

INITIAL VALUE PROBLEMS OF SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR IMPULSIVE INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN BANACH SPACES*

YU LIXIN

*Department of Mathematics, Qufu Normal University, Qufu Shandong, 273 165,
P. R. China*

(Received 4 December 2001; accepted 23 September 2002)

In this paper, by using a new comparison result and the monotone iterative technique, we studied the existence of minimal and maximal solutions of initial value problem for nonlinear second order impulsive integro-differential equations which contains differential argument in Banach spaces and some existence theorems are obtained.

Key Words : Extremal Solutions; Impulsive Integro-Differential Equation; Kuratowski Noncompact Measure; Monotone Iterative Technique

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Dajun Guo established the existence theorems of extremal solutions of initial value problem (IVP) for second order differential equations in which f don't contain differential argument in Banach spaces. The author discussed² & ³ the IVP of second order nonlinear impulsive integro-differential equations in which f don't contain differential argument in finite domain and in infinite domain respectively. And in [4] and [5], the IVP of second order integro-differential equations in which f contain differential argument but don't contain impulsive argument in finite domain and in infinite domain were investigated respectively. Further, in the special case where f contain n order differential argument was discussed in paper⁶. In this paper, we will consider the IVP of second integro-differential impulsive equations :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u'' = f(t, u, u', Tu), \quad \forall t \in J, t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta u|_{t=t_i} = L_i u'(t_i), \\ \Delta u'|_{t=t_i} = I_i(u(t_i), u'(t_i)) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m), \\ u(0) = x_0, u'(0) = x_1, \end{array} \right. \quad \dots (1)$$

*Project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (19871048) and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China (Z2000A02)

where E is a real Banach space, $J = [0, a]$ ($a > 0$), $x_0, x_1 \in E, f \in C[J \times E \times E, E]$,

$$Tu(t) = \int_0^t k(t, s) u(s) ds, k \in C[D, R^+], D = \{(t, s) \in J \times J : t \geq s\}, k_0 = \max \{k(t, s) : (t, s) \in D\},$$

$0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m < a, L_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, are constants, $I_i \in C[E \times E, E], \Delta u|_{t=t_i}$ denotes the jump

of $u(t)$ at $t = t_i$, i.e., $\Delta u|_{t=t_i} = u(t_i^+) - u(t_i^-)$, where $u(t_i^+)$ and $u(t_i^-)$ denote the right and left limits

of $u(t)$ at $t = t_i$ respectively. $\Delta u'|_{t=t_i}$ has a similar meaning for $u'(t)$. In this paper, the method used

in paper [1-3] isn't suitable, for f contain differential argument u' . And because the equation is impulsive, the method used in paper [4-6] can't resolve this problem too. By using a new comparison result and the monotone iterative technique, the existence theorem of extremal solution of IVP¹ were obtained.

Let $PC[J, E] = \{u : u \text{ is a map from } J \text{ into } E \text{ such that } u(t) \text{ is continuous at } t \neq t_i, \text{ and } u(t_i^+) \text{ exists, } i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $PC^1[J, E] = \{u \in PC[J, E] : u'(t) \text{ is continuous at } t \neq t_i, \text{ and } u'(t_i^-), u'(t_i^+) \text{ exist, } i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Evidently, $PC[J, E]$ is a Banach spaces with norm

$$\|u\|_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} \|u(t)\|.$$

For $u \in PC^1[J, E]$, by virtue of the mean value theorem, we have .

$$u(t_i) - u(t_i - h) \in h \overline{CO} \{u'(t) : t_i - h < t < t_i\} \quad (h > 0),$$

it is easy to see that the left derivative $u'_-(t_i)$ of $u(t)$ exists and

$$u'_-(t_i) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{u(t_i) - u(t_i - h)}{h} = u'(t_i^-).$$

In (1) and the following, $u'(t_i)$ is understood as $u'_-(t_i)$. Therefore, when $u \in PC^1[J, E]$, we have $u' \in PC[J, E]$, and it is easy to see, $PC^1[J, E]$ is a Banach space with norm

$$\|u\|_{PC^1} = \max \{ \|u\|_{PC}, \|u'\|_{PC} \}.$$

Let $J' = \mathcal{J}\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}$, $\tau = \max \{t_i - t_{i-1} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m+1\}$ (where $t_0 = 0, (t_{m+1} = a)$)

$J_0 = [0, t_1], J_1 = (t_1, t_2], \dots, J_{m-1} = (t_{m-1}, t_m], J_m = (t_m, a]$. $C[J]$ denote all the continuous functions from

I to E , $C^1 [I]$ denote all the continuously differentiable functions from I to E , $u \in PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$ is called a solution of IVP (1), if it satisfies (1).

2. SEVERAL LEMMAS

Let E be a partially ordered space by a cone P of E , i.e., $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. The cone P is said to be normal if there exists a positive constant N such that $\theta \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq N \|y\|$, where θ denote the zero element of E , and the cone P is regular if $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq \dots \leq y$, implies $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for some $x \in E$. It is well known that the regularity of P implies the normality of P . For details on cone theory see [7-9]. α denote Kuratowski noncompact measure, for $H \subset PC [J, E]$, let $H(t) = \{x(t) \mid x \in H\} \subset E$, ($\forall t \in J$).

Definition — Let $u_0, v_0 \in PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$, $u_0(t) \leq v_0(t)$, $u_0'(t) \leq v_0'(t)$, ($\forall t \in J$), we said u_0, v_0 are lower and upper solutions of IVP (1) respectively, suppose that the following equations hold

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_0'' = f(t, u_0, u_0', Tu_0), \forall t \in J, t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta u_0|_{t=t_i} = L_i u_0'(t_i), \\ \Delta u_0'|_{t=t_i} = I_i(u_0(t_i), u_0'(t_i)) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m), \\ u(0) = x_0, u'(0) \leq x_1, \end{array} \right. \dots (2)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_0''(t) \geq f(t, v_0, v_0', Tv_0), \forall t \in J, t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta v_0|_{t=t_i} = L_i v_0'(t_i), \\ \Delta v_0'|_{t=t_i} \geq I_i(v_0(t_i), v_0'(t_i)) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m), \\ v(0) = x_0, v'(0) \geq x_1, \end{array} \right. \dots (3)$$

Lemma⁷ — Let $H \subset PC^1 [J, E]$ is a bounded set, the functions of H' are equicontinuous on J_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), then

$$\alpha_{PC^1} H = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in J} \alpha(H(t)), \sup_{t \in J} \alpha(H'(t)) \right\},$$

where α_{PC^1} denotes the noncompact measure in $PC^1 [J, E]$.

