

L^2 -CONCENTRATION OF BLOW-UP SOLUTIONS FOR TWO-COUPLED
NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS WITH HARMONIC
POTENTIAL¹

Zhong-Xue Lü*, Zuhan Liu^{*,**} and Changcheng Yao*

**School of Mathematical Science, Xuzhou Normal University, Xuzhou, 221116,
Peoples' Republic of China*

***School of Mathematics, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002,
Peoples' Republic of China
e-mail: lvzx1@tom.com*

*(Received 28 August 2010; after final revision 27 December 2011;
accepted 28 December 2011)*

In this paper, we consider the blow-up solutions of Cauchy problem for two-coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations with harmonic potential. We establish the lower bound of blow-up rate. Furthermore, the L^2 concentration for radially symmetric blow-up solutions is obtained.

Key words : L^2 concentration; nonlinear Schrödinger equations; harmonic potential; Bose-Einstein condensates.

¹This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10771181; 11071206) and NSF of Jiangsu Province (No. BK2010172).

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we consider two-coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations with harmonic potential

$$\begin{cases} i\psi_t^1 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi^1 + \frac{\omega^2}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)\psi^1 - (v_{11}|\psi^1|^2 + v_{12}|\psi^2|^2)\psi^1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ i\psi_t^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)\psi^2 - (v_{12}|\psi^1|^2 + v_{22}|\psi^2|^2)\psi^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

$$\psi^1(x, 0) = \psi_0^1(x), \psi^2(x, 0) = \psi_0^2(x). \quad (1.2)$$

Here $\psi^1(x, t) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\psi^2(x, t) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\psi_0^1(x), \psi_0^2(x)$ being the initial data. Δ is the Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^2 . v_{ij} , $i, j = 1, 2$ are coupling constants. The system (1.1) arises in the Hartree-Fock theory for a double condensate, i.e., a binary mixture of Bose-Einstein condensates in two different hyperfine states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ ([1]). Physically, the solution ψ^j denotes the corresponding macroscopic wave function of the j th ($j=1, 2$) component. v_{11}, v_{22} and v_{12} are the intraspecies and interspecies scattering lengths. The sign of the scattering length v_{12} determines whether the interactions of states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ are repulsive or attractive. When $v_{12} < 0$, the interactions are repulsive ([2]); when $v_{12} > 0$, they are attractive.

On the Cauchy problem (1.1) and (1.2), researching sharp condition and limit behaviour for the blow-up solution are very important topics. Since the cubic nonlinearities are physically relevant, the equation with a cubic nonlinearity occurs in various chapters of physics, including nonlinear optics, superconductivity, and plasma physics. In space dimension $n = 2$, the cubic nonlinearity is critical. In fact, the power $1 + 4/n$, where n is the space dimension, is the one for which the virial identity gives immediately the Zakharov-Glassey condition for the blow-up (negative initial energy). For nonlinearity power $p < 3$ in space dimension $n = 2$, blow-up in finite time never occurs(see, Ginibre-Velo [3]).

For single nonlinear Schrödinger equation, many authors studied sharp condition for global existence of solutions (see [4-19]). ‘Mass concentration’ of blow-up

solutions in the critical power nonlinear case is quite different from the supercritical case(see [20-26]). In particular, in [24-26], authors established the relation between the mass concentration of radially symmetric blow-up solutions and the ground state equation of some elliptic equation.

For coupled nonlinear Schrödinger systems, Fanelli and Montefusco [27] gave the sharp thresholds of blow-up solution for the case without a harmonic potential term. Lü and Liu [28, 29] gave the sharp thresholds of blow-up solution for the case with a harmonic potential term. They prove that the L^2 norm of the gradient of solution blows up in a finite time. More precisely, applying a consequence of the standard Hardy's inequality to any solution of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system, and by the mass is conserved and the L^2 -norm of $x\psi$ vanish in a finite time, they obtain the L^2 -norm of the gradient needs necessarily to blow up in a finite time. But for 'mass concentration', to our knowledge, there is no related result in the literature.

In this paper, motivated by Fanelli and Montefusco [27] and Li and Zhang [26], on the basis of results on the existence of blow-up solution [27-29], we investigate the L^2 concentration for radially symmetric blow-up solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). As we will see, we prove that in small neighborhood of origin, i.e., $|x| < a(t)$, $t \rightarrow T$ (T is the maximal existence time), concentrated mass is large than $\|u^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u^2\|_{L^2}^2$. Where (u^1, u^2) is a ground state solution of some elliptic system.

On the existence and coupling properties of ground-states for these systems, we refer readers to Maia-Montefusco-Pellacci [30], Ambrosetti-Colorado [31], Lin-Wei [32, 33], Sirakov [34] and the references therein. Note that the definition of ground state given by Maia, Montefusco-Pellacci in [30] or given by Ambrosetti-Colorado in [31] is quite different from that introduced by Lin-Wei in [32, 33]. In this paper, we are concerned with the definition introduced by Sirakov in [34]. More precisely, a least energy solution of elliptic systems is called a ground state of this systems, if it is with two nonnegative components.

