

**ON THE CESARO MEANS OF ORDER r OF THE r TH DERIVED
FOURIER SERIES AND ITS ALLIED SERIES**

by **R. MOHANTY and M. NANDA**, *Ravenshaw College, Cuttack*

(Communicated by **B. N. Prasad**, F.N.I.)

(Received *March 29, 1955*; read *August 3, 1956*)

1. Let $f(t)$ be integrable L in $(-\pi, \pi)$ and periodic with period 2π and let its Fourier series be

$$\frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \cos nt + \beta_n \sin nt) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t). \quad \dots \quad (1.1)$$

Then the allied series of (1.1) is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\beta_n \cos nt - \alpha_n \sin nt) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(t). \quad \dots \quad (1.2)$$

The series obtained by differentiating the Fourier series (1.1) r -times at $t = x$ is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^r A_n(x) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} = \sum (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}r} n^r A_n, \text{ (} r \text{ even), where } A_n = A_n(x) \\ = \sum (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(r-1)} n^r B_n, \text{ (} r \text{ odd), where } B_n = B_n(x) \end{array} \right. \quad (1.3)$$

and the series obtained by differentiating the allied series (1.2) r -times at $t = x$ is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^r B_n(x) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} = \sum (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}r} n^r B_n, \text{ (} r \text{ even)} \\ = \sum (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(r+1)} n^r A_n, \text{ (} r \text{ odd)} \end{array} \right. \quad \dots \quad (1.4)$$

Let $t_n^{(r)}$ and $t_n^{-(r)}$ denote the n th Cesàro means of order r of the r th derived Fourier series and its allied series respectively and let a polynomial

$$P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{\theta^i}{i!} t^i \quad \dagger \quad \text{be found such that, for } -\pi \leq t \leq \pi$$

$$g(t) = \frac{|r}{t^r} \left[\{f(x+t) - P(t)\} + (-1)^r \{f(x-t) - P(-t)\} \right] \quad \dots \quad (1.5)$$

$$h(t) = \frac{|r}{t^r} \left[\{f(x+t) - P(t)\} - (-1)^r \{f(x-t) - P(-t)\} \right] \quad \dots \quad (1.6)$$

are integrable in $(-\pi, \pi)$. The functions $g(t)$ and $h(t)$ are defined by periodicity outside the range $(-\pi, \pi)$.

† Here θ 's are suitable constants.

The object of the present note is to prove the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. If

$$\int_t^\pi \frac{|g(u)|}{u} du = O\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right) \dagger, \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \quad \dots \quad (1.7)$$

then $t_n^{(r)} = O(\log n)$.

THEOREM 2. If

$$\int_t^\pi \frac{|h^*(u)|}{u} du = O\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right), \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \quad \dots \quad (1.8)$$

where $h^*(t) = h(t) - d$, $d \equiv d(x)$

then $\bar{t}_n^{(r)} \sim \frac{d}{\pi} \log n$.

We require the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. The series $\sum (-1)^n n^k$ is summable $(C, k+1)$, k integral ≥ 0 (Hobson, 1950; Knopp, 1949).

Lemma 2 (Obreschkoff, 1934). If $K_r(n, t)$ and $\bar{K}_r(n, t)$ denote the n th Cesàro means of order r for the sequences $\pi^{-1} \cos nt$ and $\pi^{-1} \sin nt$, then

$$\left| \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r \left\{ K_{r+1}(n, t) + i \bar{K}_{r+1}(n, t) \right\} \right| \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \leq An^r & \dots \dots (1.9) \\ \leq An^{-1}t^{-r-1} \dagger & \dots \dots (1.10) \end{array} \right.$$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

