

ON A SPECIAL FORM OF THE $h\nu$ -CURVATURE TENSOR OF BERWALD'S CONNECTION $B\Gamma$ OF FINSLER SPACE

H. D. PANDE¹, P. N. TRIPATHI² AND B. N. PRASAD³

¹ *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Gorakhpur, (Gorakhpur) (India)*

² *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Tribhuvan University, IAAS, Rampur, Chitwan (Nepal)*

³ *Department of Mathematics, St. Andrews College, Gorakhpur (India)*

(Received 8 November 1993; after revision 29 July 1994;
accepted 1 September 1994)

A special form of the $h\nu$ -curvature tensor of Berwald's connection $B\Gamma$ of a Finsler space is proposed in this paper. It arises from the theory of two-dimensional Finsler space and it has been shown that Douglas tensor D vanishes of such a Finsler space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F^n be an n -dimensional Finsler space equipped with metric function $L(x, y)$. The metric tensor and angular metric tensor of F^n are given by

$$g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j L^2$$

$$h_{ij} = g_{ij} - l_i l_j$$

where $l_i = \dot{\partial}_i L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^i}$ is the unit vector along the element of support y^i . The Cartan's C tensor C_{ijk} is defined as

$$C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_k g_{ij}$$

which is completely symmetric and indicatory tensor⁴. For a given Finsler connection in F^n there exist two kinds of covariant differentiations called h - and ν -covariant differentiations. In the present paper we are concerned with the Berwald's connection $B\Gamma = (G_{\bullet}^i, G_j^i, 0)$ with respect to which the h - and ν -covariant differentiations of a vector field X^i are given by

$$X_{(j)}^i = \partial_j X^i - (\partial_k X^i) G_j^k + X^h G_{hj}^i \quad \dots (1.1)$$

$$X_{,j}^i = \dot{\partial}_j X^i \quad \dots (1.2)$$

where $\partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial X^j}$.

Recently a Finsler connection $PT = (P_{jk}^i, G_j^i, 0)$ of a Finsler space F^n has been introduced (see Matsumoto⁵) which is called the projective connection of F^n . Here

$$P_{jk}^i = G_{jk}^i - G_{jk}^i y^i / (n+1), \quad \dots (1.3)$$

$$G_{jk} = G_{jki}^i, \quad \dots (1.4)$$

$$G_{hjk}^i = \partial_h G_{jk}^i. \quad \dots (1.5)$$

G_{hjk}^i and G_{jk} are called the $h\nu$ -curvature tensor and $h\nu$ -Ricci tensor of $B\Gamma$ respectively.

We have two essential projective invariants, one being the Weyl curvature tensor W and the other the Douglas tensor D . From the standpoint of Matsumoto's theory of general Finsler connections⁴, while W is of h -type, D is of $h\nu$ -type with respect to $B\Gamma = (\Gamma^h, \Gamma^\nu)$. The vanishing of Douglas tensor D must show some special relation between Γ^h, Γ^ν .

In this paper we are concerned with a special form of the $h\nu$ -curvature tensor of $B\Gamma$ given by

$$G_{hjk}^i = s_{(hjk)} \{ (y^i a_{,i} + \lambda h_h^i) h_{jk} \} \quad \dots (1.6)$$

where $s_{(hjk)} \{ \}$ denotes the cyclic permutation of h, j, k and summation. Here a_h are components of some covariant vector positively homogeneous of degree -2 in y^i and λ is a scalar function positively homogeneous of degree -1 in y^i . This form of G_{hjk}^i arises from the form of $h\nu$ -curvature tensor of two-dimensional Finsler space.

2. SPECIAL FINSLER SPACES WITH THE FORM (1.6)

Since $G_{hjk}^i y^h = G_{0jk}^i = 0$ and h_{ij} is an indicatory tensor, from (1.6) we get $a_0 = 0$. Again from (1.4) and (1.6) we get

$$G_{hj} = (n+1) \lambda h_{hj}. \quad \dots (2.1)$$

A Landsberg space is characterized by the relation $g_{ij(k)} = 0$ which is equivalent to $C_{ijk|0} = 0$ (Matsumoto⁴, p.162, Numata⁶). This condition is also equivalent to $G_{hjk}^i y_i = 0$ which can be proved as follows.

From (18.2) and (18.6) in Matsumoto⁴ we have

$$(i) \quad G_{hjk}^i = P_{hjk}^i + C_{hjk|j}^i - C_{hr}^i P_{jk}^r + C_{jh|0}^i \cdot k$$

where $C_{jh|0}^i = P_{jh}^i$. From "Landsberg space" we have $P_{hjk}^i = 0$ and $P_{jk}^i = 0$, and thus from (i)

$$(ii) \quad G_{hjk}^i = C_{hk|j}^i.$$

Transvecting (ii) with y_i , we have $y_i G_{hjk}^i = 0$.

