

CERTAIN RECURRENCE RELATIONS FOR THE H -FUNCTION

by U. C. JAIN, *Department of Mathematics, University of Udaipur,
Udaipur*

(Communicated by R. S. Varma, F.N.I.)

(Received July 6, 1966)

The aim of this note is to obtain some recurrence relations for the H -function. Since the G -function is a special case of the H -function, the recurrence relations for the G -function follow as particular cases of our findings; also, for some particular values of the parameters in the recurrence relations thus obtained for the G -function, we get the results given by Meijer.

1. INTRODUCTION

The H -function introduced by Fox (1961, p. 408) will be represented and defined in the following manner (Gupta and Jain *in press*):

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \\
 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\prod_1^m \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j \xi) \prod_1^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j \xi)}{\prod_{m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_j \xi) \prod_{n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - \alpha_j \xi)} x^\xi d\xi \quad \dots \quad (1.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where x is not equal to zero and an empty product is interpreted as having the value unity; p, q, m, n are integers satisfying $1 \leq m \leq q; 0 \leq n \leq p; \alpha_j (j = 1, \dots, p); \beta_j (j = 1, \dots, q)$ are positive numbers and $a_j (j = 1, \dots, p), b_j (j = 1, \dots, q)$ are complex numbers such that no pole of $\Gamma(b_h - \beta_h \xi) (h = 1, \dots, m)$ coincides with any pole of $\Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i \xi) (i = 1, \dots, n)$, i.e.

$$\alpha_i (b_h + \nu) \neq (a_i - \eta - 1) \beta_h \dots \dots \dots (1.2)$$

($\nu, \eta = 0, 1, \dots; h = 1, \dots, m; i = 1, \dots, n$).

Further, the contour L runs from $\sigma - i\infty$ to $\sigma + i\infty$ such that the points

$$\xi = (b_h + \nu) / \beta_h (h = 1, \dots, m; \nu = 0, 1, \dots) \quad \dots \quad (1.3)$$

which are the poles of $\Gamma(b_h - \beta_h \xi)$ lie to the right of L and the points

$$\xi = (a_i - \eta - 1) / \alpha_i (i = 1, \dots, n; \eta = 0, 1, \dots) \quad \dots \quad (1.4)$$

which are the poles of $\Gamma(1 - a_i + \alpha_i \xi)$ lie to the left of L . Such a contour is possible on account of (1.2).

In this note we have established some recurrence relations for the H -function. Since the G -function is a special case of the H -function, the

recurrence relations for the G -function follow as particular cases of the relations given in this note. The results are believed to be new.

2. RECURRENCE RELATIONS

$$(i) \left. \begin{aligned} & (b_1 - b_2) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_2), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &= (a_1 - b_1) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_2, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ & - (a_1 - b_2) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \end{aligned} \right\} (2.1)$$

where $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$.

$$(ii) \left. \begin{aligned} & (a_p - a_1) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1, \alpha_1), (b_2, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &= H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p - 1, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1, \alpha_1), (b_2, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ & + (a_p - b_1)(a_p - b_2) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ & + (1 + b_1 + b_2 - 2ap) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p - 1, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ & + H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p - 2, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (2.2)$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n \leq p - 1$.

$$(iii) \left. \begin{aligned} & (b_1 - a_1 + 1) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1, \alpha_1), (b_2, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &= H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1 + 1, \alpha_1), (b_2, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ & + H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p - 1, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ & + (a_p - b_1)(a_p - b_2) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ & + (b_2 - a_p) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p - 1, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{array} \right. \right] \end{aligned} \right\} (2.3)$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n \leq p - 1$.

(iv)

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 & (b_1 - a_1) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1, \alpha_1), (b_2, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 & = H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p - 1, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1, \alpha_1), (b_2, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 & \quad + (b_1 - a_p)(b_1 - a_1) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_2, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 & \quad + (1 + b_2 - a_p) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p - 1, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 & \quad + H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p - 2, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right]
 \end{aligned} \right\} (2.4)$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n \leq p-1$.

(v)

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 & (1 + b_1 + b_2 - a_1 - a_p) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1, \alpha_1), (b_2, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_p, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 & = H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1 + 1, \alpha_1), (b_2, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 & \quad + (b_2 - a_p)(b_2 - a_1) H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 & \quad + H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1 - 1, \alpha_1), (a_2, \alpha_2), \dots, (a_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}), (a_p - 1, \alpha_1) \\ (b_1, \alpha_1), (b_2 - 1, \alpha_1), (b_3, \beta_3), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right]
 \end{aligned} \right\} (2.5)$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n \leq p-1$.

