A NOTE ON RIESZ MEAN

P. C. MAHAPATRA

Department of Mathematics, J.K.B.K. College, Cuttack, Orissa

(Received 8 November 1978; after revision 24 May 1980)

In the present paper, three theorems are proved which generalize the results of Das (1969) and Varshney and Prasad (1969).

§1. Let $\Sigma^* a_n$ be a given series with sequence of $\{s_n\}$ for its *n*th partial sum. We suppose that sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ to be a sequence of non-decreasing, nonnegative numbers tending to infinity with *n*. Further let R_n denote the $(R, \lambda_n, 1)$ mean of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ defined

$$R_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\lambda_{\nu} - \lambda_{\nu-1}) s_{\nu}, (\lambda_{-1} = 0). \qquad ...(1.1)$$

If $R_n \to s$ as $n \to \infty$, the sequence $\{s_n\}$ is said to be summable $(R, \lambda_n, 1)$ and if in addition $\{R_n\}$ is of bounded variation, then it is said to be absolutely summable or summable $|R, \lambda_n, 1|$.

Let $\{p_n\}$ be a sequence of constants such that $P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \neq 0 \ (n \geq 0)$ and $P_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0$. Then the (N, p) mean of $\{s_n\}$ is defined by

$$t_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu} s_{\nu}. \qquad ...(1.2)$$

when $\sum a_n$ is absolutely (N, p) summable, we write, for brevity $\sum a_n \in [N, p]$. Let T_n be the (N, p) mean of the sequence $\{na_n\}$.

We define the sequence of constants $\{c_n\}$ formally by

$$(\sum p_n x^n)^{-1} = \sum c_n x^n, (c_{-1} = 0). \qquad \dots (1.3)$$

We write for the sequence $\{f_n\}$

$$f_n^{(1)} = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n$$

$$f^{(2)} = f_0^{(1)} + f_1^{(1)} + \dots + f_n^{(1)}$$

^{*}Summation without limit is from 0 to ∞.

§2. The main object of this paper is to give three general theorems which generalize the following results.

Theorem A (Das 1969, Theorem 9) — Let
$$\sum a_n \in \left| N, \frac{1}{n+1} \right|$$
 and $\{n^{1-\alpha}a_n\} \in \left| R, e^{n^{\alpha}}, 1 \right| (0 < \alpha < 1)$

then

 $\sum a_n \in [c, 0]$, or absolutely covergent.

Theorem B [Varshney and Prasad 1969] — If the series Σa_n is summable |N, k| $(k \ge 1)$ and the sequence $\{n^{\varepsilon}a_n\}$ is of bounded variation for some $\delta(0 < \delta < 1)$, then the series Σa_n is absolutely convergent.

§3. We establish the following:

Theorem 1 — Let $X(\rho)$ be some function of the integer ρ such that

$$P_{\mathbf{p}}C_{\chi(\mathbf{p})}^{(1)} = O(1)$$
 ...(3.1)

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} |C_n| = O(|C_{\nu}^{(1)}|) \qquad ...(3.2)$$

$$P_{\rho} \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} |C_{\nu-\rho}^{(1)}| = O(\rho).$$
 ...(3.3)

Then

$$\sum \frac{\mid T_n \mid}{n} < \infty \Rightarrow \sum a_n \in \mid R, \lambda_n, 1 \mid$$

if and only if

$$\rho P_{\mathbf{P}} \sum_{\mathbf{v}=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} |C_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{P}}^{(1)}| \left| \frac{\Delta (\lambda_{\mathbf{v}-1})}{\mathbf{v}\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}} \right| = O(1). \tag{3.4}$$

Theorem 2 — Let $\frac{p_n}{p_{n+1}} \le \frac{p_{n+1}}{p_{n+2}} \le 1, p_n > 0$,

$$\frac{P_{\mathbf{p}}}{P_{\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{p})}} = O(1) \tag{3.5}$$

$$X(\rho) = O(\rho). \tag{3.6}$$

Then

$$\sum a_n \in \{N, p \mid \Rightarrow \sum a_n \in \{R, \lambda_n, 1\}$$

if and only if

$$\rho P_{\rho} \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} |C_{\nu-\rho}^{(1)}| \left| \frac{\Delta \lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu \lambda_{\nu}} \right| = O(1). \tag{3.7}$$

Theorem 2A — Let the conditions (3.5) and (3.6) of Theorem 2 hold and let further the following conditions also hold:

$$\frac{\triangle (\lambda_{\nu-1})}{\lambda_{\nu}}$$
 is monotonic decreasing, ...(3.8)

$$\frac{X(\rho) \triangle (\lambda_{\nu-1})}{\lambda_{\rho}} = O(1). \qquad ...(3.9)$$

Then

$$\Sigma a_n \in [N, p] \Rightarrow \Sigma a_n \in [R, \lambda_n, 1]$$
.

