

## EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR $p$ -LAPLACIAN SINGULAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS\*

CUIZHE LI AND WEIGAO GE

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081,  
P. R. China*

(Received 4 July 2001; after revision 29 May 2002; accepted 21 June 2002)

By using Leray-Schauder degree theory, positive solutions are established for  $p$ -Laplacian singular second-order boundary value problem, singularities at (i)  $u' = 0$  but not  $u = 0$ , (ii)  $u = 0$ , and  $u' = 0$  are 1 discussed, respectively.

**Key Words :** Singular Boundary Value Problem; Leray-Schauder Degree;  $p$ -Laplacian; Positive Solutions

### 1 INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Boundary value problems for ordinary differential with  $p$ -Laplacian arise in the search of radial solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations. The types of nonlinear partial differential equations we have in mind arise in a multitude of applied areas, such as the study of porous media, elasticity theory, plasma problems, astrophysics and etc. we can see these in [1] and the references therein. In addition, in the study of nonlinear phenomena, many mathematical models also give rise to the singular boundary value problems. They have been studied by a number of authors. We can refer to Ravi P. Agarwal and O'Regan<sup>2&3</sup>, Wang Hongzhou *et al.*<sup>4</sup>, Yang Zuodong<sup>5</sup> and their references. However, almost all papers in the literature discussed the case that the system don't include  $p$ -laplacian. The aim of this paper is to extend the results in [2] [3] [4] and [5] to the following  $p$ -Laplacian singular boundary value problem :

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' + q(t)f(t, u, u') = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \dots (1)$$

where  $\phi_p(u) = |u|^{p-2}u$ ,  $p > 1$ , and our nonlinear term  $f$  may be singular at (i)  $u' = 0$  but not  $u = 0$ , (ii)  $u = 0$  and  $u' = 0$ . Sufficient conditions are established.

Let  $C_B[0, 1] = \{u \in C[0, 1], \phi_p(u') \in C[0, 1], u(0) = a, u'(1) = b \text{ with norm } \|u\|_1\}$  and

\*The project supported by the National Science Foundation (19871005) and the Doctoral Programme Foundation of Institution of Higher Education of China (1999000722)

define  $\|u\|_1 = \max \{ \|u\|_0, \|\phi_p(u')\|_0 \}$  where  $\|u\|_0 = \sup_{t \in (0,1)} |u(t)|$ . Then  $C_B[0, 1]$  is a normed linear space.

In this section, we want to establish some important lemmas. First considering

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' + q(t)F(t, u, u') = 0 & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = a, u'(1) = b \end{cases} \quad \dots (2)$$

we have

*Lemma 1* — Suppose

$$F : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \text{ is continuous}$$

and  $q \in C(0, 1)$  with  $q > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$  and  $q \in L^1[0, 1]$

In addition, assume that there is a constant  $M$  independent of  $\lambda$  such that

$$\|u\|_1 \leq M$$

for any solution  $u \in C^1[0, 1], \phi_p(u') \in C^1[0, 1]$  to

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' + \lambda q(t)F(t, u, u') = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \dots (3)_\lambda$$

for each  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Then (2) has a solution  $u \in C^1[0, 1], \phi_p(u') \in C^1[0, 1]$ .

**PROOF :** Solving  $(3)_\lambda$  is equivalent to finding a  $u \in C^1[0, 1]$  with  $\phi_p(u') \in C^1[0, 1]$  which satisfies

$$u(t) = a + \int_0^t \phi_p^{-1} \left[ \phi_p(b) + \lambda \int_s^1 q(x)F(x, u(x), u'(x)) dx \right] ds, \quad \dots (4)$$

where  $\phi_p^{-1}(w) = |w|^{p-1} \text{sgn}(w)$  is the inverse function of  $\phi_p(u)$ .

Define the operator  $N_\lambda : C_B[0, 1] \rightarrow C_B[0, 1]$  by setting

$$N_\lambda u(t) = a + \int_0^t \phi_p^{-1} \left[ \phi_p(b) + \lambda \int_s^1 q(x)F(x, u(x), u'(x)) dx \right] ds.$$

Consequently,  $(3)_\lambda$  is equivalent to the fixed point problem  $N_\lambda u = u$  in  $C_B[0, 1]$ .

