

INVERSION FORMULAE FOR A GENERALIZED LAPLACE INTEGRAL

by K. M. SAKSENA, *Department of Mathematics, Saugar University, Saugar.*

(Communicated by Dr. R. S. Varma, F.N.I.)

(Received January 17; read October 4, 1952.)

Dr. R. S. Varma (1952) has recently given a generalization of the Laplace Integral

$$f(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} d\alpha(t) \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.1)$$

in the form

$$f(s) = \int_0^{\infty} (st)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k,m}(st) d\alpha(t) \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.2)$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is a function of bounded variation in $0 < t < R$ for every R and $R(m) > 0$.

If we put $k+m = \frac{1}{2}$, the integral (1.2) reduces to (1.1) as

$$(st)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{\frac{1}{2}-m,m}(st) \equiv e^{-st}.$$

If $\alpha(t)$ be absolutely continuous and $d\alpha(t) = \phi(t) dt$, then

$$f(s) = \int_0^{\infty} (st)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k,m}(st) \phi(t) dt \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper I have worked out a few inversion formulae for the generalized Laplace transform (1.3). The variable s has been assumed to be real.

2. First Inversion Formula.

Multiplying both the sides of

$$f(s) = \int_0^{\infty} (st)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k,m}(st) \phi(t) dt$$

by s^{-l} and integrating from 0 to ∞ we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} s^{-l} f(s) ds = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} s^{m-l-\frac{1}{2}} t^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k,m}(st) \phi(t) dt ds.$$

Let

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \phi(t) &= O(t^{\rho}) \text{ for small } t, \\ &= O(e^{-t^{\nu}}) \text{ for large } t. \end{aligned} \right\}, R(\nu) > 0. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.1)$$

Then if

$$A(s) = s^{m-l-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\epsilon t^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k,m}(st) \phi(t) dt \quad \text{where } \epsilon \text{ is small,}$$

and

$$B(t) = t^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(t) \int_0^\infty s^{m-l-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k,m}(st) ds$$

we see that $A(s)$ is uniformly convergent in $s > 0$ provided that

$$R(m-l \pm m) > 0, \quad R(m \pm m + \rho + 1) > 0,$$

and $B(t)$ is uniformly convergent in $t > 0$ provided that

$$R(m \pm m + \rho) > 0, \quad R(m-l \pm m + 1) > 0,$$

as (Whittaker and Watson, 1946, p. 346)

$$W_{k,m}(x) = O(x^{\pm m + \frac{1}{2}}) \text{ for small } x.$$

Also if we consider the integral

$$\int_T^\infty t^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(t) dt \int_{T'}^\infty s^{m-l-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k,m}(st) ds$$

where T and T' are large we find on account of the values (2.1) of $\phi(t)$ and the estimate (Whittaker and Watson, 1946, p. 343)

$$W_{k,m}(x) = O(x^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x}) \text{ where } x \text{ is large,} \quad \dots \quad (2.2)$$

of $W_{k,m}(x)$, that the integral does not exceed a constant multiple of

$$\int_T^\infty |t^{k+m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^\nu}| dt \int_{T'}^\infty |s^{k+m-l-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-st}| ds$$

which tends to zero provided that $R(\nu) > 0$.

Hence the order of integration can be changed if the conditions

$$R(m-l \pm m) > 0, \quad R(m \pm m + \rho) > 0, \quad R(\nu) > 0,$$

which, by the principle of analytic continuation, can be waived to

$$R(m-l \pm m + 1) > 0, \quad R(m \pm m + \rho + 1) > 0, \quad R(\nu) > 0, \quad \dots \quad (2.3)$$

are satisfied.

Therefore changing the order of integration we have

$$\int_0^\infty s^{-l} f(s) ds = \int_0^\infty t^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(t) dt \int_0^\infty s^{m-l-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k,m}(st) ds$$

provided conditions (2.3) are satisfied.

