

A NOTE ON QUASI POWER INCREASING SEQUENCES

H. S. ÖZARSLAN

Department of Mathematics, Erciyes University, 38039, Kayseri, Turkey
 E-mail: seyhan@erciyes.edu.tr

(Received 30 January 2002; accepted 2 August 2002)

In this paper a theorem of Özarслан⁸ has been proved under weaker conditions by using a quasi β -power increasing sequence instead of an almost increasing sequence.

Key Words : Absolute Summability; Quasi Power Increasing Sequence

1. INTRODUCTION

A positive sequence (b_n) is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive increasing sequence (c_n) and two positive constants A and B such that $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$ (see [1]). Let Σa_n be a given infinite series with partial sums (s_n) . Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n P_v \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, i \geq 1). \quad \dots (1)$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v \quad \dots (2)$$

defines the sequence (σ_n) of the (\bar{N}, p_n) mean of the sequence (s_n) , generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) (see [5]). The series Σa_n is said to be summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$, if (see [2])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P_n/p_n)^{k-1} |\Delta \sigma_{n-1}|^k < \infty \quad \dots (3)$$

and it is said to be summable $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k, k \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$, if (see [3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P_n/p_n)^{\delta_k + k - 1} |\Delta \sigma_{n-1}|^k < \infty, \quad \dots (4)$$

where
$$\Delta \sigma_{n-1} = -\frac{P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n P_{v-1} a_v, \quad n \geq 1. \quad \dots (5)$$

In the special case when $\delta = 0$ (resp. $p_n = 1$ for all values of n) $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability is the same as $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ (resp. $|C, 1; \delta|_k$) summability.

Quite recently Özarслан⁸ proved the following theorem for $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability factors of infinite series.

Theorem A — Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = O(np_n) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{6}$$

Let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence and suppose that there exists sequences (β_n) and (λ_n) such that

$$|\Delta \lambda_n| \leq \beta_n, \tag{7}$$

$$\beta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{8}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta \beta_n| X_n < \infty, \tag{9}$$

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{10}$$

If
$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{P_v}\right)^{\delta k-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} = O\left\{\left(\frac{P_v}{P_v}\right)^{\delta k} \frac{1}{P_v}\right\} \tag{11}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{P_n}\right)^{\delta k-1} |s_n|^k = O(X_m) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{12}$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ for $k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1/k$.

Remark : It may be noted that, if we take (X_n) as a positive non-decreasing sequence and $\delta=0$ in this theorem, then we get a result of Bor⁴ on $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

The aim of this paper is to prove Theorem A under weaker conditions. For this we need the concept of quasi β -power increasing sequence. A positive sequence (γ_n) is said to be quasi β -power increasing sequence if there exists a constant $K = K(\beta, \gamma) \geq 1$ such that

$$K n^\beta \gamma_n \geq m^\beta \gamma_m \tag{13}$$

holds for all $n \geq m \geq 1$ (see⁶). It should be noted that the class of almost increasing sequences is a strict subclass of the quasi β -power increasing sequences if $\beta > 0$. So that every almost increasing sequence is quasi β -power increasing sequence for any nonnegative β , but the converse need not be true as can be seen by taking the example, say $\gamma_n = n^{-\beta}$ for $\beta > 0$. So we are weakening the hypotheses of the theorem replacing an almost increasing sequence by a quazi β -power increasing sequence.

Now, we shall prove the following theorem :

Theorem — Let (X_n) be a quasi β -power increasing sequence for some $0 < \beta < 1$. If all the conditions from (6) to (12) are satisfied, then the series $\Sigma a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ for $k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1/k$.

We need the following lemma for the proof of our theorem.

Lemma 7 — Under the conditions on $(X_n), (\beta_n)$ and (λ_n) as taken in the statement of the theorem, the following conditions hold, when (9) is satisfied :

$$n \beta_n X_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \dots (14)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty. \quad \dots (15)$$

PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let (T_n) denotes the (\bar{N}, p_n) mean of the series $\Sigma a_n \lambda_n$. Then, by definition and changing the order of summation, we have

$$T_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \sum_{i=0}^v a_i \lambda_i = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n (P_n - P_{v-1}) a_v \lambda_v.$$

Then, for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$T_n - T_{n-1} = \frac{P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^n P_{v-1} a_v \lambda_v.$$