Lemma 2⁷ — If $H \subset PC [J, E]$ is countable and bounded, then $\alpha(H(t)) \in L [J, R^+]$, and

$$\alpha \left(\left\{ \int_{t_0}^t x(s) ds : x \in H \right\} \right) \leq 2 \int_{t_0}^t \alpha(H(s)) ds.$$

Lemma 3 — (Comparison theorem) Assume $p \in PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$ satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p''(t) \leq -M(t)p(t) - L(t)(Tp)(t) - N(t)p'(t), \forall t \in J, t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta p|_{t=t_i} = L_i p'(t_i), \\ \Delta p'|_{t=t_i} \leq L_i^* p(t_i), (i = 1, 2, \dots, m), \\ p(0) = \theta, p'(0) \leq \theta \end{array} \right. \dots (4)$$

where $M(t), N(t), L(t)$ are bounded integrable nonnegative functions on $J, L_i \geq 0, L_i^* \leq 0, (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ and one of the following four conditions hold :

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad N_* = 0, \quad & \left(a + \sum_{i=1}^m L_i \right) \leq \frac{1}{a(M_* + L_* k_0 a) - \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^*} \\ (2) \quad N_* > 0, \quad & \left(N_*^{-1} (e^{N_* a} - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^m L_i e^{N_* a} \right) \leq \frac{1}{a(M_* + L_* k_0 a) - \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^*} \dots (5) \\ (3) \quad N_* > 0 \quad & \left(a + \sum_{i=1}^m L_i \right) \leq \frac{1 - a N_*}{a(M_* + L_* k_0 a) - \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^*} \end{aligned}$$

where

$M_* = \max \{ M(t) : t \in J \}, N_* = \max \{ N(t) : t \in J \}, L_* = \max \{ L(t) : t \in J \}$, then

$$p(t) \leq 0, p'(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in J.$$

PROOF : For any $g \in P^*$, let $u(t) = (p(t))$, then $u \in PC^1 [J, R] \cap C^2 [J', R]$ and

$$u''(t) g(p''(t)), u'(t) = g(p'(t)), (Tu)(t) = g((Tp)(t)), \forall t \in J.$$

By (4) we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u'' \leq -m(t)u - N(t)u' - L(t)(Tu), \forall t \in J, t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta u|_{t=t_i} = L_i u'(t_i), \\ \Delta u'|_{t=t_i} \leq L_i^*(u(t_i)), \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m), \\ u(0) = 0, u'(0) \leq 0. \end{array} \right. \dots (6)$$

Let $u_1(t) = u'(t)$, then $u_1 \in PC [J, R] \cap C^1 [J', R]$ and

$$u(t) = u(0) + \int_0^t u_1(s) ds + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \Delta u|_{t=t_i} = \int_0^t u_1(s) ds + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} L_i u_1(t_i).$$

Let $u(t), u'(t)$ apply to (6), we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_1'(t) \leq - \int_0^t \left[M(t) + L(t) \int_s^t k(t,r) dr \right] u_1(s) ds - N(t)u_1(t) - M(t) \sum_{0 < t_i < t} L_i u_1(t_i) \\ \quad - L(t) \int_0^t \left[k(t,s) \sum_{0 < t_i < s} L_i u_1(t_i) \right] ds, \forall t \in J, t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta u_1|_{t=t_i} \leq L_i^* \left[\int_0^{t_i} u_1(s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^i L_k u_1(t_k) \right], (i = 1, 2, \dots, m), \\ u_1(0) \leq 0, \end{array} \right. \dots (7)$$

Now, we prove $u_1(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in J$.

In case of condition (3) holding, suppose $u_1(t) \leq 0$ is not true, then there is a $t^* \in (0, a]$ such that $u_1(t^*) > 0$. Let $t^* \in J_j, \inf_{0 \leq t \leq t^*} u_1(t) = -\lambda$, then $\lambda \geq 0$.

If $\lambda = 0$, by (7) we have $u_1'(t) \leq 0$, $\Delta u_1|_{t=t_i} \leq 0$. Consequently, $u_1(t)$ is nonincreasing in $[0, t^*]$, so $u_1(t^*) \leq u_1(0) \leq 0$, which contradicts $u_1(t^*) > 0$.

If $\lambda > 0$, there exists a $J_l (l \leq j)$, such that $u_1(t_*) = -\lambda$ for some $t_* \in J_l$ or $u_1(t_l^+) = -\lambda$. Let $u_1(t_*) = -\lambda$ (when $u_1(t_l^+) = -\lambda$, the proof is similar). From (7) we have

$$u_1'(t) \leq a(M_* + L_* k_0 a)\lambda + N_*\lambda + (M_* + L_* k_0 a) \sum_{i=1}^m L_i \lambda = M_0 \lambda, \forall t \in [0, t^*],$$

$$\Delta u_1|_{t=t_i} \leq -L_i^* \left(a + \sum_{k=1}^m L_k \right) \lambda \quad \dots (8)$$

where $M_0 = (M_* + L_* k_0 a) \left(a + \sum_{i=1}^m L_i \right) + N_*$. By mean value theorem we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_1(t^*) - u_1(t_j^+) = u_1'(\xi_j)(t^* - t_j), \quad t_j < \xi_j < t^* \\ u_1(t_j) - u_1(t_{j-1}^+) = u_1'(\xi_{j-1})(t_j - t_{j-1}), \quad t_{j-1} < \xi_{j-1} < t_j \\ \dots \\ u_1(t_{l+2}) - u_1(t_{l+1}^+) = u_1'(\xi_{l+1})(t_{l+2} - t_{l+1}), \quad t_{l+1} < \xi_{l+1} < t_{l+2}, \\ u_1(t_{l+1}) - u_1(t_*) = u_1'(\xi_l)(t_{l+1} - t_*), \quad t_* < \xi_l < t_{l+1}, \end{array} \right. \quad \dots (9)$$

and then, by (8) we see

$$u_1(t_i^+) = u_1(t_i) + \Delta u_1|_{t=t_i} \leq u_1(t_i) - L_i^* \left(a + \sum_{k=1}^m L_k \right) \lambda, \forall t_i \leq t^*, \quad \dots (10)$$

hence, by (8) (9) (10) we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_1(t^*) - u_1(t_j) \leq -L_j^* \left(a + \sum_{k=1}^m L_k \right) \lambda + \lambda M_0 (t^* - t_j), \\ \\ u_1(t_j) - u_1(t_{j-1}) \leq -L_{j-1}^* \left(a + \sum_{k=1}^m L_k \right) \lambda + \lambda M_0 (t_j - t_{j-1}), \\ \dots \\ u_1(t_{l+2}) - u_1(t_{l+1}) \leq -L_{l+1}^* \left(a + \sum_{k=1}^m L_k \right) \lambda + \lambda M_0 (t_{l+2} - t_{l+1}), \\ \\ u_1(t_{l+1}) + \lambda \leq -L_l^* \left(a + \sum_{k=1}^m L_k \right) \lambda + \lambda M_0 (t_{l+1} - t_*). \end{array} \right.$$

Adding together we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda < u_1(t^*) + \lambda &\leq - \left(a + \sum_{k=1}^m L_k \right) \sum_{i=l+1}^j L_i^* \lambda + \lambda M_0 (t^* - t_*) \\ &< - \left(a + \sum_{k=1}^m L_k \right) \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^* \lambda + \lambda M_0 a, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$1 \leq - \sum_{i=1}^m L_i^* \left(a + \sum_{k=1}^m L_k \right) + M_0 a,$$

which contradicts condition (3), so $u_1(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in J$.

