2(2B+1)}.$$

Clearly, by dimensional considerations t can not be even. Also, for all i, j, k, t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (a+b) a^{2i} b^{2^{\theta+2} \cdot k} (a^2 + b(a+b))^j (ab(a+b))^t \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^j \binom{j}{p} (a+b) a^{2(i+p)} (b^{2^{\theta+2} \cdot k} (b(a+b))^{j-p} (ab(a+b))^t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is enough to show that the coefficient, say G of $a^{2^\alpha(2A+1)} b^{2(2B+1)}$ in

$$(a+b) a^{2i} b^{2^{\theta+2} \cdot k} (b(a+b))^j (ab(a+b))^t$$

is zero for all i, j, k, t with t odd. Now, $G = \binom{I}{J}$, where

$$I = \frac{2^{\theta+2} \cdot D - 1 + (2^\alpha(2A+1) - 2i - t)}{2}$$

$$J = 2^\alpha(2A+1) - 2i - t.$$

If $D = 0$ then $I < J$, so that $G = 0$. So, let $D \neq 0$. Let λ be the smallest non-negative integer such that $\binom{J}{2^\lambda} = 0$. Since t is odd and $2^\alpha(2A+1) < 2^{\theta+2}$, we have $2 \leq 2^\lambda \leq 2^{\theta+1}$. So, $\binom{I}{2^{\lambda-1}} = 0$ whereas $\binom{J}{2^{\lambda-1}} = 1$. Therefore, once again we have $G = 0$. Hence the theorem follows. \square

Theorem 3.3 — Let $B + 1 = 2^\theta$. odd. Then $H(2^\alpha(2A+1), 2(2B+1))$ does not bound if $\alpha > 1$ and $2^\alpha(2A+1) \geq 2^{\theta+2}$.

PROOF : In this case $W_2 = b(a+b)$ and $W_3 = ab(a+b)$. We shall show that there exist positive integers I and J such that

$$(a+b) W_2^I W_3^J = a^{2^\alpha(2A+1)} b^{2(2B+1)}.$$

Clearly, J must be odd. So, let $J = 2C + 1$ where $C \geq 0$. Then, from the dimensional considerations, we have

$$I = 2B + 2^{\alpha-1}(2A+1) - 3C - 1.$$

Also, the coefficient of $a^{2^\alpha(2A+1)} b^{2(2B+1)}$ in $(a+b) W_2^I W_3^J$ is given by

$$G = \binom{2B+1 + (2^{\alpha-1}(2A+1) - C)}{2B+2 - (2^{\alpha-1}(2A+1) - C)}.$$

Thus, it is enough to find a value of C for which $I > 0, J > 0$ and $G = 1$. Let $\left[\frac{2(2B+1)}{2^\phi} \right] = 1$; $[]$ being the greatest integer function. Clearly, under the given conditions $\phi \geq \theta + 3$.

$$\text{Case 1} \quad \left[\frac{2^\alpha(2A+1)}{2^\phi} \right] = 1, \text{ and } \left[\frac{2^\alpha(2A+1)}{2^{\theta+2}} \right] \cdot 2^{\theta+2} = 2^\phi.$$

Put $C = 2^{\alpha-1}(2A+1) + 2B + 2 - 2^\phi$. Clearly, this value of C satisfies our requirements once we note that

$$2^\phi < 4B + 4 \leq 2^{\phi-1} - 2^{\theta+2}$$

and

$$2^\phi \leq 2^\alpha(2A+1) \leq 2^\phi + 2^{\theta+2} - 4.$$

$$\text{Case 2} \quad \left[\frac{2^\alpha(2A+1)}{2^\phi} \right] = 1, \text{ but } \left[\frac{2^\alpha(2A+1)}{2^{\theta+2}} \right] \cdot 2^{\theta+2} \neq 2^\phi.$$

In this case, we take $C = 2^{\alpha-1}(2A+1) - 2^{\theta+1} - 2^{\phi-1}$. Then once again our requirement is fulfilled, noting that

$$2^{\theta+2} = 2^\phi \leq 2^\alpha(2A+1) < 2^{\phi+1}$$

and

$$2B + 1 = 2^{\theta+1} - 1 + 2^{\phi-1} + P,$$

where P is a sum of powers of 2 between $2^{\theta+2}$ and $2^{\phi-2}$.

$$\text{Case 3} \quad \left[\frac{2^\alpha(2A+1)}{2^{\phi-1}} \right] \leq 1.$$

We first consider the following subcases :

$$\text{Subcase 3.1} \quad \left[\frac{2^\alpha(2A+1)}{2^{\phi-1}} \right] = 0.$$

$$\text{Subcase 3.2} \quad \left[\frac{2^\alpha(2A+1)}{2^{\phi-1}} \right] = 1, \text{ and either } \left[\frac{2^\alpha(2A+1)}{2^{\theta+2}} \right] \cdot 2^{\theta+2} = 2^{\phi-1}$$

or

$$\left[\frac{2(2B+1)}{2^{\theta+2}} \right] \cdot 2^{\theta+2} = 2^{\phi+1} - 2^{\theta+3}.$$

In these subcases it is easy to see that $C = 2^{\alpha-1}(2A+1) - 2^{\theta+1}$ serves the purpose. One simply notes that $2(2B+1) - 2^\alpha(2A+1) > (2B+1) - 3 \cdot 2^{\theta+1} + 1$ and $2B+1 = 2^{\theta+1} \cdot \text{odd} - 1$.

