

LAPLACIAN SPECTRAL CHARACTERIZATION OF SOME GRAPH JOIN

Lizhu Sun*, Wenzhe Wang*, Jiang Zhou*,** and Changjiang Bu*

*College of Science, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, P R China

**College of Computer Science and Technology, Harbin Engineering University,
Harbin 150001, P R China

e-mail: zhoujiang04113112@163.com

(Received 16 July 2013; after final revision 23 February 2014;

accepted 25 July 2014)

For two disjoint graphs G and H , the join of G and H , denoted by $G \vee H$, is the graph obtained from $G \cup H$ by joining each vertex of G to each vertex of H . A graph is said to be DLS if there is no other non-isomorphic graph with the same Laplacian spectrum. For a connected DLS graph G with a cut vertex, we prove that $G \vee K_r$ is DLS, where K_r is a complete graph. For a disconnected DLS graph G with $n \geq 10$ vertices and $m \leq n - 4$ edges, we show that $G \vee (K_r - e)$ is DLS, where $K_r - e$ is the graph obtained by deleting one edge of K_r . Applying these results we can obtain new DLS graphs.

Key words : Cospectral graphs; Laplacian spectrum; spectral characterization; join.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a simple, undirected graph with n vertices. Let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of G , $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of G . The Laplacian matrix of G is $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$. Since $L(G)$ is real, symmetric and positive semidefinite, its eigenvalues are nonnegative. We use $\mu_1(G) \geq \mu_2(G) \geq \dots \geq \mu_n(G) = 0$ to denote the eigenvalues of $L(G)$. It is well known that $\mu_{n-1}(G) > 0$ if and only if G is connected. The multiset of the eigenvalues of $L(G)$ is called the Laplacian spectrum of G . Two graphs are said to be L -cospectral if they have the same Laplacian spectrum. A graph G is said to be determined by the Laplacian spectrum if there is no other non-isomorphic graph L -cospectral with G . We use “DLS” as an abbreviation for “determined by the Laplacian spectrum” in this paper.

For two disjoint graphs G and H , let $G \cup H$ denote the *disjoint union* of G and H , while rG denotes the disjoint union of r copies of G . Let \overline{G} denote the complement of G . The *join* of G and H , denoted by $G \vee H$, is the graph obtained from $G \cup H$ by joining each vertex of G to each vertex of H . Clearly we have $\overline{G \vee H} = \overline{G} \cup \overline{H}$. As usual, P_r , C_r and K_r stand for the path, the cycle and the complete graph with r vertices, respectively. In particular, K_1 stands for an isolated vertex.

Which graphs are determined by their spectra is a difficult problem in the theory of graph spectra. Only some graphs with special structures are known to be determined by their spectra [1, 3-5, 11, 17, 22]. It is known that $G \vee K_r$ is DLS when G is a disconnected DLS graph (see [5, 21]). For a connected DLS graph G , some results on the Laplacian spectral characterization of $G \vee K_r$ are given in [10, 12-14, 20, 21].

For a connected DLS graph G with a cut vertex, we prove that $G \vee K_r$ is DLS. For a disconnected DLS graph G with $n \geq 10$ vertices and $m \leq n - 4$ edges, we show that $G \vee (K_r - e)$ is DLS, where $K_r - e$ is the graph obtained by deleting one edge of K_r . We can obtain new DLS graphs from these results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In order to get our main results, some auxiliary lemmas are given in this section.

Lemma 2.1 [2] — Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then $\mu_i(G) + \mu_{n-i}(\overline{G}) = n$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

Lemma 2.2 [5, 21] — A graph G is DLS if and only if its complement \overline{G} is DLS.

Lemma 2.3 [10] — The join $C_n \vee K_r$ is DLS when $n \neq 6$.

Lemma 2.4 [4] — Let G be a graph. The following invariants of G can be obtained from the Laplacian spectrum of G :

- (1) The number of vertices.
- (2) The number of edges.
- (3) The number of components.

For a graph G , the *vertex connectivity* of G is the smallest number of vertices whose removal results in a disconnected or trivial graph. Let $\kappa(G)$ denote the vertex connectivity of G .

Lemma 2.5 [6] — Let G be a non-complete graph with n vertices. Then $\mu_{n-1}(G) \leq \kappa(G)$.

Lemma 2.6 [9] — Let G be a non-complete, connected graph with n vertices. Then $\mu_{n-1}(G) = \kappa(G) = 1$ if and only if $G = H \vee K_1$, where H is a disconnected graph with $n - 1$ vertices.

