

INVARIANT MEANS AND SOME MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

Z. U. AHMAD¹, MURSALEEN¹ AND Q. A. KHAN²

¹Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202 002

²Department of Applied Mathematics, Z. H. College of Engineering and Technology, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202 002

(Received 3 December 1992; accepted 2 April 1993)

Let l_∞ , c , c_0 be the Banach spaces of bounded, convergent and null sequences respectively. σ is an injection of the set of positive integers into itself having no finite orbits, and T , the operator defined on l_∞ by $Tx(n) = x(\sigma n)$. A positive linear functional \mathcal{L} with $\|\mathcal{L}\| = 1$, is called a σ -mean if $\mathcal{L}(x) = \mathcal{L}(Tx)$ for all x in l_∞ . A sequence x is said to be σ -convergent, denoted $x \in V_\sigma$, if $\mathcal{L}(x)$ takes the same value, called σ -lim x , for all σ -means. In this paper we characterize the matrices $A \in (I_1, V_\sigma)$ and also study some new sequence spaces l_σ and m_σ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let σ be a mapping of the set of positive integer into itself. A continuous linear functional \mathcal{L} on l_∞ is said to be an invariant mean or a σ -mean if and only if

- (i) $\mathcal{L}(x) \geq 0$, when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n ,
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}(e) = 1$, where $e = \{1, 1, 1, \dots\}$

and

- (iii) $\mathcal{L}(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \mathcal{L}(x)$ for all $x \in l_\infty$.

In case σ is the translation mapping $n \rightarrow n + 1$, a σ -mean is often called a Banach limit² and V_σ , the set of σ -convergent sequences, that is the set of bounded sequences all of whose invariant means are equal, is the set f of almost convergent sequences⁴.

If $x = (x_n)$, set $Tx = (Tx_n) = (x_{\sigma(n)})$. It can be shown⁸ that

$$V_\sigma = \{x \in l_\infty : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} t_{mn}(x) = L, \text{ uniformly in } n, L = \sigma\text{-lim } x\}$$

where $t_{mn}(x) = (x_n + Tx_n + \dots + T^m x_n)/(m + 1)$

and $t_{-1,n} = 0$.

A σ -mean extends the limit functional on c in the sense that $\mathcal{L}(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in c$ if and only if σ has no finite orbits, that is to say, if and only if, for all $n \geq 0, j \geq 1, \sigma^j(n) \neq n$ (see Mursaleen⁵).

A large amount of the literature concerning invariant means etc. can be found in Ahmad and Mursaleen¹, Mursaleen⁶ and Raimi⁷.

For an infinite matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ and an infinite sequence $x = (x_k)$ of complex numbers, denote $Ax = ((Ax)_n)$, $(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k$, where by the existence of Ax we mean the convergence of this last series for each $n \geq 0$. Given two sequence spaces X and Y , we say that a matrix A is of the type (X, Y) if $Ax \in Y$ whenever $x \in X$.

In section 2 of the present paper, it is shown that V_{σ} is a Banach space. We also characterize the matrices of the type, (l_1, V_{σ}) , where

$$l_1 = \left\{ x : \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k| < \infty \right\}.$$

In section 3, we define absolutely σ -convergence and absolutely σ -boundedness and also investigate some properties of these new sequence spaces derived from the concept of invariant means.

2. (l_1, V_{σ}) -MATRICES

Theorem 2.1 — V_{σ} is a Banach space normed by

$$||x||_{\sigma} = \sup_{m,n} |t_{mn}(x)|. \tag{1}$$

PROOF : It can easily be verified that (1) actually defines a norm on V_{σ} and V_{σ} is a normed linear spaces.

It is left to show that V_{σ} is complete that is, every Cauchy sequence in V_{σ} converges to an element of V_{σ} .

Let $\{x^k\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in V_{σ} . Then for each $i, \{x_i^k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in R . Hence $x_i^k \rightarrow x_i$ (say) as $k \rightarrow \infty$, that is $\lim_k x_i^k = x_i$. Put $x = \{x_i^k\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

By the definition of norm on V_{σ} it can be easily proved that $\{x^k\} \rightarrow x$. It is only left to show that $x \in V_{\sigma}$.

