

THE PRODUCT OF PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH BESSEL OPERATORS

PRADIP K. PANDEY

Department of Mathematics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi 221 005, India

(Received 25 April 2003; after final revision 28 April 2005; accepted 25 July 2005)

The class H^m of symbol 'a' and pseudo-differential operator $h_{\mu, a}$ associated with the Bessel operator $S_{\mu} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1-4\mu^2}{4x^2}$ are defined. It is shown that the product of two symbols is a symbol. The product $h_{\mu, a} h_{\mu, b}$ of two pseudo-differential operators $h_{\mu, a}$ and $h_{\mu, b}$ associated with symbols $a(x, \xi) \in H^m$ and $b(y, \eta) \in H^n$ is defined. It is proved that $h_{\mu, a} h_{\mu, b}$ is a continuous linear mapping of the Zemanian space H_{μ} into itself. It is shown that the Hankel transform of $h_{\mu, a} h_{\mu, b}(u)$ satisfies a certain L^1 -norm inequality.

Key Words : Hankel Transform; Pseudo-Differential Operator; Hankel Convolution, Bessel Operator

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of Hankel transformation of distributions developed by Zemanian (10) has been exploited by Pathak and Pandey (3, 4) in the study of a class of pseudo-differential operators associated with Bessel operators in the development of a theory of Sobolev type spaces and in investigating regularity of non-homogeneous Bessel differential operator equations. Another class of pseudo-differential operators has been studied in (6).

It is well-known that the product $A(x, D)B(x, D)$ of two classical pseudo-differential operators $A(x, D)$ and $B(x, D)$ associated with the symbols $a(x, \xi) \in S^{m_1}$ and $b(x, \xi) \in S^{m_2}$, respectively is the pseudo-differential operator $C(x, D)$ associated with the symbol $c(x, \xi) \in S^{m_1+m_2}$ possessing the asymptotic expansion

$$c(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{\mu} \frac{(-i)^{|\mu|}}{\mu!} \left(\partial_{\xi}^{\mu} a \right) \left(\partial_x^{\mu} b \right).$$

Its theory has been given in (7) using Fourier transformation of distributions. An analogous

theory for the product $h_{\mu,a} h_{\mu,b}$ is developed in this paper. Our approach involves direct computation of the product of pseudo-differential operators.

The Hankel transformation h_{μ} of $\phi \in L^1(0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$(h_{\mu} \phi)(x) = \int_0^{\infty} (xy)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(xy) \phi(y) dy, \quad \mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}. \quad \dots (1.1)$$

Here J_{μ} denotes the Bessel function of first kind of order μ . It is given by

$$J_{\mu}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\mu+2r}}{r(\mu+r+1)} \quad \dots (1.2)$$

The following asymptotic properties of $J_{\mu}(x)$ are useful in our investigations.

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mu}(x) &= O(x^{\mu}), & x \rightarrow 0 \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}} \left[\cos\left(x - \frac{\mu\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \right] + O(x)^1, & x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Zemanian (10) has studied the Hankel transformation given by (1.1). The Zemanian space $H_{\mu}(I)$ is known to consist of all complex valued C^{∞} -functions ϕ on $I = (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\gamma_{m,k}^{\mu}(\phi) = \sup_{x \in I} \left| x^m \left(x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^k x^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(x) \right| < \infty, \quad \forall m, k \in N_0. \quad \dots (1.3)$$

We shall use notation and terminology of (2), (6) and (10). The differential operators N_{μ} , M_{μ} and S_{μ} are defined by

$$N_{\mu} = N_{\mu,x} = x^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right) x^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \dots (1.4)$$

$$M_{\mu} = M_{\mu,x} = x^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right) x^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \quad \dots (1.5)$$

$$S_{\mu} = S_{\mu,x} = M_{\mu} N_{\mu} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1-4\mu^2}{4x^2}. \quad \dots (1.6)$$

From (2) we have the following relations for any $\phi \in H_{\mu}$.

$$h_\mu (S_\mu \phi) = h_\mu (M_\mu N_\mu \phi) = -y^2 h_\mu \phi \quad \dots (1.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^k \left(x^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \theta \phi \right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=0}^k \binom{k}{\nu} \left(x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^\nu \theta \left(x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{k-\nu} \left(x^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \phi \right) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (1.8)$$

$$S_{\mu,x}^r \phi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^r b_j x^{2j+\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \left(x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{r+j} \left(x^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(x) \right) \quad \dots (1.9)$$

where b_j are constants depending only on μ .