In what follows, we give our main result. We define a space H by

$$H := H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \{\psi : |x|\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\}$$

with the inner product

$$\langle \psi, \phi \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \bar{\phi} + \psi \bar{\phi} + |x|^2 \psi \bar{\phi},$$

for all $\psi, \phi \in H$. The norm of H is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_H$. By the standard technique (see e.g. Ginibre and Velo [3-5] and Cazenave [35]), it is easy to prove that:

Assume that $\psi_0^1, \psi_0^2 \in H$, then there exists a solution ψ^1, ψ^2 of the Cauchy problem (1.1) and (1.2) in $C([0, T], H)$ for some $T \in (0, \infty]$, $T = +\infty$ or $T < +\infty$ with $\|\psi^1\|_H^2 + \|\psi^2\|_H^2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T$. Furthermore $\psi^1(x, t), \psi^2(x, t)$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{N}(\psi^1, \psi^2) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi_1(x, t)|^2 + |\psi_2(x, t)|^2 \equiv C_1, \quad (1.3)$$

$$E(\psi^1, \psi^2) \equiv C_2, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E(\psi^1, \psi^2) := & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \psi^1|^2 + |\nabla \psi^2|^2) + \frac{\omega^2}{2} |x|^2 (|\psi^1|^2 + |\psi^2|^2) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (v_{11} |\psi^1|^4 + v_{22} |\psi^2|^4) - v_{12} |\psi^1|^2 |\psi^2|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

with C_1, C_2 as constants.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (*L^2 -concentration*). — *Let $\psi^1, \psi^2 \in C([0, T], H)$ be a solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) and (1.2) such that (ψ^1, ψ^2) blows up at finite time $t = T$. If $a(t)$ is a decreasing function from $[0, T)$ to \mathbb{R}^+ such that $a(t) \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow T$) and $(T - t)^{1/4}/a(t) \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow T$), then*

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow T} (\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^2(|x| < a(t))}^2 + \|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^2(|x| < a(t))}^2)^{1/2} \\ \geq (\|u^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u^2\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where (u^1, u^2) is a ground state solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u^1 - u^1 + (v_{11}|u^1|^2 + v_{12}|u^2|^2)u^1 = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \Delta u^2 - u^2 + (v_{12}|u^1|^2 + v_{22}|u^2|^2)u^2 = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases}$$

We organize the paper as follows: In Section 2, we establish the lower bound of blow-up rate. In Section 3, we prove the L^2 concentration for radially symmetric blow-up solutions.

2. BLOW-UP RATE

We consider the Cauchy problem of two-coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations without harmonic potential

$$\begin{cases} i\phi_t^1 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi^1 - (v_{11}|\phi^1|^2 + v_{12}|\phi^2|^2)\phi^1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ i\phi_t^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi^2 - (v_{12}|\phi^1|^2 + v_{22}|\phi^2|^2)\phi^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\phi^1(x, 0) = \phi_0^1(x), \phi^2(x, 0) = \phi_0^2(x). \quad (2.2)$$

We first recall some results on the Cauchy problem (2.1) and (2.2) (see [27]).

Assume that $\phi_0^1, \phi_0^2 \in H^1$, then there exists a solution (ϕ^1, ϕ^2) of the Cauchy problem (2.1)-(2.2) in $C([0, T], H^1)$ for some $T \in (0, \infty]$, $T = +\infty$ or $T < +\infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \|\phi^1\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\phi^2\|_{H^1}^2 = \infty$. Furthermore $(\phi^1(x, t), \phi^2(x, t))$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{N}^*(\phi^1, \phi^2) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi_1(x, t)|^2 + |\phi_2(x, t)|^2 \equiv C_1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$E^*(\phi^1, \phi^2) \equiv C_2, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$E^*(\phi^1, \phi^2) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla\phi^1|^2 + |\nabla\phi^2|^2) - \frac{1}{2}(v_{11}|\phi^1|^4 + v_{22}|\phi^2|^4) - v_{12}|\phi^1|^2|\phi^2|^2, \quad (2.5)$$

with C_1, C_2 as constants.

Proposition 2.1 — Let $\phi_0^1, \phi_0^2 \in H^1$, and (ϕ^1, ϕ^2) be a solution of the Cauchy problem (2.1)-(2.2) in $C([0, T], H^1)$. Put $J_i(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 |\phi^i|^2$, $i = 1, 2$, and $J(t) = J_1(t) + J_2(t)$. Then one has

$$J'(t) = -4\mathcal{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla\bar{\phi}^1 \cdot (\phi^1 x) + \nabla\bar{\phi}^2 \cdot (\phi^2 x) \quad (2.6)$$

$$J''(t) = 16E^*(\phi^1, \phi^2). \quad (2.7)$$

For some initial data (ϕ_0^1, ϕ_0^2) , the solution (ϕ^1, ϕ^2) of (2.1) and (2.2) blows up in finite time. We can get the following lower estimate of the blow-up order of $(\|\nabla\psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\psi^2\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}$.