Since $\{x^k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer $N(\epsilon) = N$ (say) such that for each $k, r > N$,

$$||x^k - x^r|| < \epsilon.$$

$$\text{Hence, } \sup_{m,n} |t_{mn}(x^k - x^r)| < \epsilon.$$

This gives

$$| t_{mn}(x^t - x^r) | < \epsilon \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \rightarrow | L^k - L^r | < \epsilon$$

for each m, n and $k, r > N$. Where $L^k = \sigma - \lim x^k$ and let $L = \lim_r L^r$. Then $L(x) = \lim_k (x^k) = \lim_k L^k = L$. Taking limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$| t_{mn}(x^k - x) | < \epsilon \text{ and } | L^k - L | \leq \epsilon \quad \dots (2)$$

for each m, n and $k > N$. Now, fix k for which the above inequality holds. Since x^k , for this fixed k , belongs to V_σ , we get

$$\lim_m t_{mn}(x^k) = L^k, \text{ informly in } n.$$

Hence for the given ϵ , there exists a positive integer $m_0(k)$ such that

$$| t_{mn}(x^k) - L^k | < \epsilon. \quad \dots (3)$$

for $m \geq m_0(k)$ for all n . Here, $m_0(k)$ is independent of n but depends upon ϵ . Now, using (2) and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} | t_{mn}(x) - L | &= | t_{mn}(x) - t_{mn}(x^k) + t_{mn}(x^k) - L^k + L^k - L | \\ &\leq | t_{mn}(x) - t_{mn}(x^k) | + | t_{mn}(x^k) - L^k | + | L^k - L | \\ &< 3 \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $m \geq m_0(k)$ and for all n .

This completes the proof of the theorem.

We note that, if Ax is defined, then for all $n, m \geq 0$.

$$t_{mn}(Ax) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t(n, k, m) x_k$$

where

$$t_{n, k, m} = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m a(\sigma^j(n), k)$$

and $a(n, k)$ denotes the element a_{nk} of the matrix A .

Theorem 2.2 — $A \in (I_1, V_\sigma)$ if and only if

$$(i) K = \sup_{n, k, m} | t(n, k, m) | < \infty$$

and

$$(ii) a_n k_{n-1}^\infty \in V_\sigma \text{ for each } k.$$

PROOF : Sufficient part — Suppose $x = (x_k) \in I_1$. By virtue of conditions (i) and

(ii) we see that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t(n, k, m) x_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k, \text{ uniformly in } n \quad \dots (4)$$

it also converges absolutely. Furthermore, $\sum_k t(n, k, m) x_k$ converges absolutely for each m and n .

For a given $\epsilon > 0$, let $k_0 \in N$, such that

$$\sum_{k > k_0} |x_k| < \epsilon. \quad \dots (5)$$

By (ii) we can find $m_0 \in N$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{k \leq k_0} [t(n, k, m) - a_k] x_k' \right| < \epsilon \quad \dots (6)$$

for all $m > m_0$, uniformly in n . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [t(n, k, m) - a_k] x_k \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{k \leq k_0} [t(n, k, m) - a_k] x_k \right| \\ &\quad + \sum_{k > k_0} |t(n, k, m) - a_k| |x_k| < (2k + 1) \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $m > m_0$ and uniformly in n , by (6), (5) and (i). This proves (4) and hence the sufficiency part.

Necessary Part — Condition (ii) follows from the fact that $e^k \in l_1$. For the necessity of (i), let us define a continuous linear functional $B_{m,n}$ on l_1 by

$$B_{m,n}(x) = \sum_k t(n, k, m) x_k.$$

Now, $\|B_{m,n}(x)\| \leq \sup_k |t(n, k, m)| \|x\|_1.$

whence it follows that

$$\|B_{m,n}\| \leq \sup_k |t(n, k, m)|. \quad \dots (7)$$

For any fixed $k \in N$, define $x = (x_i)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \text{sgn } t(n, k, m), \quad i = k, \\ &= 0, \quad i \neq k. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|x\|_1 = 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |B_{m,n}(x)| &= |t(n, k, m) x_k| \\ &\geq |t(n, k, m)| \|x\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|B_{m,n}\| \geq \sup_k |t(n, k, m)|.$$

Using (7), we have

$$\|B_{m,n}\| = \sup_k |t(n, k, m)|.$$

Since $A \in (l_1, V_\sigma)$, we have for any $x \in l_1$,

$$\sup_{m,n} |B_{m,n}(x)| = \sup_{m,n} \left| \sum_k t(n, k, m) x_k \right| < \infty.$$

Hence, by uniform boundedness principle, we have

$$\sup_{m,n} \|B_{m,n}\| = \sup_{m,n,k} |t(n, k, m)| < \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

If we put $\sigma(n) = n + 1$ in Theorem 2.2, we get the following.

