

ON THE EXISTENCE OF AN EIGENFUNCTION OF THE HARTREE OPERATOR OF THE HELIUM ATOM BY THE FIXED POINT PRINCIPLE

RABINDRA NATH SEN

*Department of Applied Mathematics, University College of Science
92, A.P.C. Road, Calcutta 700 009*

AND

SHYAMAL KUMAR DUTTA

*Department of Mathematics, Karimpur Pannadevi College
Nadia, West Bengal*

(Received 19 March 1990; after revision 14 December 1990; accepted 8 January 1991)

In this note we apply Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem to find the range of values of λ for which the Hartree operator for Helium atom admits of an eigen function. Earlier Reeken proved the existence of a pointwise eigen function by applying bifurcation analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Hartree equations (Bazley¹) have long been used by physicists to approximate complicated atomic and molecular system.

They replace the linearized Schrödinger equation by a coupled system of nonlinear equations which can be solved by iterative techniques. Hartree equations form a system of non-linear differential integral equations.

In what follows we consider the simplest case of an equation namely Hartree operator for Helium under the assumption that both electrons are in identical states. Our interest is essentially mathematical. We investigate the problem of the existence of an eigenfunction.

The existence proof are roughly of the three kinds: monotonicity (i.e. positive invertibility), fixed point (i.e. iterative – compact) and variational (i.e. lower semi-continuity) (Gustafson⁶).

The existence theory for the nonlinear Hartree equation started in the dissertation of Gustafson⁵. But Zwahlen, Reeken and Bazley were the first to use functional analysis to investigate the Hartree equation. Bazley and Zwahlen³ showed that bounded solutions bifurcate. Gustafson and Sather⁷ used monotonicity property to obtain the solution for large charge. Reeken¹⁰ proved the existence of an isolated and pointwise eigenfunction for the radial equation. Wolkowisky¹² proved the

existence of a solution for excited states by using the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point principle. Stuart¹¹ added his treatment with emphasis on the existence of an infinite number of excited states. Later on Bazley and Seidel² and Lieb and Simon⁹ approached the problem variationally. One is referred to the paper by Gustafson⁶ for a more detailed and systematic exposition.

We have applied Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem⁸ to establish the existence of an eigenfunction for the Hartree operator for Helium under the assumption that both electrons are in identical states and to indicate the range of values of λ within which the corresponding eigenvalues lie. In section 2 we state the eigenvalue problem and in section 3 we present the main existence theorem based on Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem.

2. THE EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

Let us consider the real Hilbert space $H = L^2(R^3)$. In atomic units we define the Hartree operator by

$$Au = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 u - \frac{2u(x)}{|x|} + u(x) \int \frac{u^2(y) dy}{|x-y|} \quad \dots(2.1)$$

where x and y denote vectors in R^3 (Bazley). Each solution to the eigenvalue problem $Au = \lambda u$ is required to satisfy the normalized condition,

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_{R^3} u^2(y) dy = 1 \quad \dots(2.2)$$

and regularity at infinity.

We also assume that $u(x)$ remains finite in the neighbourhood of the origin.

Let us assume that $u(x) = U(r) P_n(\theta, \phi)$ where (r, θ, ϕ) denotes the spherical polar coordinates of the point x .

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^\infty \frac{u^2(y)}{|x|} dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{U^2(r) r^2 P_n^2(\theta, \phi) \sin \theta dr d\theta d\phi}{|r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'\gamma|^{1/2}} \end{aligned}$$

where, $\gamma = \mu\mu' + [(1-\mu^2)(1-\mu'^2)]^{1/2} \cos(\phi - \phi')$

$$\mu = \cos \theta, \mu' = \cos \theta'$$

Thus
$$I = 4\pi \left(\frac{1}{r} \int_{r' < r} U^2(r') r'^2 dr' + \int_{r' > r} U^2(r') r' dr' \right) f(n)$$

$$= f(n) q_U(r) \text{ (say).}$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.1) by $P_n(\theta, \phi)$ and integrating over the unit sphere we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dU(r)}{dr} \right) = 2 \left(\lambda - \frac{2}{r} - \frac{n(n+1)}{r^2} \right) U(r) - f(n) q_U(r) U(r) \quad \dots(2.4)$$

where $4\pi \int_0^\infty U^2(r) r^2 dr = 1$

and $U(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

3. EXISTENCE OF EIGENVALUE

Let us assume that

$$BU = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dU}{dr} \right) + U(r) \quad \dots(3.1)$$

$$CU = 4 \left(\frac{1}{4} + \lambda - \frac{2}{r} - \frac{2(n+1)}{r^2} \right) U(r) \quad \dots(3.2)$$

$$DU = -2f(n) q_U(r) U(r). \quad \dots(3.3)$$

We may note that B is a self-adjoint coercive operator⁴ so that B^{-1} exists and is bounded.