Proofs: Since (Rainville 1963, p. 72)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a-1, b \\ c \end{matrix} ; -sx \right) \\
 & = {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b-1 \\ c \end{matrix} ; -sx \right) + \frac{b-a}{c} sx {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c+1 \end{matrix} ; -sx \right) \quad \dots (2.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{(a-1)\Gamma(a-1)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(c)} \int_0^\infty x^\lambda f(x) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a-1, b \\ c \end{matrix} ; -sx \right) dx \\
 & = \frac{(b-1)\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b-1)}{\Gamma(c)} \int_0^\infty x^\lambda f(x) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b-1 \\ c \end{matrix} ; -sx \right) dx \\
 & \quad + \frac{(b-a)\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(c+1)} s \int_0^\infty x^{\lambda+1} f(x) {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c+1 \end{matrix} ; -sx \right) dx \quad \dots (2.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

provided that the integrals involved exist.

Now if we take

$$f(x) = H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z x^\sigma \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

in (2.7) and evaluate the integrals involved therein with the help of the following result (Gupta and Jain *in press*):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty x^\lambda H_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[z x^\sigma \left| \begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p) \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] {}_2F_1 \left(\begin{matrix} c_1, c_2 \\ d \end{matrix}; -sx \right) dx \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(d) \cdot s^{-\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(c_1) \cdot \Gamma(c_2)} H_{p+2,q+2}^{m+2,n+1} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (-\lambda, \sigma), (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p), (d-\lambda-1, \sigma) \\ (c_1-\lambda-1, \sigma), (c_2-\lambda-1, \sigma), (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \end{aligned}$$

valid for

$$\sigma > 0, R \left(\lambda + 1 + \sigma \min \frac{b_h}{\beta_h} \right) > 0 (h = 1, \dots, m), |\arg s| < \pi$$

$$R \left(\lambda + 1 + \sigma \max \frac{a_j - 1}{\alpha_j} - \min c_i \right) < 0 (j = 1, \dots, n; i = 1, 2)$$

$$\mu = \sum_1^n (\alpha_j) - \sum_{n+1}^p (\alpha_j) + \sum_1^m (\beta_j) - \sum_{m+1}^q (\beta_i) > 0 \text{ and } |\arg z| < \frac{1}{2} \mu \pi,$$

we get the recurrence relation (2.1) after some slight changes in the parameters and arguments.

The remaining recurrence relations can be proved in a similar manner if we start with (Erdelyi 1953, p. 103, eqns. 30, 34, 39, 33) respectively instead of (2.6).

3. PARTICULAR CASES

The following recurrence relations for the G -function (Erdelyi 1953, p. 207) are obtained if we take all the α 's and the β 's to be equal to unity in the recurrence relations (2.1) to (2.5) respectively.

(i)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & (b_1 - b_2) G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_p \\ b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ &= (a_1 - b_1) G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p \\ b_1 - 1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ & \quad - (a_1 - b_2) G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, b_2 - 1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \quad (3.1)$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$.

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
& (a_p - a_1)G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p \\ b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&= G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_{p-1} \\ b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&\quad + (a_p - b_1)(a_p - b_2)G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p \\ b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&\quad + (1 + b_1 + b_2 - 2a_p)G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_{p-1} \\ b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&\quad + G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_{p-2} \\ b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right]
\end{aligned} \quad \dots \quad (3.2)$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n \leq p-1$.

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned}
& (b_1 - a_1 + 1)G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p \\ b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&= G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p \\ b_1 + 1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&\quad + G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_{p-1} \\ b_1, b_2 - 1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&\quad + (a_p - b_1)(a_p - b_2)G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p \\ b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&\quad + (b_2 - a_p)G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_{p-1} \\ b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right]
\end{aligned} \quad \dots \quad (3.3)$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n \leq p-1$.

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned}
& (b_1 - a_1)G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p \\ b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&= G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_{p-1} \\ b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&\quad + (b_1 - a_p)(b_1 - a_1)G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p \\ b_1 - 1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&\quad + (1 + b_2 - a_p)G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_{p-1} \\ b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
&\quad + G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_{p-2} \\ b_1 - 1, b_2 - 1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right]
\end{aligned} \quad \dots \quad (3.4)$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n \leq p-1$.

(v)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1+b_1+b_2-a_1-a_p)G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p \\ b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 & = G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p \\ b_1+1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 & \quad + (b_2-a_p)(b_2-a_1)G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_p \\ b_1, b_2-1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\
 & \quad + G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left[x \left| \begin{matrix} a_1-1, a_2, \dots, a_{p-1}, a_{p-1} \\ b_1, b_2-1, b_3, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} \right. \right]
 \end{aligned} \quad \dots \quad (3.5)$$

where $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq n \leq p-1$.

On putting $a_p = b_2$ in (3.3) and (3.5), we arrive at a recurrence relation given by Meijer (Erdelyi 1953, p. 209).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is thankful to Dr. S. P. Kaushik and to Dr. K. C. Gupta for their kind guidance and valuable suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- Erdelyi, A., *et al.* (1953). Tables of Integral Transforms, Vol. I. McGraw-Hill, New York.
 Fox, C. (1961). The G - and H -functions as symmetrical Fourier kernels. *Trans. Am. math. Soc.*, **98**, 395-429.
 Gupta, K. C., and Jain, U. C. (*in press*). The H -function—II. *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. India*.
 Rainville, E. D. (1963). Special Functions. The Macmillan Company Inc., New York.