

ADDITION TO CELLULOLYTIC FUNGI : SOIL-INHABITING ASCOMYCETES OF ASSAM AND MEGHALAYA

by C. P. AGARWAL,* *Mycology Research Laboratory, Department of Botany,
Agra College, Agra; and R. K. S. CHAUHAN, School of Studies in Botany,
Jewajee University, Gwalior*

(Received in January, 1977)

In connection with screening of cellulolytic fungi, active in a soil of Assam and Meghalaya, a systematic study was undertaken and a large number of fungi belonging to different groups were isolated. The present paper describes cellulolytic activity of only the ascomycetes recorded in this study. Among the 21 species of ascomycetes, three species which are hitherto unrecorded as active cellulose destroying fungi, were found to be high cellulose decomposing.

INTRODUCTION

Fungi, which inhabit abundantly in soil decompose the cellulosic materials actively. Fungal growth on susceptible materials is very common and it is accelerated considerably, if sufficient nutrition is available to the growing fungi together with the favourable environmental conditions, as a result, a wide variety of cellulosic materials are deteriorated by fungi in India and elsewhere. It is clear from the literature that the fungi found associated with large number of fibres, textiles and other cellulosic materials, differ in their capacity of cellulose degradation. Some of them are highly cellulolytic while others are comparatively less so.

Studies presented in this paper are confined to isolation of different species of ascomycetes from the soils of different localities of Assam and Meghalaya and their screening against the cellulose degradation. The species, which proved to be cellulolytic, were further tested for their relative cellulose degradation capacity against different cellulosic materials.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All the 58 isolates, which were isolated from the soil samples of different localities of Assam and Meghalaya were taken for the study. These isolates were individually grown on cambric cotton test strips, suspended on a glass rod placed obliquely in a flask having Greathouse's medium (Greathouse *et al.*, 1942) under identical experimental conditions for the determination of cellulolytic activity. The cellulolytic activity was determined following the method described in Technical Report (Anon., 1947) and the breaking strength of test strips was determined at a Good brand breaking strength testing machine (Anon., 1959). The

*Present address : Department of Botany, Hindu College Moradabad.

TABLE I
Maximum cellulose destroying capacity of individual fungi

| Fungus | *Visible growth on cambric strip | **Breaking strength of strip in kg | %Loss in breaking strength of strip |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <i>Chaetomium globosum</i> | ++++ | 7.8 | 77.81 |
| <i>Byssochlamys nivea</i> | ++++ | 9.1 | 74.00 |
| <i>Petriellidium</i> sp. | ++++ | 12.9 | 63.14 |
| <i>Pseudoeurotium indicum</i> | +++ | 15.6 | 55.42 |
| <i>Chaetomium indicum</i> | +++ | 16.8 | 52.00 |
| <i>Anixiella reticulata</i> | +++ | 17.1 | 51.05 |
| <i>Sordaria humicola</i> | +++ | 18.2 | 48.00 |
| <i>Carpenteles brefeldianum</i> | +++ | 18.6 | 46.85 |
| <i>Emericella rugulosa</i> | +++ | 20.0 | 42.85 |
| <i>Ascoiricha chartarum</i> | +++ | 21.0 | 40.00 |
| <i>Neurospora dodgei</i> | ++ | 21.3 | 39.14 |
| <i>Emericella nidulans</i> | ++ | 22.0 | 37.14 |
| <i>Carpenteles parvum</i> | ++ | 22.0 | 37.14 |
| <i>Neurospora tetrasperma</i> | ++ | 22.5 | 35.71 |
| <i>Carpenteles javanicum</i> | ++ | 22.7 | 31.14 |
| <i>Sartorya fumigata</i> | ++ | 25.8 | 26.28 |
| <i>Emericella quadrilneata</i> | + | 29.8 | 14.85 |
| <i>Nectraria haematococca</i> | + | 29.9 | 14.57 |
| <i>Monascus ruber</i> | + | 30.9 | 11.71 |
| <i>Emericella varicolor</i> | + | 31.1 | 11.14 |
| <i>Microascus cinereus</i> | + | 32.3 | 07.70 |

+Poor growth; ++, Fair growth; +++, Good growth; and +++++, Abundant growth

** = Mean of Six replications.

average breaking strength of inoculated, uninoculated (control) strips was used for calculating percentage loss in strength of cotton test strips.