Lemma 2.7 [7] — Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, and G has at least one edge. Then $\mu_1(G) \geq \Delta(G) + 1$, with equality if and only if $\Delta(G) = n - 1$, where $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree of G .

Lemma 2.8 [2] — Let G be a connected graph with n vertices. Then $\mu_1(G) \leq n$, with equality if and only if \overline{G} is disconnected.

For a graph G , let $E(G)$ denote the edge set of G . We use d_i to denote the degree of vertex i of G . Let m_i denote the average degree of all neighbors of the vertex i . For a vertex u of G , $N(u)$ stands for the set of all neighbors of u .

Lemma 2.9 [23] — For any graph G , we have

$$\mu_1(G) \leq \max \left\{ \frac{d_i(d_i + m_i) + d_j(d_j + m_j) - 2 \sum_{k \in N(i) \cap N(j)} d_k}{d_i + d_j} : \{i, j\} \in E(G) \right\}.$$

Let G and H be two L-cospectral graphs. We say that H is a *cospectral mate* of G if H is not isomorphic to G . Clearly a graph G is DLS if and only if G has no cospectral mates.

Lemma 2.10 — For two disjoint graphs G_1 and G_2 , if $G_1 \vee G_2$ is DLS, then G_1 and G_2 are DLS.

PROOF : If G_1 is not DLS, then G_1 has a cospectral mate H . From [20, Lemma 2.1], we know that $G_1 \vee G_2$ has a cospectral mate $H \vee G_2$. Similarly, if G_2 is not DLS, then $G_1 \vee G_2$ also has a cospectral mate. Hence if $G_1 \vee G_2$ is DLS, then G_1 and G_2 are DLS. \square

3. MAIN RESULTS

The following result generalizes [5, Proposition 4].

Theorem 3.1 — Let G be a DLS graph with n vertices, and $\mu_{n-1}(G) < 1$. Then $G \vee K_r$ is DLS.

PROOF : Since $\mu_{n-1}(G) < 1$, by Lemma 2.1, we get $\mu_1(\overline{G}) > n - 1$. If \overline{G} is disconnected, then each component of \overline{G} has at most $n - 1$ vertices. Lemma 2.8 implies that $\mu_1(\overline{G}) \leq n - 1$, a contradiction. So \overline{G} is connected. Since G is DLS, by Lemma 2.2, \overline{G} is DLS, and $G \vee K_r$ is DLS if and only if $\overline{G} \cup rK_1$ is DLS. Let H be any graph L-cospectral with $\overline{G} \cup rK_1$. Since $\mu_1(H) = \mu_1(\overline{G} \cup rK_1) = \mu_1(\overline{G}) > n - 1$, by Lemma 2.8, H has a component H_0 with at least n vertices. By Lemma 2.4, H has $n + r$ vertices and $r + 1$ components. Hence we have $H = H_0 \cup rK_1$. Since H and $\overline{G} \cup rK_1$ are L-cospectral, H_0 is L-cospectral with \overline{G} . Since \overline{G} is DLS, we have $H_0 = \overline{G}$, $H = \overline{G} \cup rK_1$. Hence $\overline{G} \cup rK_1$ is DLS, i.e., $G \vee K_r$ is DLS. \square

Theorem 3.2 — *Let G be a connected DLS graph with a cut vertex. Then $G \vee K_r$ is DLS.*

PROOF : Suppose G has n vertices. By Lemma 2.5, we have $\mu_{n-1}(G) \leq \kappa(G) = 1$. If $\mu_{n-1}(G) < \kappa(G) = 1$, by Theorem 3.1, $G \vee K_r$ is DLS. If $\mu_{n-1}(G) = \kappa(G) = 1$, by Lemma 2.6, we have $G = G_0 \vee K_1$, where G_0 is a disconnected graph. Since G is DLS, by Lemma 2.10, G_0 is also DLS. Since G_0 is disconnected, we have $\mu_{n-2}(G_0) = 0 < 1$. Hence by Theorem 3.1, $G \vee K_r = G_0 \vee K_{r+1}$ is DLS. \square

Let $H_{g,k}$ denote the lollipop graph obtained by identifying a vertex of the cycle C_g and an end vertex of the path P_{k+1} , and let $l(H_{g,k})$ be the line graph of $H_{g,k}$. It is known that $l(H_{g,k})$ is DLS (see [8]). Note that $l(H_{g,k})$ has a cut vertex when $k \geq 2$. From Theorem 3.2 we can obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.3 — The graph $l(H_{g,k}) \vee K_r$ is DLS for $k \geq 2$.