Conversely if we assume $y_i G_{hjk}^i = 0$ we have from (i)

$$(iii) \quad y_i P_{hjk}^i + y_i P_{jkh}^i = 0.$$

As from (17.23) in Matsumoto⁴ we have

$$y_i P_{hjk}^i = y^i P_{hijk} = -y^i P_{ihjk} = -C_{hjk|0}$$

$$\text{and} \quad y_i P_{jkh}^i = (y_i C_{jh|0}^i)_{\cdot k} - y_{i \cdot k} C_{jh|0}^i = -C_{jkh|0}.$$

We have from (iii) $C_{hjk|0} = 0$ as $y_{i \cdot k} = g_{ik}$. In view of (1.6) and $G_{hjk}^i y_i = 0$ we get $S_{(hjk)} \{a_h h_{jk}\} = 0$ which yields $a_i = 0$. Thus we have the following :

Theorem 2.1 — If the $h\nu$ -curvature tensor of a Landsberg space is of the form (1.6) then $a_i = 0$ and $G_{hjk}^i = S_{(hjk)} \{\lambda h_h^i h_{jk}\}$.

In the theory of two-dimensional Finsler space we can refer to the Berwald's frame $(\bar{l}^i, \bar{m}^i)$ (Matsumoto⁴) where $\bar{l}^i = y^i/L$ and $\bar{m}^i$ is the unit vector orthogonal to $\bar{l}^i$. The angular metric tensor h_{ij} and $h\nu$ -curvature tensor G_{ijk}^h of $B\Gamma$ are written in the form (Matsumoto⁴)

$$h_{ij} = m_i m_j \quad \dots (2.2)$$

$$L G_{hjk}^i = (-2I_{(1)} \bar{l}^i + I_2 \bar{m}^i) m_h m_j m_k \quad \dots (2.3)$$

where I is the main scalar and $I_2 = I_{(2)} + I_{(1)} \cdot 2$.

From (2.3) and (2.2) it follows that G_{hjk}^i can be written in the form (1.6) where $a_i = -\frac{2I_{(1)}}{3L^2} m_i$ and $\lambda = \frac{I_2}{3L}$, thus we have :

Theorem 2.2 — The $h\nu$ -curvature tensor of $B\Gamma$ of any two-dimensional Finsler space can be written in the form of (1.6).

Next we shall discuss the three-dimensional Finsler space F^3 . Matsumoto² developed the theory of three-dimensional Finsler space referring to the orthogonal frame $e_{(\alpha)}^i$, $\alpha = 0, 1, 2$, called the Moor frame (Matsumoto⁴). With reference to this frame, the angular metric tensor h_{ij} is written as

$$h_{ij} = (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \delta_{0\alpha} \delta_{0\beta}) e_{(\alpha)i} e_{(\beta)j}. \quad \dots (2.4)$$

If $G_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ and a_α are scalar components of G_{hjk}^i and a_i respectively i.e.

$$G_{hjk}^i = G_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} e_{(\alpha)}^i e_{(\beta)h} e_{(\gamma)j} e_{(\delta)k} \quad \dots (2.5)$$

and $a_i = a_\alpha e_{(\alpha)i}$... (2.6)

then from (1.6) and (2.4) we get

$$G_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = s_{(\beta\gamma\delta)} (L\delta_{0\alpha} a_\beta + \lambda \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \lambda\delta_{0\alpha} \delta_{0\beta}) (\delta_{\gamma\delta} - \delta_{0\gamma} \delta_{0\delta}). \quad \dots (2.7)$$

Since G_{hjk}^i is symmetric in the indices h, j, k and $G_{0jk}^i = 0$, it follows that the scalar components $G_{\alpha 0 \gamma \delta}$, $G_{\alpha \beta 0 \delta}$, $G_{\alpha \beta \gamma 0}$ vanish identically and from (2.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & G_{0111} = 3La_1, \quad G_{0112} = La_2, \quad G_{0122} = La_1, \quad G_{0222} = 3La_2 \\ \text{(b)} \quad & G_{1111} = 3\lambda, \quad G_{1112} = 0 = G_{1222}, \quad G_{1122} = \lambda \\ \text{(c)} \quad & G_{2111} = 0 = G_{2122}, \quad G_{2112} = \lambda, \quad G_{2222} = 3\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2.8)$$

From (2.8) we have the following :

Theorem 2.3 — In a three-dimensional Finsler space if $h\nu$ -curvature tensor of $B\Gamma$ is of the form (1.6) then the scalar components $G_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ of $h\nu$ -curvature tensor G_{hjk} satisfy the following identities

$$G_{\alpha 0 \beta \gamma} = G_{1112} = G_{1222} = G_{2111} = G_{2122} = 0$$

$$G_{0111} = 3G_{0122}, \quad G_{0222} = 3G_{0112}$$

and

$$G_{1111} = G_{2222} = 3G_{1122} = 3G_{2112}.$$

3. THE DOUGLAS TENSOR OF F^n

The $(\nu)h\nu$ -curvature tensor U_{jk}^i of projective connection $P\Gamma$ is given by (Matsumoto⁵)

$$U_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{n+1} G_{jk} y^i, \quad \dots (3.1)$$

which in view of (2.1) gives

$$U_{jk}^i = \lambda h_{jk} y^i. \quad \dots (3.2)$$

The $h\nu$ -curvature tensor of $P\Gamma$ is defined (Matsumoto⁵) as

$$U_{hjk}^i = \dot{\partial}_k P_{hj}^i$$

which in view of (1.3) gives

$$U_{hjk}^i = G_{hjk}^i - (G_{hj \cdot k} y^i + G_{hj} \delta_k^i)/(n+1). \quad \dots (3.3)$$