Following formula is useful in our investigation:

$$\left(x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^m x^{-\mu} J_\mu(x\eta) = (-1)^m \eta^m x^{-\mu-m} J_{\mu+m}(x\eta) \quad \dots (1.10)$$

In the present work we assume that the symbol $a \in H^m, b \in H^n$, where H^m is a symbol class defined as below.

Definition 1.1 — Let I denote $(0, \infty)$. The function $a(x, \xi) : C^\infty(I \times I) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ belongs to the class H^m if and only if $\forall q, \nu, \alpha \in N_0$, there exists a constant $D_{\alpha, \nu, m, q} > 0$ such that

$$(1+x)^q \left| \left(x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^\nu \left(\xi^{-1} \frac{d}{d\xi} \right)^\alpha a(x, \xi) \right| \leq D_{\alpha, \nu, m, q} (1+\xi)^{m-\alpha} \quad \dots (1.11)$$

where m is a fixed real number. If $a(x, \xi)$ satisfies the inequality (1.11) with $q = 0$ then the symbol class will be denoted by H_0^m .

Definition 1.2 — Let $a(x, y)$ be a complex valued function belonging to the $C^\infty(I \times I)$, where $I = (0, \infty)$. Then the pseudo-differential operator $h_{\mu,a}$ associated with the symbol $a(x, y)$ is defined by

$$(h_{\mu,a} u)(x) = \int_0^\infty (xy)^{1/2} J_\mu(xy) a(x, y) U_\mu(y) dy, \quad u \in H_\mu. \quad \dots (1.12)$$

where

$$U_{\mu}(y) = (h_{\mu} u)(y) = \int_0^{\infty} (xy)^{1/2} J_{\mu}(xy) u(x) dx. \quad \dots (1.13)$$

The product $h_{\mu, a} h_{\mu, b}$ is a continuous linear map of the Zemanian space H_{μ} into itself and which satisfies a certain L^1 -norm inequality.

2. THE HANKEL CONVOLUTION

We recall the following results on Hankel convolution needed in the sequel. Let $\Delta(x, y, z)$ be the area of a triangle with sides x, y, z if such a triangle exists. For $\gamma > 0$, set

$$D(x, y, z) = 2^{3\gamma-5/2} (\pi)^{-1/2} \left[\Gamma\left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right]^2 (\Gamma(\gamma))^{-1} (x, y, z)^{-2\gamma+1} [\Delta(x, y, z)]^{2\gamma-2} \quad \dots (2.1)$$

if Δ exists and zero otherwise. We note that $D(x, y, z) \geq 0$ and that $D(x, y, z)$ is symmetric in x, y, z . We have

$$\int_0^{\infty} j(zt) D(x, y, z) d\sigma(z) = j(xt) j(yt) \quad \dots (2.2)$$

where

$$d\sigma(z) = \left[2^{\gamma-1/2} \Gamma\left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right]^{-1} z^{2\gamma} dz, \quad \gamma = \mu + \frac{1}{2} \quad \dots (2.3)$$

and

$$j(x) = 2^{\gamma-1/2} \Gamma\left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right) x^{\frac{1}{2}-\gamma} J_{\gamma-1/2}(x) \quad \dots (2.4)$$

We also have

$$\int_0^{\infty} D(x, y, z) d\sigma(z) = 1. \quad \dots (2.5)$$

Next, we define $L_{\sigma}^1(0, \infty)$ as the space of all real measurable functions on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_0^{\infty} |f(x)| d\sigma(x) < \infty.$$

