

# ON VALUE DISTRIBUTION OF DIFFERENTIAL MONOMIAL OF ALGEBROID FUNCTIONS\*

GAO LINGYUN

*Department of Mathematics, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510 632, P.R. China*

(Received 5 October 2001; accepted 22 November 2002)

In this paper we obtain the following result : Let  $w(z)$  be a  $\nu$ -valued algebroid function and  $\Phi = (w')^{i_2} \dots (w^{(n)})^{i_n} (w(z))^n$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & (n - l_2 \nu) [n - l_2 \nu - 2 \sigma (\nu - 1)] \\ & \geq 2 l_0 (n - l_2 \nu) + l_2 (n - l_2 \nu + 1) \\ & + 2 (\nu - 1) l_2 [l_0 (n - l_2 \nu) = 2 (n - l_2 \nu + 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Phi$  assumes all values except possibly zero infinitely often.

**Key Words :** Algebroid Functions; The value Distribution; Differential Polynomials

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We use the standard notation of Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions (see [1]).

In this paper, we will mainly consider the problem of possible Picard values of algebroid function and derivatives of the form

$$(w')^{i_2} \dots (w^{(n)})^{i_n} (w(x))^n, \quad \dots (1)$$

where  $w(z)$  is a  $\nu$ -valued algebroid function.

We denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= (w')^{i_2} \dots (w^{(n)})^{i_n} (w(z))^n, \quad l_0 = i_1 + \dots + i_n, \\ l_2 &= i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + ni_n, \quad \sigma = i_1 + 3i_2 + \dots + (2n - 1) i_n. \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $(i_1, \dots, i_n) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , Hayman, Pang and Kari Katajamaki have proved the following theorems, respectively.

**Theorem A<sup>2</sup>** — Suppose that  $w(z)$  is a transcendental entire function and  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $w'(z) w(z)^n$  assumes all values except possibly zero infinitely often.

---

\*Project supported by National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China

**Theorem B<sup>3</sup>** — Let  $w(z)$  be a  $v$ -valued algebroid function and  $a$  be a finite complex number. Then

$$w'(z) w(z)^n = a, n \geq 4v - 1$$

have infinite roots.

**Theorem C<sup>4</sup>** — Let  $w(z)$  be a  $v$ -valued transcendental entire algebroid function and set

$$\phi(z) = w'(z) w(z)^n,$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ . Then if  $n \geq 8v - 6$ , we have

$$\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\phi - b}\right) \neq S(r, w)$$

for each  $b \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Our result is :

**Theorem 1** — Let  $w(z)$  be a  $v$ -valued algebroid function and

$$\begin{aligned} & (n - l_2 v) [n - l_2 v - 2\sigma(v - 1)] \\ & \geq 2l_0(n - l_2 v) + l_2(n - l_2 v + 1) \dots (2) \\ & + 2(v - 1)l_2[l_0(n - l_2 v) + 2(n - l_2 v + 1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Phi$  assumes all values except possibly zero infinitely often.

### 2. SOME LEMMAS

**Lemma 1** — Let  $w(z)$  be a  $v$  valued algebroid function and  $\Phi$  be as in (1),  $a_j (\neq 0), j = 1, 2, \dots, p$  be distinct complex numbers, then

$$pT(r, \Phi) \leq \bar{N}(r, \Phi) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Phi}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^p \bar{N}(r, \Phi = a_k) + N_x(r, \Phi) + S(r, \Phi).$$

Proof See [4].

**Lemma 2** — Let  $w(z)$  and  $\Phi$  be as above. Then

$$N_x(r, \Phi) \leq N_x(r, w).$$

Proof See [4]

**Lemma 3** — Let  $w(z)$  be a  $v$  value algebroid function and  $\Phi$  be as above. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (l_2 + l_0)N(r, w) - N(r, \Phi) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{\Phi}\right) = l_2 N_1(r, w) \\ & - l_2 N_x(r, w) - (l_2 - l_0)N\left(r, \frac{1}{w}\right), \dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $N_1(r, w)$  is the count function of all multiple points of  $w(z)$  and every  $\tau$  multiple point are counted only  $\tau - 1$  times.

PROOF : Let  $w_i, i = 1, \dots, \lambda$  be the branches of  $w(z), w_i(z_0) = a$ . Then by

$$w(z) - a = (z - z_0)^{\tau/\lambda} w_0(z), w^{(k)}(z) = (z - z_0)^{(\tau - k\lambda)/\lambda} \bar{w}_0(z).$$