In case of conditions (1) (2) holding, let

$$w(t) = u_1(t) e^{\int_0^t N(s) ds},$$

apply it to (7) we have

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} w'(t) &\leq - \int_0^t \left[M(t) + L(t) \int_s^t k(t,r) dr \right] e^s \int_0^s N(\xi) d\xi w(s) ds - M(t) \sum_{0 < t_i < t} L_i e^{t_i} \int_0^{t_i} n(s) ds w(t_i) \\ &- L(t) \int_0^t \left[k(t,s) \sum_{0 < t_i < s} L_i e^{t_i} \int_0^{t_i} n(\xi) d\xi w(t_i) \right] ds, \quad \forall t \in J, t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta w|_{t=t_i} &\leq L_i^* \left[\int_0^{t_i} e^s \int_0^s N(\xi) d\xi w(s) ds + \sum_{k=1}^i L_k e^{t_k} \int_0^{t_k} N(s) ds u_1(t_k) \right], \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m), \\ w(0) &\leq 0, \end{aligned} \right.$$

by the similar proof process to above, we can obtain $w(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in J$. And so $u_1(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in J$.

Consequently, $u(t) = \int_0^t u_1(s) ds + \sum_{t_i < t} L_i u_1(t_i) \leq 0, \forall t \in J$. By the randomness of g , we know $p(t) \leq p'(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in J$.

Lemma 4 — Let $\sigma \in PC[J, E], \eta \in P^1[J, E], M(t), N(t), L(t)$ are bounded integrable non-negative functions on $J, L_i \geq 0, L_i^* \leq 0, (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ are constants, then the IVP for linear impulsive integro-differential equation

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} u''(t) &= -M(t)u - N(t)u'(t) - L(t)(Tu)(t) + \sigma(t), \quad \forall t \in J, t \neq t_i, \\ \Delta u|_{t=t_i} &= L_i u'(t_i), \\ \Delta u'|_{t=t_i} &= I_i(\eta(t_i), \eta'(t_i)) + L_i^*(u(t_i) - \eta(t_i)), \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m), \\ u(0) &= x_0, u'(0) = x_1, \end{aligned} \right. \quad \dots (11)$$

has a solution in $PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$.

PROOF : Let

$$f_*(t, u, u', Tu) = \sigma(t) - M(t)u(t) - N(t)u'(t) - L(t)((Tu)(t)), t \in J,$$

Firstly, we consider the following linear integro-differential equation

$$\begin{cases} u'' = f_*(t, u, u', Tu), & t \in J_0, \\ u(0) = x_0, u'(0) = x_1, \end{cases} \quad \dots (12)$$

it is easy to verify that $u \in C^2 [J_0, E]$ is a solution of IVP (12), if and only if $u \in C^1 [J_0, E]$ is a solution of the following integral equation

$$u(t) = x_0 + tx_1 + \int_0^t (t-s) [\sigma(s) - M(s)u(s) - N(s)u'(s) - L(s)(Tu)(s)] ds.$$

Let $(A_0 u)(t) = x_0 + tx_1 + \int_0^t (t-s) [\sigma(s) - M(s)u(s) - N(s)u'(s) - L(s)(Tu)(s)] ds, \quad \dots (13)$

then $(A_0 u)'(t) = x_1 + \int_0^t [\sigma(s) - M(s)u(s) - N(s)u'(s) - L(s)(Tu)(s)] ds. \quad \dots (14)$

For any $u, v \in C^1 [J_0, E]$ by (13) (14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_0 u)(t) - (A_0 v)(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t (t-s) [M_* \|u(s) - v(s)\| + N_* \|u'(s) - v'(s)\| \\ &\quad + L_* \tau k_0 \|u(s) - v(s)\|] ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \int_0^t \tau [(M_* + L_* k_0 \tau) \|u - v\| + N_* \|u'(s) - v'(s)\|] ds$$

$$\leq (\tau + 1) t (M_* + L_* k_0 \tau + N_*) \|u - v\|_{C^1 [J_0]}, \quad t \in J_0;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_0 u)'(t) - (A_0 v)'\| &\leq \int_0^t [M_* \|u(s) - v(s)\| \\ &\quad + N_* \|u'(s) - v'(s)\| + L_* k_0 \tau \|u(s) - v(s)\|] ds \\ &\leq (\tau + 1) (M_* + L_* k_0 \tau + N_*) \|u - v\|_{C^1 [J_0]} t, \quad t \in J_0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A_0^2 u)(t) - (A_0^2 v)(t)\| &\leq \int_0^t \tau [(M_* + L_* k_0 \tau) \|(A_0 u)(s) - (A_0 v)(s)\| \\ &\quad + N_* \|(A_0 u)'(s) - (A_0 v)'\|] ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (\tau + 1)^2 (M_* + L_* k_0 \tau + N_*)^2 \|u - v\|_{C^1 [J_0]} \frac{t^2}{2}, \\ \| (A_0^2 u)'(t) - (A_0^2 v)'(t) \| &\leq \int_0^t [(M_* + L_* k_0 \tau + N_*) \| (A_0 u)(s) - (A_0 v)(s) \| \\ &\quad + N_* \| (A_0 u)'(s) - (A_0 v)'(s) \|] ds \\ &\leq (\tau + 1)^2 (M_* + L_* k_0 \tau + N_*)^2 \|u - v\|_{C^1 [J_0]} \frac{t^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By mathematical induction we can obtain

$$\| (A_0^n u)(t) - (A_0^n v)(t) \| \leq (\tau + 1)^n (M_* + L_* k_0 \tau + N_*)^n \|u - v\|_{C^1 [J_0]} \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

$$\| (A_0^n u)'(t) - (A_0^n v)'(t) \| \leq (\tau + 1)^n (M_* + L_* k_0 \tau + N_*)^n \|u - v\|_{C^1 [J_0]} \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

i.e.,

$$\| A_0^n u - A_0^n v \|_{C [J_0]} \leq (\tau + 1)^n (M_* + L_* k_0 \tau + N_*)^n \|u - v\|_{C^1 [J_0]} \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

$$\| (A_0^n u)' - (A_0^n v)' \|_{C^1 [J_0]} \leq (\tau + 1)^n (M_* + L_* k_0 \tau + N_*)^n \|u - v\|_{C^1 [J_0]} \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

hence

$$\| A_0^n u - A_0^n v \|_{C^1 [J_0]} \leq (\tau + 1)^n (M_* + L_* k_0 \tau + N_*)^n \|u - v\|_{C^1 [J_0]} \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad \dots (15)$$

and so, we can choose $n_0 \in N$, such that

$$(\tau + 1)^{n_0} (M_* + L_* k_0 \tau + N_*)^{n_0} \frac{\tau^{n_0}}{n_0!} < 1, \quad \dots (16)$$

hence, by (15) (16) and the Banach contraction mapping principle, we see that $A_0^{n_0}$ has a unique fixed point ρ_0 in $C^1 [J_0]$, i.e., (12) has a unique solution in $C^2 [J_0, E]$, then ρ_0 satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \rho_0'' = f_*(t, \rho_0, \rho_0', T \rho_0), t \in J_0, \\ \rho_0(0) = x_0, \rho_0'(0) = x_1, \end{cases} \quad \dots (17)$$