Next we will prove  $N_\lambda : C_B [0, 1] \rightarrow C_B [0, 1]$  is completely continuous. To see this, let  $\Omega \subseteq C_B [0, 1]$  be bounded, i.e. there exists a constant  $M_0 > 0$ , with  $\|u\|_1 \leq M_0$  for each  $u \in \Omega$ , so we have

$$\|N_\lambda u\| \leq \|a\| + \int_0^1 G(s) ds$$

here

$$G(s) = \max$$

$$\left\{ \left\| \phi_p^{-1} (\|\phi_p(b)\| + M_1 \int_s^1 q(x) dx) \right\|, \left\| \phi_p^{-1} (-\|\phi_p(b)\| - M_1 \int_s^1 q(x) dx) \right\| \right\},$$

$$M_1 = \sup \|F(t, x, y)\| \text{ for } (t, x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [-M_0, M_0] \times [-M_0, M_0]$$

and  $\|\phi_p(N_\lambda u)'\| \leq \left\| \phi_p(b) + \lambda \int_t^1 q(s) F(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds \right\| \leq \|\phi_p(b)\| + M_1 \left\| \int_0^1 q(s) ds \right\|.$

So we obtain the boundedness of  $N_\lambda \Omega$ . Next consider  $u \in \Omega$  and  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ , then since

$$\|N_\lambda u(t) - N_\lambda u(s)\| \leq \left\| \int_s^t G(v) dv \right\|$$

and  $\|\phi_p(N_\lambda u)'\| \leq \left\| \int_s^t q(x) F(x, u(x), u'(x)) dx \right\| \leq M_1 \left\| \int_s^t q(x) dx \right\|$

the equicontinuity of  $N_\lambda \Omega$  follows from the above inequalities. Consequently, the Arzela-Ascoli theorem implies that  $N_\lambda : C_B [0, 1] \rightarrow C_B [0, 1]$  is completely continuous. Let

$$U = \{u \in C_B [0, 1] : \|u\|_1 < 2M + M_1 + 2\}$$

so for  $u \in \partial U$ , we have  $(1 - N_\lambda)(u) \neq 0$ . then by using Leray-Schauder degree theory [6] [7], we obtain

$$\text{deg} \{I - N_1, U, 0\} = \text{deg} \{I - N_0, U, 0\}$$

where  $N_0 = a + bt = \theta(t)$  (let  $\|a\|, \|b\| < M + 1$ ), we have  $\|N_0 u\| < 2M + 2$ , so  $N_0 u = \theta(t) \in U$ , then we get

$$\text{deg} \{I - N_1, U, 0\} = \text{deg} \{I, U, \theta(t)\} = 1 \neq 0$$

and we deduce that  $N_1 u = u$  has a fixed point in  $U$ , i.e. (2) has a solution  $u \in C^1[0, 1]$  with  $\phi_p(u') \in C^1[0, 1]$ .

*Lemma 2* — Suppose  $u \in C^1[0, 1]$  with  $\phi_p(u') \in C^1[0, 1]$  and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -[\phi_p(u'(t))]' > 0 & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = 0, u'(1) = a \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \dots (5)$$

Then we have  $u(t) \geq tu(1) = t \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)|$ .

**PROOF :** Since  $-[\phi(u'(t))]' > 0$ , we have  $(\phi_p(u'))' < 0$ , it implies that  $\phi_p(u')$  is decreasing on  $(0, 1)$  and hence  $u'(t)$  is decreasing. Because of  $u'(1) = a \geq 0, u(0) = 0$ , we have  $u'(t) \geq 0$  and  $u(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , also from (5) and  $u$  is concave, then we get  $u(t) \geq tu(1) = t \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |u(t)|$ .

## 2. SINGULARITY AT $u' = 0$ BUT NOT AT $u = 0$

In this section we discuss (1). Our nonlinearity  $f$  may be singular at  $u' = 0$  but not at  $u = 0$ . Throughout this section, we always assume that

$$(H_1) \quad q \in C(0, 1) \text{ with } q > 0 \text{ on } (0, 1) \text{ and } q \in L^1[0, 1]$$

$$(H_2) \quad f: [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$$

is continuous with  $f(t, x, y) > 0, \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} f(t, x, y) = \infty$ , for  $(t, x, y) \in [0, 1] \times (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$

$$(H_3) \quad f(t, x, y) \leq h(x) [g(y) + r(y)] \text{ on } [0, 1] \times (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$$

with  $g > 0$  continuous and nonincreasing on  $(0, +\infty)$ , and  $h \geq 0, r \geq 0$  continuous and nondecreasing on  $(0, +\infty)$ .

$$(H_4) \quad \sup_{c \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{c}{\phi_p^{-1} \left( \Gamma^{-1} \left( h(c) \int_0^1 q(s) ds \right) \right)} > 1$$

where 
$$I(z) = \int_0^z \frac{du}{g(\phi_p^{-1}(u)) + r(\phi_p^{-1}(u))}$$

for  $z > 0, I(\infty) = \infty$ .