Let K_n^m denote the graph obtained by attaching m pendent edges to a vertex of complete graph K_{n-m} . It is known that K_n^m is DLS (see [19]). Since K_n^m has a cut vertex, by Theorem 3.2, we can obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.4 — The graph $K_n^m \vee K_r$ is DLS.

Let $S(n, c, k)$ denote the graph on n vertices obtained by attaching $n - 2c - 2k - 1$ pendant edges together with k hanging paths of length two at vertex v_0 , where v_0 is the unique common vertex of c triangles (see [11]).

Corollary 3.5 — The graph $S(n, c, k) \vee K_r$ is DLS.

PROOF : If $k = 0$, then $S(n, c, 0) = (cK_2 \cup (n - 2c - 1)K_1) \vee K_1$ is DLS (see [12]). If $k \geq 1$, then $S(n, c, k)$ is DLS (see [11]). Since $S(n, c, k)$ has a cut vertex, by Theorem 3.2, $S(n, c, k) \vee K_r$ is DLS. \square

Applying Theorem 3.2, we give a more simpler proof for two results in [13].

Corollary 3.6 [13, Theorem 3.3] — Let G be a DLS tree. Then $G \vee K_r$ is DLS.

PROOF : If G has at most 2 vertices, then $G \vee K_r$ is a complete graph, which is DLS. If G has at least 3 vertices, then G has a cut vertex. By Theorem 3.2, $G \vee K_r$ is DLS. \square

Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. G is called a *unicyclic graph* if $m = n$.

Corollary 3.7 [13, Theorem 4.4] — Let G be a DLS unicyclic graph. Then $G \vee K_r$ is DLS if and only if $G \neq C_6$.

PROOF : If G is a DLS unicyclic graph with a cut vertex, then by Theorem 3.2, $G \vee K_r$ is DLS. If G is a DLS unicyclic graph with no cut vertices, then G is a cycle. By Lemma 2.3, $G \vee K_r$ is DLS when $G \neq C_6$. Since $(2K_2 \cup K_1) \vee 2K_1 \vee K_{r-1}$ is a cospectral mate of $C_6 \vee K_r$ (see [10]), $C_6 \vee K_r$ is not DLS. Hence $G \vee K_r$ is DLS if and only if $G \neq C_6$. \square

Let $\delta(G)$ denote the minimum degree of a graph G . The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.9.

Lemma 3.8 — Let G be a connected graph with $n \geq 11$ vertices and m edges such that \overline{G} is connected. If $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and $\mu_1(G) = n - 2$, then $m \geq 2n - 6$.

PROOF : By Lemma 2.9, there exist two adjacent vertices u and v of G such that

$$n - 2 \leq \frac{d_u(d_u + m_u) + d_v(d_v + m_v) - 2 \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap N(v)} d_w}{d_u + d_v},$$

$$(n - 2 - d_u)d_u + (n - 2 - d_v)d_v \leq d_u m_u + d_v m_v - 2 \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap N(v)} d_w.$$

Let $c = |N(u) \cap N(v)|$, $r = |N(u) \cup N(v)|$, then $r = d_u + d_v - c \leq n$. By $\delta(G) \geq 2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_u m_u + d_v m_v - 2 \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap N(v)} d_w &\leq 2m - 2(n - r) - \sum_{w \in N(u) \cap N(v)} d_w \\ &\leq 2m - 2(n - r) - 2c. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n - 2 - d_u)d_u + (n - 2 - d_v)d_v &\leq 2m - 2(n - r) - 2c, \\ \Rightarrow m &\geq \frac{(n - 2 - d_u)d_u + (n - 2 - d_v)d_v}{2} + n - r + c. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $d_v \leq d_u$. Since $\mu_1(G) = n - 2$ and G is connected, by Lemma 2.7, we have $2 \leq d_v \leq d_u \leq n - 4$.

Let $f(x) = (n - 2 - x)x$, where $x \in [2, n - 4]$, $n \geq 11$. Then $f(x_0) = f(n - 2 - x_0)$ for any $x_0 \in [2, n - 4]$. Taking derivative with respect to x , we have $f'(x) = n - 2 - 2x$. Hence $f(x_0) \geq 3(n - 5)$ for any $x_0 \in [3, n - 5]$ and $f(x_0) \geq 2(n - 4)$ for any $x_0 \in [2, n - 4]$.