Secondly, we consider the following linear integro-differential equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u'' = f_* \left(t, u, u', \bar{\rho}_0 + \int_{t_1}^t k(t, s) u(s) ds \right), \quad t \in J_1, \\ u(t_1^+) = L_1 \rho'_0(t_1) + \rho_0(t_1), \\ u'(t_1^+) = I_1(\eta(t_1), \eta'(t_1)) - L_1^* \eta(t_1) + L_1^* \rho_0(t_1) + \rho'_0(t_1), \end{array} \right. \dots (18)$$

where
$$\rho_0(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} k(t, s) \rho_0(s) ds.$$

It is easy to verify that $u \in PC^1 [J_1, E] \cap C^2 [(t_1, t_2), E]$ is a solution of (17), if and only if $u \in PC^1 [J_1, E]$ is a solution of the following integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & L_1 \rho'_0(t_1) + \rho_0(t_1) + (t - t_1) [I_1(\eta(t_1), \eta'(t_1)) - L_1^* \eta(t_1) + L_1^* \rho_0(t_1) + \rho'_0(t_1)] \\ & + \int_{t_1}^t (t - s) \left[\sigma(s) - M(s) u(s) - N(s) u'(s) - L(s) \left(\bar{\rho}_0(s) + \int_{t_1}^s k(s, \xi) u(\xi) d\xi \right) \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1 u)(t) = & L_1 \rho_0(t_1) + \rho_0(t_1) + (t - t_1) [I_1(\eta(t_1), \eta'(t_1)) \\ & - L_1^* \eta(t_1) + L_1^* \rho_0(t_1) + \rho'_0(t_1)] \\ & + \int_{t_1}^t (t - s) \left[\sigma(s) - M(s) u(s) - N(s) u'(s) - L(s) \left(\bar{\rho}_0(s) + \int_{t_1}^s k(s, \xi) u(\xi) d\xi \right) \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1 u)'(t) = & I_1(\eta(t_1), \eta'(t_1)) - L_1^* \eta(t_1) + L_1^* \rho_0(t_1) + \rho'_0(t_1) \\ & + \int_{t_1}^t \left[\sigma(s) - M(s) u(s) - N(s) u'(s) - L(s) \left(\bar{\rho}_0(s) + \int_{t_1}^s k(s, \xi) u(\xi) d\xi \right) \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

then A_1 is a operator from $PC^1 [J_1, E]$ to $PC^1 [J_1, E]$. For any $u, v \in PC^1 [J_1, E]$, by the same was that we used in obtaining (15), we have

$$\|A_1^n u - A_1^n v\|_{PC^1[J_1]} \leq (\tau + 1)^n (M_* + N_* + L_* k_0 v)^n \|u - v\|_{PC^1[J_1]} \frac{\tau^n}{n!}, \dots \quad (19)$$

by (16) (19) and the Banach contraction mapping principle, we see that A_1^n has a unique fixed point ρ_1 in $PC^1[J_1]$, therefore (18) has a unique solution ρ_1 in $PC^1[J_1, E] \cap C^2[(t_1, t_2), E]$. Then ρ_1 satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_1'' = f_* \left(t, \rho_1, \rho_1', \bar{\rho}_0 + \int_{t_1}^t k(t, s) \rho_1(s) ds \right), \quad t \in J_1, \\ \rho_1(t_1^+) = L_1 \rho_0'(t_1) + \rho_0(t_1), \\ \rho_1'(t_1^+) = I_1(\eta(t_1), \eta'(t_1)) - L_1^* \eta(t_1) + L_1^* \rho_0(t_1) + \rho_0'(t_1). \end{array} \right. \quad \dots \quad (20)$$

Next, by repeating above process, we can further to prove that, for $\forall i (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, the following integro-differential equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u'' = f_* \left(t, u, u', \bar{\rho}_0 + \bar{\rho}_1 + \dots + \bar{\rho}_{i-1} + \int_{t_1}^t k(t, s) u(s) ds \right), \quad t \in J_1, \\ u(t_1^+) = L_1 \rho_{i-1}'(t_1) + \rho_{i-1}(t_1), \\ u'(t_1^+) = I_i(\eta(t_1), \eta'(t_1)) - L_i^* \eta(t_1) + L_i^* \rho_{i-1}(t_1) + \rho_{i-1}'(t_1). \end{array} \right.$$

has a unique solution $\rho_i \in PC^1[J_i, E] \cap C^2[(t_i, t_{i+1}), E]$ and ρ_i satisfies

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho_i'' = f_* \left(t, \rho_i, \bar{\rho}_0 + \bar{\rho}_1 + \dots + \bar{\rho}_{i-1} + \int_{t_1}^t k(t, s) \rho_i(s) ds \right), \quad t \in J_1, \\ \rho_i(t_i^+) = L_i \rho_{i-1}'(t_i) + \rho_{i-1}(t_i), \\ \rho_i'(t_i^+) = I_i(\eta(t_i), \eta'(t_i)) - L_i^* \eta(t_i) + L_i^* \rho_{i-1}(t_i) + \rho_{i-1}'(t_i). \end{array} \right. \quad \dots \quad (21)$$

where

$$\bar{\rho}_i(t) = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} k(t, s) \rho_i(s) ds, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1.$$

Let
$$\rho(t) = \begin{cases} \rho_0(t), & t \in J_0, \\ \rho_1(t), & t \in J_1, \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \rho_m(t), & t \in J_m. \end{cases} \dots (22)$$

From (17) (20) (21) (22), it is easy to prove that $\rho \in PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$ is a solution of IVP (11).

Lemma 5 — Let $\sigma \in PC [J, E], \eta \in PC^1 [J, E]$, then $u \in PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$ is a solution of (11), if and only if $u \in PC^1 [J, E]$ is a solution of the following equation

$$u(t) = x_0 + tx_1 + \int_0^t (t-s) [\sigma(s) - M(s)u(s) - N(s)(u'(s)) - L(s)(Tu)(s)] ds + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \{ L_i u'(t_i) + (t-t_i) [I_i(\eta(t_i), \eta'(t_i)) + L_i^*(u(t_i) - \eta(t_i))] \}, \forall t \in J. \dots (23)$$

*Lemma 6*⁷ — Let $m \in PC [J, R^+], k \in C [D, R^+], b\eta_i (i = 1, 2, \dots)$ are nonnegative constants, and

$$m(t) \leq \int_0^t k(t,s)m(s) ds + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \beta_i m(t_i), \forall t \in J.$$

Then $m(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in J$.