Different cellulosic materials, cotton fibres, white drill, cotton cordage, gunny bags, ruled paper, bamboo paper, paper pulp and pine wood were taken for studying the comparative activity of high cellulose decomposing fungi and were tested by pure culture test (Nigam, 1965).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the isolates which were screened for the determination of cellulolytic activity showed variable behaviour. Out of 58 isolates one was non-cellulolytic in nature e.g. *Monascus ruber*, while the remaining isolates were cellulolytic in nature and showed their cellulose degradation capacity from 1 to 80 percent. None of the ascomycetes isolates gave more than 80 percent activity.

The results indicate that the maximum activity was shown by the isolates of *Chaetomium globosum* (77.71%) although different isolates of this species showed slight variation. Similarly, isolates of *Byssochlamys nivea*, *Petriellidium* sp.,

TABLE II
Growth of some selected fungi on different cellulosic materials
 Incubation temperature $28 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$
 Incubation period 14 days

| S. No. | Fungus | Cellulosic materials tested | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|------------|-----------|-----|---|
| | | Cotton fibre | White drill | Cotton cordage | Gunny bag | Ruled paper | Bamboo paper | Paper pulp | Pine wood | | |
| 1. | <i>Aniztella reticulata</i> | ++ | +++ | +++ | + | + | + | + | + | + | — |
| 2. | <i>Pseudocourtilum indicum</i> | — | ++ | + | — | ++ | + | + | + | + | — |
| 3. | <i>Chaetomium globosum</i> | +++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | + |
| 4. | <i>C. indicum</i> | ++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + |
| 5. | <i>Byssochlamys nivea</i> | + | +++ | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ | + | + | — |
| 6. | <i>Petriellidium</i> sp. | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | + | — |

—, No growth; +, Poor growth; ++, Moderate growth; ++++, Profuse growth

Pseudoeurotium indicum, *Chaetomium indicum*, *Anixiella reticulata* also exhibited significant loss in test strips and maximum losses presented by these isolates were 74.00, 63.14, 55.42, 52.00 and 51.05 percent respectively (Table-I), whereas, isolates of *Sordaria humicola*, *Carpenteles brefeldianum*, *Emericella rugulosa* and *Ascotricha chartarum* exhibited 48.00, 46.85, 42.85, and 40.00 percent losses respectively. *Emericella varicolor*, *E. quadrilineata*, *Nectaria haematococca*, *Monascus ruber* and *Microascus cinereus* showed poor response.

From the above results, it is obvious that the fungal species could be grouped into 4 categories, i.e. (A) non-cellulolytic—which did not give any percentage loss in strength, (B) weakly cellulolytic—which gave 1 to 49.9% loss of breaking strength, (C) moderately cellulolytic—which gave 50.0 to 79.9% loss in breaking strength, and (D) highly cellulolytic forms—which gave 80 to 100% loss of breaking strength. All those species which are grouped in moderately and highly cellulolytic forms were taken for screening against various cellulosic materials.

Results of these studies (Table II) indicate that out of 8 cellulosic materials, white drill, cordage cotton, ruled stationery paper, bamboo paper and paper pulp supported the growth of all the fungi tested, while the rest did not support the growth of all the test fungi. The pine wood was the only cellulosic material, which showed some resistance against most of the fungi although certain species i.e. *Chaetomium globosum* and *C. indicum* degraded the wood cellulose. The data indicate that cotton fibres and gunny bag were not affected by *Pseudoeurotium indicum*. It is quite evident from the results that *Chaetomium globosum*, *C. indicum*, *Anixiella reticulata*, *Byssochlamys nivea* and *Petriellidium* sp., were highly cellulolytic in nature and were able to deteriorate the various cellulosic materials used for testing.