Let $a_{n,0}$ denote the n th term of the r th derived Fourier series at $t = x$. If r be even, equal say to $2m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,0} &= (-1)^m n^{2m} (\alpha_n \cos nx + \beta_n \sin nx) \\ &= (-1)^m n^{2m} \pi^{-1} \int_0^\pi \{f(x+t) + f(x-t)\} \cos nt \, dt \\ &= (-1)^m n^{2m} \pi^{-1} \int_0^\pi \left[\frac{1}{2m} t^{2m} g(t) + \{P(t) + P(-t)\} \right] \cos nt \, dt \\ &= a_n + a_{n,1} \text{ where} \\ a_n &= \frac{(-1)^m}{2m} n^{2m} \pi^{-1} \int_0^\pi t^{2m} g(t) \cos nt \, dt. \\ a_{n,1} &= (-1)^m n^{2m} 2\pi^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m-1} \frac{\theta_{2\mu}}{2\mu} \int_0^\pi t^{2\mu} \cos nt \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

† The relation between the Condition (1.7) and the Condition $\int_0^t |g(u)| du = O(t)$ is that the latter implies the former, but is not implied by it. For this see Misra (1947).

‡ A is used to denote a number independent of n and t , but its value may differ from occurrence to occurrence.

Now
$$\int_0^\pi t^{2\mu} \cos nt \, dt = (-1)^n \sum_{l=1}^\mu (-1)^{l-1} \frac{|2\mu|}{|2\mu-2l+1|} \pi^{2\mu-2l+1} n^{-2l},$$

so that

$$a_{n,1} = (-1)^n 2\pi^{-1} \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} (-1)^{m+l-1} n^{2m-2l} \sum_{\mu=l}^{m-1} \frac{\theta_{2\mu}}{|2\mu-2l+1|} \pi^{2\mu-2l+1} \dagger$$

We write $t_n^{(r)} = t_{n,1}^{(r)} + t_{n,2}^{(r)}$, where $\dots \dots \dots \dots$ (2.1)

$t_{n,1}^{(r)}$ and $t_{n,2}^{(r)}$ are the n th Cesàro means of order r of the series Σa_n and $\Sigma a_{n,1}$.

By Lemma 1, it is clear that $\Sigma a_{n,1}$ is summable $(C, r-1)$, and, a fortiori, summable (C, r) .

Hence we have

$$t_{n,2}^{(r)} \rightarrow \text{a finite limit} \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.2)$$

Suppose now that r is odd, equal say to $2m+1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,0} &= (-1)^m n^{2m+1} (\beta_n \cos nx - \alpha_n \sin nx) \\ &= (-1)^m n^{2m+1} \pi^{-1} \int_0^\pi \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\} \sin nt \, dt \\ &= (-1)^m n^{2m+1} \pi^{-1} \int_0^\pi \left[\frac{1}{|2m+1|} t^{2m+1} g(t) + \{P(t) - P(-t)\} \right] \sin nt \, dt \\ &= a_n + a_{n,2}, \text{ where} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{(-1)^m}{|2m+1|} n^{2m+1} \pi^{-1} \int_0^\pi t^{2m+1} g(t) \sin nt \, dt, \\ a_{n,2} &= (-1)^m n^{2m+1} 2\pi^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^m \frac{\theta_{2\mu-1}}{|2\mu-1|} \int_0^\pi t^{2\mu-1} \sin nt \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

By evaluating the integral in $a_{n,2}$ it is easy to see by Lemma 1 that $\Sigma a_{n,2}$ is summable (C, r) .

We write as in (2.1) that $t_n^{(r)} = t_{n,1}^{(r)} + t_{n,3}^{(r)}$, where

$t_{n,3}^{(r)}$ is the n th Cesàro mean of order r of the series $\Sigma a_{n,2}$.

