

ON THE EMPIRICAL REPRESENTATION OF THE  
OBSERVED DISTRIBUTION OF DOUBLE STARS  
UNDER AN ANGULAR SEPARATION LESS  
THAN 15" ACCORDING TO  $d$ ,  $m$ ,  $\Delta m$ ,  $\beta$

by A. N. GOYAL and R. S. KHANDELWAL, *Department of Mathematics,  
University of Rajasthan, Jaipur*

(Communicated by F. C. Auluck, F.N.I.)

(Received November 10, 1966)

The empirical relation  $\log_{10} N_m = A + B(\Delta m - 1.5) + C(\Delta m - 1.5)^2$  fairly represents the observed distribution of double stars.

INTRODUCTION

Innes (1907), Plummer (1908), Groot (1927), Kreiken (1928), Goyal (1962, 1964a, 1964b, 1965, 1967, and *in press*), Goyal and Mithal (1964) and Goyal and Varma (1966) have studied the observed distribution of doubles under an angular separation less than 15" picked in a systematic survey of the Astrographic Catalogues. The results are summarized in their papers. In the present paper the cumulative counts of the observed distribution of doubles in different distance groups, viz.  $0'' < d \leq 5''$ ,  $5'' < d \leq 10''$  and  $10'' < d \leq 15''$  in the Oxford, and the Hyderabad plus and minus zones according to the galactic latitude  $\beta$  lying in  $0^\circ < |\beta| \leq 20^\circ$ ,  $20^\circ < |\beta| \leq 40^\circ$  and  $|\beta| > 40^\circ$ , have been represented by an empirical relation  $\log_{10} N_m = A + B(\Delta m - 1.5) + C(\Delta m - 1.5)^2$ . In the whole investigation the limits of  $\Delta m$  were  $0^{m.0} \leq \Delta m \leq 2^{m.4}$  and the mean value of  $m$  as  $11^{m.0}$ . The number of stars in the catalogues is about 1,500,000. The values of the constants determined by the method of least squares are given in the Tables. The Tables are self-explanatory.

$0^\circ < |\beta| < 20^\circ$

Values of  $A$

2.44448 ± 0.06129	2.99216 ± 0.11070	2.84873 ± 0.03820
2.10814 ± 0.14186	2.55578 ± 0.15287	2.61736 ± 0.10155
2.47638 ± 0.08056	2.87869 ± 0.04217	2.89037 ± 0.06335

Values of  $B$

+0.17243 ± 0.01840	+0.20874 ± 0.02038	+0.21161 ± 0.01438
+0.23205 ± 0.01761	+0.26381 ± 0.01238	+0.26023 ± 0.01499
+0.20420 ± 0.00751	+0.25493 ± 0.00751	+0.23443 ± 0.00060

Values of  $C$ 

$-0.13608 \pm 0.01703$	$-0.46128 \pm 0.09512$	$-0.11344 \pm 0.00657$
$-0.19808 \pm 0.02044$	$-0.16242 \pm 0.08538$	$-0.13952 \pm 0.00918$
$-0.15865 \pm 0.00339$	$-0.28765 \pm 0.00975$	$-0.12000 \pm 0.00261$

$$20^\circ < |\beta| < 40^\circ$$

Values of  $A$ 

$2.10040 \pm 0.05204$	$2.57220 \pm 0.13421$	$2.41589 \pm 0.10886$
$1.89928 \pm 0.06943$	$2.13739 \pm 0.12841$	$2.01058 \pm 0.10886$
$2.04300 \pm 0.01738$	$2.34037 \pm 0.00581$	$2.28048 \pm 0.02708$

Values of  $B$ 

$+0.14694 \pm 0.02668$	$+0.07917 \pm 0.06706$	$+0.20332 \pm 0.02765$
$+0.17465 \pm 0.00994$	$+0.22663 \pm 0.02200$	$+0.27468 \pm 0.01545$
$+0.25174 \pm 0.03662$	$+0.26480 \pm 0.04505$	$+0.26934 \pm 0.01221$

Values of  $C$ 

$-0.12507 \pm 0.01709$	$-0.13025 \pm 0.00731$	$-0.10963 \pm 0.00875$
$-0.11551 \pm 0.02286$	$-0.14899 \pm 0.00401$	$-0.14038 \pm 0.00982$
$-0.21950 \pm 0.03995$	$-0.14780 \pm 0.00329$	$-0.12236 \pm 0.00106$

$$|\beta| > 40^\circ$$

Values of  $A$ 

$1.80691 \pm 0.04117$	$1.93508 \pm 0.02255$	$1.87776 \pm 0.07001$
$1.48083 \pm 0.15578$	$1.62741 \pm 0.16328$	$1.98460 \pm 0.00548$
$1.92551 \pm 0.11461$	$2.13073 \pm 0.14073$	$2.11865 \pm 0.07548$

Values of  $B$ 

$+0.19269 \pm 0.01619$	$+0.16953 \pm 0.01087$	$+0.37060 \pm 0.03927$
$+0.22265 \pm 0.00190$	$+0.15436 \pm 0.02003$	$+0.30291 \pm 0.01794$
$+0.24315 \pm 0.01428$	$+0.23867 \pm 0.03089$	$+0.24413 \pm 0.03730$