It is evident from literature (Ames, 1951; Bharti & Yadav, 1973) that *Chaetomium* sp., *Byssochlamys* sp. and *Ascotricha* sp. were among those which mainly represented the group for the active deterioration of cellulosic materials. Greathouse and Ames (1945) described 13 species of *Chaetomium* associated with deterioration of fabric. Ames (1951) listed 4 species of *Ascotricha* as cellulolytic forms.

Large number of ascomycetes described as cellulolytic in nature belonged to *Chaetomium*, *Sordaria*, *Sartorya*, *Byssochlamys*, *Neurospora*, *Ascotricha*, *Anixiella*, *Emericella* and *Carpenteles* species (Pugh *et al.*, 1963; Fergus, 1969; Chahal & Gray, 1969; Nigam *et al.*, 1972; Bharti & Yadav, 1973; Agarwal, 1975 and Agarwal & Chauhan, 1976)—but without the indication of any activity of *Pseudoeurotium indicum*, *Anixiella reticulata* and *Petriellidium* sp. Thus these 3 species are newly added ascomycetes as cellulose decomposers from the present studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors sincerely thank Dr M. N. Gupta, Head of Botany Deptt. Agra College, Agra, for his interest and to Dr K. D. Sharma for experimental help. We also acknowledge the co-operation and help obtained from Defence Research

Laboratory (Materials), Kanpur. Thanks are also due to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi for awarding Post-Doctoral Fellowship to C.P.A.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal, C. P. (1975). A new cellulose decomposing micro-organism from Indian soils. *Proc Indian Nat. Sci. Acad.*, **41B**, 69-71.
- Agarwal, C. P. & Chauhan, R. K. S. (1976). *Neurospora tetrasperma*. Its occurrence in Indian soil and its cellulose degradation capacity. *Proc. Indian Nat. Sci. Acad.*, **42B**, 122-124.
- Ames, L. M. (1951). New species of cellulose decomposing fungi. III. *Mycologia*, **43**, 29-33.
- Anonymous, (1947). Isolation and study of cellulose destroying species of fungi. Part I. T.D.E.L. (S) Kanpur, Tech. Rep. No. Bio/47/69.
- Anonymous, (1959). Method for testing cotton fabrics resistance to attack by micro-organism. Indian Standard Specification No. IS : 1389.
- Bharti Bose & Yadav, A. S. (1973). Some cellulolytic microfungi and their cellulose activities *in vitro*. *J. Indian bot. Soc.*, **52**, 218-224.
- Chahal, D. S. & Gray, W. D. (1969). Growth of cellulolytic fungi on wood pulp (i) screening of cellulolytic fungi for their growth on wood pulp. *Indian Phytopath.*, **22**, 79-81.
- Fergus, C. L. (1969). The cellulolytic activity of thermophilic fungi and actinomycetes. *Mycologia*, **49**, 120-129.
- Greathouse, G. A. Klemme, D. E. & Barker, R. D. (1942). Determining the deterioration of cellulose caused by fungi. *Ind & Eng. Chem.*, **34**, 614-642.
- Greathouse, C. A., & Ames, L. M. (1945). Fabric deterioration by thirteen described and three new species of *Chaetomium*. *Mycologia*, **37**, 138-155.
- Nigam, S. S. (1965). *Laboratory Test Method in Microbiology*. Issued by Defence Research Laboratory (Materials) Ministry of Defence Kanpur.
- Nigam, S. S., Agarwal, P. N. & Tandon, R. N. (1972). Fungi responsible for degradation of service materials in India. *Labdev. J. Sci. Tech. IOB*, 1-8.
- Pugh, G. J. F., Blakeman, J. P. & Morgan, J. G. (1963). Studies in fungi in coastal soils. IV. Cellulose decomposing species in sand Dunes. *Trans. Brit. Myc. Soc.* **406**, 565-571.
- Siu, R. G. H. (1951). *Microbial Decomposition of Cellulose*. Reinhold Publ. Co., New York.