Hence we have

$$t_{n,3}^{(r)} \longrightarrow \text{a finite limit} \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.3)$$

It therefore follows that whether r is even or odd, we have to consider $t_{n,1}^{(r)}$, the n th Cesàro mean of order r of the series Σa_n , where

$$a_n = \frac{\pi^{-1}}{r} \int_0^\pi t^r g(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r \cos nt \, dt.$$

† For these reductions see Hyslop (1939). These are written out in detail for the sake of completeness.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_{n,1}^{(r)} &= \frac{\pi^{-1}}{\underline{r}} \frac{1}{A_n^r} \int_0^\pi t^r g(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^r \cos kt \, dt \\
 &= \frac{n+r+1}{\underline{r+1}} \int_0^\pi t^r g(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r K_{r+1}(n, t) \, dt \\
 &= \left(\frac{n}{\underline{r+1}} + \frac{1}{\underline{r}}\right) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} t^r g(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r K_{r+1}(n, t) \, dt \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{n}{\underline{r+1}} + \frac{1}{\underline{r}}\right) \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^\pi t^r g(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r K_{r+1}(n, t) \, dt \\
 &= I_1 + I_2, \text{ say} \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.7) and (1.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_1| &\leq \left(\frac{n}{\underline{r+1}} + \frac{1}{\underline{r}}\right) A n^r \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} t^r |g(t)| \, dt \\
 &\leq A \pi^r \left(\frac{n}{\underline{r+1}} + \frac{1}{\underline{r}}\right) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{n}} |g(t)| \, dt \\
 &= o(\log n). \quad \dagger \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Again using (1.7) and (1.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_2| &\leq \left(\frac{n}{\underline{r+1}} + \frac{1}{\underline{r}}\right) A n^{-1} \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^\pi \frac{|g(t)|}{t} \, dt \\
 &\leq A \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^\pi \frac{|g(t)|}{t} \, dt \\
 &= o(\log n). \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$t_{n,1}^{(r)} = o(\log n). \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (2.7)$$

Hence by (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.7), we have

$$t_n^{(r)} = O(1) + o(\log n) = o(\log n), \text{ which completes the proof of Theorem 1.}$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2. It is easy to see, by an argument similar to that used at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1, that

$$\bar{t}_n^{(r)} = O(1) + \bar{t}_{n,1}^{(r)}, \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (3.1)$$

† Misra (1947).

where $\bar{t}_{n,1}^{(r)}$, is the n th Cesàro mean of order r of the series $\Sigma \bar{a}_n$, and

$$\bar{a}_n = \frac{\pi^{-1}}{\underline{r}} \int_0^\pi t^r h(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r \sin nt \, dt.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_n &= \frac{\pi^{-1}}{\underline{r}} \int_0^\pi t^r h^*(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r \sin nt \, dt + \frac{d}{\pi} \frac{1}{\underline{r}} \int_0^\pi t^r \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r \sin nt \, dt \\ &= \bar{a}_{n,1} + \bar{a}_{n,2}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\bar{t}_{n,1}^{(r)} = \bar{t}_{n,2}^{(r)} + \bar{t}_{n,3}^{(r)}$, where $\bar{t}_{n,2}^{(r)}$ and $\bar{t}_{n,3}^{(r)}$ are the n th (3.2)
Cesàro means of order r of the series $\Sigma \bar{a}_{n,1}$ and $\Sigma \bar{a}_{n,2}$ respectively.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{t}_{n,2}^{(r)} &= \left(\frac{n}{\underline{r+1}} + \frac{1}{\underline{r}}\right) \int_0^\pi t^r h^*(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r \bar{K}_{r+1}(n, t) \, dt \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{\underline{r+1}} + \frac{1}{\underline{r}}\right) \int_0^\pi t^r h^*(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r \bar{K}_{r+1}(n, t) \, dt \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{n}{\underline{r+1}} + \frac{1}{\underline{r}}\right) \int_{\frac{\pi}{n}}^\pi t^r h^*(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^r \bar{K}_{r+1}(n, t) \, dt \\ &= J_1 + J_2, \text{ say.} \quad \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

Now the discussions of J_1 and J_2 are exactly similar to those of I_1 and I_2 above and hence

$$\bar{t}_{n,2}^{(r)} = o(\log n). \quad \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (3.4)$$

Lastly it remains to consider $\bar{t}_{n,3}^{(r)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_{n,2} &= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}r} \frac{\pi^{-1}}{\underline{r}} n^r d \int_0^\pi t^r \sin nt \, dt, \text{ (} r \text{ even)} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(r+1)} \frac{\pi^{-1}}{\underline{r}} n^r d \int_0^\pi t^r \cos nt \, dt, \text{ (} r \text{ odd)}. \end{aligned}$$