Lemma 2.1 — Let $f \in L^1_{\sigma}(0, \infty)$, then the associated function $f^*(x, y)$ is defined by

$$f^*(x, y) = \int_0^{\infty} f(z) D(x, y, z) d\sigma(z), \quad 0 < x, y < \infty. \quad \dots (2.6)$$

Let $f \in L^1_{\sigma}(0, \infty)$ and $g \in L^1_{\sigma}(0, \infty)$ and the Hankel convolution of f and g be defined by

$$f \# g(x) = \int_0^{\infty} f^*(x, y) g(y) d\sigma(y). \quad \dots (2.7)$$

Then the integral defining $f \# g(x)$ converges for all x , $0 < x < \infty$, and

$$\|f \# g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1, \quad \dots (2.8)$$

and $(f \# g)(x) = (g \# f)(x)$ almost everywhere.

3. PRODUCT OF SYMBOLS

Proposition 3.1 — The product of two symbols is a symbol.

PROOF: Let $a(x, \xi) \in H^m$ and $b(x, \xi) \in H^n$, then using Leibnitz type differentiation formula (1.8) and inequality (1.11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+x)^q \left| \left(x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^v \left(\xi^{-1} \frac{d}{d\xi} \right)^{\alpha} \{ a(x, \xi) b(x, \xi) \} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha} \binom{v}{s} \sum_{r=0}^{\alpha} \binom{v}{r} (1+x)^q \left| \left(\xi^{-1} \frac{d}{d\xi} \right)^{\alpha-s} \left(x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{v-s} a(x, \xi) \right| \\ & \quad \times \left| \left(\xi^{-1} \frac{d}{d\xi} \right)^s \left(x^{-1} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^r b(x, \xi) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\alpha} \binom{\alpha}{s} \sum_{r=0}^v \binom{v}{r} D_{\alpha-s, v-r, m, q} (1+\xi)^{m-\alpha+s} E_{s, r, n, 0} (1+\xi)^{n-s} \\ & \leq E_{\alpha, v, m, n, q} (1+\xi)^{m+n-\alpha}, \quad \dots (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a(x, \xi) b(x, \xi) \in H^{m+n}$.

4. PRODUCT OF PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Lemma 4.1 — Let the symbol $b(y, \eta) \in H^n$. Then the function $\phi_\mu(\xi)$ defined by

$$\phi_\mu(\xi) = \int_0^\infty b_\eta(\xi) U_\mu(\eta) d\eta \quad \dots (4.1)$$

where

$$b_\eta(\xi) = \int_0^\infty (\xi y)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu(\xi y) (y\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu(y\eta) b(y, \eta) d\eta \quad \dots (4.2)$$

and

$$U_\mu(\eta) = \int_0^\infty (x\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu(x\eta) u(x) dx, \quad \dots (4.3)$$

satisfies following inequality:

$$\left| \phi_\mu(\xi) \right| \leq E_{\mu, n, r, q} \xi^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \xi^{2r})^{-1}, \quad \forall r \geq 0. \quad \dots (4.4)$$

PROOF: We have

$$\left| \phi_\mu(\xi) \right| \leq \int_0^\infty \left| b_\eta(\xi) \right| \left| U_\mu(\eta) \right| d\eta.$$

From ((3), Lemma 3.1, p. 742) it follows that there exists a constant $B_{\mu, n, r, q}$ such that

$$\left| b_\eta(\xi) \right| \leq B_{\mu, n, r, q} (1 + \eta)^{\mu + n + 4r + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \xi)^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \xi^{2r})^{-1} \quad \dots (4.5)$$

Using this estimate we obtain

$$\left| \phi_\mu(\xi) \right| \leq \int_0^\infty B_{\mu, n, r, q} (1 + \eta)^{\mu + n + 4r + \frac{1}{2}} \xi^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \xi^{2r})^{-1} \left| U_\mu(\eta) \right| d\eta \quad \forall r \geq 0. \quad \dots (4.6)$$

Since $\left| U_\mu(\eta) \right| \in H_\mu$ we have $\left| U_\mu(\eta) \right| \leq C \eta^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \eta)^{-l} \quad \forall l \in N_0$.