If we now apply Goldstein's formula (1932)

$$\int_0^\infty x^{l_1-1} e^{-(\alpha^2+\frac{1}{2})x} W_{k_1, m_1}(x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(l_1+m_1+\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(l_1-m_1+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(l_1-k_1+1)} \times {}_2F_1[l_1+m_1+\frac{1}{2}, l_1-m_1+\frac{1}{2}; l_1-k_1+1; -\alpha^2] \dots (2.4)$$

where

$$R(l_1 \pm m_1 + \frac{1}{2}) > 0, \quad R(\alpha^2 + 1) > 0,$$

we get

$$\int_0^\infty s^{-l} f(s) ds = \frac{\Gamma(2m-l+1) \Gamma(1-l)}{\Gamma(m-k-l+\frac{3}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{l-1} \phi(t) dt$$

where

$$R(2m-l+1) > 0, \quad R(1-l) > 0.$$

Applying Mellin's inversion formula (Titchmarsh, 1937, p. 46) to the integral on the right we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(t+0) + \phi(t-0) \} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Lt}_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-i\tau}^{c+i\tau} \frac{\Gamma(m-k-l+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(2m-l+1) \Gamma(1-l)} t^{-l} \psi(l) dl$$

where

$$\psi(l) = \int_0^\infty s^{-l} f(s) ds$$

provided that

(i) the integral $\int_0^\infty x^{l-1} \phi(x) dx$ converges absolutely,

(ii) the integral $\int_0^\infty x^{-l} f(x) dx$ also converges absolutely ($l = c + i\tau, -\infty < \tau < \infty$)

and

(iii) $\phi(x)$ is of bounded variation in the neighbourhood of the point $x = t (t > 0)$.

If we put $k = \frac{1}{2} - m$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \{ \phi(t+0) + \phi(t-0) \} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{Lt}_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-i\tau}^{c+i\tau} \frac{t^{-l}}{\Gamma(1-l)} \int_0^\infty s^{-l} f(s) ds dl$$

which is the corresponding result in the theory of ordinary Laplace transform (Titchmarsh, 1937, p. 316).

3. Second Inversion Formula.

Let $F(s)$ be a continuous function of s in $(0, \infty)$ such that its derivatives up to the n -th order are all continuous in $(0, \infty)$ and

$$\frac{d^n}{ds^n} [F(s)] = f(s) = \int_0^\infty (st)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k, m}(st) \phi(t) dt.$$

Then if we integrate (1.3) with respect to s , n times we have

$$F(s) = (-)^n \int_0^\infty t^{-n} (st)^{m+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k-\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}n}(st) \phi(t) dt$$

as

$$\int (st)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k, m}(st) ds = -t^{-1} (st)^m e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k-\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}}(st). \quad \dots (3.1)$$

Multiplying both sides by

$${}_{n+1}F_{2n+1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \end{matrix} ; -p \left(\frac{s}{n+1} \right)^{n+1} \right]$$

and integrating with respect to s from 0 to ∞ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty F(s) {}_{n+1}F_{2n+1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \end{matrix} ; -p \left(\frac{s}{n+1} \right)^{n+1} \right] ds \\ &= (-)^n \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty {}_{n+1}F_{2n+1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \end{matrix} ; -p \left(\frac{s}{n+1} \right)^{n+1} \right] \\ & \quad \times t^{-n} (st)^{m+\frac{1}{2}(n-1)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k-\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}n}(st) \phi(t) dt ds \\ &= (-)^n \int_0^\infty t^{-n-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(t) dt \int_0^\infty v^{\{m+\frac{1}{2}(n+1)\}-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}v} W_{k-\frac{n}{2}, m+\frac{n}{2}}(v) \\ & \quad \times {}_{n+1}F_{2n+1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \end{matrix} ; -\frac{p}{\{(n+1)t\}^{n+1}} v^{n+1} \right] dv \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

on changing the order of integration in the right-hand integral and making a slight change of variable, provided that

$$R\left(m \pm m + \frac{n}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2} + 1\right) > 0, \quad R\left(m \pm m - \frac{n}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2} + \rho + 1\right) > 0, \quad R(\nu) > 0.$$