By evaluating the integrals above, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}_{n,2} &= (-1)^n [a_{r-2} n^{r-2} + a_{r-4} n^{r-4} + \dots + a_1 n] + \frac{d}{\pi} \frac{1 - \cos n\pi}{n}, \text{ (} r \text{ odd)} \\ &= (-1)^n [b_{r-1} n^{r-1} + b_{r-3} n^{r-3} + \dots + b_1 n] + \frac{d}{\pi} \frac{1 - \cos n\pi}{n}, \text{ † (} r \text{ even)} \\ &= \gamma_n + \delta_n, \end{aligned}$$

† Here a 's and b 's are constants.

where γ_n stands for any of the two expressions inside the square brackets.

Let
$$\bar{t}_{n,3}^{(r)} = \bar{t}_{n,4}^{(r)} + \bar{t}_{n,5}^{(r)} \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (3.5)$$

where $\bar{t}_{n,4}^{(r)}$ and $\bar{t}_{n,5}^{(r)}$ are the n th Cesàro means of order r of the series $\Sigma \gamma_n$ and $\Sigma \delta_n$ respectively.

It is easy to see that $\Sigma \gamma_n$ is summable (C, r) and hence $\bar{t}_{n,4}^{(r)} \rightarrow$ a finite limit (3.6)

Now
$$\delta_n = \frac{d}{\pi} \frac{1 - \cos n\pi}{n} = \frac{d}{\pi} \omega_n, \text{ say } \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (3.7)$$

Considering the n th Cesàro mean of order 1 of $\Sigma \delta_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{t}_{n,5}^{(1)} &= \frac{d}{\pi} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n (n-k+1) \omega_k \\ &= \frac{d}{\pi} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \Omega_k \dagger \\ &= \frac{d}{\pi} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_1^n \{ \log k + C + o(1) \} \\ &= \frac{d}{\pi} \{ \log n + C + o(1) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar argument shows that the n th Cesàro mean of order 2 of $\Sigma \delta_n$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{t}_{n,5}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{d}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{t}_{k,5}^{(1)} \\ &= \frac{d}{\pi} \{ \log n + C + o(1) \} \end{aligned}$$

By successive application of this we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{t}_{n,5}^{(r)} &= \frac{d}{\pi} \{ \log n + C + o(1) \} \\ &\sim \frac{d}{\pi} \log n, \text{ where } r > 1. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (3.8) \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (3.5), (3.6) and (3.8), we have

$$\bar{t}_{n,3}^{(r)} \sim \frac{d}{\pi} \log n. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (3.9)$$

Hence by (3.2), (3.4) and (3.9)

$$\bar{t}_{n,1}^{(r)} \sim \frac{d}{\pi} \log n. \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (3.10)$$

† Here $\Omega_k = \sum_{r=1}^k \omega_r = \log k + C + \epsilon_k$, where C is a constant and $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally by (3.1) and (3.10), we find

$$\bar{t}_n^{(r)} \sim \frac{d}{\pi} \log n, \text{ which completes the proof of Theorem 2.}$$

REFERENCES

- Hobson, E. W. (1950). *Theory of Functions of Real Variables*. Vol. II, 85.
Hyslop, J. M. (1939). On the absolute summability of the successively derived series of a Fourier series and its allied series. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **46**, 55–80.
Knopp, K. (1949). *Infinite Series*, p. 479.
Misra, M. L. (1947). On the determination of the jump of a function by its Fourier coefficients. *Quart. J. of Math. (Oxford Series)*, **18**, No. 71, 147–156.
Obreschkoff, N. (1934). Sur la sommation des séries trigonométriques de Fourier par les moyennes arithmétiques. *Bull. de la Soc. Math. de France*, **62**, 84–109, 167–184.

Issued July 8, 1957.