(H<sub>5</sub>) for constants  $H > 0, L > 0$ , there exists a function  $\Psi_{H,L}$  continuous on  $[0, 1]$  and positive on  $(0, 1)$ , and a constant  $\gamma, 0 \leq \gamma < 1, f(t, x, y) \geq \Psi_{H,L}(t) x^\gamma$  on  $[0, 1] \times [0, H] \times (0, L]$ .

$$(H_6) \int_0^1 \cdot q(t) g(k_0 \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_t^1 s^\gamma \Psi_{H,L}(s) q(s) ds \right)) dt < \infty, \text{ for any constant } k_0 > 0.$$

We have

**Theorem 1** — Suppose (H<sub>1</sub>) – (H<sub>6</sub>) hold. Then (1) has a solution  $u \in C^1 [0, 1], \phi_p(u') \in C^1 [0, 1]$  with  $u > 0$  on  $(0, 1]$ .

PROOF : Choose  $M > 0$  with

$$\frac{M}{\phi_p^{-1} \left( I^{-1} h(M) \int_0^1 q(s) ds \right)} > 1$$

Next choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\varepsilon < M$  with

$$\frac{M}{\phi_p^{-1} \left( I^{-1} (h(M) \int_0^1 q(s) ds + I(\phi_p(\varepsilon))) \right)} > 1. \tag{6}$$

Take  $n_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n_0} < \varepsilon$  and let  $N_0 = \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}$ . We first show that

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' + q(t) f^*(t, u, u') = 0 & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = 0, u'(1) = \frac{1}{m} \end{cases} \tag{7}^m$$

has a solution for each  $m \in N_0$ ; here

$$f^*(t, x, y) = \begin{cases} f(t, x, y), & x \geq 0, y \geq \frac{1}{m} \\ f\left(t, x, \frac{1}{m}\right), & x \geq 0, y < \frac{1}{m} \\ f(t, 0, y), & x < 0, y \geq \frac{1}{m} \\ f\left(t, 0, \frac{1}{m}\right), & x < 0, y < \frac{1}{m} \end{cases}$$

and from (H<sub>2</sub>), we have  $f^*(t, x, y) \geq 0$ .

To show (7)<sup>m</sup> has a solution, we consider the family of problems

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' + \lambda q(t) f^*(t, x, y) = 0 & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = 0, u'(1) = \frac{1}{m} & m \in N_0 \end{cases} \dots (8)_\lambda^m$$

for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Let  $u' \in C^1[0, 1]$ ,  $\phi_p(u') \in C^1[0, 1]$  be any solution of (8)<sub>λ</sub><sup>m</sup>.

The differential equation and (H<sub>1</sub>)(H<sub>2</sub>) immediately imply that  $(\phi_p(u'))' \leq 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ ,  $u'(t) \geq \frac{1}{m}$ , on  $[0, 1]$ , and  $u(t) \geq \frac{1}{m}$  on  $[0, 1]$ , also from (H<sub>3</sub>) we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(\phi_p(u'))' &= \lambda q(t) f^*(t, u, u') = \lambda q(t) f(t, u, u') \\ &\leq \lambda q(t) h(u) [g(u') + r(u')] \\ &\leq q(t) h(u(1)) [g(u') + r(u')] \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , and we have

$$\frac{-(\phi_p(u'))'}{g(u') + r(u')} \leq q(t) h(u(1))$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Integration from  $t$  to 1 yields

$$\int_{\phi_p\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)}^{\phi_p(u'(t))} \frac{dx}{g(\phi_p^{-1}(x)) + r(\phi_p^{-1}(x))} \leq h(u(1)) \int_0^1 q(s) ds$$

i.e., 
$$I(\phi_p(u'(t))) - I\left(\phi_p\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right) \leq h(u(1)) \int_0^1 q(s) ds$$

and so 
$$u'(t) \leq \phi_p^{-1}\left(I^{-1}\left(h(u(1)) \int_0^1 q(s) ds + I(\phi_p(\varepsilon))\right)\right)$$

