

## OSCILLATION FOR CERTAIN DELAY HYPERBOLIC EQUATIONS SATISFYING THE ROBIN BOUNDARY CONDITION

LIHU DENG\* AND WEIGAO GE\*\*

\* *Department of Applied Mathematics, Dongguan Institute of Technology, Dongguan,  
 523106, P. R. China*

\*\* *Department of Applied Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081,  
 P. R. China*

(Received 4 April 2000; accepted 17 November 2000)

In this paper we give some new oscillatory criteria for a class of nonlinear functional hyperbolic equations with the Robin boundary condition, using a new method.

**Key Words :** Nonlinear Functional Hyperbolic Equations; Robin Boundary Condition; Oscillation

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The literature<sup>1</sup> has studied the oscillation of the following functional hyperbolic equation with several boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} [u + \lambda(t) u(x, t - \tau)] = a(t) \Delta u - c(x, t, u) - \int_a^b q(x, t, \zeta) u[x, g(t, \zeta)] d\sigma(\zeta) \quad \dots (E)$$

and have got some oscillatory criteria. About Robin boundary value problem (i.e. (E), (B3)), however, literature<sup>1</sup> have got only a result (see Theorem 5)<sup>1</sup> and results of Theorem 5 are not meticulous. In this paper, we will study continuously the problem (E), (B3), using a new method, we use the following Robin eigenvalue problem.

$$\Delta \Phi + \lambda \Phi = 0 \quad x \in \Omega$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial n} + \beta(x) \Phi = 0 \quad x \in \partial \Phi$$

to study the oscillation of the eq. (E) satisfying the following Robin boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \beta(x) u(x, t) = 0 \quad x \in \partial \Omega \quad \dots \text{(B)}$$

where  $\Delta u$  is the Laplacian in  $R^n$ ,  $\tau$  is a positive constant,  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times R_n = G$ ,  $R_+ = [0, +\infty)$ ,  $u = u(x, t)$ ,  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $R^n$  with a piecewise continuous smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$ ,  $n$  denotes the unit exterior vector normal to  $\partial \Omega$ .

We assume throughout this paper that the following conditions (H) hold :

$$\text{(H1)} \quad a(t), \lambda(t) \in C(R_+, R_+); q(x, t, \zeta) \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times R_+ \times [a, b], R_+)$$

$$Q(t, \zeta) = \min_{x \in \Omega} \{q(x, t, \zeta)\}$$

$$\text{(H2)} \quad g(t, \zeta) \in C(R_+ \times [a, b], R); g(t, \zeta) \leq t, \zeta \in [a, b]; g(t, \zeta)$$

are nondecreasing with respect to  $t$  and  $\zeta$ , respectively; and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \min \{g(t, \zeta)\} = +\infty$ .

$$\text{(H3)} \quad c(x, t, u) \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times R_+ \times R, R); c(x, t, \zeta) \geq p(t)f(\zeta),$$

$$p(t) \in C(R_+, R_+), f(\zeta) \in C([a, b], R); f(\zeta)$$

is a positive and convex function in  $(0, +\infty)$  and  $c(x, t, -\zeta) = -c(x, t, \zeta)$ .

$$\text{(H4)} \quad \sigma(\zeta) \in C([a, b], R),$$

is nondecreasing, integral if eq. (E) is Stieltjes integral.

$$\text{(H5)} \quad \beta(x) \in C(\partial \Omega, (0, +\infty))$$

*Definition 1* — A solution  $u(x, t)$  of the problem (E), (B) is called oscillatory in the domain  $G$  if for each positive number  $T$  there exists a point  $(x_0, t_0) \in \Omega \times [T, +\infty)$  such that the condition  $u(x_0, t_0) = 0$  holds.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

*Lemma 1* — Assume (H5) holds and  $\lambda_0$  is the smallest eigenvalue of the Robin eigenvalue problem

$$\Delta \Phi + \lambda \Phi = 0 \quad x \in \Omega \quad \dots \text{(1)}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial n} + \beta(x) \Phi = 0 \quad x \in \partial \Omega \quad \dots \text{(2)}$$

and  $\Phi(x)$  is the corresponding eigenfunction, then  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and  $\Phi(x) > 0$ . ( $x \in \Omega$ ) (see Theorem 3.3.22 of [2]).