Lemma 2.2 — Let (ϕ^1, ϕ^2) be a solution of the Cauchy problem (2.1) and (2.2) in $C([0, T], H^1)$ such that (ϕ^1, ϕ^2) blows up at finite time $t = T$. Then, there exists an $L > 0$ such that

$$(\|\nabla\phi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\phi^2\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2} \geq L(T - t)^{-1/4}, \quad t \in [0, T).$$

PROOF : Let

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } f(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(\phi) \\ f_2(\phi) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{11}|\phi_1|^2\phi_1 + v_{12}|\phi_2|^2\phi_1 \\ v_{12}|\phi_1|^2\phi_2 + v_{22}|\phi_2|^2\phi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

then we have

$$|f(\phi) - f(\psi)| \leq C(|\phi|^2 + |\psi|^2)|\phi - \psi|, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\|f(\phi) - f(\psi)\|_{L^{4/3}} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\psi\|_{L^4}^2)\|\phi - \psi\|_{L^4}, \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\|\nabla f(\phi)\|_{L^{4/3}} \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^4}^2\|\phi - \psi\|_{L^4}. \quad (2.10)$$

Let $\theta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C}^2, \mathbb{R})$ be such that $\theta(z) = 1$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Set

$$g_1(\psi) = \theta(\psi)f(\psi),$$

$$g_2(\psi) = (1 - \theta(\psi))f(\psi),$$

one easily verifies that

$$|g_1(\phi) - g_1(\psi)| \leq C|\phi - \psi|, \quad (2.11)$$

$$|g_2(\phi) - g_2(\psi)| \leq C(|\phi|^2 + |\psi|^2)|\phi - \psi|. \quad (2.12)$$

From Hölder's inequality, we deduce that

$$\|g_1(\phi) - g_1(\psi)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\phi - \psi\|_{L^2}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\|g_2(\phi) - g_2(\psi)\|_{L^{4/3}} \leq C(\|\phi\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\psi\|_{L^4}^2)\|\phi - \psi\|_{L^4} \quad (2.14)$$

and from Remark 1.3.1(vii) in [35] that

$$\|\nabla g_1(\phi)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\|\nabla g_2(\phi)\|_{L^{4/3}} \leq C\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^4}. \quad (2.16)$$

We will prove the theorem by a fixed point argument.

Fix $M, T > 0$, to be chosen later. Consider the set

$$E = \{\phi \in L^\infty((0, T), H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^4((0, T), W^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times W^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^2)); \\ \|\phi\|_{L^\infty((0, T), H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq M, \|\phi\|_{L^4((0, T), W^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times W^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^2))} \leq M\}$$

equipped with the distance

$$d(\phi, \psi) = \|\phi - \psi\|_{L^4((0, T), L^4)} + \|\phi - \psi\|_{L^\infty((0, T), L^2)}.$$

We easily claim that (E, d) is a complete metric space. We wish to find a condition on M and T which imply that \mathcal{F} , given by

$$\mathcal{F} = S(t)\varphi + i \int_0^t S(t-s)g(\phi(s))ds.$$

is a strict contraction on E . Where $S(t)$ is the unitary group $e^{it\Delta}$ determined by the linear Schrödinger equation and $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Consider $\phi \in E$. Since g_1 is continuous $L^2 \times L^2 \rightarrow L^2 \times L^2$, it follows that $g_1 : (0, T) \rightarrow L^2 \times L^2$ is measurable, and we deduce that $g_1(\phi) \in L^\infty((0, T), L^2 \times L^2)$. Similarly, we can deduce that $g_2(\phi) \in L^4((0, T), L^{4/3} \times L^{4/3})$. Using (2.13)-(2.16), and Remark 1.2.2(iii) in [35], we deduce that $g_1(\phi) \in L^\infty((0, T), L^2 \times L^2)$, $g_2(\phi) \in L^4((0, T), L^{4/3} \times L^{4/3})$ and

$$\|g_1(\phi)\|_{L^\infty((0, T), H^1 \times H^1)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^\infty((0, T), H^1 \times H^1)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_2(\phi)\|_{L^4((0,T),W^{1,4/3}\times W^{1,4/3})} &\leq C(\|\phi\|_{L^\infty((0,T),L^4\times L^4)}\|\phi\|_{L^4((0,T),W^{1,4}\times W^{1,4})}, \\ \|g_1(\phi) - g_1(\psi)\|_{L^\infty((0,T),L^2\times L^2)} &\leq C\|\phi - \psi\|_{L^\infty((0,T),L^2\times L^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|g_2(\phi) - g_2(\psi)\|_{L^4((0,T),L^{4/3}\times L^{4/3})} \\ &\leq C(\|\phi\|_{L^\infty((0,T),L^4\times L^4)}^2 + \|\psi\|_{L^\infty((0,T),L^4\times L^4)}^2)(\|\phi - \psi\|_{L^4((0,T),L^4\times L^4)}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and Hölder's inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|g_1(\phi)\|_{L^1((0,T),H^1\times H^1)} + \|g_2(\phi)\|_{L^{4/3}((0,T),W^{1,4/3}\times W^{1,4/3})} \\ &\leq C(T + T^{1/2})(1 + M^2)M, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|g_1(\phi) - g_1(\psi)\|_{L^1((0,T),L^2\times L^2)} + \|g_2(\phi) - g_2(\psi)\|_{L^{4/3}((0,T),L^{4/3}\times L^{4/3})} \\ &\leq C(T + T^{1/2})(1 + M^2)d(\phi, \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Then it follows from (2.17) and Strichartz's estimates that for $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi) \in C([0, T], H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^4((0, T), W^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times W^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{F}(\phi)\|_{L^\infty((0,T),H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)\times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} + \|\mathcal{F}(\phi)\|_{L^4((0,T),W^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^2)\times W^{1,4}(\mathbb{R}^2))} \\ &\leq C\|\varphi\|_{H^1\times H^1} + C(T + T^{1/2})(1 + M^2)M. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathcal{F}(\phi) - \mathcal{F}(\psi)\|_{L^\infty((0,T),L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)\times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} + \|\mathcal{F}(\phi) - \mathcal{F}(\psi)\|_{L^4((0,T),L^4(\mathbb{R}^2)\times L^4(\mathbb{R}^2))} \\ &\leq C(T + T^{1/2})(1 + M^2)d(\phi, \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Hence, for $\varphi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, if we set