Theorem 3 — Let Σ $a_n \in [N, \delta]$ for $\delta > 0$ (however large) and

$$\{n^{1-\alpha}a_n\} \in [R, e^{n^{\alpha}}, 1] \text{ for } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$
 ...(3.10)

Then $\sum a_n$ is absolutely convergent.

§4. We need the following lemmas for the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1 --

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|T_n|}{n} < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \in [R, \lambda_n, 1] \qquad ...(4.1)$$

if and only if

$$J_{\rho} = \rho P_{\rho} \sum_{n=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \Big| \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu} C_{\nu-\rho} \Big| = O(1)$$

uniformly in $\rho \geq 1$.

PROOF: Now using the inversion formula

$$va_{\nu} = \sum_{\rho=1}^{\nu} c_{\nu-\rho} T_{\rho} P_{\rho}$$

we obtain

$$R_{n} - R_{n-1} = \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n} a_{v} \lambda_{v-1}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu} \sum_{\rho=1}^{\nu} C_{\nu-\rho} P_{\rho} T_{\rho}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{\rho=1}^n \rho P_{\rho} \frac{T_{\rho}}{\rho} \sum_{\nu=\rho}^n \frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu} C_{\nu-\rho}.$$

Thus (4.1) is true if and only if uniformly in $\rho \ge 1$,

$$J_{\mathbf{p}} = \rho P_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{n=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{v}=\rho}^n \frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}-1}}{\mathbf{v}} C_{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{p}} \right| = O(1).$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2 — We write $m = \min \{n, \rho + X(\rho)\}.$

Let (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) hold. Then

$$\rho P_{\mathbf{P}} \sum_{n=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \Big| \sum_{\mathbf{v}=\rho}^{m} \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}-1}}{\mathbf{v}} \right) \sum_{r=0}^{\mathbf{v}} C_{r-\mathbf{P}} \Big| = O(1)$$

uniformly in $\rho \geq 1$.

PROOF: Now

$$\sum_{n=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \Big| \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{m} \triangle \left(\frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{\nu} C_{r-\rho} \Big|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \Big| \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{n} \triangle \left(\frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu}\right) C_{\nu-\rho}^{(1)} \Big|$$

$$+ \sum_{n=\rho+X(\rho)+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \Big| \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{m} \triangle \left(\frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu}\right) C_{\nu-\rho}^{(1)} \Big|$$

$$= \sum_{n=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \Big| \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{n} \triangle \left(\frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu}\right) C_{\nu-\rho}^{(1)} \Big|$$

$$+ \sum_{n=\rho+X(\rho)+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \Big| \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \triangle \left(\frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu}\right) C_{\nu-\rho}^{(1)} \Big|$$

$$\leq \sum_{\mathbf{v}=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}-1}}{\mathbf{v}}\right) C_{\mathbf{v}-\rho}^{(1)} \left| \sum_{n=\mathbf{v}}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \right| \\
+ \sum_{n=\rho+X(\rho)+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{v}=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}-1}}{\mathbf{v}}\right) \left| C_{\mathbf{v}-\rho}^{(1)} \right| \\
= \sum_{\mathbf{v}=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}-1}}{\mathbf{v}}\right) \left| C_{\mathbf{v}-\rho}^{(1)} \right| \sum_{n=\mathbf{v}}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \\
= \sum_{\mathbf{v}=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \left\{ \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}-1}}{\mathbf{v}}\right) + \frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}-1}}{\mathbf{v}^{2}} \right\} O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}-1}}\right) \left| C_{\mathbf{v}-\rho}^{(1)} \right| \\
= \sum_{\mathbf{v}=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \frac{\Delta (\lambda_{\mathbf{v}-1})}{\mathbf{v}\lambda_{\mathbf{v}-1}} \left| C_{\mathbf{v}-\rho}^{(1)} \right| + \sum_{\mathbf{v}=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \frac{1}{\mathbf{v}^{2}} \left| C_{\mathbf{v}-\rho}^{(1)} \right| \\
= O\left(\frac{1}{\rho P_{\rho}}\right) + O\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho^{2} P_{\rho}}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\rho P_{\rho}}\right)$$

by the relations (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4).

This completes the proof.