Now integrate from 0 to 1 to obtain

$$\frac{u(1)}{\phi_p^{-1}\left(I^{-1}\left(h(u(1)) \int_0^1 q(s) ds + I(\phi_p(\varepsilon))\right)\right)} \leq 1 \dots (9)$$

now (6) together with (9) implies

$$|u|_0 = u(1) \neq M. \dots (10)$$

Notice any solution  $u$  of  $(8)_\lambda^m$  that satisfies  $0 \leq u(t) < M$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , also satisfies

$$\frac{1}{m} \leq u'(t) < \phi_p^{-1} \left( I^{-1} (h(M) \int_0^1 q(s) ds + I(\phi_p(\epsilon))) \right) + 1 \equiv M_1 \quad \dots (11)$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $M_0 = \max \{M, \phi_p(M_1)\}$  in lemma 1, and from (10) and (11) that

$$\|u\|_1 = \max \{ \|u\|_0, \|\phi_p(u')\|_0 \} < M_0 \quad \dots (12)$$

thus lemma 1 implies  $(7)^m$  has a solution  $u_m$ . In fact

$$0 \leq u_m(t) < M, \quad \frac{1}{m} \leq u'_m(t) < M_1 \quad \dots (13)$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and  $u_m$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'_m))' + q(t)f(t, u, u') = 0 & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = 0, u'(1) = \frac{1}{m} \end{cases}$$

The condition  $(H_5)$  guarantees the existence of a function  $\Psi_{M, M_1}(t)$  continuous on  $[0, 1]$  and positive on  $(0, 1)$  and a constant  $\gamma, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , with

$$f(t, u_m(t), u'_m(t)) \geq \Psi_{M, M_1}(t) [u_m(t)]^\gamma$$

for  $(t, u_m(t), u'_m(t)) \in [0, 1] \times [0, M] \times (0, M_1]$ , we claim

$$u'_m(t) \geq \left[ \int_0^1 \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) s^\gamma ds \right) dt \right]^{\frac{\gamma}{p-1-\gamma}} \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) s^\gamma ds \right) \quad \dots (14)$$

from  $(H_5)$  we have

$$-(\phi_p(u'_m))' = q(t)f(t, u_m, u'_m) \geq q(t) \Psi_{M, M_1}(t) [u_m(t)]^\gamma$$

Integration from  $t$  to 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_p(u'_m(t)) &\geq \phi_p\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) + \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) [u_m(s)]^\gamma ds \\ &> \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) [u_m(s)]^\gamma ds \end{aligned}$$

and from lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u'_m(t) &> \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) [u_m(s)]^\gamma ds\right) \\ &> \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) u_m^\gamma(1) s^\gamma ds\right) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (15)$$

Now integrate from 0 to  $t$  to obtain

$$u_m(t) > \int_0^t \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) u_m^\gamma(1) s^\gamma ds\right) dt$$

and so

$$u_m(1) > \int_0^t \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) u_m^\gamma(1) s^\gamma ds\right) dt$$

$$= \int_0^1 \phi_p^{-1}(u_m^\gamma(1)) \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) s^\gamma ds dt$$

$$= [u_m(1)]^{\frac{\gamma}{p-1}} \int_0^1 \left(\int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) s^\gamma ds\right) dt$$

and so

$$u_m(1) > \left[ \int_0^1 \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) s^\gamma ds\right) dt \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p-1-\gamma}} = a_0.$$

from (15) we have

$$u'_m(t) > \phi_p^{-1}(u_m^\gamma(1)) \phi_p^{-1}\left(\int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) s^\gamma ds\right)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&> \phi_p^{-1}(a_0^\gamma) \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) s^\gamma ds \right) \\
&= a_0^{\frac{\gamma}{p-1}} \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) s^\gamma ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

so (14) is true.

Next we show that  $\{u_m\}_{m \in N_0}$  and  $\{\phi_p(u'_m)\}_{m \in N_0}$  are bounded, equicontinuous families on  $[0, 1]$ .

We need only check equicontinuity since (13) holds. Of course for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq -(\phi_p(u'_m(t)))' \leq h(M) [g(u'_m(t)) + r(M_1)] q(t) \\
&\leq h(M) \left[ g \left( a_0^{\frac{\gamma}{p-1}} \phi_p^{-1} \int_t^1 s^\gamma q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds \right) + r(M_1) \right] q(t)
\end{aligned}$$

now equicontinuity comes immediately from the above  $(H_6)$  and (13).