3. MAIN THEOREM

We shall state and prove our main theorem in this section. For convenience, let us list some conditions for use.

(H₁) There exist $u_0, v_0 \in PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$ those are the lower and upper solution of IVP (1), i.e., (2) (3) holding :

(H₂) There exist bounded, integrable and nonnegative functions $M(t), N(t), L(t)$ and constants $L_i^* \leq 0, (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ such that $\forall t \in J$ and $\forall x, y, \in [u_0, v_0]$ satisfying

$$f(t, x, x', Tx) - f(t, y, y', Ty) \geq -M(t)(x - y) - N(t)(x' - y') - L(t)(Tx - Ty),$$

$$I_i(x, x') - I_i(y, y') \geq L_i^*(x - y),$$

where $u_0 \leq y \leq x \leq v_0, u_0'(t) \leq y' \leq x' \leq v_0'(t), Tu_0 \leq Ty \leq Tx \leq Tv_0,$

(H₃) For $\forall t \in J$, and any bounded equicontinuous on each J_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), monotone sequence $B = \{u_n\} \subset PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$, there exist nonnegative constants c_1, c_2, c_3 and a_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) such that

$$\alpha(f(t, B(t), B'(t), (TB)(t))) \leq c_1 \alpha(B(t)) + c_2 \alpha(B'(t)) + c_3 \alpha((TB)(t)), \quad \forall t \in J,$$

and
$$\alpha(I_i(B(t), B'(t))) \leq a_i \max \{ \alpha(B(t)), \alpha(B'(t)) \}, \quad \forall t \in J.$$

In the following, we let

$$[u_0, v_0] = \left\{ u \in PC [J, E] : u_0(t) \leq u(t) \leq v_0(t), u'_0(t) \leq u'(t) \leq v'_0(t) \right\} \quad \forall t \in J.$$

Theorem 1 — Let P is normal, suppose that conditions (H₁) (H₂) (H₃) are satisfied, $L_i \geq 0$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), and one inequality of (5) hold. Then, there exist monotone sequence $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\} \in PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$, which converge to the minimal and maximal solutions $u^*, v^* \in PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$ of IVP (1) respectively in $[u_0, v_0]$ and $\{u'_n\}, \{v'_n\}$ converge to $(u^*)', (v^*)'$ in $[u_0, v_0]$. For any solution $u \in PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$ of IVP (1) in $[u_0, v_0]$, satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(t) &\leq u_1(t) \leq \dots \leq u_n(t) \leq \dots \leq u^*(t) \leq u(t) \\ &\leq v^*(t) \leq \dots \leq v_n(t) \leq \dots \leq v_1(t) \leq v_0(t), \\ u'_0(t) &\leq u'_1(t) \leq \dots \leq u'_n(t) \leq \dots \leq (u^*)'(t) \leq u'(t) \\ &\leq (v^*)'(t) \leq \dots \leq v'_n(t) \leq \dots \leq v'_1(t) \leq v'_0(t), \end{aligned}$$

PROOF : For any $\eta \in [u_0, v_0]$, let

$$\sigma(t) = f(t, \eta(t), \eta'(t), (T\eta)(t)) + M(t) \eta(t) + N(t) \eta'(t) + L(t) (T\dot{\eta})(t), \quad t \in J, \dots \quad (25)$$

then $\sigma(t) \in PC [J, E]$. By Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, IVP (1) has a unique solution $u \in PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$. Let $u = A \eta$, then, A is a operator from $[u_0, v_0]$ to $PC^1 [J, E] \cap C^2 [J', E]$. Now, we show that (a) $u_0 \leq Au_0, u'_0 \leq (Au_0)'$, $A v_0 \leq v_0, (A v_0)' \leq v'_0$ (b) If $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in [u_0, v_0]$ and $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2$, then $A \eta_1 \leq A \eta_2, (A \eta_1)' \leq (A \eta_2)'$. To prove (a), let $v_1 = A v_0, x = v_1 - v_0$, by (11) and (H₁) (H₂) we have

$$x'' = v_1'' - v_0'' \leq f(t, v_0, v_0', T v_0) - M(t)(v_1 - v_0) - N(t)(v_1' - v_0') - L(t)T(v_1 - v_0) \\ - f(t, v_0, v_0', T v_0) \leq -M(t)x - N(t)x' - L(t)Tx$$

$$\Delta x|_{t=t_i} = \Delta v_1|_{t=t_i} - \Delta v_0|_{t=t_i} = L_i v_1'(t_i) - L_i v_0'(t_i) = L_i x'(t_i),$$

$$\Delta x'|_{t=t_i} = \Delta v_1'|_{t=t_i} - \Delta v_0'|_{t=t_i} = I_i(v_0(t_i)) + L_i^*(v_1(t_i) - v_0(t_i)) - I_i(v_0(t_i), v_0'(t_i)) \\ \leq L_i^* x(t_i), (i = 1, 2, \dots, m),$$

$$x(0) = v_1(0) - v_0(0) = x_0 - x_0 = 0$$

$$x'(0) = v_1'(0) - v_0'(0) \leq x_1 - x_1 = 0$$

and by Lemma 2 we can obtain $x(t) \leq 0, x'(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in J$, i.e., $A v_0 = v_1 \leq v_0, (A v_0)' = v_1' \leq v_0'$.

To prove (b), let $x = u_1 - u_2$, where $u_1 = A \eta_1, u_2 = A \eta_2$, by (11) and (H_2) we can obtain

$$x'' = u_1'' - u_2'' = f(t, \eta_1, \eta_1', T \eta_1) - f(t, \eta_2, \eta_2', T \eta_2) - M(t)(u_1 - \eta_1) - N(t)(u_1' - \eta_1') \\ - L(t)(Tu_1 - T \eta_1) - M(t)(u_2 - \eta_2) - N(t)(u_2' - \eta_2') + L(t)(Tu_2 - T \eta_2) \\ = -[f(t, \eta_2, \eta_2', T \eta_2) - f(t, \eta_1, \eta_1', T \eta_1)] - M(t)(u_1 - u_2) - N(t)(u_1' - u_2') \\ - L(t)(Tu_1 - Tu_2) - M(t)(\eta_2 - \eta_1) - N(t)(\eta_2' - \eta_1') \\ - N(t)(\eta_2' - \eta_1') - l(t)(T \eta_2 - t \eta_1) \\ \leq -M(t)x - N(t)x' - L(t)Tx,$$