Evaluating the v -integral with the help of the following result due to Pasricha (1943)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty x^{\mu-1} e^{-(\alpha^2+\frac{1}{2})x} W_{k, \nu}(x) {}_rF_s \left(\begin{matrix} l_1, l_2, \dots, l_r \\ m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s \end{matrix} ; yx^p \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(l_1)_m (l_2)_m \dots (l_r)_m \Gamma(a+pm) \Gamma(b+pm)}{(m_1)_m (m_2)_m \dots (m_s)_m \Gamma(c+pm)} \\ & \quad \times {}_2F_1(a+pm, b+pm; c+pm; -\alpha^2) \quad \dots (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a = \mu + \nu + \frac{1}{2}, \quad b = \mu - \nu + \frac{1}{2}, \quad c = \mu - k + 1$$

and

$$R(a) > 0, \quad R(b) > 0, \quad p < s + 1 - r, \quad R(\alpha^2 + 1) > 0$$

we get

$$I = (-)^n \int_0^\infty t^{-n-1} J \phi(t) dt \quad \dots \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$I \equiv \int_0^\infty F(s) {}_{n+1}F_{2n+1} \left[\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n; -p \left(\frac{s}{n+1} \right)^{n+1} \right] ds$$

and

$$J \equiv \sum_{r=0}^\infty \frac{(\alpha_1)_r \dots (\alpha_{n+1})_r \Gamma(a+n+1-r) \Gamma(b+n+1-r)}{(\beta_1)_r \dots (\beta_{n+1})_r (\gamma_1)_r \dots (\gamma_n)_r \Gamma(c+n+1-r)} \left[\frac{p}{\{(n+1)t\}^{n+1}} \right]^r$$

a being equal to $2m+n+1$, $b = 1$, $c = m-k+n+\frac{3}{2}$.

Putting

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \frac{c+i-1}{n+1}, \beta_i = \frac{a+i-1}{n+1} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1) \\ \gamma_j &= \frac{b+j-1}{n+1} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n) \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots \quad (3.5)$$

and using the multiplication formula (Gupta, 1948).

$$\Gamma(a+n)_r = n^{nr} \Gamma(a) \left(\frac{a}{n} \right)_r \left(\frac{a+1}{n} \right)_r \dots \dots \dots \left(\frac{a+n-1}{n} \right)_r \quad \dots \quad (3.6)$$

the above result gives

$$H = (-)^n \frac{\Gamma(2m+n+1)}{\Gamma(m-k+n+\frac{3}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{-n-1} {}_1F_0 \left(1; -\frac{p}{t^{n+1}} \right) \phi(t) dt$$

where H is the value of I with the parameters α 's, β 's and γ 's having values given by (3.5).

We therefore have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\beta(u) du}{p+u} = \psi(p) \equiv (-)^n \frac{\Gamma(m-k+n+\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(2m+n+1)} H \quad \dots \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\beta(t) = \frac{\phi(t^{1/(n+1)})}{(n+1) t^{n/(n+1)}}$$

and $\phi(t)$ is such that the integral on the left converges.

The left-hand side is the ordinary Stieltjes transform.

If we now define an operator $L_{l,u} [\psi(x)]$ for any real positive number u by the equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} L_{0,u} [\psi(x)] &= \psi(u) \\ L_{1,u} [\psi(x)] &= \frac{d}{du} [u \psi(u)] \\ L_{l,u} [\psi(x)] &= \frac{(-u)^{l-1}}{[l]!} \cdot \frac{d^{2l-1}}{du^{2l-1}} [u^l \psi(u)] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \dots \quad (3.8)$$

$(l = 2, 3, \dots)$

and $\psi(u)$ possesses derivatives of all orders less than $2l$, then, by a result of Widder (1941, p. 345), we get

$$\beta(u) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \{L_{l, u}[\psi(p)]\}$$

for almost all positive u .

Hence

$$\phi(t) = (n+1)t^n \left\{ \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} [L_{l, u}[\psi(p)]] \right\}_{u=t^{n+1}}$$

for almost all positive t .