The Arzela-Ascoli theorem guarantees the existence of a subsequence  $N$  of  $N_0$  and a function  $u \in C^1[0, 1]$ ,  $\phi_p(u') \in C^1[0, 1]$  with  $u_m$  converging uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  to  $u$  and  $\phi_p(u'_m)$  to  $\phi_p(u')$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  through  $N$ ; Also  $u(0) = 0 = u'(1)$ . In addition, since

$$\phi_p(u'_m(t)) \geq a_0^\gamma \int_t^1 s^\gamma q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . We have

$$\phi_p(u'(t)) \geq a_0^\gamma \int_t^1 s^\gamma q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and so  $u' > 0$  on  $[0, 1)$  and  $u > 0$  on  $(0, 1]$ . Now  $u_m, m \in N$  satisfies

$$\phi_p(u'_m(t)) = \int_t^1 q(s) f\left(s, u_m(s), u'_m(s)\right) ds + \frac{1}{m}$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Fix  $t \in [0, 1]$ , let  $m \rightarrow \infty$  through  $N$  in the above equality to obtain

$$\phi_p(u'(t)) = \int_t^1 q(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and we deduce immediately that  $u \in C^1(0, 1)$ ,  $\phi_p(u') \in C^1[0, 1]$ ,

and 
$$(\phi_p(u'))' = -q(t)f(t, u, u')$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ .

*Remark :* In theorem 1, if we let  $p = 2$ , then the result is the same result as [1].

*Example 1* — Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' + \mu(u')^{-\alpha}[u^\beta + 1] = 0 & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \dots (16)$$

with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $\mu > 0$ . If

$$\mu < \frac{p-1}{\alpha+p-1} \left( \sup_{c \in (0, \infty)} \frac{c^{\alpha+p-1}}{(c^\beta + 1)} \right) \quad \dots (17)$$

then (16) has a solution  $u \in C^1[0, 1]$ ,  $\phi_p(u') \in C^1[0, 1]$  with  $u > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ .

To see that (16) has a solution, we will apply theorem 3 with  $q = 1$ ,  $g(u) = u^{-\alpha}$ ,  $r = 0$ , and  $h(u) = \mu[u^\beta + 1]$ . Clearly,  $(H_1)$   $(H_2)$   $(H_3)$   $(H_5)$  (with  $\Psi_{H,L} = L^{-\alpha}$  and  $\gamma = 0$ ) and  $(H_6)$  (since  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) are satisfied. Next notice that  $I(z) = \frac{p-1}{\alpha+p-1} z^{\frac{\alpha+p-1}{p-1}}$ . Also

$$\sup_{c \in (0, \infty)} \frac{c}{\phi_p^{-1} \left( \Gamma^{-1} \left( h(c) \int_0^1 q(s) ds \right) \right)} = \sup_{c \in (0, \infty)} \frac{c}{\left[ \frac{\alpha+p-1}{p-1} \mu (c^\beta + 1) \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha+p-1}}}$$

so (17) guarantees that  $(H_4)$  holds. Theorem 3 now establishes the result.

### 3. SINGULARITY AT $u' = 0$ AND $u = 0$

In this section our nonlinearity  $f$  may be singular at  $u' = 0$  and  $u = 0$ . Throughout this section we will assume that the following conditions hold ;

$(G_1)$   $q \in C[0, 1]$  with  $q > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ ;

$(G_2)$   $f \in C([0, 1] \times (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty), (0, +\infty))$ ; and

$(G_3)$   $f(t, x, y) \leq [h(x) + w(x)] [g(y) + r(y)]$  on  $[0, 1] \times (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$

with  $w > 0, g > 0$  continuous and nonincreasing on  $(0, +\infty)$ , and  $h \geq 0, r \geq 0$  continuous and nondecreasing on  $[0 + \infty)$ .

$$(G_4) \sup_{c \in (0, +\infty)} \frac{c}{\phi_p^{-1} \left( I^{-1} \left[ |q|_0 ch(c) + |q|_0 \int_0^c w(x) dx \right] \right)} > 1$$

where 
$$I(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\phi_p^{-1}(u) du}{g(\phi_p^{-1}(u)) + r(\phi_p^{-1}(u))}$$

for 
$$z > 0, |q|_0 = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |q(t)|, I(\infty) = \infty, \int_0^a w(x) dx < \infty, \text{ for any } a > 0;$$

$(G_5)$  for constants  $H > 0, L > 0$ , there exists a function  $\Psi_{H,L}(t)$  continuous on  $[0, 1]$  and positive on  $(0, 1)$ , such that  $f(t, x, y) \geq \Psi_{H,L}(t)$  on  $[0, 1] \times (0, H] \times (0, L]$ ; and

$$(G_6) \int_0^1 q(t) w(k_0 t) dt < \infty, \int_0^1 q(t) g(\phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_t^1 \Psi_{H,L}(s) q(s) ds \right)) dt < \infty,$$

for any constant  $k_0 > 0$ .