$$\Delta x|_{t=t_i} = \Delta u_1|_{t=t_i} - \Delta u_2|_{t=t_i} = L_i u_1'(t_i) - L_i u_2'(t_i) = L_i x'(t_i),$$

$$\Delta x'|_{t=t_i} = \Delta u_1'|_{t=t_i} - \Delta u_2'|_{t=t_i} = I_i(\eta_1(t_i), \eta_1'(t_i)) \\ + L_i^*(u_1(t_i) - \eta_1(t_i)) - I_1(\eta_2(t_i), \eta_2'(t_i)) \\ - L_1^*(u_2(t_i), \eta_2(t_i)) = L_i^* x(t_i),$$

$$x(0) = u_1(0) - u_2(0) = 0,$$

$$x'(0) = u_1'(0) - u_2'(0) = 0,$$

and so, by Lemma 2 we have $x(t) \leq 0, x'(t) \leq 0, \forall t \in J$, i.e.,

$$u_1 = A \eta_1 \leq u_2 = A \eta_2, u'_1 = (A \eta_1)' \leq u'_2 = (A \eta_2)'$$

Let $u_n = A u_{n-1}, v_n = A v_{n-1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), by conclusion (a) (b) we have

$$u_0 \leq u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_n \leq \dots \leq v_n \leq \dots \leq v_1 \leq v_0, \quad \dots (26)$$

and $u'_0 \leq u'_1 \leq \dots \leq u'_n \leq \dots \leq v'_n \leq \dots \leq v'_1 \leq v'_0, \quad \dots (27)$

Let $U = \{u_n\}, U' = \{u'_n\}, V = \{v_n\}, V' = \{v'_n\}$, by the normality of P and (26) (27) we know U, U', V, V' are bounded set in $PC [J, E]$. For $\forall \eta \in [u_0, v_0]$. By $(H_1) (H_2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & u''_0(t) + M(t) u_0(t) + N(t) u'_0(t) + L(t) (T u_0)(t) \\ & \leq f(t, u_0, u'_0, T u_0) + M(t) u_0(t) + N(t) u'_0(t) + L(t) (T u_0)(t) \\ & \leq f(t, \eta, \eta', T \eta) + M(t) \eta(t) + N(t) \eta'(t) + L(t) (T \eta)(t) \\ & \leq f(t, v_0, v'_0, T v_0) + M(t) v_0(t) + n(t) v'_0(t) + L(t) (T v_0)(t) \\ & \leq v''_0(t) + M(t) v_0(t) + N(t) v'_0(t) + L(t) (T v_0)(t), \end{aligned}$$

therefore $\{f(t, \eta, \eta', T \eta) + M(t) \eta + N(t) \eta' + L(t) (T \eta) : \eta \in [u_0, v_0]\}$ is a bounded set in $PC [J, E]$, and so, there exist a constant $h > 0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(t, u_{n-1}(t), u'_{n-1}(t), (T u_{n-1})(t)) - M(t) (u_n(t) - u_{n-1}(t)) - N(t) (u'_n(t) - u'_{n-1}(t)) \\ & \quad - L(t) (T(u_n - u_{n-1}))(t)\| \leq h, (t \in J, n = 1, 2, \dots). \quad \dots (28) \end{aligned}$$

and $\{\sigma_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a bounded set in $PC [J, E]$, where

$$\sigma_n = f(t, u_{n-1}, u'_{n-1}, t u_{n-1}) + M(t) u_{n-1} + N(t) u'_{n-1} + L(t) T u_{n-1}.$$

By the definition of u_n and Lemma 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(t) &= x_0 + t x_1 + \int_0^t (t-s) [-M(s) u_n(s) - N(s) u'_n(s) - L(s) (T u_n)(s) + \sigma_{n-1}(s)] ds \\ &+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \left\{ L_i u'_n(t_i) + (t-t_i) [I_i (u_{n-1}(t_i) u'_{n-1}(t_i)) + L_i^* (u(t_i) - u_{n-1}(t_i))] \right\}, \quad \dots (29) \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall t \in J, (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 u'_n(t) = & x_1 + \int_0^t [-M(s)u_n(s) - N(s)u'_n(s) - L(s)(Tu_n)(s) + \sigma_{n-1}(s)] ds \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} [I_i(u_{n-1}(t_i)u'_{n-1}(t_i)) + L_i^*(u(t_i) - u_{n-1}(t_i))], \quad \dots (30)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall t \in J, (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

by (29) (30) and (28) we know U, U' are equicontinuous on each J_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots$). By Lemma 1 we have

$$\alpha_{PC^1}(U) = \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in J} \alpha(U(t)), \sup_{t \in J} \alpha(U'(t)) \right\}, \quad t \in J.$$

For $\forall t \in J$, by (29), (H_3) and Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha(U(t)) \leq & \alpha \left(\left\{ \int_0^t (t-s) [\sigma_{n-1}(s) - M(s)u_n(s) - N(s)u'_n(s) - L(s)(Tu_n)(s)] ds \mid n = 1, 2, \dots \right\} \right) \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} \alpha (\{L_i u'_n(t_i) + (t-t_i) [I_i(u_n(t_i), u'_n(t_i)) \\
 & + L_i^*(u_n(t_i) - u_{n-1}(t_i))] \mid n = 1, 2, \dots \}) \\
 \leq & 2 \alpha \int_0^t \alpha(f(s, U(s), U'(s), (TU)(s))) ds + 4aM_* \int_0^t \alpha(U(s)) ds + 4aN_* \int_0^t \alpha(U'(s)) ds \\
 & + 4aL_* \int_0^t \alpha((TU)(s)) ds + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} [L_i \alpha(U'(t_i)) + a \max \{ \alpha(U(t_i)), \alpha(U'(t_i)) \} \\
 & + 2aL_i^* \alpha(U(t_i))] \\
 \leq & 2a \int_0^t (c_1 \alpha(U(s)) + c_2 \alpha(U'(s)) + c_3 \alpha((TU)(s))) ds \\
 & + 4aM_* \int_0^t \alpha(U(s)) ds + 4aN_* \int_0^t \alpha(U'(s)) ds + 4aL_* \int_0^t \alpha((TU)(s)) ds \\
 & + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (L_i + 2aL_i^* + aa_i) \max \{ \alpha(U(t_i)), \alpha(U'(t_i)) \}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq (2ac_1 + 4aM_*) \int_0^t \alpha(U(s)) ds + (2ac_2 + 4aN_*) \int_0^t \alpha(U'(s)) ds + 2k_0 a (2ac_3 + 4aL_*) \\
&\int_0^t \alpha(U(s)) ds + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (L_i + aa_i + 2aL_i^*) \max \{ \alpha(U(t_i)), \alpha(U'(t_i)) \} \\
&\leq (2ac_1 + 4aM_* + 4k_0 a^2 c_3 + 8k_0 a^2 L_*) \int_0^t \alpha(U(s)) ds + (2ac_2 + 4aN_*) \int_0^t \alpha(U'(s)) ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (L_i + aa_i + 2aL_i^*) \max \{ \alpha(U(t_i)), \alpha(U'(t_i)) \} \\
&\leq (2ac_1 + 4aM_* + 4k_0 a^2 c_3 + 8k_0 a^2 L_* + 2ac_2 + 4aN_*) \int_0^t \max \{ \alpha(U(s)), \alpha(U'(s)) \} ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (L_i + aa_i + 2aL_i^*) \max \{ \alpha(U(t_i)), \alpha(U'(t_i)) \}. \dots (31)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(V'(t)) &\leq (2ac_1 + 4aM_* + 4k_0 a^2 c_3 + 8k_0 a^2 L_* + 2ac_2 + 4aN_*) \int_0^t \\
&\max \{ \alpha(U'(s)), \alpha(U''(s)) \} ds \\
&+ \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (L_i + aa_i + 2aL_i^*) \max \{ \alpha(U(t_i)), \alpha(U'(t_i)) \}. \dots (32)
\end{aligned}$$