Corollary 2.3 — $A \in (l_1, \vec{f})$, iff

$$(i) \sup_{n,k,m} \left| \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m a_{n+j,k} \right| < \infty$$

and

$$(ii) (a_{nk})_{n=1}^\infty \in f, \text{ for each } k.$$

3. ABSOLUTELY σ -CONVERGENCE

Let $x_n = z_0 + z_1 + \dots + z_n$, and σ be monotonically increasing. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{mn} &= t_{mn}(z) - t_{m-1,n}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^m j \left(\sum_{i=d_j}^{h_j} z_j \right), \quad m \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and $\phi_{0n}(z) = z_n$; with $d_j = \sigma^{j-1}(n) + 1$, $h_j = \sigma^j(n)$. Then we define the spaces l_σ and m_σ of the absolutely σ -convergent and absolutely σ -bounded sequences respectively, by :

$$l_\sigma = \left\{ z \in V_\sigma : \sum_{m=0}^\infty |\phi_{mn}(z)| \text{ converges uniformly in } n \right\}$$

$$m_\sigma = \left\{ z \in l_\sigma : \sup_n \sum_{m=0}^\infty |\phi_{mn}(z)| < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $p = (p_m)$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\sup_m p_m < \infty$. We further extend the above sequence spaces to $l_\sigma(p)$ and $m_\sigma(p)$ as follows.

$$l_\sigma(p) = \left\{ z \in V_\sigma : \sum_m |\phi_{mn}(z)|^{p_m} \text{ converges uniformly in } n \right\}$$

and

$$m_\sigma(p) = \left\{ z \in l_\sigma(p) : \sup_n \sum_m |\phi_{mn}(z)|^{p_m} < \infty \right\}$$

Remark : (i) If $p_m = 1$ for all m , we write l_σ and m_σ in place of $l_\sigma(p)$ and $m_\sigma(p)$ respectively.

(ii) If $\sigma(n) = n + 1$, $l_\sigma(p) = \hat{l}(p)$ and $m_\sigma(p) = \hat{m}(p)$, see Das *et al.*³

Theorem 3.1 — $l_\sigma(p) \subset m_\sigma(p)$.

PROOF : Suppose that $z \in l_\sigma(p)$. Therefore, for an integer M

$$\sum_{m \geq M} |\phi_{mn}(z)|^{p_m} \leq 1 \tag{8}$$

which gives

$$|\phi_{mn}(z)| \leq 1$$

for $m \geq M$ and all n . But, if $m \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i=d_m}^{h_m} z_i = (m+1)\phi_{mn} - (m-1)\phi_{m-1,n} \tag{9}$$

and we get each z_i is bounded for any fixed $m \geq M + 1$. Hence ϕ_{mn} is bounded for all m, n . Hence, we get the result.

Theorem 3.2 — $l_\sigma(p)$ and $m_\sigma(p)$ are complete linear spaces paranormed by

$$G_p(z) = \sup_n \left(\sum_m |\phi_{mn}(z)| \right)^{1/H}$$

where $H = \max(1, \sup p_m)$.

The proof is a routine verification by using standard arguments and therefore omitted.

Theorem 3.3 — Suppose that for all m , $p_m \leq q_m$. Then

(i) $l_\sigma(p) \subset l_\sigma(q)$, and

(ii) $m_\sigma(p) \subset m_\sigma(q)$.

PROOF : (i) Let $z \in l_\sigma(p)$. Therefore, there exists an integer M such that, for all n

$$\sum_{m=M}^{\infty} |\phi_{mn}(z)|^{p_m} \leq 1.$$

Hence $|\phi_{mn}| \leq 1$, for $m \geq M$ and all n

so that,

$$|\phi_{mn}|^{q_m} \leq |\phi_{mn}|^{p_m}$$

and the uniform convergence of $\sum |\phi_{mn}|^{q_m}$ follows from that of $\sum |\phi_{mn}|^{p_m}$

(ii) Let $z \in m_\sigma(p)$, then

$$\sup_n \sum_m |\phi_{mn}|^{p_m} \leq \infty.$$

Hence, ϕ_{mn} is bounded for all m, n . Therefore there exists $k \geq 1$ such that

$$|\phi_{mn}| \leq K$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_m |\phi_{mn}|^{q_m} &\leq \sum_m K^{q_m - p_m} |\phi_{mn}|^{p_m} \\ &\leq K^H \sum_m |\phi_{mn}|^{p_m}. \end{aligned}$$

where $H = \sup_m q_m$.

Hence $z \in m_\sigma(q)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark : Theorem 3.1 and the definition of $m_\sigma(p)$ give that $l_\sigma(p) = m_\sigma(p)$ (see Remark on p. 3 of Savas⁹).

REFERENCES

1. Z. U. Ahmad and Mursaleem, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **103** (1988), 244-46.
2. S. Banach, *Theorie des operations lineaires*, Warszawa, 1932.
3. G. Das, B. Kuttner and S. Nanda, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **83** (1984), 729-39.
4. G. G. Lorentz, *Acta Math.* **80** (1948), 167-90.
5. Mursaleem, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* (2) **34** (1983), 77-86.
6. Mursaleem, *Houston J. Math.* **9** (1983), 505-509.
7. R. A. Raimi, *Duke Math. J.* **30** (1963), 81-94.
8. P. Schaefer, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **36** (1972), 104-10.
9. E. Savas, *Indian J. Math.* **31** (1989), 1-8.