Using this estimate of $U_\mu(\eta)$, the expression (4.6) yields :

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \phi_{\mu}(\xi) \right| &\leq \int_0^{\infty} B_{\mu, n, r, q} (1 + \eta)^{\mu + n + 4r + \frac{1}{2}} \xi^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}} \\ &(1 + \xi^{2r})^{-1} \cdot C(1 + \eta)^{-l} \eta^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}} d\eta \\ &\leq B'_{\mu, n, r, q} \xi^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \xi^{2r})^{-1} \int_0^{\infty} (1 + \eta)^{2\mu + n + 4r + 1 - l} d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since the last integral is convergent for sufficiently large value of l , we have

$$\left| \phi_{\mu}(\xi) \right| \leq E_{\mu, n, r, q} \xi^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}} (1 + \xi^{2r})^{-1}.$$

Definition 4.1 — Let $a(x, \xi)$ be a symbol in class H^m and $b(y, \eta)$ be another symbol belonging to class H^n . Then the product of the two pseudo-differential operators $h_{\mu, a}$ and $h_{\mu, b}$ associated with the symbols $a(x, \xi)$ and $b(y, \eta)$, respectively, is defined by

$$(h_{\mu, a} h_{\mu, b} u)(x) = \int_0^{\infty} (x \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\mu}(x \xi) a(x, \xi) h_{\mu}(h_{\mu, b} u)(\xi) d\xi. \quad \dots (4.7)$$

Substituting the value of $h_{\mu}(h_{\mu, b} u)(\xi)$ (4.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (h_{\mu, a} h_{\mu, b} u)(x) &= \int_0^{\infty} (x \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\mu}(x \xi) a(x, \xi) d\xi \int_0^{\infty} (\xi y)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\mu}(\xi y) dy \\ &\times \int_0^{\infty} (y \eta)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\mu}(y \eta) b(y, \eta) U_{\mu}(\eta) d\eta \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} (x \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\mu}(x \xi) a(x, \xi) d\xi \int_0^{\infty} b_{\eta}(\xi) U_{\mu}(\eta) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(h_{\mu, a} h_{\mu, b} u)(x) = \int_0^{\infty} (x \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\mu}(x \xi) a(x, \xi) \phi_{\mu}(\xi) d\xi. \quad \dots (4.8)$$

In view of estimate (4.4) we see that the integral on right hand side of (4.8) exists. This satisfies the above definition.

Theorem 4.2 — Let the symbol $a(x, \xi) \in H^m$ and $b(y, \eta) \in H^n$. Then the product $h_{\mu, a} h_{\mu, b}$ is a continuous linear mapping of H_μ into itself.

PROOF : Let $u \in H_\mu$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (h_{\mu, a} h_{\mu, b} u)(x) &= \int_0^\infty (x\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu(x\xi) a(x, \xi) d\xi \int_0^\infty (\xi y)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu(\xi y) dy \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty (y\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu(y\eta) b(y, \eta) U_\mu(\eta) d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (4.9)$$

Now, $h_{\mu, b}$ is a continuous linear mapping of H_μ into itself by ((3), Theorem 2.3, p. 739). Hence $\phi = (h_{\mu, b} u)(y) \in H_\mu$ by the same theorem, $h_{\mu, a} \phi$ is a continuous linear mapping of H_μ into itself.

Next we give a norm estimate for $h_{\mu, a}$. For which we define the norm

$$\| \cdot \|_{G_{\mu, q}^s} = \left\| \left| \eta^{-s-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} h_\mu(u) \right| \right\|_q,$$

where $u \in H_\mu(I)$, $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$.

Theorem 4.3 — Let $a(x, \xi) \in H^m$ and $b(y, \eta) \in H^n$ and let $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$.