However,

$$\begin{aligned} (BU, U) &= 4\pi \left[-\int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dU}{dr} \right) U(r) dr + \int_0^\infty r^2 U^2(r) dr \right] \\ &= 4\pi \left[\int_0^\infty r^2 \left(\frac{dU}{dr} \right)^2 dr + 1 \right] \frac{\|U\|^2}{4\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|BU\| \geq \left[1 + \int_0^\infty r^2 \left(\frac{dU}{dr} \right)^2 dr \right] \|U\|.$

Hence,

$$\|B^{-1}U\| \leq \|U\|. \quad \dots(3.4)$$

Operating on both sides of eqn. (2.5) with B^{-1} we have,

$$\begin{aligned} U(r) &= \frac{4}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho}}{\rho} \left[\frac{1}{4} + \lambda - \frac{2}{r'} - \frac{n(n+1)}{r'^2} \right] U(r') r'^2 dr' \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\rho}}{\rho} \left[q_U(r') U(r') \right] r'^2 dr' \quad \dots(3.5) \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho = [r^2 + r'^2 - 2 r r' \text{Cos} (r, \hat{r}')]^{1/2}$

Let us denote,

$$T_1 U = 4B^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \lambda - \frac{2}{r} - \frac{n(n+1)}{r^2} \right) U(r) \quad \dots(3.6)$$

$$T_2 U = -B^{-1} (q_U(r) U(r)). \quad \dots(3.7)$$

Let, $U_1(r)$ and $U_2(r)$ be two functions belonging to the domain of definition of B satisfying the normalization condition and boundary condition,

Then $\|T_1 U_1(r) - T_1 U_2(r)\|$

$$= 4 \|B^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \lambda - \frac{2}{r} - \frac{n(n+1)}{r^2} \right) (U_1 - U_2)\|$$

$$\leq 4 \|B^{-1} \left(\left| \frac{1}{4} + \lambda \right| \|U_1 - U_2\| + 2 \left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r} \right\| \right. \\ \left. + n(n+1) \left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r^2} \right\| \right)\| \quad \dots(3.8)$$

$$\leq 4 \left(\left| \frac{1}{4} + \lambda \right| \|U_1 - U_2\| + 2 \left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r} \right\| \right. \\ \left. + n(n+1) \left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r^2} \right\| \right). \quad \dots(3.9)$$

Let us define the graph norm $\|W\|_{\tilde{B}}$ by

$$\|W\|_{\tilde{B}} = \alpha \left\| \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dW}{dr} \right) \right\| + \beta \|W\|, \forall W(r) \in D_{\tilde{B}}$$

where, $\tilde{B} = - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{dW}{dr} \right), \alpha, \beta > 0.$

It may be noted that $W(r) \in D_{\tilde{B}}$ are bounded in terms of the graph norm (Kato¹³) i.e. $\|W(r)\| \leq \gamma \|W\|_{\tilde{B}}$.

Lemma 3.1 – Let us consider a subset D_0 of the domain of B with the following properties :

For any $U_1, U_2 \in D_0$

- (i) $|U_1 - U_2| \leq \beta_1 r^3$ in the neighbourhood of the origin i.e. for $r \in [0, r_0], r_0$ small
- (ii) U_1 and U_2 differ by $1/r$ in the neighbourhood of ∞ i.e. $r \in [R_0, \infty), R_0$ large,
- (iii) $\text{Max } |U(r)| \leq r_1$
 $0 \leq r < \infty$

Then the closure of D_0 is a closed and bounded set.
The proof is simple.

Let, $K = \{W(r)/W(r) \in D_{\bar{B}}, \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} W(r) = 0$

$$\|W(r)\|_{\bar{B}} < \infty, \|W(r)\| \leq \delta, \delta < 1\}$$

so that K is a closed bounded convex set. Therefore, $K \cap [D_0]$ is closed, bounded and convex.

