

ESSENTIALLY (R) , ESSENTIALLY (H_1) AND ESSENTIALLY SPECTRALOID OPERATORS ON HILBERT SPACE

J. D. ACHARYA AND I. H. SHETH

Department of Mathematics, University School of Sciences,
Gujarat University, Ahmedabad 380009

(Received 24 January 1980; after revision 25 August 1980)

Sufficient conditions for an operator to be essentially (R) , essentially (H_1) and essentially spectraloid are obtained. It is shown that R is a proper subset of $e(R)$, $e(G_1)$ is a proper subset of $e(H_1)$, but classes $e(R)$ and $e(G_1)$, $e(H_1)$ and H_1 , $e(S)$ and S are non-comparable.

§1. Let $B(H)$ denote the set of all bounded linear transformations from Hilbert space H into H . Let $\sigma(T)$, $\pi_{00}(T)$, $\tilde{\sigma}(T)$, $\text{con } \sigma(T)$, $\bar{W}(T)$, $r(T)$ and $|W(T)|$ respectively denote the spectrum, the set of all isolated points in $\sigma(T)$ that are eigen values of finite multiplicity, the hen-spectrum [complement of the unbounded component of the complement of $\sigma(T)$ (Fujii 1971, 1973)] the convex hull of the spectrum, the closure of the numerical range, the spectral radius and the numerical radius of an operator T . An operator

$$T \in R \text{ if } \|(T - zI)^{-1}\| = 1/d(z, W(T)), z \notin \bar{W}(T) \quad (\text{Luecke 1972b})$$

and

$$T \in H_1 \text{ if } \|(T - zI)^{-1}\| = 1/d(z, \tilde{\sigma}(T)), z \notin \tilde{\sigma}(T) \quad (\text{Fujii 1971, 1973})$$

Let π be the quotient map from $B(H)$ onto the Calkin algebra $B(H)/K$, where K denotes the set of all compact operators in $B(H)$. An operator $T \in B(H)$ is essentially R , H_1 or a spectraloid according as $\pi(T)$ is an element of R , H_1 or spectraloid. We denote each of these sets by $e(R)$, $e(H_1)$ and $e(S)$ respectively. Let $\sigma_e(T)$, $\sigma_{le}(T)$, $W_e(T)$, $\tilde{\sigma}_e(T)$, $r_e(T)$ and $|W_e(T)|$ denote the essential spectrum, the left essential spectrum, the essentially numerical range (Fillmore *et al.* 1972), the essential hen-spectrum, the essential spectral radius and the essential numerical radius of an operator T .

Luecke (1975) proved the following basic results:

Theorem A — If $T = A \oplus B$ on $H \oplus H$, then

- (i) $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_e(A) \cup \sigma_e(B)$
- (ii) $W_e(T) = \text{con } \{W_e(A) \cup W_e(B)\}$
- (iii) $\|\pi(T)\| = \max \{ \|\pi(A)\|, \|\pi(B)\| \}$.

Using these properties sufficient conditions for an operator to be essentially G_1 and essentially convexoid are obtained. It is further shown by Lueke (1975) that $e(G_1)$ and G_1 ; $e(C)$ and C , are non-comparable, where $e(G_1)$ and $e(C)$ denote the classes of essentially G_1 and essentially convexoid operators.

In this note, we obtain sufficient conditions for an operator to be essentially R , essentially H_1 and essentially spectraloid. It is remarkable to note that class R is a proper subset of $e(R)$, while classes $e(R)$ and $e(G_1)$, $e(H_1)$ and H_1 , $e(S)$ and S are non-comparable.

§2. It is known (Acharya 1980) that if $T = A \oplus B$ be defined on $H \oplus H$, then

- (i) $B \in R$ with $\bar{W}(A) \subseteq \bar{W}(B)$ implies that $T \in R$
- (ii) $B \in H_1$ with $\bar{W}(A) \subseteq \tilde{\sigma}(B)$ implies that $T \in H_1$.

We have the sufficient conditions for an operator to be in $e(R)$ and $e(H_1)$ as follows:

Theorem 1 — If $T = A \oplus B$ on $H \oplus H$, where B is essentially R with $W_e(A) \subseteq W_e(B)$

then T is essentially R .

Theorem 2 — If $T = A \oplus B$ on $H \oplus H$, where B is essentially H_1 with

$$W_e(A) \subseteq \tilde{\sigma}_e(B)$$

then T is essentially H_1 .

Proofs for both the Theorems can be constructed on the same lines as in Luecke (1975, Theorem 3). For completeness we give the proof for Theorem 2 as follows:

PROOF : Here $\tilde{\sigma}_e(T) = \tilde{\sigma}_e(A) \cup \tilde{\sigma}_e(B) = \tilde{\sigma}_e(B)$.

For $z \notin \tilde{\sigma}(B)$, $\|(\pi(A) - zI)^{-1}\| \leq 1/d(z, W_e(A))$
 $\leq 1/d(z, \tilde{\sigma}_e(B)).$

Now $\|(\pi(T) - zI)^{-1}\|$
 $= \max \{ \|(\pi(A) - zI)^{-1}\|, \|(\pi(B) - zI)^{-1}\| \}$
 $= \max \{ \|(\pi(A) - zI)^{-1}\|, 1/d(z, \tilde{\sigma}_e(B)) \}$
 $= 1/d(z, \tilde{\sigma}_e(B))$
 $= 1/d(z, \tilde{\sigma}_e(T)).$

Therefore, T is essentially H_1 .