By Abel's transformation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_n - T_{n-1} &= \frac{P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta(P_{v-1} \lambda_v) s_v + \frac{P_n}{P_n} s_n \lambda_n \\ &= -\frac{P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v s_v \lambda_v + \frac{P_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v s_v \Delta \lambda_v + \frac{P_n}{P_n} s_n \lambda_n \\ &= T_{n,1} + T_{n,2} + T_{n,3}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|T_{n,1} + T_{n,2} + T_{n,3}|^k \leq 3^k (|T_{n,1}|^k + |T_{n,2}|^k + |T_{n,3}|^k),$$

to complete the proof of the Theorem, it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (P_n/P_n)^{\delta k + k - 1} |T_{n,r}|^k < \infty \text{ for } r = 1, 2, 3. \quad \dots (16)$$

Now, when $k > 1$ applying Hölder's inequality with indices k and k' , where $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (P_n/p_n)^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n,1}|^k \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (P_n/p_n)^{\delta k-1} (P_{n-1})^{-k} \\
 & \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v |s_v| |\lambda_v| \right\}^k \\
 & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (P_n/p_n)^{\delta k-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v |s_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v \right\}^{k-1} \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m p_v |s_v|^k |\lambda_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} (P_n/p_n)^{\delta k-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (P_v/p_v)^{\delta k-1} |s_v|^k |\lambda_v| |\lambda_v|^{k-1} \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (P_v/p_v)^{\delta k-1} |s_v|^k |\lambda_v| \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_v| \sum_{r=1}^v (P_r/p_r)^{\delta k-1} |s_r|^k \\
 & + O(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m (P_v/p_v)^{\delta k-1} |s_v|^k \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
 & = O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the Theorem and Lemma.

Since $v\beta_v = O(1/X_v)$ by (14), using the fact that $P_v = O(vp_v)$ by (6), and $|\Delta \lambda_n| \leq \beta_n$ by (7), and after applying Hölder's inequality again, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (P_n/p_n)^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n,2}|^k \\
 & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (P_n/p_n)^{\delta k-1} (P_{n-1})^{-k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v |\Delta \lambda_v| |s_v| \right\}^k \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (P_n/p_n)^{\delta k-1} (P_{n-1})^{-k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v P_v \beta_v |s_v| \right\}^k \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} (P_n/p_n)^{\delta k-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (v \beta_v)^k P_v |s_v|^k \\
 & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v \right\}^{k-1} \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (v \beta_v)^k P_v |s_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} (P_n/p_n)^{\delta k-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (v \beta_v)^k (P_v/p_v)^{\delta k-1} |s_v|^k \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (v \beta_v)^{k-1} (v \beta_v) (P_v/p_v)^{\delta k-1} |s_v|^k \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \Delta(v \beta_v) \sum_{r=1}^v (P_r/p_r)^{\delta k-1} |s_r|^k \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v \beta_v) \sum_{r=1}^v (P_r/p_r)^{\delta k-1} |s_r|^k \\
 & \quad + O(1) m \beta_m \sum_{v=1}^m (P_v/p_v)^{\delta k-1} |s_v|^k \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta(v \beta_v)| X_v + O(1) m \beta_m X_m \\
 & = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v |\Delta \beta_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_{v+1} X_{v+1} + O(1) m \beta_m X_m
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the Theorem and Lemma.

Finally using the fact that $P_v = O(v p_v)$ by (6), as in $T_{n,1}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^m (P_n/p_n)^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n,3}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m (P_n/p_n)^{\delta k-1} |s_n|^k |\lambda_n| \\ &= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get (16) and this completes the proof of the theorem.

If we take $p_n = 1$ for all values of n in this theorem, then we get a new result concerning the $|C, 1; \delta|_k$ summability factors.

REFERENCES

1. S. Aljancic and D. Arandelovic, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, **22** (1977), 5-22.
2. H. Bor, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, **97** (1985), 147-149.
3. H. Bor, *Jour. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **179** (1993), 644-49.
4. H. Bor, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.*, **17** (1994), 479-82.
5. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Oxford Univ. Press., Oxford, (1949).
6. L. Leindler, *Publ. Math Debrecen*, **55** (1999), 169-76.
7. L. Leindler, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, (to appear).
8. H. S. Özarслан, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.*, **25** (2001), 293-98.