It remains to justify the change in the order of integration in (3.2). To do so let us put

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(t) &= t^{m-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(t) \int_0^\epsilon s^{m+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-st} W_{k-\frac{1}{2}n, m+\frac{1}{2}n}(st) \\ &\quad \times {}_{n+1}F_{2n+1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \end{matrix} ; -p \left(\frac{s}{n+1} \right)^{n+1} \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ is small,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(s) &= s^{m+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} {}_{n+1}F_{2n+1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1} \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \end{matrix} ; -p \left(\frac{s}{n+1} \right)^{n+1} \right] \\ &\quad \times \int_0^\infty t^{m-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-st} W_{k-\frac{1}{2}n, m+\frac{1}{2}n}(st) \phi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and let the behaviour of $\phi(t)$ be given by (2.1).

Then $\delta(t)$ is uniformly convergent in $t > 0$ provided that

$$R\left(m \pm m - \frac{n}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2} + \rho\right) > 0, \quad R\left(m \pm m + \frac{n}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2} + 1\right) > 0$$

and $\chi(s)$ is uniformly convergent in $s > 0$ provided that

$$R\left(m \pm m + \frac{n}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2}\right) > 0, \quad R\left(m \pm m - \frac{n}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2} + \rho + 1\right) > 0.$$

Again if we consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_T^\infty t^{m-\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(t) dt \int_{T'}^\infty s^{m+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-st} W_{k-\frac{1}{2}n, m+\frac{1}{2}n}(st) \\ &\quad \times {}_{n+1}F_{2n+1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \end{matrix} ; -p \left(\frac{s}{n+1} \right)^{n+1} \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

where T and T' are large, we find, on account of the estimates (2.1) and on account of the following behaviour of ${}_{n+1}F_{2n+1}$ (Gupta, 1948).

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_{n+1}F_{2n+1} \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n+1}, \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n+1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n \end{matrix} ; -p \left(\frac{s}{n+1} \right)^{n+1} \right] \\ &\sim \left(\frac{1}{p^{n+1}} s \right)^{\theta'} \exp \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{p^{n+1}} s \right)^{\frac{\pi i}{n+1}} \right\} + \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} a_n \left(s p^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \right)^{-(n+1)\alpha_r} \quad (s \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta' = \Sigma\alpha_n - \Sigma\beta_n - \Sigma\gamma_n + \frac{n}{2}$,

that I_1 does not exceed a constant multiple of

$$\int_T^\infty |t^{m-n+k-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t^p}| dt \int_{T'}^\infty |s^{m+k-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ s^{\theta'} e^{sp} e^{\frac{1}{n+1}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{n+1}} + \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} s^{-(n+1)\alpha_r} \right\} e^{-st}| ds$$

which tends to zero for a given p provided that $R(\nu) > 0$.

Hence the change in the order of integration is justified under the conditions

$$R\left(m \pm m + \frac{n}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2}\right) > 0, \quad R\left(m \pm m - \frac{n}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2} + \rho\right) > 0, \quad R(\nu) > 0,$$

which can be relaxed to

$$R\left(m \pm m + \frac{n}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2} + 1\right) > 0, \quad R\left(m \pm m - \frac{n}{2} \pm \frac{n}{2} + \rho + 1\right) > 0, \quad R(\nu) > 0,$$

by the principle of analytic continuation.

4. *Third Inversion Formula :*

Here we shall assume k and m to be both real.