We have

**Theorem 2** — Suppose  $(G_1)$ – $(G_6)$  hold. Then (1) has a solution  $u \in C^1 [0, 1]$ ,  $\phi_p(u') \in C^1 [0, 1]$  with  $u(t) > 0$  on  $(0, 1]$ .

PROOF : Choose  $M > 0$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $\varepsilon < \frac{M}{2}$  and with

$$\frac{M}{\phi_p^{-1}(\varepsilon) + \phi_p^{-1} \left\{ I^{-1} [Mh(M) | \phi|_q + | \phi|_q \int_0^M w(x) dx + I(\varepsilon)] \right\}} > 1 \quad \dots (18)$$

Choose  $n_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  with  $\phi_p \left( \frac{1}{n_0} \right) < \varepsilon$  and let  $N_0 = \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}$

Consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' + q(t) f^*(t, u, u') = 0 & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = u'(1) = \frac{1}{m} \end{cases} \quad \dots (19)_m$$

We first prove that  $(19)_m$  has a solution for each  $m \in N_0$ ; here

$$f^*(t, x, v) = \begin{cases} f(t, x, v), & u \geq \frac{1}{m}, v \geq \frac{1}{m} \\ f\left(t, u, \frac{1}{m}\right), & u \geq \frac{1}{m}, v < \frac{1}{m} \\ f\left(t, \frac{1}{m}, v\right), & u < \frac{1}{m}, v \geq \frac{1}{m} \\ f\left(t, \frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m}\right), & u < \frac{1}{m}, v < \frac{1}{m} \end{cases}$$

Consider the family of problems

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'))' + \lambda q(t) f^*(t, u, u') = 0 & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = u'(1) = \frac{1}{m} & m \in N_0 \end{cases} \dots (20)_\lambda^m$$

for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . Let  $u \in C^1 [0, 1]$ ,  $\gamma_\pi(u') \in C^1 [0, 1]$  be any solution of  $(20)_\lambda^m$ . Then according to the definition of  $f^*$  and  $(G_2)$ , we get:  $f^* \in C([0,1] \times \mathfrak{R}^2, \mathfrak{R})$  and  $f^*(t, u, v) > 0$ , for  $(t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times \mathfrak{R}^2$ . We have  $(\phi_p(u'))' = -\lambda q(t) f^*(t, u, u') \leq 0$ , so  $\phi_p(u')$  nonincreasing, also since  $\phi_p$  increasing, we obtain  $u'$  nonincreasing. It is immediate that  $u'(t) \geq u'(1) = \frac{1}{m}$  on  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and

$$u(t) = \int_0^t u'(t)dt + u(0) \geq \frac{1}{m}t + \frac{1}{m} \geq \frac{1}{m} \text{ on } t \in [0, 1]. \text{ That is}$$

$$u'(t) \geq \frac{1}{m}, u(t) \geq \frac{1}{m} \quad t \in [0, 1] \dots (21)$$

by the definition of  $f^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -(\phi_p(u'))' &= \lambda q(t) f^*(t, u, u') = \lambda q(t) f(t, u, u') \\ &\leq q(t) [h(u) + w(u)] [g(u') + r(u')] \end{aligned} \dots (22)$$

and 
$$\frac{-(\phi_p(u'))'}{[g(u') + r(u')]} \leq q(t) [h(u) + w(u)] \dots (23)$$

and so 
$$\frac{-u'(\phi_p(u'))'}{[g(u') + r(u')]} \leq |q|_0 [h(u) + w(u)]u'$$

Integration from  $t$  to 1 yields

$$\int_t^1 \frac{-u'd(\phi_p(u'))}{g(u') + r(u')} \leq |q|_0 h(u(1))u(1) + |q|_0 \int_{u(t)}^{u(1)} w(x)dx.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^1 \frac{-u'd(\phi_p(u'))}{g(u') + r(u')} &= \int_{\phi_p(u'(1))}^{\phi_p(u'(t))} \frac{\phi_p^{-1}(z)dz}{g[\phi_p^{-1}(z)] + r[\phi_p^{-1}(z)]} \\ &= I(\phi_p(u'(t))) - \phi_p(u'(1)) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_p(u'(t)) &\leq I^{-1} [I(\phi_p(u'(1))) + |q|_0 h(u(1))u(1) + |q|_0 \int_{u(t)}^{u(1)} w(x)dx] \\ &\leq I^{-1} [I(\varepsilon) + |q|_0 h(u(1))u(1) + |q|_0 \int_0^{u(1)} w(x)dx]. \end{aligned}$$