*Lemma 2* — Assume condition (H3) holds, then there exists positive  $t_1 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} c(x, t, u) \Phi(x) dx \geq p(t) f \left[ \int_{\Omega} u \Phi(x) dx \left( \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) dx \right)^{-1} \right] \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) dx, \quad t \geq t_1$$

(see formula (2.7) of [1]).

*Theorem 1* — Assume that the condition (H) holds, and the following differential inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [V(t) + \lambda(t) V(t - \tau)] + \lambda_0 V(t) \\ & + P(t) f(V(t)) + \int_a^b Q(t, \zeta) V[g(t, \zeta)] d\sigma(\zeta) \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3)$$

have no eventually positive solution, then the every solution of the Robin problem (E), (B) is oscillatory in G.

PROOF : Assume that there exists a nonoscillatory solution  $u(x, t)$  of the problem (E), (B).

Let  $u(x, t)$  is a eventually positive solution of the Robin boundary value problem (E), (B) in  $\Omega \times [t_0, +\infty)$ , for  $t_0 \geq 0$ , by the condition (H2),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \min \{g(t, \zeta)\} = +\infty$$

there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that

$$g(t, \zeta) \geq t_0 \quad (t, \zeta) \in [t_1, +\infty) \times [a, b]$$

and  $t - \tau \geq t_0 \quad t \geq t_1$

then  $u(x, g(t, \zeta)) > 0 \quad (x, t, \zeta) \in \Omega \times [t_1, +\infty) \times [a, b]$

$$u(x, t - \tau) > 0 \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times [t_1, \infty)$$

Multiplying both side of eq. (E) by eigenfunction  $\Phi(x)$  of Robin eigenvalue problem (1) and integrating with respect to  $x$  over the domain  $\Omega$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left[ \int_{\Omega} u \Phi(x) dx + \lambda(t) \int_{\Omega} u(x, t - \tau) \Phi(x) dx \right] \\ & = a(t) \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Phi(x) dx - \int_{\Omega} c(x, t, u) \Phi(x) dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \int_a^b q(x, t, \zeta) u[x, g(t, \zeta)] \Phi(x) d\sigma(\zeta) dx \quad t \geq t_1 \end{aligned} \quad \dots (4)$$

using the Green formula, boundary condition (B) and Robin eigenvalue problem (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \Phi(x) dx &= \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( \Phi(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - u \frac{\partial \Phi(x)}{\partial n} \right) ds + \int_{\Omega} u \Delta \Phi(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\partial \Omega} (-\Phi(x)\beta(x)u + u\beta(x)\Phi(x)) ds - \lambda_0 \int_{\Omega} u \Phi(x) dx \\ &= -\lambda_0 \int_{\Omega} u \Phi(x) dx \quad t \geq t_1 \end{aligned} \quad \dots (5)$$

Therefore, from (2)-(4), and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left[ \int_{\Omega} u \Phi(x) dx + \lambda(t) \int_{\Omega} u(x, t - \tau) \Phi(x) dx \right] \\ &\leq -\lambda_0 \int_{\Omega} u \Phi(x) dx - P(t) f \left[ \int_{\Omega} u \Phi(x) dx \left( \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) dx \right)^{-1} \right] \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) dx \\ &- \int_a^b Q(x, \zeta) \int_{\Omega} u[x, g(t, \zeta)] \Phi(x) dx d\sigma(\zeta) \quad t \geq t_1 \end{aligned} \quad \dots (6)$$

Let  $V(t) = \left( \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) dx \right)^{-1} \int_{\Omega} u \Phi(x) dx$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d^2}{dt^2} [V(t) + \lambda(t) V(t - \tau)] + \lambda_0 a(t) V(t) \\ &+ P(t) f(V(t)) + \int_a^b Q(t, \zeta) V[g(t, \zeta)] d\sigma(\zeta) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $V(t) (> 0)$  is an eventually positive solution of differential inequality (3), which contradicts to the condition of Theorem 1.

If  $u(x, t) < 0$ , let  $v(x, t) = -u(x, t)$ , then using the above-mentioned method, we can also get that

$$\bar{V} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) dx \right)^{-1} \int_{\Omega} v(x, t) \Phi(x) dx$$

is also an eventually positive solution of the inequality (4), which contradicts the condition of Theorem 1 as well. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Now we concern a kind of particular case.