Let $m(t) = \max \{ \alpha(U(t_i)), \alpha(U'(t_i)) \}$, by the boundedness on $PC [J, E]$ and the equicontinuous on $J_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ of U, U' we can know $m(t) \in PC [J, E], m(t) \geq 0$. By (31) (32) we can obtain

$$m(t) \leq K \int_0^t m(s) ds + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} (L_i + aa_i + 2aL_i^*) m(t_i),$$

where

$$K = 2ac_1 + 4aM_* + 4k_0 a^2 + 8k_0 a^2 L_* + 2ac_2 + 4aN_*,$$

therefore, by Lemma 8 we see $m(t) \leq 0$, i.e., $m(t) \equiv 0, t \in J$ and so $\alpha(U(t)) \equiv 0, \alpha(U'(t)) \equiv 0, t \in J$. Hence $\alpha_{PC^1}(U) = 0, \alpha_{PC}(U') = 0$. Then U is a relatively compact set in $PC^1[J, E], U'$ is a compact set in $PC[J, E]$. According (26) (27) and the normality of P , we know $\{u_n\} \{u'_n\}$ are convergent sequence respectively in $PC^1[J, E]$ and $PC[J, E]$. Hence, there exist $u^* \in PC^1[J, E]$ satisfies $u_n \rightarrow u^*, u'_n \rightarrow (u^*)'$, i.e.,

$$\|u_n - u^*\|_{PC^1} \rightarrow 0, \|u'_n - (u^*)'\| \rightarrow 0. \quad \dots (33)$$

By the continuity of f , the definition of σ_n and (33) we can prove

$$\|\sigma_n - \sigma^*\| \rightarrow 0, (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad \dots (34)$$

where $\sigma^* = f(t, u^*, (u^*)', Tu^*) + M(t)u^* + N(t)(u^*)' + L(t)Tu^*$.

And by (28), (33) and (34) and Lebesgue control theorem, take limits in (29) (30) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$u^*(t) = x_0 + tx_1 + \int_0^t (t-s) [\sigma^*(s) - M(s)u^*(s) - N(s)(u^*)'(s) - L(s)(Tu^*)(s)] ds + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} [L_i(u^*)'(t_i) + (t-t_i)L_i^*u^*(t_i)],$$

and

$$(u^*)'(t) = x_1 + \int_0^t [\sigma^*(s) - M(s)u^*(s) - N(s)(u^*)'(s) - L(s)(Tu^*)(s)] ds, + \sum_{0 < t_i < t} L_i^*u^*(t_i)$$

according Lemma 5 we know $u^* \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$, and $u^*(t)$ s a solution of IVP ().

Similarly, we can prove that there exists a $v^* \in PC^1[J, E] \cap C^1[J', E]$, such that $\|v_n - v^*\| \rightarrow 0, \|v'_n - (v^*)'\| \rightarrow 0$ and $v^*(t)$ is a solution of IVP (1). And by (26) (27) we can obtain

$$u_0(t) \leq u_1(t) \leq \dots \leq u_n(t) \leq \dots \leq u^*(t)$$

$$\leq v^*(t) \leq \dots \leq v_n(t) \leq \dots \leq \dots \leq v_1(t) \leq v_0(t), \quad \dots (35)$$

$$u'_0(t) \leq u'_1(t) \leq \dots \leq u'_n(t) \leq \dots \leq (u^*)'(t)$$

$$\leq (v^*)'(t) \leq \dots \leq v'_n(t) \leq \dots \leq \dots \leq v'_1(t) \leq v'_0(t), \quad \dots (36)$$

Let $u \in PC'[J, E] \cap C^2[J', E]$ is a solution of IVP (1) in $[u_0, v_0]$, assume that $u_{n-1}(t) \leq u(t) \leq v_{n-1}(t)$, $u'_{n-1}(t) \leq v'_{n-1}(t)$, $\forall t \in J$. By the increasing of A we can see $u_n(t) \leq u(t) \leq v_n(t)$, $u'_n(t) \leq v'_n(t)$, $\forall t \in J$. By the normality of P , take limits in above inequality as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can obtain $u^*(t) \leq u(t)$, $\forall t \in J$. Observing (35) (36) we know that (24) hold.

Theorem 2 — Let P be a regular cone, and $(H_1)(H_2)$ be satisfied, then the conclusion of theorem 1 hold.

PROOF : The proof is almost the same as that of Theorem 1. The only difference is that, instead of using condition (H_3) , the conclusion of relatively compact of U, U' is implied directly by (26) (27) and the regularity of P .

Remark 1 : In paper [1-3], when the author discussed the second order integro-differential equation in which f doesn't contain different argument u' , and established comparison theorem, the only thing is to improve $p(t) \leq 0$, but $p'(t) \leq 0$. In this paper, we consider the second order impulsive integro-differential equation in which f contain differential argument u' , and established a new comparison theorem, therefore, we obtained the existence theorem of extremal solutions and generalized the main result in paper [1-3].

Remark 2 : In paper [4], the author considered the integro-differential equation which doesn't contain impulsive argument, and assume that f is M -increasing about u', f is increasing u, Tu . In this paper, we consider the second order impulsive integro-differential equation, and assume that $f(t, u, u', Tu)$ is $M(t)$ -increasing about u, u', Tu . By establishing a new comparison theorem, we obtain the existence theorem of extremal solutions and generalized the main result in paper [5].

Remark 3 : In paper [5], the author considered the second order integro-differential equation in which f contain differential argument u' , but doesn't contain impulsive argument in infinite domain. In this paper, we considered the second order impulsive integro-differential equation which contain the differential argument u' in finite domain, therefore, the method used in paper [5] isn't suitable to this paper, and so, this paper improved the main result in paper [5].