If $2 < d_v < n - 4$ or $2 < d_u < n - 4$, then

$$\begin{aligned} m &\geq \frac{(n - 2 - d_u)d_u + (n - 2 - d_v)d_v}{2} + n - r + c \\ &\geq \frac{3(n - 5) + 2(n - 4)}{2} + n - r + c. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n \geq 11, c \geq 0$ and $r \leq n$, we have $m \geq \frac{3(n-5)+2(n-4)}{2} \geq 2n - 6$.

If $d_u = d_v = 2$, then $m \geq 2(n-4) + n - r + c$. By $r = d_u + d_v - c = 4 - c$, we have $m \geq 2(n-4) + n + 2c - 4 \geq 3n - 12 \geq 2n - 6$.

If $d_u = d_v = n - 4$, then $m \geq 2(n-4) + n - r + c$. By $r = d_u + d_v - c = 2(n-4) - c$, we have $m \geq n + 2c$. By $r = 2(n-4) - c \leq n$, we get $c \geq n - 8$. So we have $m \geq n + 2c \geq 3n - 16 \geq 2n - 6$.

If $d_v = 2, d_u = n - 4$, then $m \geq 2(n-4) + n - r + c$. By $r = d_u + d_v - c = n - 2 - c$, we have $m \geq 2n - 6 + 2c \geq 2n - 6$. \square

Let $K_r - e$ denote the graph obtained by deleting one edge of K_r .

Theorem 3.9 — *Let G be a disconnected DLS graph with $n \geq 10$ vertices and $m \leq n - 4$ edges. Then $G \vee (K_r - e)$ is DLS.*

PROOF : Since G is disconnected, \overline{G} is connected. By Lemma 2.8, we get $\mu_1(\overline{G}) = n$. By Lemma 2.2, \overline{G} is DLS, and $G \vee (K_r - e)$ is DLS if and only if $\overline{G} \cup K_2 \cup (r-2)K_1$ is DLS. Let H be any graph L-cospectral with $\overline{G} \cup K_2 \cup (r-2)K_1$. By Lemma 2.4, H has $n+r$ vertices, $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m + 1$ edges and r components. Suppose $H = H_0 \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_{r-1}$, where H_i is a connected graph with n_i vertices, and $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} n_i = n+r$. Without loss of generality, assume that $n_0 \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_{r-1} \geq 1$. By $\mu_1(\overline{G}) = n \geq 10$, we have $\mu_1(H) = \mu_1(\overline{G} \cup K_2 \cup (r-2)K_1) = n$. Lemma 2.8 implies that $n_0 \geq n$. By $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} n_i = n+r$, we get $n_0 \leq n+1$. So $n \leq n_0 \leq n+1$.

If $n_0 = n$, by $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} n_i = n+r$, we have $H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_{r-1} = K_2 \cup (r-2)K_1$. Since H and $\overline{G} \cup K_2 \cup (r-2)K_1$ are L-cospectral, H_0 and \overline{G} are L-cospectral. Since \overline{G} is DLS, we have $H_0 = \overline{G}$, $H = \overline{G} \cup K_2 \cup (r-2)K_1$.

If $n_0 = n+1$, by $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} n_i = n+r$, we have $H_1 = H_2 = \dots = H_{r-1} = K_1$. Since H has $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m + 1$ edges, H_0 has $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m + 1$ edges. Since H and $\overline{G} \cup K_2 \cup (r-2)K_1$ are L-cospectral, $\overline{G} \cup K_2$ and $H_0 \cup K_1$ are L-cospectral. Since $m \leq n-4$, G has at least 4 components. Lemma 2.8 implies that $\mu_1(G) \leq n-3$. By Lemma 2.1, we get $\mu_{n-1}(\overline{G}) \geq 3$. Since $\overline{G} \cup K_2$ and $H_0 \cup K_1$ are L-cospectral, by $\mu_1(\overline{G}) = n \geq 10$ and $\mu_{n-1}(\overline{G}) \geq 3$, we get $\mu_1(H_0) = n, \mu_n(H_0) = \mu_n(\overline{G} \cup K_2) = 2$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $\mu_1(\overline{H_0}) = n-1, \mu_n(\overline{H_0}) = 1$. Since $\mu_n(\overline{H_0}) = 1$ and H_0 has $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m + 1$ edges, $\overline{H_0}$ is a connected graph with $n+1$ vertices and $n+m-1$ edges. If $\delta(\overline{H_0}) = 1$, then $\kappa(\overline{H_0}) = 1 = \mu_n(\overline{H_0})$. Lemma 2.6 implies that H_0 is disconnected, a contradiction. Hence we have $\delta(\overline{H_0}) \geq 2$. Since $\mu_1(\overline{H_0}) = n-1$ and $n_0 = n+1 \geq 11$, by Lemma 3.8, we have $n+m-1 \geq 2(n+1) - 6, m \geq n-3$, a contradiction to $m \leq n-4$.