Finally, we consider the following subcase

$$\text{Subcase 3.3} \quad - \left[\frac{2^\alpha (2A + 1)}{2^{\phi-1}} \right] = 1, \left[\frac{2^\alpha (2A + 1)}{2^{\theta+2}} \right] \cdot 2^{\theta+2} \neq 2^{\phi-1},$$

and
$$\left[\frac{2(2B + 1)}{2^{\theta+2}} \right] \cdot 2^{\theta+2} \neq 2^{\phi+1} - 2^{\theta+3}.$$

In this case, we take $C = 2^{\alpha-1} (2A + 1) - 2^{\theta+1} - 2^{\lambda-1}$, where λ is given by

$$\left[\frac{2(2B + 1)}{2^\lambda} \right] \cdot 2^\lambda = 2^{\phi+1} - 2^{\lambda+1}.$$

Clearly, $\theta + 2 < \lambda < \phi$. That, this value of C satisfies our requirements, follows immediately once we observe that

$$2^{\theta+2} + 2^{\phi-1} \leq 2^\alpha (2A + 1) < 2^\phi,$$

and

$$2B + 1 = (2^{\theta+1} - 1) + (2^\phi - 2^\lambda) + R,$$

where R is a sum of certain powers of 2 between $2^{\theta+2}$ and $2^{\lambda-2}$.

Thus the theorem is completely proved. □

We shall now show that the above theorem holds for $\alpha = 1$ also, and this will complete our quest for the Milnor manifolds that bound.

Theorem 3.4 — *Let $B + 1 = 2^\theta \cdot \text{odd}$. Then $H(2(2A + 1), 2(2B + 1))$ does not bound if $2(2A + 1) \geq 2^{\theta+2}$.*

PROOF : We first show that

$$W_{2^{\theta+3}-2} = a^{2^{\theta+2}-1} b^{2^{\theta+2}-1} + \text{terms divisible by } a^{2^{\theta+2}}. \tag{1}$$

We have

$$W_{2^{\theta+3}-2} = \sum \binom{4A+3}{f} \binom{4B+3}{g} \binom{i+j}{i} a^t b^{2^{\theta+3}-2-t}, \tag{2}$$

where the sum is over all f, g, i, j, t such that $f + i = t, g + j = 2^{\theta+3} - 2 - t$, and $0 \leq t \leq 2^{\theta+3} - 2$. Note that

$$(i) \quad \binom{4B+3}{g} = 1 \text{ if and only if } g < 2^{\theta+2},$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \binom{i+j}{i} = 1 \text{ if and only if } i \text{ and } j \text{ have no power of 2 common in their 2-addic expansion.}$$

Let us call those values of f, g, i, j as acceptable values for which the corresponding mod 2 binomial coefficients in the R.H.S. of (2) are nonzero. Now, let $t = 2^{\theta+2} - 1$. Then by

(i), the coefficient of $a^{2^{\theta+2}-1} b^{2^{\theta+2}-1}$ in the R.H.S. of (2) is given by

$$L_{2^{\theta+2}-1} = \sum \binom{4A+3}{f} \binom{i+j}{i}.$$

Clearly, $f = 0$ is acceptable. In that case $i = 2^{\theta+2} - 1$, and consequently, by (ii), $j = 0$ is the only acceptable value. On the other hand if $f = 2^{\alpha_1} + \dots + 2^{\alpha_s}$, where $s \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_s \leq \theta + 1$, is an acceptable value then $i = (2^{\theta+2} - 1) - (2^{\alpha_1} + \dots + 2^{\alpha_s})$, and so, by (ii), the acceptable values of j are the elements of $S(2^{\alpha_1}, \dots, 2^{\alpha_s})$, the set containing zero and the sums of all possible combinations of $2^{\alpha_1}, \dots, 2^{\alpha_s}$. Note that $\# S(2^{\alpha_1}, \dots, 2^{\alpha_s}) = 2^s$. Hence, it follows that $L_{2^{\theta+2}-1} = 1$.