Thus, for $U_1, U_2 \in K \cap [D_0]$

$$\left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r^2} \right\|^2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{(U_1 - U_2)}{r^2} dr \leq \|U_1 - U_2\|^2 \left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r^4} \right\|^2 \dots(3.10)$$

Also,

$$\left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r} \right\| \leq \|U_1 - U_2\| \left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r^2} \right\| \dots(3.11)$$

Using (3.10) and (3.11), (3.9) further reduces to

$$\|T_1 U_1 - T_1 U_2\| \leq 4 \left(\left| \frac{1}{4} + \lambda \right| + 2 \left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r^2} \right\| + n(n+1) \left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r^4} \right\| \right) \|U_1 - U_2\|$$

Lemma 3.2 – T_1 is contractive in $L_2(0, \infty)$ norm in $K \cap [D_0]$ if,

$$4 \left[\left| \lambda + \frac{1}{4} \right| + 2 \left(\frac{\beta^2 r_0^5}{5} + \frac{4\gamma^2}{R_0} \right)^{1/2} + n(n+1) \left(\beta^2 r_0 + \frac{4\gamma^2}{5R_0^2} \right)^{1/2} \right] < 1. \dots(3.12)$$

PROOF : For $U_1, U_2 \in \bar{K} (= K \cap [D_0])$

$$\left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r^2} \right\|^2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r^2} dr \leq \frac{\beta^2 r^5}{5} + \frac{4\gamma^2}{R_0}$$

Similarly, $\left\| \frac{U_1 - U_2}{r^4} \right\|^2 \leq \beta^2 r_0 + \frac{4\gamma^2}{5R_0^2}$

Thus, T_1 is contractive if

$$4 \left[\left| \lambda + \frac{1}{4} \right| + 2 \left(\frac{\beta^2 r_0^5}{5} + \frac{4\gamma^2}{R_0} \right)^{1/2} + n(n+1) \left(\beta^2 r_0 + \frac{4\gamma^2}{5R_0^2} \right)^{1/2} \right] < 1. \dots(3.13)$$

Now, $q_U(r)$ is relatively compact with respect to \bar{B} (Reeken¹⁰). Therefore, on \bar{K} , DU is completely continuous. B^{-1} being a bounded linear operator, T_2 is a compact operator on \bar{K} and is continuous too.

Lemma 3.3 – Let the following conditions be fulfilled :

- (i) $\forall U(r) \in \bar{K}$, α is sufficiently small
- (ii)
$$\int_1^\infty U^2(r) r^2 dr \geq \int_1^\infty U^2(r) dr + \int_0^1 U^2(r) dr - \int_0^1 U^2(r) r^2 dr$$
- (iii)
$$\int_1^\infty U^2(r) dr \geq \int_1^\infty \frac{U^2(r)}{r^2} dr + \int_0^1 \frac{U^2(r)}{r^2} dr - \int_0^1 U^2(r) dr$$
- (iv)
$$\int_1^\infty \frac{U^2(r)}{r^2} dr \geq \int_1^\infty \frac{U^2(r)}{r^6} dr + \int_0^1 \frac{U^2(r)}{r^6} dr - \int_0^1 \frac{U^2(r)}{r^2} dr$$
- (v)
$$4 \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{4} + \lambda \right| + 2\delta + n(n+1)\delta + \pi C_2' \delta \right\} \leq 1.$$

The. $U(r), V(r) \in \bar{K}$, $T_1 U(r) + T_2 V(r) \in \bar{K}$.

PROOF : For all $U(r) \in \bar{K}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1 U(r)\| &\leq 4 \left[\left| \frac{1}{4} + \lambda \right| + 2 \left\| \frac{U(r)}{r^2} \right\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n(n+1) \left\| \frac{U(r)}{r^4} \right\| \right] \|U(r)\|. \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.14)$$

By virtue of conditions (ii) and (iii)

$$\left\| \frac{U(r)}{r^2} \right\|^2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{U^2(r)}{r^2} dr \leq \int_0^\infty U^2(r) dr \leq \int_0^\infty U^2(r) r^2 dr.$$

Similarly by (iv)

$$\left\| \frac{U(r)}{r^4} \right\|^2 \leq \int_0^\infty U^2(r) r^2 = \|U(r)\|^2.$$

$$\text{Thus, } \|T_1 U(r)\| \leq 4 \left[\left| \frac{1}{4} + \lambda \right| + 2\delta + n(n+1)\delta \right] \|U(r)\|. \quad \dots(3.15)$$