If $T = A \oplus B$ on $H \oplus H$ and B is a spectraloid with $|W(A)| \leq r(B)$, then T is spectraloid (Acharya 1980). We have the following:

Theorem 3 — If $T = A \oplus B$ on $H \oplus H$ and B is essentially spectraloid with $|W_e(A)| \leq r_e(B)$, then T is essentially spectraloid.

PROOF : Since B is essentially spectraloid,

$$r_e(B) = |W_e(B)|.$$

Now, $r_e(T) = \max \{r_e(A), r_e(B)\} = r_e(B)$

and $|W_e(T)| = \max \{ |W_e(A)|, |W_e(B)| \} = |W_e(B)| = r_e(B)$.

Thus, $r_e(T) = |W_e(T)|$. Hence T is essentially spectraloid.

§3. According to Putnam (1968)

$$\partial\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_{ie}(T) \cup \pi_{00}(T)$$

where ∂M denotes the boundary of a set M . It is known that $T \in R$ if and only if $\partial W(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ (Luecke 1972b). It is not difficult to observe that $T \in e(R)$ if and only if $\partial W_e(T) \subseteq \sigma_e(T)$. Now we use these results to show the following:

Theorem 4 — Class R is a proper subset of $e(R)$.

PROOF : Let $T \in R$. Hence $\partial W(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$.

Now $\partial W(T) \subseteq \partial\sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_{ie}(T) \cup \pi_{00}(T)$ (Putnam 1968).

Further $\partial W(T) \cap \pi_{00}(T) = \phi$. Hence

$$\partial W(T) \subseteq \sigma_{ie}(T) \subseteq \sigma_e(T) \subseteq W_e(T) \text{ and } W_e(T)$$

is a convex set which is again a subset $\bar{W}(T)$. Therefore, $\partial W(T) = \partial W_e(T)$ or $\partial W_e(T) \subseteq \sigma_e(T)$, i.e. $T \in e(R)$.

To show that this inclusion is proper, consider $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus 0$ on $H = M \oplus M^\perp$, where dimension of M is two. Here $\pi(T) = 0$ so that T is essentially R , but $T \notin R$.

Using the technique of Luecke (1975, Theorem 10) we give a non-trivial example to show the following:

Theorem 5 — $e(G_1)$ is a proper subset of $e(H_1)$.

PROOF : Consider $T = A \oplus N$ on $(M_1 \oplus M_2) \oplus M_3$, (each M_i has infinite dimensions) with $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and N , a normal operator with $\sigma(N) = C$, where C is unit circle in the complex plane.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } & \|\pi(T - zI)^{-1}\| \\
 &= \max \{ \|\pi(A - zI)^{-1}\|, \|\pi(N - zI)^{-1}\| \} \\
 &\geq \|\pi(A - zI)^{-1}\| \\
 &= \|(\pi(A) - z)^{-1}\| \\
 &= \left\| \pi \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{z} & \frac{1}{z^2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{z} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\
 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{z} & \frac{1}{z^2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{z} \end{pmatrix} \right\| \\
 &> \frac{1}{|z|^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma_e(T) = \{0\} \cup C$. Choose $z = 1/10 \notin \sigma_e(T)$.

Then $1/d(z, \sigma_e(T)) = 10$. But $\|\pi(T - zI)^{-1}\| > 100$. Hence $T \notin e(G_1)$. However $\partial W_e(T) \subseteq \sigma_e(T)$ implies that $T \in e(R) \subseteq e(H_1)$.

Corollary 1 — Classes $e(G_1)$ and $e(R)$ are non-comparable.

With the help of technique given in examples in Theorems 6 and 7 of Luecke (1975) it is easy to see that:

Corollary 2 — Classes H_1 and $e(H_1)$ are non-comparable.

Corollary 3 — Classes S and $e(S)$ are non-comparable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- Acharya, J. D. (1980). Some examples of non-normal operators *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **11**, 450-56.
- Fujii, M. (1971). On some examples of non-normal operators I. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **47**, 458-63.
- (1973). On some examples of non-normal operators II. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **49**, 118-23.
- Fillmore, P. A., Stampfli, J. G., and Williams, J. P. (1972). On the essential numerical range, the essential spectrum and a problem of Halmos. *Acta. Sci. Math.*, **33**, 179-92.
- Luecke, G. R. (1972a). Operators satisfying G_1 locally. *Pacific J. Math.*, **40**, 629-37.
- (1972b). A class of operators on Hilbert space. *Pacific J. Math.*, **41**, 153-56.
- (1975). Essentially (G_1) operators and essentially convexoid operators on Hilbert space. *Illinois J. Math.*, **19**, 389-99.
- Putnam, C. R. (1968). The spectra of operators having resolvents of first order growth. *Trans. Am. math. Soc.*, **133**, 505-10.