Lemma 3 — Let (3.1) and (3.4) hold. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \mid C_{m-p}^{(1)} \mid \frac{\lambda_m}{m+1} = O\left(\frac{1}{\rho P_p}\right)$$

when

$$m = \min \{n, \rho + X(\rho)\}.$$

PROOF: Now

$$\sum_{n=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} | C_{m-\rho}^{(1)} | \frac{\lambda_{m}}{m+1}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=\rho}^{\rho + X(\rho)} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \frac{\lambda_{m}}{m+1} | C_{m-\rho}^{(1)} |$$

$$+ \sum_{m=\rho + X(\rho) + 1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \frac{\lambda_{m}}{m+1} | C_{m-\rho}^{(1)} |$$

$$= \sum_{n=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \frac{\lambda_{n}}{n+1} | C_{n-\rho}^{(1)} |$$

$$+ \sum_{n=\rho+X(\rho)+1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \frac{\lambda_{\rho+X(\rho)}}{\rho + X(\rho)} | C_{X(\rho)}^{(1)} |$$

$$= \sum_{n=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{(n+1) \lambda_{n-1}} | C_{n-\rho}^{(1)} |$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda_{\rho+X(\rho)}}{\rho + X(\rho)} | C_{X(\rho)}^{(1)} | \sum_{n=\rho+X(\rho)}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \frac{\Delta_{n}}{(n+1) \lambda_{n-1}} | C_{n-\rho}^{(1)} |$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda_{\rho+X(\rho)}}{\rho + X(\rho)} | C_{X(\rho)}^{(1)} | \sum_{n=\rho+X(\rho)}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=\rho}^{\rho+X(\rho)} \frac{\Delta_{n}}{(n+1) \lambda_{n-1}} | C_{n-\rho}^{(1)} | + \frac{\lambda_{\rho+X(\rho)}}{\rho + X(\rho)} | C_{X(\rho)}^{(1)} | \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_{\rho+X(\rho)}}$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{\rho P_{\rho}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\rho P_{\rho}}\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{1}{\rho P_{\rho}}\right)$$

by the conditions (3.1) and (3.4).

§5. Proof of Theorem 1 — It follows from (Das 1969, Theorem 6) on general infinite series that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|T_n|}{n} = O(1) \qquad ...(5.1)$$

if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |t_n - t_{n-1}| = O(1)$.

Therefore for the proof of the theorem, it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|T_n|}{n} = O(1) \Rightarrow \{R_n\} \in \text{ bounded variation.} \qquad ...(5.2)$$

From Lemma 1, the necessary and sufficient condition for the result (5.2) is

$$J_{\rho} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu} C_{\nu-\rho} \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\rho P_{\rho}}\right).$$

Now

$$J_{\rho} = \sum_{n=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{n} - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_{n} \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \left| \left\{ \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{m} + \sum_{\nu=m+1}^{n} \right\} \frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu} C_{\nu-\rho} \right|$$
$$= J_{(1)} + J_{(2)} \text{ (say)}$$

where

$$J_{(1)} = \sum_{n=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{m} \frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu} C_{\nu-\rho} \right|$$

$$J_{(2)} = \sum_{n=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \left| \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu} C_{\nu-\rho} \right|.$$

Now applying Abel's transformation to inner sigma of $J_{(1)}$ and then using the relation (1.3) we get

$$J_{(1)} = \sum_{n=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \Big| \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{m} \triangle \left(\frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu} \right) \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} C_{\mu-\rho} + \frac{\lambda_m}{m+1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m} C_{\mu-\rho} \Big|$$

$$\leq J_{(1)}^{(1)} + J_{(1)}^{(2)} \text{ (say)}$$

where

$$J_{(1)}^{(1)} = \sum_{n=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1}} \Big| \sum_{\nu=\rho}^{m} \Delta \left(\frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu} \right) \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} C_{\mu-\rho} \Big|$$

and
$$J_{(1)}^{(2)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-p}} |C_{m-p}^{(1)}| \frac{\lambda_{m-1}}{m+1}$$

By Lemmas 2 and 3 we get

$$J_{(1)}^{(1)} = O\left(\frac{1}{\rho P_{\rho}}\right),\,$$

and

$$J_{(1)}^{(2)} = O\left(\frac{1}{\rho P_{\rho}}\right)$$

respectively. Therefore for the proof of the Theorem, it is enough to show that

$$J_{(2)} = O\left(\frac{1}{\rho P_{\bullet}}\right).$$

Now using change of summation, the relations (3.1), (3.2) and the fact that $m = \min \{n, X(\rho) + \rho\},$

we get

$$J_{(2)} \sum_{\nu=\rho+X(\rho)}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu} |C_{\nu-\rho}| \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n \cdot \lambda_{n-1}}$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=\rho+X(\rho)}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\nu-1}}{\nu} |C_{\nu-\rho}| \frac{1}{\lambda_{\nu-1}}$$

$$= \sum_{\nu=\rho+X(\rho)}^{\infty} \frac{|C_{\nu-\rho}|}{\nu}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\rho + X(\rho)} O(|C_{X(\rho)}^{(1)}|)$$

$$= O(\frac{1}{\rho P_{\rho}}).$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2 — It is known from Das (1969) that

$$\sum a_n \in |N, p| \Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|T_n|}{n} = O(1).$$