Then

$$\| (h_{\mu, a} h_{\mu, b} u) \|_{G_{\mu, 1}^0} \leq E_m \left[\|u\|_{G_{\mu, 1}^0} + \|u\|_{G_{\mu, 1}^t} \right], \quad \dots (4.10)$$

where

$$t \in \mathbf{N}, \quad t > 2\mu + n + 4r + 1, \quad E_m = E_{\mu, n, r, q, tm} \quad \text{and} \quad r \in \mathbf{N}_0.$$

PROOF : From (4.8) it follows that

$$\int_0^\infty (x\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu(x\xi) (h_{\mu, a} h_{\mu, b} u)(x) dx = \int_0^\infty a_\eta(\xi) \phi_\mu(\xi) d\eta \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$a_\eta(\xi) = \int_0^\infty (x\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu(x\xi) \left[(x\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu(x\eta) a(x, \eta) \right] dx.$$

In view of (2.2) this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty (x \xi)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu(x\xi) (h_{\mu,a} h_{\mu,b} u)(x) dx \\ &= A \cdot \xi^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty \eta^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \phi_\mu(\eta) z^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} D(\xi, \eta, z) d\sigma(z) \\ & \times \int_0^\infty (zx)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu(zx) x^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} a(x, \eta) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = 2^\mu \Gamma(\mu + 1)^{-2}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} h_\mu (h_{\mu,a} h_{\mu,b} u)(\xi) &= A \cdot \xi^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty \eta^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \phi_\mu(\eta) d\eta \int_0^\infty z^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} D(\xi, \eta, z) \\ & \times h_\mu \left(x^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} a(x, \eta) \right) (z) d\sigma(z), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} h_\mu (h_{\mu,a} h_{\mu,b} u)(\xi) \\ & \leq A \cdot \int_0^\infty \left| \eta^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} \phi_\mu(\eta) \right| d\eta \int_0^\infty z^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} D(\xi, \eta, z) \left| A_\eta(z) \right| d\sigma(z). \quad \dots (4.12) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_\eta(z) = h_\mu \left(x^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} a(x, \eta) \right) (z).$$

We use the estimate ((3), Lemma 4.1, p. 744):

$$\left| A_\eta(z) \right| \leq C_{r,m,q} (1 + \eta)^m z^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} (1 + z^{2r})^{-1}, \quad \forall r \in N_0$$

and the obvious inequality

$$(1 + \eta)^m \leq 2^m (1 + \eta)^m$$

and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \xi^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} h_{\mu} (h_{\mu,a} h_{\mu,b} u) (\xi) \right| \leq A \cdot B_{r,m,q} \int_0^{\infty} \eta^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}} (1+\eta)^m \left| \phi_{\mu}(\eta) \right| d\eta \\
& \times \int_0^{\infty} D(\xi, \eta, z) (1+z^{2r})^{-1} d\sigma(z), \\
& \leq 2^{\mu+m} \Gamma(\mu+1) C_{r,m,q} \int_0^{\infty} \left[\eta^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}} + \eta^{\mu+m+\frac{1}{2}} \right] \eta^{-2\mu-1} \left| \phi_{\mu}(\eta) \right| d\sigma(\eta) \\
& \times \int_0^{\infty} D(\xi, \eta, z) (1+z^{2r})^{-1} d\sigma(z), \\
& \leq 2^{\mu+m} \Gamma(\mu+1) C_{r,m,q} \int_0^{\infty} \left[\eta^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} + \eta^{m-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \left| \phi_{\mu}(\eta) \right| d\sigma(\eta) \\
& \times \int_0^{\infty} (1+z^{2r})^{-1} D(\xi, \eta, z) d\sigma(z). \quad \dots (4.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we take

$$f(z) = (1+z^{2r})^{-1} \in L_{\mu}^1(0, \infty)$$

and

$$g_p(\eta) = 2^{\mu} \Gamma(\mu+1) \eta^{p-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \phi_{\mu}(\eta) \right| \in L_{\mu}^1(0, \infty), \quad p=0, m.$$