$$C\|\varphi\|_{H^1\times H^1} + C(T + T^{1/2})(1 + M^2)M \leq M, \quad (2.22)$$

and choose T small enough so that

$$C(T + T^{1/2})(1 + M^2) < \frac{1}{2},$$

then it follows that $\mathcal{F}(\phi) \in E$ and $d(\mathcal{F}(\phi), \mathcal{F}(\psi)) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(\phi, \psi)$. Namely, \mathcal{F} is a strict contraction on E . By Banach's fixed point theorem, \mathcal{F} has a unique fixed point $\phi \in E$. If we consider $\phi(t)$ as the initial value, where $t < T^*$, it follows from (2.22) and the fixed argument that if for some $M > 0$,

$$C\|\phi(t)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + C(T - t + (T - t)^{1/2})(1 + M^2) \leq M,$$

then $T < T^*$. Thus for all $M > 0$,

$$C\|\phi(t)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + C(T^* - t + (T^* - t)^{1/2})(1 + M^2)M > M.$$

As $t \rightarrow T^*$, we have

$$C\|\phi(t)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} + C(T^* - t)^{1/2}(1 + M^2)M > M.$$

Choosing for example, $M = C\|\phi(t)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}$, we see that

$$\|\phi(t)\|_{H^1 \times H^1}^2 > \frac{C}{(T^* - t)^{1/2}}.$$

Because $\frac{C}{2(T^* - t)^{1/2}} > 1$ as $t \rightarrow T^*$, then

$$\|\phi(t)\|_{H^1 \times H^1} > \frac{C}{(T^* - t)^{1/4}}.$$

By Young's inequality, we complete the proof of the lemma.

Following the methods by Carles in [36], we can prove the following proposition that deals with the relation between the solution of (1.1)-(1.2) and that of (2.1)-(2.2).

Lemma 2.3 — (1) Assume that ϕ^1, ϕ^2 is a solution of the Cauchy problem (2.1)-(2.2) is in $C([0, T), H)$. Let

$$\psi^i(x, t) = \frac{1}{\cos \omega t} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{2}|x|^2 \tan \omega t} \phi^i \left(\frac{x}{\cos \omega t}, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (2.23)$$

then, $\psi^i(x, t) \in C([0, \frac{\arctan \omega T}{\omega}), H)$, $i = 1, 2$ is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2).

(2) Assume that ψ^i , $i = 1, 2$ is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) is in $C([0, \tau), H)$ with $\tau \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2\omega})$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^i(x, t) &= \frac{1}{(1 + (\omega t)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{2} \frac{\omega^2 t}{1 + (\omega t)^2}} \psi^i \\ &\left(\frac{x}{(1 + (\omega t)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \frac{\arctan \omega t}{\omega} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

then $\phi^i(x, t) \in C([0, \frac{\tan \omega \tau}{\omega}), H)$, $i = 1, 2$ is a solution of (2.1)-(2.2).

On the basis of Lemma 2.3, we get following lemma directly.

Lemma 2.4 — (1) Assume that ψ^1, ψ^2 is a solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) is in $C([0, T), H)$, where $T \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2\omega})$ (T is maximal existence time). Let

$$\phi^i(x, t) = \frac{1}{(1 + (\omega t)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{2} \frac{\omega^2 t}{1 + (\omega t)^2}} \psi^i \left(\frac{x}{(1 + (\omega t)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \frac{\arctan \omega t}{\omega} \right), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

then

(1) $\phi^i(x, t) \in C([0, \frac{\tan \omega T}{\omega}), H)$, $i = 1, 2$ is a solution of (2.1)-(2.2), where $\frac{\tan \omega T}{\omega}$ is the maximal existence time.

$$(2) \psi^i(x, t) = \frac{1}{\cos \omega t} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{2}|x|^2 \tan \omega t} \phi^i \left(\frac{x}{\cos \omega t}, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega} \right), \quad t \in [0, T), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

With the lemmas above, we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5 — Let ψ^1, ψ^2 be a solution of the Cauchy problem (1.1) and (1.2) is in $C([0, T], H)$ such that (ψ^1, ψ^2) blows up at finite time $t = T$. Then, there exists an $M > 0$ such that

$$(\|\nabla\psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\psi^2\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2} \geq M(T-t)^{-1/4}, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.25)$$