Hence $\overline{G} \cup K_2 \cup (r-2)K_1$ is DLS, i.e., $G \vee (K_r - e)$ is DLS. \square

Remark 3.1 : Some disconnected DLS graphs are given in [3, 4, 15-18]. We can obtain new DLS graphs from Theorem 3.9.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the reviewer for giving valuable suggestions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant 11371109, the Natural Science Foundation of the Heilongjiang Province under grant QC2014C001, and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

REFERENCES

1. C. Bu and J. Zhou, Starlike trees whose maximum degree exceed 4 are determined by their Q-spectra, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **436** (2012), 143-151.
2. D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson and S. Simić, *An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
3. D. Cvetković, S. Simić and Z. Stanić, Spectral determination of graphs whose components are paths and cycles, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **59** (2010), 3849-3857.
4. E. R. van Dam and W. H. Haemers, Which graphs are determined by their spectrum?, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **373** (2003), 241-272.
5. E. R. van Dam and W. H. Haemers, Developments on spectral characterizations of graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **309** (2009) 576-586.
6. M. Fiedler, Algebraic connectivity of graphs, *Czech. Math. J.*, **23** (98) (1973), 298-305.
7. R. Grone and R. Merris, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph, II, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, **7** (1994), 221-229.
8. G. Guo and G. Wang, On the (signless) Laplacian spectral characterization of the line graphs of lollipop graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **438** (2013), 4595-4605.
9. S. J. Kirkland, J. J. Molitierno, M. Neumann and B. L. Shader, On graphs with equal algebraic and vertex connectivity, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **341** (2002), 45-56.
10. Y. Lin, J. Shu and Y. Meng, Laplacian spectrum characterization of extensions of vertices of wheel graphs and multi-fan graphs, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **60** (2010), 2003-2008.
11. M. H. Liu, Some graphs determined by their (signless) Laplacian spectra, *Czech. Math. J.*, **62** (137) (2012), 1117-1134.
12. M. H. Liu, B. L. Liu and F. Y. Wei, Graphs determined by their (signless) Laplacian spectra, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra*, **22** (2011), 112-124.

13. X. Liu and S. Wang, Laplacian spectral characterization of some graph products, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **437** (2012), 1749-1759.
14. X. Liu, Y. Zhang and X. Gui, The multi-fan graphs are determined by their Laplacian spectra, *Discrete Math.*, **308** (2008), 4267-4271.
15. G. R. Omid, On a Laplacian spectral characterization of graphs of index less than 2, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **429** (2008), 2724-2731.
16. X. Shen, Y. Hou and Y. Zhang, Graph Z_n and some graphs related to Z_n are determined by their spectrum, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **404** (2005), 58-68.
17. S. Simić and Z. Stanić, On some forests determined by their Laplacian or signless Laplacian spectrum, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **58** (2009), 171-178.
18. J. F. Wang, S. Simić, Q. X. Huang, F. Belardo and E. M. Li Marzi, Laplacian spectral characterization of disjoint union of paths and cycles, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **59** (2011), 531-539.
19. X. L. Zhang and H. P. Zhang, Some graphs determined by their spectra, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **431** (2009), 1443-1454.
20. Y. Zhang, X. Liu and X. Yong, Which wheel graphs are determined by their Laplacian spectra?, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **58** (2009), 1887-1890.
21. J. Zhou and C. Bu, Laplacian spectral characterization of some graphs obtained by product operation, *Discrete Math.*, **312** (2012), 1591-1595.
22. J. Zhou and C. Bu, Spectral characterization of line graphs of starlike trees, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, **61** (2013), 1041-1050.
23. D. Zhu, On upper bounds for Laplacian graph eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **432** (2010), 2764-2772.