Since

$$h_{ij \cdot k} = 2C_{ijk} - L^{-1} (h_{ik} l_j + h_{jk} l_i), \quad \dots (3.4)$$

we have from (2.1)

$$G_{hj \cdot k} = (n+1) [\lambda_k h_{hj} + \lambda \{2C_{hjk} - L^{-1} (l_h h_{jk} + l_j h_{hk})\}] \quad \dots (3.5)$$

where $\lambda_k = \partial_k \lambda$.

Now we consider F^n satisfying (1.6). Then from (1.6), (3.3) and (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} U_{hjk}^i &= s_{(hjk)} \{y^i a_h + \lambda h_h^i\} h_{jk} \\ &\quad - [\lambda_k h_{hj} + \lambda \{2C_{hjk} - L^{-1} (l_h h_{jk} + l_j h_{hk})\}] y_i \\ &\quad - \lambda h_{hj} \delta_k^i. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.6)$$

Since the $h\nu$ -Ricci tensor of $P\Gamma$ is given by (Matsumoto⁵)

$$U_{ij} = \frac{2G_{ij}}{n+1},$$

from (2.1) we get

$$U_{ij} = 2\lambda h_{ij}. \quad \dots (3.7)$$

The Douglas tensor D_{hjk}^i is given by (Matsumoto⁴)

$$D_{hjk}^i = U_{hjk}^i - (U_{jk} \delta_h^i + U_{hk} \delta_j^i) / 2. \quad \dots (3.8)$$

In view of (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), the Douglas tensor D_{hjk}^i of F^n may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} D_{hjk}^i &= y^i \{a_h h_{jk} + a_j h_{kh} + a_k h_{jh} - 2\lambda C_{hjk} \\ &\quad - (\lambda_k + L^{-1} \lambda l_k) h_{hj}\}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.9)$$

Since D_{hjk}^i is symmetric in j and k , from (3.9) we get

$$(\lambda_k + L^{-1} \lambda l_k) h_{hj} = (\lambda_j + L^{-1} \lambda l_j) h_{hk}. \quad \dots (3.10)$$

Contracting (3.10) with g^{hj} we get

$$\lambda_k + L^{-1} \lambda l_k = 0 \quad \dots (3.11)$$

if $n > 2$, which shows that λL does not depend on y^i .

Then (3.9) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} D_{hjk}^i &= y^i E_{hjk}, \\ E_{hjk} &= S_{(h,j,k)} \{a_h h_{jk}\} - 2\lambda C_{hjk}. \end{aligned} \quad \dots (3.12)$$

The covariant differentiation of (3.12) gives

$$D_{hjk \cdot m}^i = \delta_m^i E_{hjk} + y^i E_{hjk \cdot m}. \quad \dots (3.13)$$

Since $E_{h\sharp}$ are positively homogeneous of degree -2 in y^i , (3.13) yields $D_{hjk \cdot i}^i = (n-2) E_{hjk}$. Then the identity $D_{hjk \cdot i}^i = 0$ gives $E_{hjk} = 0$ (Matsumoto⁴), provided $n > 2$. Consequently we have

- (1) If $\lambda = 0$ identically, then we have $a_h = 0$ and $G_{hjk}^i = 0$, hence F^n is a Berwald space.
- (2) If $\lambda \neq 0$, then F^n is a C -reducible Finsler space with $a_h = 2\lambda c_h/(n+1)$ (Matsumoto¹, Matsumoto and Hojo³) and (3.12) shows $D_{hjk}^i = 0$.

Summarizing up we have :

Theorem 3.1 — Suppose that the $h\nu$ -curvature tensor of the Berwald connection of F^n ($n > 2$) be of the form (1.6). Then λL does not depend on y^i and

- (1) if $\lambda = 0$ identically, then F^n is a Berwald space,
- (2) if $\lambda \neq 0$, then F^n is a C -reducible Finsler space with $a_i = 2\lambda C_i/(n+1)$ and its Douglas tensor vanishes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to express their sincere gratitude to the referee for many valuable suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

1. M. Matsumoto, *Tensor*, N.S. **24** (1972), 29-37.
2. M. Matsumoto, *Demonst. Math.* **6** (1973), 223-51.
3. M. Matsumoto and S. Hojo, *Tensor*, N.S. **32** (1978), 225-30.
4. M. Matsumoto, *Foundations of Finsler Geometry and Special Finsler Spaces*. Kaiseisha Press, Saikawa, Otsu, 520, Japan, 1986.
5. M. Matsumoto, *Tensor*, N.S. **47** (1988), 203-14.
6. S. Numata, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **12** (1975), 97-100.