Then applying inequality (2.8) to (4.13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \xi^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} h_{\mu} (h_{\mu,a} h_{\mu,b} u) (\xi) \right| = \left\| f \neq \sum_{p=0, m} g_p(\eta) \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \left\| (1+z^{2r})^{-1} \right\|_{L^1} \left\| \sum_{p=0, m} g_p(\eta) \right\|_{L^1} \\
& \leq \left(B \left\| \eta^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{\mu}(\eta) \right\|_{L^1} + \left\| \eta^{m-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{\mu}(\eta) \right\|_{L^1} \right). \quad \dots (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, applying the estimate (4.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^\infty \left| \xi^{p-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_\mu(\xi) \right| d\xi \leq B_{\mu,n,r,q} \int_0^\infty \xi^p (1+\xi^{2r})^{-1} d\xi \\
 & \int_0^\infty \left| (1+\eta)^{2\mu+n+4r+1} \cdot \eta^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} U_\mu(\eta) \right| d\eta \\
 & \leq C_{p,\mu,n,r,q} \int_0^\infty \left| (1+\eta)^{2\mu+n+4r+1} \eta^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} U_\mu(\eta) \right| d\eta \\
 & \leq C_{p,\mu,n,r,q} \int_0^\infty \left| (1+\eta)^t \eta^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} U_\mu(\eta) \right| d\eta, \quad (t > 2\mu+n+4r+1) \\
 & \leq C_{p,\mu,n,r,q} 2^t \left[\left\| (1+\eta)^t \eta^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} h_\mu(u)(\eta) \right\|_{L^1} \right] \\
 & \leq C'_{p,\mu,n,r,q,t} \\
 & \left[\left\| \eta^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} h_\mu(u)(\eta) \right\|_{L^1} + \left[\left\| \eta^{t-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} h_\mu(u)(\eta) \right\|_{L^1} \right] \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \eta^{p-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_\mu(\eta) \right\|_{L^1} \\
 & \leq C'_{p,\mu,n,r,q,t} \left(\|u\|_{G_{\mu,1}^0} + \|u\|_{G_{\mu,1}^t} \right). \quad \dots (4.15)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore applying (4.14) to (4.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \xi^{-\mu-\frac{1}{2}} h_\mu(h_{\mu,a} h_{\mu,b} u)(\xi) \right| \leq C'_0 \left(\|u\|_{G_{\mu,1}^0} + \|u\|_{G_{\mu,1}^t} \right) \\
 & \quad + C'_m \left(\|u\|_{G_{\mu,1}^0} + \|u\|_{G_{\mu,1}^t} \right);
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\| (h_{\mu,a} h_{\mu,b} u)(\xi) \|_{G_{\mu,1}^0} \leq E_m \left(\| u \|_{G_{\mu,1}^0} + \| u \|_{G_{\mu,1}^t} \right)$$

where $E_m = E_{\mu, n, r, q, t, m}$.

REFERENCES

1. D. T. Haimo, Integral equations associated with Hankel convolutions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **116** (1965), 330-75.
2. R. S. Pathak., *Integral Transforms of Generalized Functions and their Applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1997.
3. R. S. Pathak and P. K. Pandey, A class of pseudo-differential operators associated with Bessel operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **196** (1995), 736-47.
4. R. S. Pathak and P. K. Pandey, Sobolev type spaces associated with Bessel operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **215** (1997), 95-111.
5. R. S. Pathak and S. K. Upadhyay, Pseudo-differential operators involving Hankel transform, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **213** (1997), 133-47.
6. L. Schwartz, "Theorie des Distributions", Hermann, Paris, 1978.
7. M. W. Wong, *Introduction to pseudo-differential operators*, World Scientific. Singapore, 1991.
8. S. Zaidman, *Distributions and Pseudo-differential Operators*, Longman, Essex, England, 1991.
9. S. Zaidman, On some estimates for pseudo-differential operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **39** (1972), 202-07.
10. A. H. Zemanian, *Generalized Integral Transformations*, Interscience, New York, 1968.