We know that  $z_0$  is a zero of  $w^{(k)}(z)$  with multiplicity  $\tau - k\lambda$  if  $\tau - k\lambda > 0$ ;  $z_0$  is a pole of  $w^{(k)}(z)$  with multiplicity  $k\lambda - \tau$ , if  $\tau - k\lambda < 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (l_2 + l_0) n(r, w) - n(r, \Phi) + n\left(r, \frac{1}{\Phi}\right) \\ &= (l_2 + l_0) \sum_{w=\infty} \tau - \left\{ \sum_{w=\infty} (l_0 \tau + l_2 \lambda) + \sum_{w \neq \infty} (l_2 \lambda - l_0 \tau)^+ \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{w \neq \infty} (l_0 \tau - l_2 \lambda)^+ \\ &= \sum_{w=\infty} (l_0 \tau + l_2 + l_2 \tau - l_2) - \left\{ \sum_{w=\infty} (l_0 \tau + l_2 + l_2 \lambda - l_2) \right. \\ &+ \sum_{w \neq \infty, l_2 \lambda - l_0 \tau > 0} [l_2 \lambda - l_2 - (l_2 \tau - l_2)] \\ &+ \sum_{w \neq \infty, l_2 \lambda - l_0 \tau < 0} [l_2 \tau - l_2 - (l_2 \lambda - l_2)] \\ &- \sum_{w \neq \infty, l_2 \lambda - l_0 \tau > 0} (l_2 - l_0) \tau - \sum_{w \neq \infty, l_2 \lambda - l_0 \tau < 0} (l_2 - l_0) \tau \\ &= l_2 \Sigma(\tau - 1) - l_2 \Sigma(\lambda - 1) - (l_2 - l_0) \Sigma \tau \\ &= l_2 n_1(r, w) - l_2 n_x(r, w) - (l_2 - l_0) n\left(r, \frac{1}{w}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating logarithmically we obtain (3).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We assume conversely that  $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\Phi - b}\right) = S(r, w)$  for some  $b \in C - \{0\}$ .

First, we prove that

$$T(r, \Phi) \geq (n - l_2 \nu) T(r, w) - \sigma N_x(r, w), (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin I). \tag{4}$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} (n + l_1) T(r, w) &= T(r, (w)^{n+l_1}) = T\left(\frac{\Phi_w l_1}{w^{i_0} (w')^{i_2} \dots (w^{(n)})^{i_n}}\right) \\ &\leq T(r, \Phi) + T\left(r, \frac{w^{i_0} (w')^{i_2} \dots (w^{(n)})^{i_n}}{w_{l_1}}\right) + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= T(r, \Phi) + T\left(r, \left(\frac{w'}{w}\right)^{i_1} \dots \left(\frac{w'}{w}\right)^{i_n}\right) + O(1) \\
 &\leq T(r, \Phi) + l_1 m(r, w) + N\left(r, \left(\frac{w'}{w}\right)^{i_1} \dots \left(\frac{w^{(n)}}{w}\right)^{i_n}\right) + S(r, w). \quad \dots (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

We estimate  $N\left(r, \left(\frac{w'}{w}\right)^{i_1} \dots \left(\frac{w^{(n)}}{w}\right)^{i_n}\right)$ . The poles of  $\left(\frac{w'}{w}\right)^{i_1} \dots \left(\frac{w^{(n)}}{w}\right)^{i_n}$  may arise only from one of the following cases :

Case (i) — the zeros of  $w(z)$ ;

Case (ii) — the poles of  $w(z)$ ;

Case (iii) — the branches point of  $w(z)$ .

Case (i) — If  $z_0$  is a zero of  $w(z)$ , then its contribution to

$$N\left(r, \left(\frac{w'}{w}\right)^{i_1} \dots \left(\frac{w^{(n)}}{w}\right)^{i_n}\right) \text{ is } l_1 N\left(r, \frac{1}{w}\right).$$

Case (ii) — If  $z_0$  is a pole of  $w(z)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(z) &= (z - z_0)^{-\frac{\tau}{\lambda}} w_0(z), \quad w_0(z_0) \neq 0, \infty, \\
 w^{(k)}(z) &= C(z - z_0)^{-\frac{\tau + k\lambda}{\lambda}} w_k(z), \quad w_k(z_0) \neq 0, \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\tau\left(z_0, \left(\frac{w'}{w}\right)^{i_1} \dots \left(\frac{w^{(n)}}{w}\right)^{i_n}\right) \leq i_1 \lambda + \dots + n i_n \lambda = l_2 \lambda \leq l_2 v.$$

Its contribution to

$$N\left(r, \left(\frac{w'}{w}\right)^{i_1} \dots \left(\frac{w^{(n)}}{w}\right)^{i_n}\right) \text{ is } l_2 v \bar{N}(r, w).$$

Case (iii) — Let  $w_i(z)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$  be branches of  $w(z)$  such that  $w(z_0) = a$ ,  $a \neq 0, \infty$ .