Let us define differential operators U, Γ_q by

$$\left. \begin{aligned} U_0[f(s)] &= f(s) \\ U_1[f(s)] &= (-)^1 s^{m-k-\frac{1}{2}} D[s^{k-m+\frac{1}{2}} j(s)] \\ U_q[f(s)] &= (-)^q s^{m-k-q-\frac{1}{2}} D^q[s^{k-m+\frac{1}{2}} f(s)] \\ &(q = 2, 3, \dots) \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \dots (4.1)$$

where

$$D \equiv s^2 \frac{d}{ds}$$

and

$$V_q[f(u)] = \frac{u^{-1}}{\Gamma(q+k+m-\frac{1}{2})} \left[U_q\{f(s)\} \right]_{s=\frac{q+k+m-\frac{1}{2}}{u}}$$

Since (Sharma, 1939)

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left[x^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}x} W_{k,m}(x) \right] = -x^{k-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x} W_{k+1,m}(x)$$

applying the operator U to $g(s) \equiv (st)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k,m}(st)$

we find that $U_q[g(s)] = (st)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k+q,m}(st)$.

If (1.3) converges, we can evaluate the derivatives of $f(s)$ by differentiation under the integral sign.

Therefore

$$U_q[f(s)] = \int_0^\infty (st)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k+q,m}(st) \phi(t) dt.$$

If the variable t be changed to v by the relation $t = uv$, we have

$$U_q[f(s)] = u \int_0^\infty (suv)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}sv} W_{k+q, m}(suv) \phi(uv) dv.$$

Putting $su = l$, the right-hand side becomes

$$\frac{l}{s} \int_0^\infty (lv)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}lv} W_{k+q, m}(lv) \phi(uv) dv.$$

Therefore substituting the asymptotic estimate (2.2) of $W_{k+q, m}(lv)$ in the integral we have

$$\text{Lt}_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{s U_q \{ f(s) \}}{\underline{l}} \right] = \text{Lt}_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l}{\underline{l}} \int_0^\infty (lv)^{k+q+m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-lv} \phi(uv) dv$$

If we take $l = k+q+m-\frac{1}{2}$, the right-hand side becomes

$$\text{Lt}_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{l^{l+1}}{\underline{l}} v^l e^{-lv} \phi(uv) dv = \phi(u)$$

as it is known (Widder, 1934) that for almost all positive u ,

$$\text{Lt}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{n+1}}{\underline{n}} \int_0^\infty y^n e^{-ny} \{ \phi(uy) - \phi(u) \} dy = 0.$$

Hence for almost all positive u

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(u) &= \text{Lt}_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{u} U_q \{ f(s) \}}{\underline{l-1}} \right]_{s=\frac{l}{u}} \\ &= \text{Lt}_{q \rightarrow \infty} V_q[f(u)]. \end{aligned}$$

I am indebted to Dr. R. S. Varma for his kind help and guidance in the preparation of this paper.

SUMMARY.

Dr. R. S. Varma has recently given a generalization of the Laplace transform

$$f(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \alpha(t) dt$$

in the form

$$f(s) = \int_0^\infty (st)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k, m}(st) \alpha(t) dt$$

which assumes the form

$$f(s) = \int_0^\infty (st)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}st} W_{k, m}(st) \phi(t) dt$$

when $\alpha(t)$ is absolutely continuous. Three inversion formulae for this generalized Laplace transform have been obtained in this paper—one by making use of the Mellin's Inversion Formula, second by using a result in the theory of Stieltjes transform due to Widder, and third by employing a set of differential operators.

REFERENCES.

- Goldstein, S. (1932) Operational representations of Whittaker's Confluent Hypergeometric Function and Weber's Parabolic Cylinder Functions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **34**, 103-125.
- Gupta, H. C. (1948). On operational calculus. *Proc. Nat. Inst. Sc. India*, **14**, 131-156.
- Pasricha, B. R. (1943). Some integrals involving Whittaker Functions. *Jour. Ind. Math. Soc.*, **7**, 46-50.
- Sharma, J. L. (1939). On Whittaker's confluent hypergeometric function. *Phil. Mag.*, **15**.
- Titchmarsh, E. C. (1937). *Theory of Fourier Integrals*.
- Varma, R. S. (1952). On a generalization of Laplace Integral (*in press*).
- Whittaker and Watson (1946). *Modern Analysis*.
- Widder, D. V. (1941). *The Laplace Transform*.
- Widder, D. V. (1934). The inversion of the Laplace integral and the related moment problem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **36**, 107-200

Issued March 27, 1953.