PROOF : By Lemma 2.4, let $\phi^i, i = 1, 2$ be defined by (2.24), then, $\phi^i \in C([0, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}], H), i = 1, 2$ is a blow-up solution for (2.1)-(2.2), where $\frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}$ is the maximal existence time, and

$$\psi^i(x, t) = \frac{1}{\cos\omega t} e^{-i\frac{\omega}{2}|x|^2 \tan\omega t} \phi^i\left(\frac{x}{\cos\omega t}, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Then, for $t \in [0, T], T \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2\omega})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\psi^i(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \frac{1}{\cos^2(\omega t)} \left\| -i\omega x \sin\omega t \phi^i\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right) + \nabla\phi^i\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\cos^2(\omega t)} \|\nabla\phi^i\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 - \omega^2 \|x\phi^i\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\geq \|\nabla\phi\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 - \omega^2 \|x\phi^i\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\psi^1(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\psi^2(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\geq \|\nabla\phi^1\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\phi^2\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad - \omega^2 \|x\phi^1\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 - \omega^2 \|x\phi^2\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

We claim that there is a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$\omega^2 \|x\phi^1\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 + \omega^2 \|x\phi^2\left(\cdot, \frac{\tan\omega t}{\omega}\right)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (2.27)$$

In fact, consider

$$J(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 (|\phi^1(x, t)|^2 + |\phi^2(x, t)|^2), \quad t \in [0, \frac{\tan\omega T}{\omega}].$$

By Proposition 2.2, we have that $J''(t) = 16E^*(\phi^1, \phi^2)$; $E^*(\phi^1, \phi^2)$ is a constant. Consider the analytical identity,

$$J(t^*) = J(0) + j'(0)t^* + \int_0^{t^*} J''(t^* - s)ds = J(0) + j'(0)t^* + 8E^*(\phi^1, \phi^2)(t^*)^2.$$

Let $t^* = \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega}$, $t \in [0, T)$, $0 < T < \frac{\pi}{2\omega}$, we have

$$\|x\phi^1(\cdot, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|x\phi^2(\cdot, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega})\|_{L^2}^2 = J(0) + j'(0)t^* + 8E(\phi^1, \phi^2)(t^*)^2.$$

This equation implies (2.27).

By Lemma 2.2, there is a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$\|\nabla\phi^1(\cdot, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\phi^2(\cdot, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega})\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{C}{(\tan \omega T - \tan \omega t)^2}, t \in [0, T) \quad (2.28).$$

From (2.26)-(2.28),

$$\|\nabla\phi^1(\cdot, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\phi^2(\cdot, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega})\|_{L^2}^2 + C \geq \frac{C}{(\tan \omega T - \tan \omega t)^2}, t \in [0, T).$$

Because $\cos \omega t \geq \cos \omega T$, for $t \in [0, T)$, $T \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2\omega})$, we have

$$\|\nabla\phi^1(\cdot, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\phi^2(\cdot, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega})\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{C}{\sin^2(\omega(T-t))} - C, t \in [0, T).$$

Because $\frac{\cos^2(\omega(T-t))}{\sin^2(\omega(T-t))} \sim \frac{1}{(\omega(T-t))^2}$, as $t \rightarrow T$, we have

$$\|\nabla\phi^1(\cdot, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\phi^2(\cdot, \frac{\tan \omega t}{\omega})\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \frac{C}{(T-t)^2}, t \in [0, T), as t \rightarrow T.$$

This implies (2.25).

3. CONCENTRATION BEHAVIOUR

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1.

First, we recall the existence theorem of a ground state solution of the following system by Sirakov in [34],

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u^1 - u^1 + (v_{11}|u^1|^2 + v_{12}|u^2|^2)u^1 = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \Delta u^2 - u^2 + (v_{12}|u^1|^2 + v_{22}|u^2|^2)u^2 = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1 ([34]) — Let $0 < v_{12} < \min\{v_{11}, v_{22}\} > 0$, then systems (3.1) has a ground state solution u_0^1, u_0^2 .

Moreover, by [35], we have following sharp vector-valued Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality.

Lemma 3.2 — Let $v_{ij} > 0, i, j = 1, 2$, and $v_{11}v_{22} > v_{12}^2$, then, for any $\psi^i \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), i = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v_{11}|\psi^1|^4 + v_{22}|\psi^2|^4 + 2v_{12}|\psi^1|^2|\psi^2|^2) \\ & \leq \frac{2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\nabla \psi^1|^2 + |\nabla \psi^2|^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\psi^1|^2 + |\psi^2|^2)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|u_0^1|^2 + |u_0^2|^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Here (u_0^1, u_0^2) is a ground state solution of the system (3.1).

We need an auxiliary function $\rho(x) : \rho(x) = \rho(|x|)$ being a radially symmetric nonnegative function in $C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, such that

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & r = |x| < 1/2, \\ 0, & r = |x| > 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

and $0 \geq \rho'(r) \geq -8$.

Lemma 3.3 ([25]) — Let $v(x)$ be radially symmetric function in H^1 . Then, for any $R > 0$

$$\|v(x)\|_{L^\infty(|x|>R)}^2 \leq C_0 R^{-1} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(|x|>R)} \|v\|_{L^2(|x|>R)}, \quad (3.4)$$

where C_0 does not depend on R and $v(x)$.