Since $C_n^{(1)} \ge 0$, the relation (3.3) of Theorem 1 reduces to the condition

$$P_{\rho}C_{X(\rho)}^{(2)} = O(\rho),$$
 ...(5.3)

But from (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$C_{X(\mathbf{p})}^{(2)} = O\left(\frac{X(\mathbf{p})}{P_{X(\mathbf{p})}}\right)$$

Therefore (5.3) reduces to

$$\frac{X(\rho) P_{\rho}}{P_{X(\rho)}} = O(1).$$

Since all the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, the proof of Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2A — It can be easily seen that the relations (3.5), (3.8) and (3.9) imply the necessary and sufficient condition of Theorem 1 (i.e.) the relation (3.4). The proof follows from Theorem 2.

Corollary
$$-\Sigma a_n \in [N, \delta] \Rightarrow \Sigma a_n \in [R, e^{n^{\alpha}}, 1]$$
 for $\delta > 0, 0 < \alpha < 1$.

PROOF: Let us take up $X(\rho) = \lceil \rho^{1-\alpha} \rceil + 2$.

So that

$$X(\rho) = O(\rho)$$

and

$$P_{\mathbf{p}} = O(P_{X(\mathbf{p})})$$

and other conditions of Theorem 2A are satisfied.

The proof follows from Theorem 2A.

Proof of Theorem 3 — We know that (Das 1969, Theorem 9)

$$\Sigma a_n \in |R, e^{n^{\alpha}}, 1| \Rightarrow \Sigma |a_n| < \infty,$$

if and only if the relation (3.10) holds.

Now the proof follows from the Corollary of Theorem 2A.

Remark 1: Here we show examples to satisfy the conditions from (3.1) to (3.3).

Example 1 — Let
$$p_n = \frac{1}{n+1}$$
, $X(n) = n$.

Then (Das 1969, Lemma 5) we have

$$C_n^{(1)} = O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right), C_n^{(2)} = O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$$

so that the condition (3.1) reduces to O(1). (3.3) reduces to

$$\log (n+1) \sum_{\nu=n}^{2n} C_{\nu-n}^{(1)} = \log (n+1) C_n^{(2)}$$

$$= \log (n+1) \cdot O\left(\frac{n}{\log (n+1)}\right)$$

$$= O(n).$$

Example 2 — Let
$$p_n = A_n^{\alpha-1} X(n) = n$$

so that
$$C_n = A_n^{-(\alpha+1)}$$
 and $C_n^{(1)} = A_n^{-\alpha}$.

Then the condition (3.1) reduces to

$$P_n C_{X(n)}^{(1)} = O(1).$$

And (3.3) reduces to

$$A_n^{\alpha} \sum_{\nu=n}^{2n} C_{\nu-n}^{(1)} = A_n^{\alpha} C_n^{(2)}$$
$$= A_n^{\alpha} \cdot A_n^{1-\alpha}$$
$$= O(n).$$

Remark 2: In Theorems 1, 2 and 2A, we have established a very general theorem on inclusion relation i.e.

$$|N, p| \Rightarrow |R, \lambda_n, 1|$$
.

As a Corollary to Theorem 2A we have obtained the inclusion

(**) | N,
$$\delta$$
 | \Rightarrow | R, $e^{n^{\alpha}}$, 1 | (0 < α < 1), δ > 0.

Since $|N, \delta| \subset |N.\delta|$ (see Mahapatra 1979) $\delta' > \delta > 0$ we get (**) is trivial in the case $0 < \delta < 1$ in view of Theorem 8 of Das (1969). But in the case $\delta > 1$, our Theorem is sharper than the result of Das (1969). Again if we put $\delta = 1$ in Theorem 3 we get Theorem 9 due to Das (1969). It is also more general than that of Varshney and Prasad (1969) in the sense that they took the condition $\{n^{1-\alpha}a_n\} \in BV$ instead of the lighter condition (3.10). Also they have taken δ as positive integer greater than one, whereas in our case $\delta > 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is thankful to Professor G. Das for his valuable guidance in the preparation of this paper. He is grateful to the referee for his valuable suggestions in the improvement of this paper.

REFERENCES

Das, G. (1969). Tauberian theorems for absolute Nörlund summability. Proc. Lond. math. Soc., 19, 357-84.

Mahapatra, P. C. (1979). Absolute inclusion theorems of Nörlund summability. (To appear).
 Varshney, O. P. and Govinda Prasad (1969). On a Tauberian theorem for absolute harmonic summability. *Proc. Camb. phil. Soc.*, 65, 93-99.