Now integrate from 0 to 1 to obtain

$$u(1) \leq \frac{1}{m} + \phi_p^{-1} \left\{ I^{-1} [I(\varepsilon) + |q|_0 h(u(1))u(1) + |q|_0 \int_0^{u(1)} w(x)dx] \right\}$$

and so,

$$\frac{u(1)}{\phi_p^{-1}(\varepsilon + \phi_p^{-1} \left\{ I^{-1} [I(\varepsilon) + |q|_0 h(u(1))u(1) + |q|_0 \int_0^{u(1)} w(x)dx] \right\})} \leq 1 \quad \dots (23)$$

Now  $(G_4)$  together with (23) implies

$$|u|_0 = u(1) < M. \quad \dots (24)$$

Next notice any solution  $u$  of  $(20)_\lambda^m$  that satisfies  $\frac{1}{m} \leq u(t) < M$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$  also satisfies

$$\frac{1}{m} \leq u'(t) < \phi_p^{-1} \left\{ I^{-1} [ |q|_0 h(M)M + |q|_0 \int_0^M w(x)dx + I(\varepsilon) ] \right\} + 1 := M_1 \quad \dots (25)$$

Let  $M_0 = \max\{M, \phi_p(M_1)\}$  in Lemma 1, notice from (24) and (25) that

$$|u|_1 = \max\{|u|_0, |\phi_p(u')|_0\} < M_0. \quad \dots (26)$$

So Lemma 1 implies (19) $m$  has a solution  $u_m$  with

$$\frac{1}{m} \leq u_m(t) < M \quad \frac{1}{m} \leq u'_m(t) < M_1 \quad \dots (27)$$

and  $u_m$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'_m))' + q(t)f(t, u, u') = 0, & 0 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = u'(1) = \frac{1}{m} \end{cases} \quad \dots (28)$$

Next notice  $(G_5)$  guarantees the existence of a function  $\Psi_{M, M_1}(t) \in C[0, 1]$  and positive on  $(0, 1)$ , such that  $f(t, u_m(t), u'_m(t)) \geq \Psi_{m, M_1}(t)$  for  $(t, u_m(t), u'_m(t)) \in [0, 1] \times (0, M] \times (0, M_1]$ . We have

$$-(\phi_p(u'_m))' \geq q(t) \Psi_{M, M_1}(t)$$

Integrate from  $t$  to 1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_p(u'_m(t)) &\geq \phi_p(u'_m(1)) + \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds \\ &\geq \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

and so 
$$u'_m(t) \geq \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds \right), \quad t \in [0, 1] \quad \dots (29)$$

Integrate from 0 to  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_m(t) &\geq u_m(0) + \int_0^t \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_x^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds \right) dx \\ &\geq \int_0^t \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_x^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds \right) dx \quad \dots (30) \\ &:= t \Omega_{M, M_1}(t) \end{aligned}$$

here 
$$\Omega_{M, M_1}(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_x^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds \right) dx.$$
 Now since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Omega_{M, M_1}(t) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_0^t \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_x^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds \right) dx}{t} \\ &= \phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_0^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Omega_{M, M_1}(t)$  extend to a continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ . Consequently, there exists a  $k_0 > 0$  with  $\Omega_{M, M_1}(t) \geq k_0 > 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . This together with (30) implies

$$u_m(t) \geq k_0 t, \quad t \in [0, 1] \tag{31}$$

also from (29) and (30) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq -(\phi_p(u'_m(t)))' &\leq [h(M) + w(u_m(t))] [g(u'_m(t)) + r(M_1)] q(t) \\ &\leq [h(M) + w(k_0 t)] \\ &\left[ g(\phi_p^{-1} \left( \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds \right) + r(M_1)) \right] q(t) \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in (0, 1)$ . From  $(G_c)$  we get:

$$\{u_m\}_{m \in N_0}, \{\phi_p(u'_m)\}_{m \in N_0} \text{ is a bound, equicontinuous family on } [0, 1].$$