4. AN EXAMPLE

Example — Consider the IVP of second impulsive integro-differential equation

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} u_n'' &= \frac{1}{6n(1+t)^5} [(t^2 - u_n)^2 + (2t - u_n')^2 + tu_{n+1}^2 + t_2 (u_{2n}')^3] \\ &+ \frac{1}{n^2(1+t)^8} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} - \int_0^t \frac{u_n(s) ds}{1+t+s} \right)^3, \quad \forall t \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2} \right], t \neq \frac{1}{4}; \\ \Delta u_n|_{t=\frac{1}{4}} &= \frac{1}{2} u_n' \left(\frac{1}{4} \right); \\ \Delta u_n'|_{t=\frac{1}{4}} &= -\frac{7}{48} u_n \left(\frac{1}{4} \right); \\ u_n(0) &= u_n'(0) = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned} \right. \quad \dots (37)$$

Evidently, $u_n(0) \equiv 0$ isn't a solution of IVP (37).

CONCLUSION

IVP (37) has maximal and minimal solutions $u(t), v(t) \in C^2 \left[1, \frac{1}{2} \right) \cup \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right]$ satisfy

$$0 \leq u_n(t), v_n(t) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{t^2}{\eta}, & 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{4}; \\ t^2 + \frac{1}{4}, & \frac{1}{4} < t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

$$0 \leq u_n'(t), v_n'(t) \leq \frac{2t}{n}, \quad \forall t \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2} \right] \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

PROOF : Let $J = \left[0, \frac{1}{2} \right]$, $E = c_0 = \{u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, \dots) : u_n \rightarrow 0\}$, $\|u\| = \sup_n |u_n|$, $P = \{u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots) \in c_0 : u_n \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, then cone P is a normal cone in E , IVP (37) can be regarded as the form IVP (1). Where, $x_0 = x_1 = (0, \dots, 0, \dots)$, $k(t, s) = (1 + t + s)^{-1}$, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots)$, $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n, \dots)$, $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n, \dots)$, $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n, \dots)$, here

$$f_n(t, u, v, w) = \frac{1}{6n(1+t)^5} [(t^2 - u_n)^2 + (2t - v_n)^2 + tu_{n+1}^2 + t^2 (v_{2n}')^2]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n^2 (1+t)^8} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} - w_n \right)^2, \quad \dots (38)$$

$$I_1(u, v) = -\frac{7}{48} u.$$

Then, we have $f \in C[J \times E \times E \times E, E]$, $I_1 \in C[E, E]$. Let

$$u_0(t) = (0, \dots, 0, \dots),$$

$$v_0(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^2}{n}, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4}; \\ \frac{t^2 + \frac{1}{4}}{n}, & \frac{1}{4} < t \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$u_0, v_0 \in PC^1 \left[1, \frac{1}{2} \right] \cap C^2 \left[0, \frac{1}{4} \right) \cup \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} \right], u_0(t) \leq v_0(t) \quad (t \in J)$$

and

$$u'_0(t) = (0, \dots, 0, \dots) \leq \left(2t, \dots, \frac{2t}{n}, \dots \right) = v'_0(t) \quad \forall t \in J,$$

$$u_0(0) = v_0(0) = (0, \dots, 0, \dots) = x_0,$$

$$u'_0(0) = v'_0(0) = (0, \dots, 0, \dots) = x_0 = x_1,$$

$$u''_0(t) = (0, \dots, 0, \dots), v''_0(t) = \left(2, \dots, \frac{2}{n}, \dots \right), \quad \forall t \in J,$$

$$f_n(t, u_0(t), u'_0(t), (Tu_0)(t)) = \frac{1}{6n(1+t)^5} [t^4 + 4t^2] + \frac{t^6}{8n^2(1+t)^8} \geq 0, \quad \forall t \in J,$$

$$f_n(t, v_0(t), (Tv_0)(t))$$

$$< \frac{1}{6n} \left(1 + 4 + \frac{5}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{8n} < \frac{2}{n}, \quad \forall t \in J \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Therefore u_0, v_0 satisfy (H_1) . On the other hand, for $\forall t \in J$, $u_0(t) \leq \bar{u} \leq u \leq v_0(t)$, $u'_0(t) \leq \bar{v} \leq v \leq v'_0(t)$, $(Tu_0)(t) \leq \bar{w} \leq w \leq (Tv_0)(t)$ by (38) we can know

$$f_n(t, u, v, w) - f_n(t, \bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}) \geq -\frac{t^2}{3(1+t)^5} (u_n - \bar{u}_n)$$

$$-\frac{2t}{3(1+t)^5}(v_n - \bar{v}_n) - \frac{3t^4}{4(1+t)^8}(w_n - \bar{w}_n) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$I_1(u, \bar{u}) - I_1(v, \bar{v}) = -\frac{7}{48}(u - v).$$

Consequently, (H_2) is satisfied for $M(t) = t^2/[3(1+t)^5]$, $N(t) = 2t/[3(1+t)^5]$, $L(t) = 3t^4/[4(1+t)^8]$. At this time, $\tau = 1$, $t_1 = 1/4$, $M_* = 1/3$, $N_* = 2/3$, $L_* = 3/4$, $a = 1/2$, $L_1 = 1/2$, $L_1^* = -7/48$, $k_0 = 1$, so, we have

$$(a + L_1) = 1 \leq \frac{1 - aN_*}{a(M_* + k_0 L_1^* a) - L_1^*} = \frac{4}{3},$$

For $\forall t \in J$, any monotone equicontinuous sequence $B \subset [u_0, v_0]$, it is easy to verify that $f(t, B(t), (TB)(t))$ is relatively compact in c_0 , so $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$, and

$$\alpha(I_1(B(t), B'(t))) = \frac{7}{48} \alpha(B(t)) \leq \max \{ \alpha(B(t)), \alpha(B'(t)) \},$$

therefore (H_3) hold. Hence, the conclusion hold by Theorem 1.

Remark 4 : This example is a second order impulsive integro-differential equation in which f contain differential argument u' in finite domain, but the equations discussed in paper [1-4] are not according to this form, so, this problem can't be resolved by the main theorem obtained in paper [1-4]. Therefore, this paper improved these paper.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Professr Lishan Liu for his guidance and encouragement.

REFERENCES

1. D. J. Guo, *Nonline. Anal.* **37** (1999), 289-300.
2. D. J. Guo, *Chin. Ann. of Math.* **18B** (4) (1997) 439-48.
3. D. J. Guo, *Nonlin. Anal* **35** (1999) 413-23.
4. F. Q. Chen and Y. S. Chen, *Appl. Math. Mech.*, **5** (2000) 459-67.
5. D. J. Guo, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **41** (2000) 465-76.
6. D. J. Guo, *Chin. Ann. of Math.* **20B** (1999) (14) 435-46.
7. D. J. Guo, *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, Dordrecht, 1996.
8. D. J. Guo, Lakshmikantham. V, New York Academic Press, 1988.
9. K. Deimling, *Belin:Springer-Vorlag*, 1985.