Lemma 3.4 — Let all the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 be satisfied, and we put $\beta(t) = (\|\nabla\psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\psi^2\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}$.

Then there exist two positive constants M_1 and M_2 such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \frac{(\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}}{(\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^2(|x|<M_1/\beta(t))}^2 + \|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^2(|x|<M_1/\beta(t))}^2)^{1/2}} \leq M_2. \quad (3.5)$$

PROOF : Let M_1 be a large positive constant to be determined later.

From (1.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^2(t) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (v_{11}|\psi^1|^4 + v_{22}|\psi^2|^4) + v_{12} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\psi^1|^2 |\psi^2|^2 + 2E(\psi^1, \psi^2) \\ &\leq \frac{v_{11} + v_{22}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\psi^1|^4 + |\psi^2|^4) + 2E(\psi^1, \psi^2) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^1|^4 + |(1 - \rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x))\psi^1|^4) \\ &\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^2|^4 + |(1 - \rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x))\psi^2|^4) + 2E(\psi^1, \psi^2) \\ &= C \|\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^1\|_{L^4}^4 + \|(1 - \rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x))\psi^1\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\quad + C \|\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^2\|_{L^4}^4 + C \|(1 - \rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x))\psi^2\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\quad + 2E(\psi^1, \psi^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^1\|_{L^4}^4 &\leq C\|\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^1\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\{\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^1\}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C\|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^1 + \rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\nabla\psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{C\|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^4}{M_1^2}\beta^2(t) + C\|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\psi^1\|_{L^2(|x|<\frac{M_1}{\beta(t)})}^2. \quad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^2\|_{L^4}^4 &\leq C\|\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^2\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\{\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^2\}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C\|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\psi^2 + \rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\nabla\psi^2\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{C\|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^4}{M_1^2}\beta^2(t) + C\|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\psi^2\|_{L^2(|x|<\frac{M_1}{\beta(t)})}^2. \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\{1 - \rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\}\psi^1\|_{L^4}^4 &\leq \|\psi^1\|_{L^4(|\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x|>\frac{1}{2})}^4 \\
&\leq C\|\psi^1\|_{L^\infty(|x|>\frac{M_1}{2\beta(t)})}^2\|\psi^1\|_{L^2(|x|>\frac{M_1}{2\beta(t)})}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{C\beta(t)}{M_1}\|\nabla\psi^1\|_{L^2(|x|>\frac{M_1}{2\beta(t)})}^2\|\psi^1\|_{L^2(|x|>\frac{M_1}{2\beta(t)})}^3 \\
&\leq \frac{C\|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^3}{M_1}\beta^2(t). \quad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\|\{1 - \rho(\frac{\beta(t)}{M_1}x)\}\psi^2\|_{L^4}^4 \leq \frac{C\|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^3}{M_1}\beta^2(t). \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.6)-(3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta^2(t) &\leq C_1 \|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \psi^1\|_{L^2(|x| < \frac{M_1}{\beta(t)})}^2 \\
&\quad + C_1 \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \psi^2\|_{L^2(|x| < \frac{M_1}{\beta(t)})}^2 \\
&\quad + \left\{ \frac{C_2 \|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^4}{M_1^2} + \frac{C_3 \|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^3}{M_1} \right\} \beta^2(t) + 2E(\psi^1, \psi^2) \\
&\leq C_1 (\|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^2) (\|\nabla \psi^1\|_{L^2(|x| < \frac{M_1}{\beta(t)})}^2 + \|\nabla \psi^2\|_{L^2(|x| < \frac{M_1}{\beta(t)})}^2) \\
&\quad + \left\{ \frac{C_2 \|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^4}{M_1^2} + \frac{C_3 \|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^3}{M_1} \right\} \beta^2(t) \\
&\quad + 2E(\psi^1, \psi^2) \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose M_1 so large that

$$\frac{C_2 \|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^4}{M_1^2} + \frac{C_3 \|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^3}{M_1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

then by (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta^2(t) &\leq 2C_1 (\|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^2) (\|\nabla \psi^1\|_{L^2(|x| < \frac{M_1}{\beta(t)})}^2 \\
&\quad + \|\nabla \psi^2\|_{L^2(|x| < \frac{M_1}{\beta(t)})}^2) + 2E(\psi^1, \psi^2). \tag{3.12}
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\|\nabla \psi^1\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \psi^2\|_{L^2} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T$, (3.12) and (1.4) implies that

$$\|\nabla \psi^1\|_{L^2(|x| < \frac{M_1}{\beta(t)})}^2 + \|\nabla \psi^2\|_{L^2(|x| < \frac{M_1}{\beta(t)})}^2 \rightarrow \infty (t \rightarrow T).$$

This fact and (3.12) show (3.5).

We next show the following proposition concerning the relation between the blow-up order of $(\|\nabla \psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \psi^2\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}$ and the rate of L^2 -concentration.