The Arzela-Ascoli Theorem guarantees the existence of a subsequence  $N$  of  $N_0$ , and a function  $u \in C^1 [0, 1]$ ,  $\phi_p(u' \in C^1 [0, 1])$ , with  $u_m(t)$  and  $\phi_p(u'_m(t))$  converging uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  to  $u(t)$  and  $\phi_p(u'(t))$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  through  $N$ , respectively. Also  $u(0) = 0 = u'(1)$  with  $u(t) \geq k_0 t$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and

$$\phi_p(u'(t)) \geq \int_t^1 q(s) \Psi_{M, M_1}(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

In addition  $u_m(t), M \in N$  satisfies

$$\phi_p(u'_m(t)) = \int_t^1 q(s) f(s, u_m(s), u'_m(s)) ds + \frac{1}{m}, \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

fix  $t \in [0, 1]$  and let  $m \rightarrow \infty$  through  $N$  to deduce that

$$\phi_p(u'(t)) = \int_t^1 q(s) f(s, u(s), u'(s)) ds$$

i.e.  $(\phi_p(u'(t)))' = -\phi(t) f(t, u(t), u'(t))$

That is to say that  $u(t)$  is a solution of (1).

*Example 2:* Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} (\phi_p(u'(t)))' + \mu(u')^{-\alpha} [u^{-\beta} + \eta_0 u^\gamma + \eta_1] = 0 & 1 < t < 1 \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases} \dots (32)$$

with  $0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta < 1, \eta_0 \geq 0, \eta_1 \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0, \mu > 0$ . If

$$\mu < \sup_{c \in (0, \infty)} \frac{c}{\left[ c(\eta_0 c^\gamma + \eta_1) + \frac{c^{\beta+1}}{1+\beta} \right] [(p-1)(1+\alpha) + 1]}$$

Then (32) has a solution  $u \in C^1 [0, 1], \phi_p(u') \in C^1 [0, 1]$  with  $u(t) > 0$  on  $(0, 1]$ .

**PROOF :** Let  $q = \mu, g(u) = u^{-\alpha}, r = 0, h(u) = \eta_0 u^\gamma + \eta_1, w(u) = u^{-\beta}$ . Clearly,  $(G_1), (G_2), (G_3), (G_5)$  (with  $\Psi_{H,L} = H^{-\beta} L^{-\alpha}$ ) and  $(G_6)$  (Since  $0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta < 1$ ) are satisfied. Also

$$I(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\phi_p^{-1}(u) du}{(\phi_p^{-1}(u))^{-\alpha}} = \frac{z^{(p-1)(1+\alpha)+1}}{(p-1)(1+\alpha)+1},$$

$$I^{-1}(u) = \left\{ u^{(p-1)(1+\alpha)+1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{(p-1)(1+\alpha)+1}}$$

$$\int_0^c w(u) du = \int_0^c u^\beta du = \frac{c^{\beta+1}}{1-\beta},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{c \in (0, \infty)} \frac{c}{\phi_p^{-1} \left\{ I^{-1} \left[ |q|_0 c h(c) + |q|_0 \int_0^c w(x) dx \right] \right\}} \\ &= \sup_{c \in (0, \infty)} \frac{c}{\phi_p^{-1} \left\{ \mu \left[ c(\eta_0 c^\gamma + \eta_1) + \frac{c^{\beta+1}}{1-\beta} \right] [(p-1)(1+\alpha) + 1] \right\}^{\frac{1}{(p-1)(1+\alpha)+1} (p-1)}} \end{aligned}$$

So  $(G_4)$  holds. Theorem 2 now establishes the result.



## REFERENCES

1. R. Manasevich and K. Schmitt, *In: Nonlinear Analysis and Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations* (Ed. F. Zanolin), Springer Wien, New York, 1996.
2. Ravi P. Agarwal and Donal O'Regan, *J. math. Anal. Appl.* **226** (1998) 414-430.
3. Ravi P. Agarwal and Donald O'Regan, *J. Diff. Eq.*, **143** (1998) 60-95.
4. Wang Hongzhou, Deng Lihu and Ge Weigao, *ACTA Mathematic SINICA*, **43**(3) (2000) 385-390, (In Chinese)
5. Yang Zuodong, *Appl. math. Mech.*, **17**(5) (1996) 445-454 (In Chinese).
6. K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1985.
7. D. O'Regan, *Theory of Singular Boundary Value Problems*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.