Then in the neighbourhood of  $z_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 w(z) &= a + (z - z_0)^{\frac{\tau}{\lambda}} w_0(z), \quad w_0(z_0) \neq 0, \infty, \\
 w^{(k)}(z) &= C(z - z_0)^{\frac{\tau - k\lambda}{\lambda}} w_k(z), \quad w_k(z_0) \neq 0, \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

It easy to see that  $z_0$  is a pole when  $\tau - k\lambda < 0$ .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \left( z_0, \left( \frac{w'}{w} \right)^{i_1} \dots \left( \frac{w^{(n)}}{w} \right)^{i_n} \right) &\leq \sum_{\alpha=1}^n i_\alpha [\alpha \lambda - \tau]^+ \leq \sum_{\alpha=1}^n i_\alpha [\alpha \lambda - 1] \\ &\leq (\lambda - 1) \sum_{\alpha=1}^n i_\alpha [2 \alpha - 1] \\ &= \sigma(\lambda - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Its contribution to

$$N \left( r, \left( \frac{w'}{w} \right)^{i_1} \dots \left( \frac{w^{(n)}}{w} \right)^{i_n} \right) \text{ is } \sigma N_x(r, w).$$

Combining the cases (i)-(iii), we get

$$N \left( r, \left( \frac{w'}{w} \right)^{i_1} \dots \left( \frac{w^{(n)}}{w} \right)^{i_n} \right) \leq l_1 N \left( r, \frac{1}{w} \right) + l_2 v \bar{N}(r, w) + \sigma N_x(r, w). \quad \dots (6)$$

Substituting the inequality (6) into the inequality (5), we obtain the inequality (4).

Secondly, by Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we have

$$T(r, \Phi) \leq \bar{N}(r, \Phi) + \bar{N} \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right) + N_x(r, w) + S(r, w). \quad \dots (7)$$

Since the poles of  $\Phi$  must be the pole of  $w(z)$  or a branch point of  $w(z)$ , we get

$$\bar{N}(r, \Phi) \leq \bar{N}(r, w) + N_x(r, w). \quad \dots (8)$$

Let

$$w(z) - a = c(z - z_0)^{\tau/\lambda} w_0(z), w_0(z) \neq 0, \infty. \text{ Then}$$

$$\Phi = C(z - z_0)^{\frac{(l_0)r - l_2\lambda}{\lambda}} \Phi_0(z) \neq 0, \infty.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{n} \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right) &\leq \bar{n} \left( r, \frac{1}{w} \right) + \sum_{w \neq 0} \frac{(l_0 \tau - l_2 \lambda)^+}{\lambda} \\ &\leq \bar{n} \left( r, \frac{1}{w} \right) + l_0 \sum_{w \neq 0} (\tau - 1) \\ &= \bar{n} \left( r, \frac{1}{w} \right) + l_0 n_1(r, w) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (9)$$

But

$$(n - l_2 \nu) \bar{n} \left( r, \frac{1}{w} \right) \leq \sum_{w \neq 0} (l_0 \tau - l_2 \lambda - l_1) \leq n \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right) - l_0 \bar{n} \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right)$$

$$< n \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right) - \bar{n} \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right),$$

that is

$$\bar{n} \left( r, \frac{1}{w} \right) < \frac{1}{n - l_2 \nu} \left[ n \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right) - \bar{n} \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right) \right]. \quad \dots (10)$$

It follows from (9) and (10)

$$\bar{N} \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right) < \frac{1}{n - l_2 \nu} \left[ n \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right) - \bar{n} \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right) \right] + l_0 N_1(r, w). \quad \dots (11)$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$N_1(r, w) \leq 2T(r, w) + N_x(r, w). \quad \dots (12)$$

Combining (11) and (12), we get

$$\bar{N} \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right) < \frac{1}{n - l_2 \nu + 1} N \left( r, \frac{1}{\Phi} \right) \frac{2l_0(n - l_2 \nu)}{(n - l_2 \nu + 1)} T(r, w)$$

$$+ \frac{l_0(n - l_2 \nu)}{n - l_2 \nu + 1} N_x(r, w). \quad \dots (13)$$

Combining (7), (8) and (13), we get

$$\left( 1 - \frac{1}{n - l_2 \nu + 1} \right) T(r, \Phi) < \frac{2l_0(n - l_2 \nu) + l_2(n - l_2 \nu + 1)}{(n - l_2 \nu + 1)} T(r, w)$$

$$+ \frac{l_0(n - l_2 \nu) + 2(n - l_2 \nu + 1)}{n - l_2 \nu + 1} N_x(r, w). \quad \dots (14)$$

Substituting the inequality (4) into the inequality (14), we get

$$(n - l_2 \nu) [(n - l_2 \nu) - 2\sigma(\nu - 1)]$$

$$< 2l_0(n - l_2 \nu) + l_2(n - l_2 \nu + 1)$$

$$+ 2(\nu - 1)l_2[l_0(n - l_2 \nu) + 2(n - l_2 \nu + 1)].$$

This is a contradiction. This proves Theorem 1.

#### REFERENCES

1. He Yuzan and Xiao Xiuzhi, *Algebroid functions and ordinary differential equations*, Peking: Science Press, 1988.
2. W. Hayman, *Ann. of Math.*, **70** (1959), 9-42.
3. X. C. Pang, *Chinese Ann. Math.*, **14** (1993), 51-56.
4. K. Katajamaki, *Complex Variables*, **30** (1996), 135-44.