Proposition 3.5 — Let all the assumptions in Theorem 1.1 be satisfied, and put

$$\beta(t) = (\|\nabla \psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \psi^2\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}.$$

If $a(t)$ is a decreasing function from $[0, T)$ to \mathbb{R}^+ such that $a(t) \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow T$) and $\frac{1}{\beta(t)a(t)} \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow T$), then

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow T} (\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^2(|x| < a(t))}^2 + \|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^2(|x| < a(t))}^2)^{1/2} \\ \geq (\|u^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u^2\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where u^1, u^2 is a ground state solution of (3.1).

PROOF : Let $\rho(t)$ be defined as in (3.3). We put

$$\rho_a(t) = \rho(x/a(t)), \quad \beta_a(t) = (\|\nabla(\rho_a \psi^1)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla(\rho_a \psi^2)\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}.$$

(i) By (1.4) and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^2(t) &= (v_{11}\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^4(|x| < a(t)/2)}^4 + v_{22}\|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^4(|x| < a(t)/2)}^4) \\ \leq \beta^2(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(v_{11}\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^4(|x| < a(t)/2)}^4 + v_{22}\|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^4(|x| < a(t)/2)}^4) \\ &\quad - v_{12} \int_{|x| < a(t)/2} |\psi^1|^2 |\psi^2|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(v_{11}\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^4(|x| > a(t)/2)}^4 + v_{22}\|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^4(|x| > a(t)/2)}^4) \\ &\quad + v_{12} \int_{|x| > a(t)/2} |\psi^1|^2 |\psi^2|^2 + 2E(\psi^1, \psi^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(v_{11}\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^4(|x| > a(t)/2)}^4 + v_{22}\|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^4(|x| > a(t)/2)}^4) + 2E(\psi^1, \psi^2) \\ &\leq \frac{v_{11}}{2}\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^\infty(|x| > a(t)/2)}^2 \|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^2(|x| > a(t)/2)}^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{v_{22}}{2}\|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^\infty(|x| > a(t)/2)}^2 \|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^2(|x| > a(t)/2)}^2 + 2E(\psi^1, \psi^2) \\ &\leq \frac{v_{11} + v_{22}}{a(t)} (\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^2(|x| > a(t)/2)}^3 + \|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^2(|x| > a(t)/2)}^3) \beta(t) \\ &\quad + 2E(\psi^1, \psi^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

A simple calculation gives us

$$-\frac{v_{11} + v_{22}}{2}\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^4}^4 \leq -\frac{v_{11} + v_{22}}{2}\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^4(|x| < a(t)/2)}^4, \quad (3.15)$$

$$-\frac{v_{11} + v_{22}}{2} \|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^4}^4 \leq -\frac{v_{11} + v_{22}}{2} \|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^4(|x| < a(t)/2)}^4, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_a^2(t) &\leq (\|\rho_a \nabla \psi^1(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \rho_a \psi^1(t)\|_{L^2})^2 \\ &\quad + (\|\rho_a \nabla \psi^1(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \rho_a \psi^1(t)\|_{L^2})^2 \\ &\leq (\|\nabla \psi^1(t)\|_{L^2} + \frac{C\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^2}}{a(t)})^2 + (\|\nabla \psi^2(t)\|_{L^2} + \frac{C\|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^2}}{a(t)})^2 \\ &\leq \beta^2(t) + \frac{C(\|\psi^1\|_{L^2} + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2})\beta(t)}{a(t)} \\ &\quad + \frac{C(\|\psi^1(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi^2(t)\|_{L^2}^2)}{a^2(t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 (the variational characterization of the ground state solution u^1, u^2 of (3.1) yields,) we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{11} \|\rho_a \psi^1\|_{L^4}^4 + v_{22} \|\rho_a \psi^2\|_{L^4}^4 + 2v_{12} \|\rho_a \psi^1 \rho_a \psi^2\|_{L^2}^2 \\ \leq \frac{2(\|\rho_a \psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho_a \psi^2\|_{L^2}^2) \beta_a^2(t)}{\|u^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u^2\|_{L^2}^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

By (3.14)-(3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &- \frac{\|\rho_a \psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho_a \psi^2\|_{L^2}^2}{\|u^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u^2\|_{L^2}^2} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{v_{11} \|\rho_a \psi^1\|_{L^4}^4 + v_{22} \|\rho_a \psi^2\|_{L^4}^4 + 2v_{12} \|\rho_a \psi^1 \rho_a \psi^2\|_{L^2}^2}{2\beta_a^2(t)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a(t)\beta_a^2} (\|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^3) \beta(t) + \frac{E(\psi^1, \psi^2)}{\beta_a^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{C(\|\psi^1\|_{L^2} + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2})\beta(t)}{a(t)\beta_a^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{C(\|\psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\psi^2\|_{L^2}^2)\beta(t)}{(a(t)\beta_a)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Letting $t \rightarrow T$ in (3.19), by (1.4), Lemma 3.4 and the assumption of $a(t)$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \left(1 - \frac{\|\rho_a \psi^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\rho_a \psi^2\|_{L^2}^2}{\|u^1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u^2\|_{L^2}^2} \right) \leq 0,$$

which proves Proposition 3.5.

Now, we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 : By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\beta(t) \geq L(T - t)^{-1/4}, \quad t \in [0, T)$$

for some $L > 0$. Therefore, by Proposition 3.5 we obtain Theorem 1.1.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their helps and patience in improving this paper.