Now, for $V(r) \in \bar{K}$

$$0 \leq q_V(r) \leq 4\pi C_2' \|V(r)\| \|V(r)\|_{\bar{B}} \text{ (Reeken}^{10}\text{)}.$$

Therefore for $V(r) \in \tilde{K}$,

$$\|q_V(r) V(r)\| \leq 4\pi C_2' \delta \|V(r)\|_{\tilde{B}}. \tag{3.16}$$

From (3.15) and (3.16) we conclude for $U(r), V(r) \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1 U(r) + T_2 V(r)\| \leq & \left[4 \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{4} + \lambda \right| + 2\delta + n(n+1)\delta \right\} \right. \\ & \left. + 4\pi C_2' \delta \|V(r)\|_{\tilde{B}} \right] \delta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Keeping in mind that α is sufficiently small and using condition (V) we infer that

$$T_1 U + T_2 V \in \tilde{K} \forall U(r), V(r) \in \tilde{K}.$$

We now state below Kransnoselskii's fixed point theorem (Rall¹⁴).

Theorem 3.1 – (Krasnoselskii⁸) – Let T_1 and T_2 be operators which are defined in a bounded, closed convex set C of a Banach R and suppose

- (i) $T_1 U + T_2 V \in C$ for every pair $U, V \in C$,
- (ii) T_1 is contractive i.e. there exists a constant $\mu < 1$ with

$$\|T_1 U - T_1 V\| \leq \mu \|U - V\|$$

for all $U, V \in C$,

- (iii) T_2 is completely continuous.

Then there exists atleast one fixed point

$$\begin{aligned} U^* & \in \tilde{K} \text{ which satisfies} \\ U^* & = T_1 U^* + T_2 U^*. \end{aligned}$$

Taking scalar product of both sides of eqn. (2.1) with $u(x)$ we may note that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} (u, \nabla^2 u) - 2 \left(\frac{u}{|x|}, u \right) + \left(u(x) \int \frac{u^2(y)}{|x-y|} dy, u(x) \right) \\ = \lambda (u(x), u(x)) \end{aligned}$$

showing that λ is real.

Theorem 3.2 – Equation (2.1) will have an eigenvalue λ and hence will admit of an eigenfunction (spherically symmetric) if

λ satisfies (3.13), condition and (iv) of Lemma 3.3 and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \lambda + \frac{1}{4} \right| < \text{Min} \left[\frac{1}{4} - 2 \left(\frac{\beta^2 r_0^5}{5} + \frac{4\gamma^2}{R_0} \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ \left. - n(n+1) \left(\beta^2 r_0 + \frac{4\gamma^2}{5R_0^3} \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ \frac{1}{4} - (2 + n(n+1) + \pi C_2) \delta]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The theorem is proved by making an appeal to Lemmas 3.1 to 3.3 and Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem.

It may be noted that (3.18) gives a quantitative estimate of the range of values of λ for which equation (2.1) will admit of an eigenfunction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the referee for his valued suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. N. W. Bazley, Existence and Bounds For the Lowest Critical Energy of the Hartree Operator, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* (ed. : B. D. Sleeman and I. M. Michael), Springer Verlag, 1974.
2. N. Bazley and R. Seidel, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **24** (1974), 128-32.
3. N. Bazley and B. Zwahlen, *Manuscripta Math.* **2** (1970), 365-74.
4. J. Cea, *Lecture Notes on Optimization, Theory and Algorithm*, TIFR, Narosa, 1978.
5. K. Gustafson, DISSERTATION, IFDAM Technical Note BN-389, 1965.
6. K. Gustafson, *Recent Progress on the Nonlinear Hartree-Fock, Concentration-Diffusion and Navier-Stokes Equations, Conference Proceedings.* (ed: Amann, Kirchgassner and Bazley), 1979.
7. K. Gustafson and D. Sather, *Rendiconti di Math.* **4** (1971), 723-34.
8. M. A. Krasnoselskii, *U.M.N.* **10** (1955), 123-27.
9. E. Lieb and B. Simon, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **53** (1977), 185-94.
10. M. Reeken, *J. Math. Phys.* **2** (1970), 205-12.
11. C. Sturt, *Archiv Ration Mech. Anal.* **51** (1973) 60-69.
12. J. Wolkowisky, *Indiana Univ. Math.* **22** (1922) 551-558.
13. T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators* Springer-Verlag, 1966.
14. L. B. Rall (ed.), *Some Application of Functional Analysis to Analysis, Particularly to Non-Linear Integral Equations*, 1971.