Now, consider any t such that $0 \leq t < 2^{\theta+2} - 1$. Then, $2^{\theta+3} - 2 - t \geq 2^{\theta+2}$. Let L_t denote the coefficient of $a^t b^{2^{\theta+3}-2-t}$ in the R.H.S. of (2). In this case, for any acceptable value of f , we have $i = (2^{\theta+2} - 1) - (2^{\alpha_1} + \dots + 2^{\alpha_s})$, where $s \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_s \leq \theta + 1$. If $2^{\theta+3} - 2 - t = 2^{\theta+2}$, then, in view of (i) and (ii), the acceptable values of j are $2^{\theta+2}$ and the nonzero elements of $S(2^{\alpha_1}, \dots, 2^{\alpha_s})$, and so we have $L_t = 0$. Let $2^{\theta+3} - 2 - t = 2^{\theta+2} + 2^{\beta_1} + \dots + 2^{\beta_r}$, where $r \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq \theta + 1$. Then, by (i) and (ii), the acceptable values of j are the elements of $S \cup T$ where S is the set

$$\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in S(2^{\alpha_1}, \dots, 2^{\alpha_s}), \text{ and } \sigma \geq 1 + 2^{\beta_1} + \dots + 2^{\beta_r}\}$$

and T is the set

$$\{2^{\theta+2} + \rho \mid \rho \in S(2^{\alpha_1}, \dots, 2^{\alpha_s}), \text{ and } \rho \leq 2^{\beta_1} + \dots + 2^{\beta_r}\}.$$

Clearly, $(S \cup T) = S(2^{\alpha_1}, \dots, 2^{\alpha_s}) = 2^s$. Hence, we again have $L_t = 0$. This establishes the equality in (1).

Next, we note that $W_2 = a^2 + ab + b^2$, $W_3 = ab(a + b)$, and the total Stiefel-Whitney class

$$\begin{aligned} &W(H(2(2A + 1), 2(2B + 1))) \\ &= (1 + W_2 + W_3)^{-1} (1 + a)^{2^{\theta+2} \cdot \text{odd}} (1 + b)^{2^{\theta+2} \cdot \text{odd}}, \end{aligned} \quad \dots (1)$$

where ϕ is given by $A + 1 = 2^\phi \cdot \text{odd}$.

Case 1 — $\phi < \theta$.

In this case, by (3), we have

$$W_{2^{\phi+2}} + \sum W_2^i W_3^j = a^{2^{\phi+2}}, \quad \dots (4)$$

where the sum is over all $i, j \geq 0$ such that $2i + 3j = 2^{\phi+2}$ and $\binom{i+j}{i} = 1$. Then it is easy to see that

$$(a+b) V_{2^{\phi+2}} W_3^{2^{\phi+2}-1} W_{2^{\theta+3}-2} W_2^R = a^{4A+2} b^{4B+2},$$

where $V_{2^{\phi+2}}$ is the L.H.S. of (4),

$$I = \frac{4A+4-2^{\theta+2}-2^{\phi+2}}{2^{\phi+2}},$$

and

$$R = 2A + 2b + 4 - 2^{\phi+1} \cdot (I+3) - 2^{\theta+2}.$$

Note here that $4A+2 \geq 2^{\theta+2}$, $4A+4 = 2^{\phi+2} \cdot \text{odd}$ and $A < B$.

Case 2 — $\phi \geq \theta$.

In this case, by (3), we have

$$W_{2^{\theta+2}} + \sum W_2^i W_3^j = \begin{cases} a^{2^{\theta+2}} b^{2^{\theta+1}}, & \text{if } \phi = \theta \\ a^{2^{\theta+1}} (a^{2^{\theta+1}} + b^{2^{\theta+1}}), & \text{if } \phi > \theta \end{cases} \quad \dots (5)$$

where the sum is over all $i, j > 0$ such that $2i+3j=2^{\theta+2}$ and $\binom{i+j}{i} = 1$. Then, once again it is easy to see that

$$(a+b) V_{2^{\theta+2}} W_3^{\theta+2-1} W_{2^{\theta+3}-2} W_2^R = a^{4A+2} b^{4B+2},$$

where $V_{2^{\theta+2}}$ is the L.H.S. of (5),

$$I = \frac{4A+4-2^{\theta+3}}{2^{\theta+1}},$$

and

$$R = 2A + 2B + 4 - 2^{\theta+1} \cdot I - 2^{\theta+3} - 2^{\theta+1}.$$

Note here that $4A+2 \geq 2^{\theta+2}$, $4A+4$ is a positive multiple of $2^{\theta+2}$, and $B-A$ is a positive multiple of 2^θ .

Thus, in both the cases we see that $H(2(2A+1), 2(B+1))$ does not bound. This completes the proof. \square

It is now routine matter to see that the combination of all the results proved so far yields Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

1. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *Mich. Math. J.* **12** (1965), 33-47.
2. S. S. Khare, *Topol. Appl.* **33** (1989), 297-307.
3. J. Milnor, *Topology*, **3** (1965), 223-30.