REFERENCES

1. B. D. Esry, C. H. Greene, J. P. Burke Jr. and J. L. Bohn, Hartree-Fock theory for double condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **78** (1997), 3594-3597.
2. E. Timmermans, Phase separation of Bose-Einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **81** (1998), 5718-5721.
3. J. Ginibre and G. Velo, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger, I, The Cauchy problem, general case, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **32**(1) (1979), 1-32.
4. J. Ginibre and G. Velo, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger, II, Scattering theory, general case, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **32**(1) (1979), 33-71.
5. J. Ginibre and G. Velo, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, III, Special theories in dimensions 1, 2 and 3, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. A(N.S.)*, **28**(3) (1978), 287-316.
6. J. Ginibre and G. Velo, The global Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation revisited, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **2**(4) (1985), 309-327.
7. R. T. Glassey, On the blowing up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, **18**(9) (1977), 1794-1797.

8. T. Kato, On nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.*, **46**(1) (1987), 113-129.
9. T. Nawa and Y. Tsutsumi, Blow-up of H^1 solution for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Diff. Eqns.*, **92**(2) (1991), 317-330.
10. M. I. Weinstein, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **87**(4) (1983), 567-576.
11. T. Cazenave, *An introduction to nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, volume 26 of Textos de Métodos Matemáticos. Instituto de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Rio Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, third edition, 1996.
12. Qian Liu, Yuqian Zhou, Jian Zhang and Weinian Zhang, Sharp condition of global existence for nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a harmonic potential, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **177** (2006), 482-487.
13. Ji Shu and Jian Zhang, Sharp condition of global existence for second-order derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations in two space dimensions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **326** (2007), 1001-1006.
14. Jian Zhang, Sharp condition of global existence for nonlinear Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon equations, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **48** (2002), 191-207.
15. Guanggan Chen, Jian Zhang and Yunyun Zhang, Energy criterion of global existence for supercritical nonlinear Schrödinger equation with harmonic potential, *J. Math. Phys.*, **48** (2007) 073513, 1-8.
16. F. Merle and P. Raphaél, Blow up of the critical norm for some radial L^2 supercritical nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *American J. Math.*, **130** (2008), 945-978.
17. P. Bégout, Necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for global existence in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.*, **12**(2) (2002), 817-827.
18. T. Cazenave and F. B. Weissler, The Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in H^1 . *Manuscripta Math.*, **61**(4) (1988), 477-494.
19. T. Cazenave and F. B. Weissler, Some remarks on the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the critical case. Nonlinear semigroups, partial differential equations and attractors (Washington, DC, 1987), 18C29, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1394, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

20. M. I. Weinstein, On the structure and formation of singularities in solutions to nonlinear dispersive evolution equations, *Communs partial diff. Eqns.*, **11** (1986), 545-565.
21. H. Nawa and M. Tsutsumi, On blow-up for the pseudo-conformally invariant nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, **32** (1989), 417-428.
22. F. Merle and Y. Tsutsumi, L^2 concentration of blow-up solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical power nonlinearity, *J. Diff. Eqns.*, **84** (1990), 205-214.
23. F. Merle, Construction of solutions with exactly k blow-up points for the Schrödinger equation with critical nonlinearity, *Communs Math. Phys.*, **129** (1990), 223-240.
24. M. I. Weinstein, The nonlinear Schrödinger equation-singularity formation, stability and dispersion, *Contemp. Math.*, **99** (1989).
25. Y. Tsutsumi, Rate of L^2 concentration of blow-up solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with critical power, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **15** (1990), 719-724.
26. Xiaoguang Li, Jian Zhang and Yonghong Wu, Mathematical analysis of the collapse in Bose-Einstein condensate, *Acta Mathematica Scientia* **29B** (2009), 56-64.
27. L. Fanelli and E. Montefusco, On the blow-up threshold for weakly coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Phys.*, **A40**(47) (2007), 14139-14150.
28. Zhongxue Lü and Zuhan Liu, Sharp thresholds of two-components Bose-Einstein condensates, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **58** (2009), 1608-1614.
29. Zhongxue Lü and Zuhan Liu, Sharp thresholds of two-components attractive Bose Einstein condensates with an external driving field, *Physics Letters A*, **374** (2010), 2133-2136.
30. L. A. Maia, E. Montefusco and B. Pellacci, Positive solutions for a weakly coupled nonlinear Schrödinger system, *J. Diff. Eqns.*, **229** (2006), 743-767.
31. A. Ambrosetti and E. Colorado, Standing waves of some coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **75** (2007), 67-82.
32. T. C. Lin and J. C. Wei, Ground state of N coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \leq 3$, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **255** (2005), 629-653.

33. T. C. Lin and J. C. Wei, Erratum Ground state of N coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \leq 3$, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **277** (2008), 573-576.
34. B. Sirakov, Least energy solitary waves for a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^n , *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **271** (2007), 199-221.
35. T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 10, American mathematical Society, providence, Rhode Island, (2003).
36. R. Carles, Critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations with and without harmonic potential, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **12** (2002), 1513-1523.