Values of  $C$ 

$-0.15988 \pm 0.01758$	$+0.13018 \pm 0.01527$	$-0.12867 \pm 0.00892$
$-0.21894 \pm 0.01809$	$-0.07525 \pm 0.01791$	$-0.14763 \pm 0.00254$
$-0.18814 \pm 0.00051$	$-0.10927 \pm 0.00264$	$-0.15399 \pm 0.00638$

The values of  $A$  will, of course, depend on the number of stars in each distance group for each galactic belt. The values of  $B$  and  $C$  are fairly constant. The explanation for the slight irregularities in the values of  $B$  and  $C$  lies in the irregularities of the diameter measurements on which the whole investigation rests. The errors are probable errors. If  $K = (\Delta m - 1.5)$ , then the mean formulae appear to be

$$0^\circ < |\beta| < 20^\circ$$

$$0'' \text{ to } 5'' \quad (2.34300 \pm 0.09457) + (0.20289 \pm 0.01227)K + (-0.16427 \pm 0.01361)K^2$$

$$5'' \text{ to } 10'' \quad (2.80888 \pm 0.10191) + (0.24249 \pm 0.01359)K + (-0.30379 \pm 0.06342)K^2$$

$$10'' \text{ to } 15'' \quad (2.78549 \pm 0.06770) + (0.23542 \pm 0.00999)K + (-0.12432 \pm 0.00612)K^2$$

$$20^\circ < |\beta| < 40^\circ$$

$$0'' \text{ to } 5'' \quad (2.01423 \pm 0.04628) + (0.19111 \pm 0.02441)K + (-0.15336 \pm 0.02663)K^2$$

$$5'' \text{ to } 10'' \quad (2.34999 \pm 0.08948) + (0.19020 \pm 0.04470)K + (-0.14235 \pm 0.00487)K^2$$

$$10'' \text{ to } 15'' \quad (2.23565 \pm 0.09063) + (0.24910 \pm 0.01843)K + (-0.12412 \pm 0.00654)K^2$$

$$|\beta| > 40^\circ$$

$$0'' \text{ to } 5'' \quad (1.73875 \pm 0.10385) + (0.21950 \pm 0.01079)K + (-0.18899 \pm 0.01206)K^2$$

$$5'' \text{ to } 10'' \quad (1.89774 \pm 0.10885) + (0.18752 \pm 0.02060)K + (-0.10490 \pm 0.01194)K^2$$

$$10'' \text{ to } 15'' \quad (1.99367 \pm 0.05033) + (0.30588 \pm 0.03150)K + (-0.14343 \pm 0.00595)K^2$$

#### REFERENCES

- Goyal, A. N. (1962). Investigation of 750 doubles in the Oxford Astrographic Catalogues  $+32^\circ$  and  $+33^\circ$  with an angular separation less than  $15''$ . *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.*, **123**, 413-423.
- (1964a). Investigation of 688 doubles in the Oxford Astrographic Catalogues  $+26^\circ$  and  $+25^\circ$  with an angular separation less than  $15''$ . *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.*, **127**, 341-345.
- (1964b). General investigation on the counts of doubles in the Oxford Astrographic Catalogues  $+25^\circ$  to  $+33^\circ$ , Hyderabad Astrographic Catalogues  $+36^\circ$  to  $+39^\circ$  and  $-17^\circ$  to  $-23^\circ$  with an angular separation less than  $15''$ . *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.*, **128**, 493-498.
- (1965). Investigation of 1,262 doubles in the Perth Astrographic Catalogues  $-38^\circ$  to  $-40^\circ$  with an angular separation less than  $15''$  (Part IV). *Proc. natn. Inst. Sci. India*, **A31**, 33-37.
- (1967). Investigation of 635 doubles in the Melbourne Astrographic Catalogues  $-71^\circ$  to  $-81^\circ$  with an angular separation less than  $15''$  (Part VII). *Proc. natn. Inst. Sci. India*, **A33**, 80-84.
- (*in press*). Investigation of 1,022 doubles in the Oxford Astrographic Catalogues  $+27^\circ$  to  $+29^\circ$  with an angular separation less than  $15''$  (Part V). To be published in *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. India*.
- Goyal, A. N., and Mithal, S. S. (1964). Investigation of 851 doubles in the Oxford Astrographic Catalogues,  $+30^\circ$  and  $+31^\circ$  with an angular separation less than  $15''$ . *Proc. natn. Acad. Sci. India*, **A34**, 358-364.
- Goyal, A. N., and Varma, S. K. (1966). Investigation of 947 doubles in the Paris Astrographic Catalogues  $+34^\circ$  and  $+35^\circ$  with an angular separation less than  $15''$  (Part VI). *Proc. natn. Inst. Sci. India*, **A32**, 146-152.

- Groot, H. (1927). Some statistical results from counts of double stars in the Greenwich Astrographic Catalogues. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.*, **88**, 51.
- Innes, R. T. A. (1907). Burnham's General Catalogue of double stars. *Observatory*, No. 390, 452.
- Kreiken, E. A. (1928). The general distribution of physical double stars (fifth paper). *Bull. astr. Insts Neth.*, **4**, 239.
- Plummer, H. C. (1908). The relations between position angle and distance of standard (photographic) coordinates. *Mon. Not. R. astr. Soc.*, **69**, 100.