We have the following theorem :

When  $\lambda(t) = 0, t \in R_+$  the problem (E) become the following form :

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = a(t) \Delta u - c(x, t, u) - \int_a^b q(x, t, \zeta) u [x, g(t, \zeta)] d \sigma(\zeta) \quad \dots (E1)$$

*Lemma 3* — Assume  $y(t) \in C^2([t_0, +\infty), R)$  and

$$y(t) > 0, y'(t) > 0, y''(t) < 0, t \geq t_0,$$

then there exists  $t \geq t_0$  for every number  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$y(t) \geq \theta t y'(t), t \geq t_1 \text{ (see refs [3])}.$$

*Lemma 4* — Assume  $Q(t), Q_i(t) \in C([t_0, +\infty), R_+)$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$

$$g_i(t) \in C([t_0, +\infty), R), g_i(t) \text{ is nondecreasing and } g_i(t) \leq t,$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g_i(t) = +\infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ if } \exists i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \text{ such that}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{g_i(t)} \int \left\{ Q_i(s) \exp \int_{g_i(s)}^s Q(r) dr \right\} ds > \frac{1}{e} \quad \dots (10)$$

then the following differential inequality

$$y'(t) + Q(t)y(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m Q_i(t)y(g_i(t)) \leq 0, t \geq t_0 \quad \dots(11)$$

have no eventually positive solution. (see refs [4]).

**Theorem 2** — Assume that (H) holds,  $\lambda(t) = 0, t \in R_+$  and  $g(t, \zeta) \equiv g_0(t), \zeta \in [a, b]$ , and  $f(\zeta)/\zeta \geq c_0, \zeta \in R$ . If the following differential inequality

$$V''(t) + (\lambda_0 a(t) + c_0 tP(t)) V(t) + V(g_0(t)) \int_a^b Q(t, \zeta) d \sigma(\zeta) \leq 0 \quad \dots (12)$$

has no eventually positive solution, then every solution of the Robin boundary value problem (E1), (B) is oscillatory in  $G$ .

The proof of Theorem 4 is also similar to that of Theorem 1, and hence is omitted

**Theorem 3** — Assume that (H) holds,  $\lambda(t) = 0, t \in R_+$  and  $g(t, \zeta) \equiv g_0(t), \zeta \in [a, b]$ . If the following condition holds

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{g_0(t)}^t \left( \int_a^b \theta g_0(s) Q(s, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \exp \int_{g_0(s)}^s \theta [\lambda_0 a(r) + c_0 rP(r)] dr \right) ds > \frac{1}{e} \quad \dots (13)$$

then every solution of the Robin boundary value problem (E2), (B) is oscillatory in  $G$ .

PROOF : We need only to proof that the differential inequality (12) has no eventually positive solution. Assume now that the differential inequality (12) has a eventually positive solution,

i.e. there exists a  $T > 0$  such that  $V(t) > 0$  ( $t > T$ ), from (12), we have

$$V'(t) \leq 0, t > T, \text{ we can get}$$

$$V(t) > 0, t > T.$$

From Lemma 4,  $\left( \text{let } \theta = \frac{1}{2} \right)$  we have

$$V(t) > \theta t V'(t) \quad t > T$$

$$V(g_0(t)) > \theta t g_0(t) V'(g_0(t)) \quad t > T.$$

Let  $W(t) = V'(t) > 0$ , from (12), we have

$$W'(t) + \lambda_0 \theta t W(t) + \theta g_0(t) W(g_0(t)) \int_a^b Q(t, \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \leq 0 \quad \dots (14)$$

From condition (13), we know that the inequality (14) has no eventual positive solution, which contradicts  $W(t) > 0$ , ( $t > T$ ). This completes the Proof of Theorem 5.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. G. Wang, *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **30**(6) (1999) 557-65.
2. Q. X. Ye, Z. Y. Li, *Beijing science press*, (1990) 134.
3. J. J. Wei, *Ann. diff. eqs.* **4**(4), (1984) 473-78.
4. G. S. Ladde, V. Lashmikanthm, B. G. Zhang, *Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments*. New York and Basel, Marcel Dekker, Inc. (1987).